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## Chapter I INTRODUCTION

In August 1971, following an administrative arrangement concluded between the Governments of Tanzania and the Netherlands, the Directorate of International Technical Assistance of the Netherlands charged the Netherlands Engineering Consultants "Nedeco" with the execution of a water supply survey in the Shinyanga Region.
The Tanzanian Government has appointed the Ministry of Water Development and Power as executive authority for the implementation of the project.

The water supply survey started on 15 August, 1971 and the actual fieldwork was terminated in September 1973. Progress Reports on the activities carried out were prepared in November 1971, August 1972 and October l973. In addition, an Interim Report was submitted in December 1972, containing a first review of available and collected data, their interpretation, and the preliminary approach to a regional water masterplan.
Discussions concerning the contents of the Interim Report with the authorities concerned, their reactions and recommendations (which Nedeco has found very useful) and of course the further collection and elaboration of data and information, have led to the regional water master-plan as presented in this final report.

The final report consists of two volumes:
Volume $I$ : Main report and drawings
Volume II : Technical Annex A - Hydrology
Technical Annex B - Hydrological data
Technical Annex C - Hydrogeology
Technical Annex D - Sociology
Technical Annex E - Agriculture
Technical Annex F - Water quality
Technical Annex G - Civil engineering
Technical Annex $H$ - Economy and general aspects
The Terms of Reference stipulate that the tasks of the survey should include the collection and examination of all existing demographic, agronomic, livestock and socio-economic features of the Region. Where necessary the existing records and data should be expanded so that a comprehensive evaluation can be made of the water resources.

The objectives of this survey and the studies involved were to provide the Government of Tanzania with firm recommendations (master-plan) for the immediate and longterm development of the surface and groundwater resources of the Shinyanga Region with particular reference to the supply of water to the rural areas for human and livestock use.
The Tanzanian Government has decided that the implementation of water supply projects in rural areas should be finished by 1991
which year has been taken as the termination of the master-plan period. For Shinyanga Region this means that by that time about 2 million people have to be provided with an improved water supply. At present improved supply schemes are estimated to serve only some 60,000 people in the Region.

The role of the sociological studies was especially to determine the necessary demographic data and projections over the plan period, to study water consumption and general attitudes towards water and to propose a basis for a priority ranking of projects to be implemented.

Chapter II SUMMARY
II.l. Demography

The population Census of 1967 (ref. 21) has been used as a basis. Additional information has been gathered by:

- interviews held at Ward headquarters covering all villages in the Region;
- more detailed interviews in 416 villages, approximately 25 per cent of the regional total.

The definition "concentrated settlements" in this report applies to villages with a partly or mainly concentrated settlement pattern and a probable population of 400 people or more in 1972. "Scattered settlements" are all other villages. The survey has covered all concentrated settlements existing in 1972 in detailed interviews.

In 1967, 3 per cent of the Region's population of 899,500 lived in towns, 20 per cent in concentrated settlements and 77 per cent scattered.
People dependent for their livelihood on the agricultural sector constituted an estimated 95.6 per cent of the total population. This group is referred to as "farmers".

Agriculture will remain predominant in the regional economy. Only a slight decrease in the proportional size of this group is anticipated during the implementation of the master-plan up to 1991. Annual growth of the category "farmers" is estimated at 2.7 per cent versus an overall population growth of 3.0 per cent for the Region. On this basis, "farmers" would constitute 89 per cent of the total population of 1.83 million by 1991.

It is assumed that concentrated settlements existing in 1972 grow by 3-5 per cent per year, depending on the population size.
For the District capitals (Bariadi, Nyalikungu and Kahama) the rate is taken to be 5 per cent, and for Shinyanga-town 8 - 9 per cent. In view of the decreasing importance of the Mwadui Diamond mine its population is assumed to remain constant over the plan period.

An active programme to stimulate migration and villagization (see section II.4) may be assumed to come into effect by 1976. The new concentrated settlements to be built under this programme would then help to reduce the proportion of the scattered population to $55-60$ per cent of the total in 1991. Less than 5 per cent would then live in towns in 1991, 21 per cent in concentrated settlements existing in 1972, and 19 per cent in new concentrated settlements established between 1976 1991.

As little is known about population and cattle movements into or out of the Region, and data similar to that elaborated on by the survey could not readily be found in the adjacent areas, Nedeco was necessarily forced to consider Shinyanga Region as a single entity.

## II.2. Water consumption

Most people in the Region prefer to use a water source within 500-800 m of their house. It is clearly felt as a hardship if the source is further than 15 minutes walking-distance. Economic activity (farmer or non-farmer), household size and distance proved to be the factors influencing the water consumption at public taps. Education, the type of supply, and storage facilities proved to have an insignificant influence. Although water is drawn throughout the day two peak periods have been noticed in the demand of the rural household group, one in the early morning from 7-9 a.m., the other one late in the afternoon (5-6 p.m.).

In many villages it appears that there is no well-based opinion on the quality of the water consumed. Treatment by the consumer is seldom practised.
Water drawn from a public tap in a piped supply scheme is generally regarded as completely safe.

It is recommended that the design of water supplies be based on a net human water consumption of 201 caput for drawers at public taps (referred to as domestic points) and on a gradually increasing consumption for consumers served by private house connections, from 100 l/day.caput at present to 150 l in 1991. The design of water supplies to trading centres should include an additional demand equivalent to 20 per cent of the domestic consumption of the centre's population.
Unless specific data are available the water consumption of health centres or dispensaries with a clinic may be taken at $2 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day, schools at $5 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{day}$ per student with a minimum of $1.5 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{day}$, bars and hotels and (other) unmetered private connections at $1 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day. Separate evaluations are necessary for hospitals or small industries, for which reference is made to Technical Annex E (which also deals with the water provision to cattle) and Technical Annex G.

It is recommended to promote understanding of the importance of adequate water supply, especially as far as quality is concerned, among the rural population. The population's involvement in the construction of improved sources, and in particular in their maintenance, should be stimulated. In the long run this might lead to institutionalization at village level of social structures which could share responsibility for the village water supply with the authorities concerned.

## II.3. Priority ranking of projects

The duration of the master-plan, the large number of supplies to be constructed, and the limited available resources in terms of manpower, technical facilities, funds etc. make it indispensable to develop a system by which the implementation sequence of various possible schemes can be weighed. In this report a system is proposed to judge the preliminary water development priority of projects on the basis of a set of objective factors relating to "water need" and "development potential", the latter comprising infrastructural and demographic significance and agricultural development potential. The final priority ranking, which is derived from the preliminary ranking mentioned above by comparison with economic, financial, technical and organizational criteria, is set out in Technical Annex H.

The information gathered on 416 villages interviewed in detail has been used to draw up a preliminary water development priority ranking for individual projects. Bariadi District has the largest proportion of high scoring villages; Kahama District has the smallest, due to a low water requirement at present and rather favourable opportunities to exploit shallow groundwater. One should, however, be very careful in extrapolating the results of this ranking over areas as the villages were not chosen at random. An extrapolation should only be used for comparing two individual schemes.

A simplified system has been made to weigh the priority of whole areas the 95 Wards of the Region being used as units. In this case, Kahama has the largest proportion of high scoring Wards followed by Maswa, Shinyanga and Bariadi Districts being approximately equal.
This system is recommended if the priority of the water provision to whole areas is in question.
II.4. Regional development and the planning of water

## supplies

Optimum yield from the investment to be made in water supply will only be achieved if the water master-plan is considered not on its own, but as an integral part of a regional development plan in which the provision of water, together with other necessary facilities and utilities, is used to stimulate socioeconomic development.
In the absence of a regional development plan Nedeco has outlined the possibilities as far as these are relevant to water master-planning, the drawing up to a complete plan being clearly beyond the scope of the survey.

National policy is aimed at self-reliance in labour and gives priority to agricultural development. In addition, almost the entire population of the Region depends on agriculture and this
situation is expected to change only slightly in the masterplan period. In this framework the major aim of a regional development plan is the optimalization of the average income derived from this sector by the rural household or a cooperative group of households. Technical Annex E deals with this aspect. It is concluded that migration of part of the rural population from areas already (too) densely populated to new development areas should be stimulated.
Annex $E$ discusses several alternatives. In the present report the implications of the population distribution over the master-plan period are considered for the two alternatives which appear most attractive. The conditions to be fulfilled to optimalize the agricultural sector would have to include both further modernization of agricultural methods and reduction of the livestock herds in order to free land for more profitable crop production and to prevent to erosion resulting from (heavy) overgrazing which is already noticeable in several parts of the Region.

The introduction of new methods, optimal use of extension services, communical grazing land etc. will be much easier if a settlement pattern with concentrated villages is realized. The provision to these settlements of water and other facilities required will be much facilitated by a concentrated settlement pattern. It is therefore assumed that the opening up of new development areas will be based on a settlement structure characterized by centres of various importance, ranging from agricultural villages to local centres, areal centres and district or divisional centres with corresponding levels of demographic and infrastructural significance.

[^0]Emigrants are supposed to come from the category of the scattered living population, from areas ranked in order of declining population density. On the basis of these assumptions the population distribution is calculated over the plan period at target years with intervals of 5 years differentiated according to type of settlement as required for the planning of water supplies (see Technical Annex G).

The calculations, performed on the basis of enumeration areas of the 1967 Census, have been totalized and presented on a divisional basis in line with the accuracy of the data used and the various underlying assumptions.

The resultant picture of the population distribution, which is further used in Technical Annex $G$ to plan the water supplies required, consists of:

- Towns, locations known and population estimated over the plan period ( 87,000 in 1991).
- Concentrated settlements existing in 1972, a total of 199, of which the locations are known and the population has been estimated over the plan period (375,000 in 1991).
- New concentrated settlements built in development areas. The location are not known in detail, only the general water characteristics of the area can be evaluated. By 1991 a total of 34 areal centres, 79 local centres and 323 agricultural villages are assumed to accommodate 330,000 people.
- Scattered population. This category has been differentiated on a divisional basis over the plan period according to those living in:
(i) low density areas where for reasons of economy the application of a maximum distance of 1.5 km to a source cannot be maintained as design criterion;
(ii) medium density areas where the design criteria can be applied without modification;
(iii)high density areas where the plannning of water supplies must take into account that emigration from these areas should be stimulated either in the master-plan period itself or shortly thereafter, say up to the year 2000 .

It should be stressed that the above results do not pretend to be derived from detailing a regional development plan but rather serve the purpose of providing a reasonable basis for water master-planning. Similarly the outlines of a regional development plan given in this report should not be used for the direct implementation.
Other fields of regional development - which have received less attention here because of their irrelevance for the water master plan - will need to be investigated to arrive at a balanced plan and detail surveys will be required to select suitable development areas.

The stimulation of development in certain areas within the context of a regional development plan implies that in the water master-plan priority should be given to the construction of water supplies for the new concentrated settlements to be established in these areas, prior to actual settlement taking place. The same holds of course for other facilities required such as medical centres, schools etc.

Chapter III APPROACH OF THE STUDY
III. 1 Available data and general approach

A clear idea of the setting in which the fieldwork was to take place became available through two BRALUP publications "AgroEconomic Zones of Sukumaland" and "Preliminary Report of the Sukumaland Interdisciplinary Research Project" (ref. 19 and 20).

The available maps showed only the largest settlements. The Regional and District Offices provided most of the recorded data on medical, educational, agricultural, and livestock facilities as well as information on Ujamaa villages and existing water supplies. Nevertheless it turned out to be necessary to obtain almost all demographic material, information on settlement patterns, infrastructure, communications, and existing water situation during the fieldwork itself. A regional coverage had to be achieved by interviewing a stratified sample.
The 1967 Population Census provided data on enumeration areas and their population, age composition, economic activity, household sizes etc.
Accurate topographical maps with detailed information had to be drawn up by the survey from available l : 50,000 maps covering only part of the Region, supplemented by aerial photomosaics and photos, older geological maps, and extensive field surveys.

The exhaustive study by White, Bradley and White on rural water supplies in East Africa provided information on design criteria (ref. 23). Water consumption, according to this source, is 1020 1/day per head for people living near a standpipe and 4-20 1/day per head for areas without a piped supply system. The authors recommend as design principles a daily requirement of 20-30 $1 /$ head, and a maximum distance to the source of not more than one mile. A standpipe should not serve more than 200 people and a ringwell not more than 500 people.

In 1972 Shinyanga Region comprised three districts (Kahama, Shinyanga and Maswa) which number later increased to four, Maswa District being split up to form in addition Bariadi District.
Each District is made up of a varying number of divisions which in turn consist of Wards totalling 95 for the Region as a whole.
The district and regional capitals have separate status. Based on the results of a first orientation it was decided to use the Ward as the main unit of the Sociological Survey. Interviews at Ward and village level have been executed to gain information on the following aspects:

- demographical situation
- socio-economic resources
- water supply situation
- human water consumption and factors affecting consumption
- water quality and perception
III. 2 Field-study

The actual fieldwork started in February 1972 and continued until May 1973.
The field-study consisted of the following four parts:

1. the gathering of data on a large variety of topics including demography, socio-economic structure, agriculture, livestock, water-availability and topography, executed on an extensive scale;
2. two studies more limited in time, space and variety, which dealt with water consumption, the factors which influence the consumption, and the various ways in which water is perceived by the villagers;
3. the mapping of the village interviewed to obtain the above information (1);
4. census of Shinyanga town.

Most of the information was gathered by means of a series of questionnaires and observations (see appendices l to 6).

In the first part of the study a three-stage approach was followed. After the District Authorities had told the Wards what the purpose of the survey was, an interviewer visited each Ward Executive Officer or Ward Branch Chairman and, as a rule, the Diwani, asking for permission to investigate a number of villages. The Ward Authorities were then asked to list the number and names of the villages in the area in question (see app.1). With the aid of separate questionnaires (app. 2 and 3) data was collected on the most important facilities of each village, as well as some basic information on the situation regarding water. In addition, the Ward Officials were requested to mention the villages in which the greatest waterneed existed as well as the villages where demographic growth was most noticeable. Villages fitting into these categories and showing a potential for social and economic development, as well as a selected number of Ujamaa localities, were chosen for interviewing.

In a second stage these villages were visited by the interviewer together with the ward Representative, and a village spokesman, usually a hundred-cell-leader.
The questions that proved to be cumbersome to answer, for instance those referring to population numbers, migration and use of agricultural inputs, were read out and the interviewee was asked to prepare the answers. In a third stage the final interview was held and in the majority of villages a water sample was taken from the most important source. These samples were analysed in Nedeco's laboratory in Shinyanga. The lengthy procedure followed certainly had serious drawbacks in terms of transportation required and man hours spent. On the other hand, the positive effect from an introduction by and to local
leadership, the general absence of much basic information and the need to cover a large number of villages in a relatively short time rendered this approach unavoidable.
In total 416 villages were interviewed out of an estimated total of some 1200 .

The first water consumption study could be executed in a more direct way because the interviews were held in villages previously covered. Fourteen villages were selected according to the following criteria:

- different types of relevant water supplies, viz. taps, ringwells, water-holes and springs as well as one charco supply;
- the presence or absence of a trading centre.

By means of 675 interviews information was gathered during a period of three weeks in August 1972 on average amounts of water used per head, lengths of journeys to fetch it, and various ways in which water as a commodity was perceived as well as some of the variables that persumably led to variations among these.
In the second water consumption study one of the largest water supply schemes serving two major trading centres and a number of smaller villages and several facilities (schools, health centre etc.) was investigated over the period from January to May 1973. Water meters installed at 10 points in the scheme, local censuses, observations at the source and interviews of a more limited size provided further data on water consumption and people's attitude towards water.

For the third part of the fieldwork, the mapping of each of the villages, all localities in the study were revisited in a period of about one month by one of the sociologists who registered them on a map, and where necessary checked some of the information previously obtained.

The fourth part of the fieldwork, the Shinyanga Town Census was executed during a period of three days from 13-15th June 1972.

## III. 3 Evaluation of data

Population distribution and growth were determined and estimated from demographic data, available from the 1967 census and gathered by the survey. Population density maps of each District were drawn up (maps 3.14.04-06-01 to 03).
For estimates of population growth the existing population is distinguished in relation to the size of settlements, this factor being of importance for the determination of the future water demand. Moreover, the parts of the Region's population engaged in agricultural and non-agricultural activities are approximated.

The preliminary analysis of the interviews taken at Ward headquarters ("General questionnaire", app. 2 and "Ujamaa questionnaire", app. 3) and at village level ("Village questionnaire", app. 4) was made in Shinyanga. Detailed processing was done in the Netherlands by computer.
Results of the "General" and "Ujamaa" questionnaires have been presented cartographically, see the Ward Infrastructural Maps no. 3.14.04-06-04 to 06. Coded results of all 416 villages interviewed in detail are given in app. 7. A number of cross relations have been investigated. If they yielded significant information in either positive or negative sense these results are mentioned in this report or in Technical Annex E, Agriculture in which all data relevant to crop production and livestock keeping are presented.

A priority system has been formulated to determine a preliminary ranking order for water supply projects based on factors pertaining to both existing water need and development potential of the location or area concerned. By comparison with economic, financial, technical and organizational aspects this will be used to decide on the final water development priority ranking (see Technical Annex H).
The data of the village questionnaire was used to draw up the preliminary ranking order for individual supplies, being the 416 villages interviewed. It should however be kept in mind that this is only a sample. The results should not be regarded as representative for the entire Region.
A simplified system is proposed for the ranking of whole areas for which Wards have been chosen. In this case the general questionnaires yielded information to compose a representative picture.

The data gathered in the water consumption surveys has been used to propose design criteria for the planning of water supplies, relating the human water consumption in a scheme to the means of distribution of the water (public taps or private house connections) and the type of settlement.

Finally, water supply is considered against the perspective of regional development, which had not been formulated at the time of the survey either as a plan or as more or less clear guiding principles; this was preferred to a mere extrapolation of the existing situation from the results of the above sociological studies.

The drawing up of a regional development plan is of course beyond the scope of this study. It seems however reasonable to assume that, in keeping with the national policy of self reliance in labour and the priority towards agricultural development, and in view of the fact that almost the entire population of the Region is engaged in agriculture and animal husbandry, such a regional plan would be aimed at the
optimalization. of the average income derived from these sectors, either per family or per group of families cooperating for instance in an Ujamaa village. Therefore Nedeco has studied the possible development of agriculture and animal husbandry over the period of the master-plan in outline (see Technical Annex E, "Agriculture"). The conditions which will have to be fulfilled to achieve such development and the consequences which this may have on the master-plan are considered.

The studies result in a picture of the ideal population distribution over the Region to be realized to reach the aim of the development plan.

A comparison with the present population pattern indicated the areas already densely populated with little development potential, which in future should hold a smaller proportion of the Region's rural population.
It shows also the more promising parts of the Region, at present less densely populated and with good development possibilities, to which migration of part of the rural population will become necessary. Due to the limited scope of the studies the smallest areas considered are divisions. The stimulation of development in certain areas within the context of a regional development plan implies for the water master-plan that priority should be given to the construction of water supplies in these areas. In the system described above to determine the preliminary water development priority ranking, more emphasis should then be put on the factor development potential, taking both the available and the planned facilities as indicators.
The population of the Region, its size and distribution (as derived on the assumption that the above changes occur), further differentiated according to type of settlement, is estimated at several target years over the course of the plan period (up to 1991). These estimates are used as the basis for the water master-plan in Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering". Only if the provision of water is an integrated part of a development plan can it contribute to and stimulate overall development leading to an optimum use of the investments made in water supply out of the various resources for implementation.

As little is known about population and cattle movements into or out of the Region and data similar to that elaborated on by the survey could not readily be found in the adjacent areas, Nedeco was necessarily forced to consider Shinyanga Region as a universe.
III. 4 Personnel and equipment

Since no counterpart personnel could be made available to Nedeco's sociological staff, it was necessary to recruit and select interviewers on the spot.

After a two weeks' period of theoretical instruction and another of training in the field, five interviewers remained attached to the survey for the duration of the fieldwork. Three of them were Form 4 leavers; the fourth, with five years' experience as interviewer and teacher of interviewing techniques, had a Standard VIII educational background. All spoke Kiswahili and the local languages Kisukuma and Kinyamwezi, in which the interviews were conducted.
Mention must be made of the 20 pupils of Buluba Secondary School who in three afternoons and evenings participated in the Nedeco census of Shinyanga Town and the adjoining urban areas in Kizumbi ward in a door-to-door survey.

For the fieldwork three Landrovers were used throughout the survey. When camping was inpracticable the team was very kindly given accomodation by local government officials and Ujamáa villages.
Detailed processing of the data of the sociological survey was done in the Netherlands, using a IBM computer.
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## Chapter IV DESCRIPTION OF THE REGION

## IV. 1 General description

The Shinygnga Region is situated in north-west Tanzania, between 2 and $5^{\circ}$ southern latitude and $31^{\circ}-35^{\circ}$ eastern longitude. It resembles a giant butterfly on its way to Lake Victoria. With a wingspan of some 450 kilometres and a length of 60 - 200 kilometres it covers roughly five million hectares.
The western and southern boundaries mainly follow rivers and lakes. The eastern boundary runs through the Serengeti National Park. In the north the distance between the regional boundary and the shore line of Lake Victoria varies from 20 - 100 kilometres.
The Region is divided into four Districts, Kahama, Shinyanga, Maswa and Bariadi with district capitals of the same names. Actually, the present Bariadi District formed part of Maswa District until 1973. The Serengeti National Park, comprising game and forest reserves, covers about 35 per cent of the Region's total area of some $50,100 \mathrm{~km} 2$ (see table IV.l, Technical Annex $E$ for detailed figures).
The total population of Shinyanga Region in 1972 was estimated at about 1 million people.

Taking into account the characteristics of rainfall and temperature, the Region has a semi-arid tropical climate. The distinction between seasons is based only on differences in rainfall, other climatological parameters being fairly constant throughout the year. The wet season is usually from November to the beginning of May. Average yearly rainfall is about 700 mm .

The monthly average of the maximum daily temperature is fairly constant throughout the year. Its value ranges from $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (March-June) tg $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (October). The minimum daily temperature varies from $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in June-July to $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the last three months of the year.

The elevations in the Region are between 1500 metres above sea level near the border of the Serengeti Park in eastern Maswa District and 1100 metres where the Sibiti, Isanga and Moyowasi rivers leave the Region.

With reference to the infrastructure of the area it can be said that the Region lacks bitumenized roads, with the exception of a few in Shinyanga town and in the Mwadui mines, although a number of all-weather roads exist connecting the main centres with Mwanza, Tabora and Dar es Salaam. Projects to bitumenize the Mwanza-Shinyanga road and/or to incorporate part of it in a new road from Mwanza to Arusha are still being considered. The realization of the latter project will certainly stimulate the development of the Region. The Region is served by the Mwanza-Tabora railway, which has
nine stations in the four Districts. Airstrips are located near the district capitals of Kahama, Maswa and Shinyanga and there is one larger airfield serving the Mwadui mines. Apart from diamond mining at Mwadui and cotton ginning the Region's economic activities consist of agriculture, with cotton as the predominant cash-crop, trading and the administrative services. The latter are mainly concentrated in the regional and district capitals; medical and educational facilities are dispersed over the Region and as a result of their frequent mission origin are often as isolated as the homes of most of the population. There are three government and one voluntary agency hospitals in the Region with a total of some 470 beds, about 0.5 beds per 1,000 inhabitants, one of the lowest ratios in the country. When the nine rural health centres supervising a total of 106 dispensaries are taken into account, the ratio appears more favourable. However, lack of personnel with the necessary educational attainment, poor hygienic conditions and inadequate water provisions make an ungrading of the services provided urgently necessary.
Shinyanga Region has the lowest school enrolment ration in the country. According to the 1967 Population Census about 31 per cent of the children in the standard I age-group then attended school here as compared to the national average of 45 per cent. One is inclined to attribute this state of affairs to the relative isolation from missionary and central government activities of much of Sukumaland until the end of the second world war. On the other hand is has been and still is noticeable that the Sukuma are not very anxious to get formal education for their children, whose labour is needed on the family farms. There are 175 government primary schools in the Region of which 115 are lower, 39 extended primary and 21 upper primary. In addition there are three secondary schools, one of which is private, while a Teacher Training College is to be opened at Old Shinyanga in the near future. The Mwadui mines operate a private agricultural training school.
IV.2. Socio-economic areas

Although there are no strongly contrasting variations within the Region and boundaries between areas are not clearly defined, it is possible to distinguish areas that differ from each other in social and economic content. As is generally the case with environments where the subsistence sector is of importance, these differences are to a large extent still determined by natural factors such as topography, climate, availability of productive land, and so on. It is likely that these variations will even out, shift locations or become more accentuated as a result of the Region's increased material development, which tends to overcome natural limitations.

The picture presented in the following sub-sections (ref. 20) therefore merely claims to represent temporarily the actual state of affairs. For more detailed descriptions on hydrology,
geology or the state of agriculture and animal husbandry, reference is made to the relevant sections of the Technical Annexes $A, C$ and $E$.
IV.2.1. Area 1, central Shinyanga, west Maswa and south-
west Bariadi Districts

The area runs like a broad strip of land diagonally from the south-west to the north-east of Shinyanga, continuing the northwards through Maswa and Bariadi Districts up to the Dutwa ward in Ntuzu division. It roughly coincides with the Itwangi, Samuye and Mjini divisions, the western part of the Negezi and Kishapu divisions and the eastern part of the Mondo division and further includes Nunghu, Sengerema, Itilima and the southwestern part of the Ntuzu divisions (see fig. IV.l).

It is a flat to undulating zone broken up by granite outcrops, and river valleys. Most of what must have been woodland has been stripped while erosion has caused considerable damage in places.
The population is mainly Sukuma who account for the earliest settlements in the Region in west central Maswa/Bariadi, in the central part of Shinyanga District, in the Samuye, Itwangi and Mjini divisions.

The majority of the Shinyanga Region population is concentrated in this area. Apart from local exceptions most of the productive land has already been put into use or lies fallow for periods that can last to twenty years. The area has experienced the greatest material change in comparison to other parts of the Region.

The economy is based on agriculture, trading, mining, industry, the services and animal husbandry. Numerous trading posts traditionally run by Arabs and Somali dot the countryside, selling general merchandise and frequently forming the nuclei of larger settlements. The presence of the Williamson Diamonds mine at Mwadui, of which the employees and their families number some 7,000 people, has been and still is of considerable direct and indirect influence on the economy.
Three cotton ginneries seasonally employ a further thousand people.

The largest towns of the Region lie within the area including the administrative centres of Shinyanga town and Nyalikungu (Maswa-town). The former Sukuma Federation capital of Malya, though just outside Shinyanga Region, actually belongs to this area.
The predominance of livestock is both a blessing, in the sense
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that it represents wealth and security, and an increasing nuisance in that land suffers from heavy overgrazing. In addition the railroad and several all-weather roads which run through the greater portion of the area are undoubtedly both cause and consequence of the relatively greater development vis à vis the rest of the Region.
IV.2.2. Area 2, south-east Kahama District

The area comprises the Kahama and Dakama divisions, the eastern part of the Mweli and the southern part of the Msalala divisions.
Topographically it shows a strong resemblance to the previous area, with less granite outcropping, more low bush and some forest. The population is mainly of Nyamwezi origin with an important Sukuma component; the density is lower than in the first area. The main sources of livelihood are agriculture carried out with less use of agricultural inputs, and animal husbandry with a lower overall stock density than in the first area, although large herds occur.

On account of its more recent, often Sukuma settlement, much bushland has still not been taken into production, while the farm soil is not as depleted as in the previously described zone.
Apart from the district capital Kahama-town, no large settlements are to be found in the area. Material development has not reached the levels previously depicted; the area seems to be open for increased development.
IV.2.3. Area 3, north Bariadi District

The area includes the northern part of the Ntuzu and the northeastern part of the Kanadi divisions, bordered by the Serengeti National Park in the eastern Bariadi District.

This is a flat open plain with wide shallow river valleys with higher rainfall in the most western part and less than the regional average in the eastern part, and with very little erosion. On account of its proximity to the Serengeti plains with their tsetse and wild animal hazards, the area has only recently attracted meaningful numbers of settlers, so that new land is still available and farms tend to be of larger size than in the previous two zones.
The population density is low, inhabitants are of Sukuma extraction with a number of Luo in the north-east.

Livelihood depends entirely on agriculture and on livestock, with herds decreasing in size towards the east.
Land is not depleted nor heavily grazed; whatever settlements are to be found are small in population size, homesteads tend to be very dispersed. Although little investment has been made in the area in regard to communications and services, its low population density and suitable soils offer possibilities for further development.
IV.2.4. Area 4, south-east Shinyanga and east Maswa Districts
----------
The area roughly overlaps the Mwagala and Meatu divisions in Maswa District as well as the south-eastern part of the Kanadi division in Bariadi District and the southern parts of the Negezi and Kishapu divisions in Shinyanga District. Its physical make-up is more heterogeneous than those of the zones previously described. The eastern part of the area bordering Serengeti has a hilly, bushcovered profile with fairly well defined streams. In the west this gives way to slighty undulating countryside broken up by granite outcrops and wide, shallow river valleys.
In the south towards the Eyasi and Kitangiri lakes the large open mbuga-plain is predominant, extensively used for grazing purposes but with few permanent habitations. Average rainfall decreases in the southern part where rainless spells of some months occur more frequently even in the wet season.

The western parts have a population density resembling that of area 1 (see sub-section IV.2.1.); people from Sukuma stock are predominant. The population pressure is much lower in the eastern part towards the Maswa game reserve where tsetse and wild animals discourage settlement.
The population in the south is restricted to Luo and Chagga fishermen on the lakes and Sukuma, Taturu and Masai herds on the mbugas.
Cotton and cattle dominate the economy of the west, where as a result of heavy grazing and scarcity of fertile land large tracts have been reduced to waste. Together with the south where very little farming is undertaken, these parts have the highest cattle to man ratio of the Region with herds probably averaging over a hundred head.

The eastern part has a very low population and cattle density. Small subsistance plots and tsetse resistant small livestock are the main sources of livelihood.
Apart from the west where cotton has brought relative wealth, the area is poor in infrastructure of any kind.

## IV.2.5. Area 5, north-west Shinyanga and east Kahama <br> Districts

The fifth identifiable area consists of the western part of the Mondo and Nindo divisions and the north-western part of the Msalala division across the Isanga river in Kahama District. As was the case in area 3, this area is best described as a flat, open, grassy plain occasionally interrupted by bushland, stone outcrops in the north and swamps bordering the Isanga river.

The majority of the inhabitants in the area are Sukuma, most of whom live in the main settlements connected to each other by an all-weather road running from Old Shinyanga into Geita District (Mwanza Region). The area outside this strip is very sparsely populated. However, its north seems to attract increasing numbers of settlers from the Geita and Shinyanga Districts.

The economy is based on agriculture and animal husbandry, neither of which is hampered by land shortage or erosion. Cotton is the important cash crop and the number of livestock per head of population is high.
In view of its low population density the area seems to have a potential for further development.
The grassy plain of the Nindo and Msalala divisions, however, has not as yet attracted any permanent habitation, on account of its heavy, poorly drained soils, which render cultivation difficult, and its type of vegetation, which discourages cattle grazing.
IV.2.6. Area 6, north Kahama District

The north-western Msalala and the northern Siloka divisions form a sixth entity. It is undulating forest and low bushland in which relatively small and widely scattered parts have been cleared for habitation. The population is mainly of Sumbwa stock whose numbers decrease towards the west, where Nyamwezi become predominant. Sukuma settlers are moving in from Geita District.

The economy is based on a subsistence type of slash and burn cultivation, on honey collection and small livestock. Agriculture is entirely conducted without the aid of modern innovations.
Modern infrastructure hardly exists, there are very few roads.
IV.2.7. Area 7, south-western Kahama District

The remaining part of the Siloka division in the Kahama District forms the last area. It consists almost entirely of the Nikonga River Forest and the Kigosi Game Reserves, a flat forest, covered with an almost zero human population due to the presence of tsetse and dangerous fauna.

The very few Sumbwa settlements are small in size and widely scattered.

The economy consists of a subsistence type of agriculture, hunting and honey collection. Apart from some small stock, animal husbandry is negligible.

## IV.3. Demography

Most countries in Africa have high rates of population growth. Recently published figures covering the period 1960-1969 show that 23 out of 54 countries surpass the rate of $2.5 \%$ per annum. Only a few countries in Africa, with a total population of approximately 15,000,000, have a growth rate of less than $2.0 \%$ per annum.
This strong population growth complicates the attempts of the African governments to achieve improvement in the living conditions of the population (education, health). Such complications are to a large extent caused by the limited opportunities for domestic saving, which are a direct result of the low income levels prevailing in large segments of the population.
The IBRD (World Bank) estimates that the population growth in Tanzania has averaged $2.5 \%$ per annum over the last decade. For the Shinyanga Region, Nedeco assumes an annual growth of 3 percent over the entire master-plan period.
Based on the figures of the 1967 Census the total population of the Region may be estimated to be over 1 million ( $1,043,000$ ) in 1972.

This has put the Shinyanga Region, with an average population density of 20 per square kilometre (against a country-wide average of $14 / \mathrm{km} 2$ ), among the four most heavily populated of the 18 Regions in Tanzania in 1967.
However, figures vary sharply within the Districts, with
Shinyanga featuring among the most densely populated areas of Tanzania and Kahama among the least. At sub-district level even greater variations are found. The socio-economic area 7 in the extreme western part of the Region and the eastern part of area 3 both have a zero density.
The socio-economic areas 6,5 , with the exception of Salawe Ward in the south, and the eastern and most southern parts of area 4 are sparsely populated.
The western part of areas 3 and 4 have a moderate density. Most people in the Region are concentrated in a broad strip in areal.

Most sources agree that the Bantu speaking people of whom the Sukuma-Nyamwezi form part entered the area south of Lake Victoria from Bukoba on the west of the lake, settled themselves in Mwanza and more recently in Kwimba and Geita Districts. The earliest Sukuma settlements in the Shinyanga Region are to be found in west Bariadi (Itilima division) and in the central and south-west parts of the Shinyanga District, socio-economic areal.

Shortage of land is now causing population movements into north-eastern and south-eastern Kahama (Msalala, Mweli and Kahama Divisions), into north-eastern Bariadi and the south Maswa Districts (Kanadi, Ntuzu and Meatu divisions) and in particular across the Manonga river, the southern border of Shinyanga District into the Nzega District of the Tabora Region (fig. IV.2).

The design criteria proposed for rural water supplies in Technical Annex $G$ recommend the construction during the master plan of piped supply systems with public taps in existing concentrated settlements with a population of more than 400 people in 1972.
Therefore a significant part of the demographic analysis in this report deals with a differentiation in type of settlement. The demographic data and calculations in this report are based on the following sources:

- The population Census of 1967, of which the results have been used to compose the population density maps 3.14.04-06-01 to 03.
- Nedeco's sociological surveys, which provided the necessary information on types and sizes of settlement in 1972.
- The Nedeco census of Shinyanga town of June 1972. On the district maps 3.14.04-01-01 to 03 all settlements interviewed by the sociological team have been geographically located. They have been categorized as either "concentrated" or "scattered settlements", which terms will be further used throughout this report, defined as follows:
- concentrated settlements are villages which show a partly or mainly concentrated pattern and had a probable population of 400 or more people at the time of the survey in 1972;
- scattered settlements are all other villages;

All "concentrated settlements" in the Region have been interviewed and indicated on the maps. The coverage of "scattered settlements" is about 25\%. Appendix 9 gives the number of concentrated settlements per division broken down in two sizes: 400-800 and more than $800 . T a b l e$ IV.l gives a summary of these data with further differentation of sizes.

The sixth column of this table lists the towns of which the population of Kahama and Nyalikungu (Maswa) was not actually determined, but estimated from the 1967 figure (See appendix ll) by applying a yearly growth rate of approximately 5\%. Two towns
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in the Bariadi and Maswa Districts, Bariadi and Malampaka, were covered by the survey.

Table IV. 1 Summary of the number, size and population of concentrated settlements in 1972

| District | concentrated settlements in rural areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | towns |  | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 400-1,000 |  | 1,000-2,000 |  | 2,000-4,000 |  | 4,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | number | popul. | number | popul. | number | popul. | number | popul. | number | popul. | popula- <br> tion |
| Kahama | 27 | 16.500 | 2 | 2,200 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4.100 | 22,800 |
| Bariadi | 16 | 11,700 | 15 | 20,800 | 4 | 14,300 | 2 | 11,200 | 1 | 1,300 | 59,300 |
| Maswa | 24 | 15,500 | 13 | 17.400* | 4 | 10,600 | - | - | 1 | 6,000 | 49,500 |
| Shinyanga | 71 | 45.900 | 17 | 21,400 | 4 | 11,700 | - | - | 2 | 19,500 | 98,500 |
| Region | 138 | 89,600 | 47 | 61,800 | 12 | 36,600 | 2 | 11,200 | 5 | 30,900 | 230,100 |

* inclusive Malampaka township

The results of the Nedeco census of June 1972 were used for the demograpic data of Shinyanga town (See appendix l0).
Finally Mwadui was estimated on the basis of the 1967 figure $(7,383)$ at some 8,000 in 1972 and it has been assumed that this number will remain constant during the master-plan period. The total number of concentrated settlements with more than 400 people is 204, the category 400-1,000 and 1,000-2,000 being particularly meaningful; $95 \%$ of the concentrated settlements belongs to the category of 400-2,000 inhabitants. The table shows that $3 \%$ of the population lives in towns, whereas only $20 \%$ of the total population is located in concentrated settlements.

The data on concentrated settlements gathered by the Nedeco team in 1972 have been used to determine the population distribution for 1967, according to type and size of settlement, by the following procedure:

- the total population of an area is known from the population Census of 1967;
- the population of district capitals (Bariadi included) and Mwadui is also based on the data of the 1967 Census;
- the differences between the above figures for each area constitute the rural population in 1967, divided into population of concentrated settlements in rural areas and scattered population;
- the population of concentrated settlements in rural areas in 1967 is assumed to be in proportion to the population of those settlements in 1972 by a factor 1 : (1.03) . For further use of these figures the town of Malampaka is included in this group. The growth rate of $3 \%$ per annum over the period 1967-1972 has been applied to all
villages, as this figure equals the assumed overall growth of the Region's population, and is considered in line with the growth rate for settlements of $400-2,000$ people (see section VII.3.);
- the balance of the rural population in 1967 is the scattered population (which includes partly or mainly concentrated villages with less than 400 people in 1972, according to the definition given before).
The resulting 1967 population distribution is given per division in appendix 11 and summarized in table IV.2.

Table IV. 2 Summary of the 1967 population distribution according to type of settlement

| District | Total <br> population <br> (source: | population <br> district capitals <br> Census Mwadui <br> (source: | total <br> rural <br> population <br> (rounded) | population <br> concentrated <br> settlements <br> rural areas <br> (rounded) | scattered <br> population <br> (rounded) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kahama | 147,628 | 3,211 |  | 144,400 | 16,200 |
| Bariadi | 206,304 | 1,095 | 205,200 | 50,100 | 128,200 |
| Maswa |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shinyanga | 224,612 | 420,924 | 12,518 | 215,100 |  |
| Region | 899,468 | 21,550 | 308,400 | 67,500 | 182,400 |

note: in actual fact Bariadi District was only formed in 1973, having previously belonged to Maswa District
The Population Census of 1967 allows a further analysis according to the type of economic activity. A summary of the data for Shinyanga Region is given in table IV.3.

Table IV. 3 Summary of results of 1967 Population Census on employment of population in Shinyanga Region

| economic group | sector | number of people | people engaged in per cent of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | sector <br> total | total <br> popula- <br> tion <br> (stated) |
| I employed ${ }^{+}$ | total stated | 473,634 | - | 53.5 |
|  | professional, administrative, executive, managerial and clerical workers | 4,323 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
|  | ```agriculture, livestock``` etc. | 452,885 | 95.6 | 51.2 |
|  | mining and industries | 6,927 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
|  | shopkeepers/salesmen | 3,047 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
|  | others | 6,452 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| II unemployed | total stated | 411,058 | - | 46.5 |
|  | children 0-9 years | 321,222 | 78.1 | 36.3 |
|  | adults over 60 years | 24,476 | 6.0 | 2.8 |
|  | others | 65,360 | 15.9 | 7.4 |
| total stated (I+II) |  | 884,692 |  |  |
|  |  | 14,776 |  |  |
| total population |  | 899,468 |  |  |

[^1]It appears that $95.6 \%$ of the economically active population was engaged in agriculture and livestock-keeping in 1967.
In view of the composition of the non-active segment of the population, it has been assumed that a simular proportion of this group depends for their living on people engaged in the agricultural and animal husbandry sector. The Region's population in 1967 can consequently be divided into two groups: - "farmers", all people engaged in agriculture and livestock keeping, and their dependents 859,891

- "non-farmers", all others


## total population

899,468
The development of the agricultural sector foreseen during the master-plan period (see Technical Annex E) would involve migration of the farming population from areas where a shortage of land would occur. In order to assess the implications of such a policy for the water master-plan, some assumptions have been made to determine the 1967 distribution of farmers and non-farmers according to type of settlement:

- the population of the district capitals, including Bariadi which actually became so in 1973, and of the Mwadui mines consists entirely of non-farmers;
- the population of scattered settlements, a group which according to the definition in the previous sub-section includes partly or mainly concentrated villages with a population of less than 400 people in 1972 , is formed entirely by farmers;
- farmers and non-farmers constitute together the population of concentrated settlements. The ratio which can be determined for the Region as a whole is assumed to apply to the separate divisions as well.

On the basis of the above assumptions, the 1967 rural population has been broken down per division in appendix 11. A summary of the rounded results is given in table IV. 4.

Table IV. 4 Summary of 1967 distribution of rural population according to economic activity and type of settlement
$\begin{array}{|llllll|}\hline \text { District } & \begin{array}{l}\text { total rural } \\ \text { population }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { population of } \\ \text { concentrated settlements } \\ \text { in rural areas }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { population } \\ \text { of scattered } \\ \text { settlements } \\ \text { (farmers) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { total } \\ \text { farmers }\end{array} \\$\cline { 2 - 7 } \& total non-farmers \& farmers\end{array}$]$

Whereas the above-mentioned demographic data is based on the situation in 1967 and 1972, it will be important for the future water-supply to dispose of a detailed prognosis for the Region. The evolution of the population distribution according to settlement pattern and economic activity will be discussed in the chapter VII.
IV.4. Social structure of the Region
IV.4.1. Administrative and political organization

In this country of 945,000 square kilometres with poor communications, scarcity of trained manpower and geographic and cultural diversity, but bent on a rapid increase in rural standards of living, the core of development is the Regional Administration which is closely linked with the only political party, the Tanganyika National African Union (TANU). In 1972 a new Regional Administration in which greater powers were vested than in the previous administrative set-up, was established as the consequence of a national policy to decentralize its decision-making machinery.
The Regional Commissioner ( RC ) continues to combine the highest political function with a secretaryship of the Regional Branch of TANU. Enjoying the status of a cabinet-minister, he is responsible to the President, has an ex-officio seat in Parliament and a vote in the National Executive Committee of TANU in Dar es Salaam.
A Regional Development Director (RDD) is nominated as head of the Administration. He is assisted by three Staff Officers, (a Regional Planning Officer, a Regional Finance Officer and a Regional Personnel Officer).
All heads of government departments are now responsible to the RDD whereas previously they addressed themselves to Dar es Salaam.

At the regional level there is also the Regional Development Committee, chaired by the RC with the RDD as secretary, a legislative council dealing with matters of development nature and composed of Area Commissioners, Heads of Departments, members of Parliament and senior TANU personnel. This committee decides on the projects to be accepted for implementation and the budgets to be allocated. On the party side there is the regional TANU Branch.

A similar structure exists at district level.
The Area Commissioner (AC), the highest political official, is District TANU Secretary. A District Development Director (DDD) is in charge of administrative affairs, assisted by the District Planning, the District Personnel and the District Finance Officers.

Moreover the AC is chairman of the District Development Committee, which is composed of Divisional Secretaries, Heads of Departments, TANU Branch Chairmen, and Ward Representatives (Diwanis), and of which the DDD is secretary.

Three levels operate under the District. On the Divisional level a Divisional Secretary combines the highest administrative position and the Divisional TANU Branch Secretaryship in an area that coincides with one or more former chiefdoms. On the party side there is the Divisional TANU Branch.

The Ward has replaced the former Sub-Division or part of it, and is administratively headed by the Ward Executive Officer who again is secretary of the Ward Development Committee, which is chaired by the Ward Branch Chairman and composed of the villages' hundred-cell-leaders. Additionally, in each Ward there is the post of Diwani who is delegated to the District Development Committee as ward representative. The lowest level is composed of the villages headed by one or more TANU hundred-cell-leaders, each representing ten-cellleaders, who in turn represent ten households. Hundred-cell and ten-cell leaders form a Village Development Committee, where village matters are discussed and requests for assistance are formulated, passed on to the previously described committees for comment, and finally approved or turned down by the Regional Development Committee. The structure is summarized in the following scheme:

- Regional development committee
- District development committee
- Ward development committee
- Village development committee
(R.C., R.D.D., A.C.'s)

Head of Departmens, M.P.'s TANU officials)
(A.C., D.D.D., Divisional

Secretaries, Heads of Departments, TANU Branch Chairman, Diwanis)
(Ward Executive Officer, TANU Branch Chairman, Hundred-cell Leaders, Diwani)
(Hundred-cell Leaders, Ten-cell Leaders)
IV.4.2. Ethnic differentiation

The Region is inhabited by members of at least 70 tribes but with a heavy emphasis on the culturally closely related Sukuma and Nyamwezi and the Sumbwa in the western part of the area. The Sukuma account for $90 \%$ of the total Maswa and Bariadi population as well as for $80 \%$ of the inhabitants of Shinyanga


#### Abstract

District. At least one half of the Kahama population is of Nyamwezi and one fourth of Sumbwa origin. Material development is most advanced among the Sukuma and least among the Sumbwa. People of Iramba extraction are settled in the areas bordering Lake Kitangiri, and the largely pastoral Taturu of Nilo Hamitic stock share the south eastern part of Maswa near Lake Eyasi with Sukuma, Iramba and some Luo fishermen. A number of Asians are to be found in the towns. Arabs and Somali have settled in rural trading centres as shopkeepers and general merchants.


IV.4.3. Settlement patterns

Most of the rural population live in dispersed homesteads, the remainder having gathered in towns, trading centres and concentrated settlements of which the largest are found in southeastern Shinyanga District. Each of the homesteads is as a rule separated from its neighbours by a few hundred metres to a kilometre of land enabling the farmer, who has little to fear from outside menace, to live in his home close to his fields. These homesteads (of Kayas) consist of up to half a dozen huts, grouped together and often surrounded by a sisal or thornbush fence within which livestock is herded for the night. This arrangement reflects the traditional polygamous family structure in which a man lives with his various wives, each of whom inhabits a separate hut with her children, and in which other close relatives may be occasionally included. The material from which these huts are made depends on what is available.
The majority of them are rectangular and made of mudbrick, covered by a grassroof laid out on a frame of wooden poles. Arab influence is supposedly associated with the predominance of flat roofed (tembe type) houses in certain parts of the Region. Roofs are covered by corrugated iron sheets, whenever the owner can afford them. In the forested areas of Kahama, Bariadi and Maswa, wooden huts are general; they are made out of branches filled up with tussocks of grass and often covered with turf.

Up to a hundred of these homesteads loosely grouped together form a Kibanda, (pl. Vibanda), a social unit characterized by the observance of traditional relationships. These Vibanda, where family ties are frequently strong and where certain tasks pertaining to agriculture and house building are carried out on the basis of mutual help, have served as models for the Ujamaa villages favoured and encouraged by the government.
The prevailing settlement pattern in the Region is therefore a widely scattered one; houses dot the countryside like the Baobab trees.


#### Abstract

Villages in the sense of concentrated settlements are still exceptional. This survey has found that only a small number of the administrative units that carry the name village (or Gunguli) and consist of several Vibanda have a mainly concentrated pattern. The remainder were either partly concentrated with some of the population living in one or two nuclei, often around a number of shops, and the rest dispersed over a larger area (some 25\%), or showed a totally scattered pattern of settlement (65\%).


By far the majority of Ujamaa villages, or rather Ujamaa sites, coincide with or are part of longer established villages or of Vibanda and consequently do not vary in lay-out from the ones described above.
The more recently built Ujamaa sites have followed a concentrated pattern. Their population is small, often less than 100 people.

No accurate information was obtainable concerning surface areas of villages. It has been observed, however, that these vary considerably. A village survey conducted in 1948 by N.V. Rounce (ref. 22) and including a number of villages that lie within the present Shinyanga Region mentions surface areas from 0.4 to 41.9 square miles. It is obvious that the provision of facilities such as adequate water supplies to these largely scattered populations will involve a proportionally large investment per head, bearing in mind that people generally fetch water from the nearest source, either traditional or modern.

## IV. 5 Infrastructure and facilities

For communication purposes within the Region neither the Mwanza-Tabora railway, the small airstrips near Shinyanga, Kahama and Nyalikungu, nor the private airfield of the Mwadui mines are of any importance. Inter-regional transport and traffic take place predominantly by road. More or less regularly scheduled bus services are available in most parts of Shinyanga Region, but their operation in the wet season is hampered by road conditions.
The only main roads, a definition which is understood to apply to roads which are passable throughout the year, have a minimum width of some 5 metres and a surfacing of (layers of) granular material, are those connecting Shinyanga town with the other District capitals and with the adjacent Tabora and Mwanza Regions. As maintenance is apparently restricted to infrequent grading, and refilling and redistributing the granular material is only done incidentally, the surface has deteriorated rapidly. Bituminized roads are not found outsite Shinyanga town and the compound of the Mwadui mines.
A further distinction can be made between all-weather roads, dry weather roads and tracks. The last term is used when the alignment is apparently not the result of a technical study,
design or construction job but has rather developed by motor vehicles using existing paths, cattle tracks etc. The difference between all-weather and dry-weather roads is not so much the general appearance of either as the fact that dry weather roads contain sections where the road simply passes through flat undrained areas with a heavy clay (mbuga) soil which remain water-logged for some days after a fairly heavy shower. Construction of both types of roads consists of clearing, grading and the excavation of drains alongside. It seems that (sub) soil improvement, stabilization and surfacing usually receive insufficient attention. Local terrain conditions, both the topography and the type of soil, determine the final accessability of the road in most cases.
The classification of roads as indicated on the various maps was made during approximately 20 months of intensive field surveys a period which included two wet seasons. The infrastructure is most developed in socio-economic area 1 (see sub-section IV.2.1). On a district basis this holds for Shinyanga District.

The sociological survey executed at Ward-level (see app. 1) has yielded information on the presence of some basic facilities such as hospitals, health centres, dispensaries (with or without clinics), governmental or private primary schools, secondary schools, trading centres, private and public water supplies, and further on the number of Ujamaa settlements, the total number of all administrative village of the ward, and the existence of mission posts. This data is presented on the Ward Infrastructural Maps (3.14.04-06-04 to 06).
An approximation of the ward population according to the 1967 Census (ref. 21) has been made to enable evaluation of the actual state of development of a certain area by relating the available facilities to the population served. Lack of any accurate information on the geographical Ward boundaries necessitated the following approach, which has probably introduced a fair margin of error.
Former sub-divisional boundaries indicated on the enumeration area maps of the 1967 Population Census (ref. 2l) were adopted as starting data for the Ward boundaries. The locations which had been determined in the course of the survey for all villages interviewed were used to check the assumed boundaries, as it was known to which Ward each village belonged administratively. Where alterations appeared necessary new boundaries were chosen to coincide with the border lines of the most obvious enumeration areas.
Furthermore the 1967 Ward population figures were compiled from the Census data per enumeration area. The resulting figures, presented on the maps 3.14.04-06-04 to 06, were rounded to the nearest 1,000 for an estimated total population of less than 6,000 people, and to the nearest multiple of 2,000 for higher numbers. The Ward boundaries themselves have only been given in a stylized version which suits the administrative arrangements but does not pretend to geographical reality.

In only one instance do the Ward boundaries established according to the above procedure appear to be in conflict with another and better defined boundary. Along its eastern part the boundary between the Itilima and Ntuzu divisions of Bariadi District locally does not agree with the administrative boundaries of the Wards Nkoma, Mwamapalala and Bunamhala (see map 3.14.04-06-06).

For a review of the agricultural services available throughout the Region reference is made to Technical Annex E, Agriculture. Maps 3.14.04-07-03 to 05 show the locations of primary societies, cotton ginneries, cattle dips, veterinary centres, etc. found to be present in 1972-73.
IV.6. $\quad$ planning and socio-economic change

The recent history of the Shinyanga Region is one marked by the occurrence of rapid change, particularily in the material spheres of life.
It is a matter of speculation which institutions have lagged behind the developments that have been stimulated in the economic and administrative sectors. But it is certain that some of the changes induced by the administrations in the recent history of Sukumaland have had different impacts on various aspects of the Sukuma society, explaining some of the inconsistencies that have hampered the implementation of policies.

Living in widely scattered human colonies that had little communication with each other, the Sukuma had a subsistence economy based on extensive agriculture and livestock keeping. Land was relatively abundant and once exhausted was merely left bare while the owner either cleared some more virgin land in his vicinity or moved away.

Seen from a Tanganyikan perspective, the Sukuma with their mixed economy, their land availability and diverse soils were better off than the purely pastoral Masai to the east, or the sedentary Gogo from the Central Plateau of the country, but less endowed with natural resources than the agricultural Haya around Bukoba or the Chagga near the Kilimanjaro.
Cotton was introduced into this economy by the Germans and greatly encouraged by the British between the two wars. With the Arabs setting up in trading centres, the arrival of the Asian civil servants and merchants and the discovery of diamonds, the transformation into a cash economy has reached a stage where money is at present used in almost every household.

Development received its greatest impetus in the years following the second world war, when Tanganyika became a United Nations Trust Territory with prospects of independence. Sukumaland, a relatively neglected part of the country, was
selected as a prime experimental area for the implementation of the Government's new programme.

In order to enlist the support of the people for policies related to the rehabilitation and development of Sukumaland, the pan-tribal Sukumaland Federal Council came into being with a coherent hierarchy of local representative councils from village to federation. Numerous regulations were passed requiring farmers to plant a certain minimum of cotton and in general to rationalize their agricultural and livestock breeding methods.
The Sukumaland Development Scheme launched in the fifties to increase the productivity of the land by a redistribution of people and livestock was noteworthy. But as a result of the unpopularity among the farmers, whose own motivations had never been seriously considered, of the measures taken the Federal Council disappeared and the Development Scheme was abandoned in the late fifties.
Meanwhile on purely African initiative a co-operative movement arose out of widely felt discontent with the existing cotton marketing system. The result was that in 1955 in the former provinces around Lake Victoria the Victoria Federation of Cooperative Unions was the largest African owned and operated cooperative organization on the continent, and virtually all producers sold their cotton through it, see ref. 23.
Independence set a new train of events into motion. A rapid Africanization of posts took place, traditional authorities were disposed of and a new administration again placed a heavy emphasis on development through the primary sector, this time with less use of compulsion.
The results of this effort are a mixed nature and were negative due to factors both within and outside the responsibilities of the planning and policy agencies.
In the spirit of the Arusha Declaration and Ujamaa policy a programme was launched with the aim of transforming the Tanzanian society into a socialist state with the aid of existing traditional elements.
In 1972 approximately 150 villages or parts of villages were registered in the Region as Ujamaa units in various stages of advancement.

It is unfortunately too early to pass valid judgement on the efficacy of this programme as its implications are of an overwhelmingly complicated nature, involving drastic changes in the cultural values of a population whose traditional forms of cooperation were restricted to the family and were even then limited in scope.
Therefore planning and its subsequent implementation, though relatively recent, are not new phenomena in the Region.
The fact that some of the goals were never fully reached, as in the case of the agricultural measures taken, is due to their attainment not being seen as a sufficient improvement to the existing situation, or often being proved outright not to be so from the individual point of view.

As an example, when farmers were obliged to sell off part of their cattle they were left with no security in times of crop failure, with the result that these measures created strong resentment, were rejected and finally abandoned as a policy of the Region.

Looking over the development of the last 50 years in retrospect, one can draw the conclusion that the economic and administrative sectors have undergone the most visible changes, since these were acceptable to the larger strata of the Sukuma society. Alterations in social aspects of Sukuma life (that are not of a direct conditional nature to the material or political spheres) like education, nutritional habits, family organization and settlement pattern have been less remarkable. One is inclined to believe, on the basis of the experiences described above, that an important precondition for the adoption of mutations in these value systems is their existing or prearranged desirability in the culture of the inhabitants of the Shinyanga Region.

## Chapter $V$ WATER PROVISION IN THE REGION

The Region offers a large variety of water sources, ranging from the highly sophisticated warm and cold water tap in some of the urban houses to the turbid dry season pool where both man and livestock drink for lack of alternative. For reasons of expediency these sources have been divided into two categories, traditional and modern, reflecting the distinction between waterpoints of a type dating from before the arrival of Arab and European influences and those of a kind requiring more advanced techniques of construction. A detailed description of the existing water supply situation is given in Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering".

## V.1. Traditional sources

The large majority of inhabitants use water from rivers, streams, ponds, natural or hand-dug waterholes, unlined wells, natural springs and paddy fields.
Since settlement among the Sukuma is traditionally strongly determined by the locality of farmland, in the sense that farmers as a rule place a high value on living on or very close to their plots, the distance of habitations to water sources is highly variable. As a consequence water for drinking, cooking and dishwashing is usually carried home or hauled on bicycle or donkey, while there is a tendency to bathe and wash clothes at the waterpoint itself.
During the wet season, the only period that the numerous streams have a run-off, water is collected directly from the rivers or from pools that have formed in depressions immediately after rainshowers. Whether consumers will turn to whatever type of source is made available depends mainly on distance and only in the second place on the water quality.
During the dry season when there is no flowing water, inhabitants rely increasingly on ponds, natural springs and waterholes, often in the then dry riverbeds. Manmade wells seldom reach a depth of more than a few metres and are usually unlined. Waterholes are preferably dug into riverbeds where the watertable is relatively easy to reach.
The general appearance that these waterpoints offer, in particular towards the end of the dry season, is one that invites concern. In the case of ponds or larger waterholes one is more often than not confronted with shallow mud pools, heavily polluted by both man, livestock and insects, while the hygienic of smaller waterholes depend to some extent on the adequacy of provisions to limit access to humans.

## V.2. Modern sources

Involvement with the conditions of rural water supplies led to the introduction of several types of modern provisions. Some of these, like the shallow wells, are probably just as much the result of Arab as of European influence; rainstorage facilities (hand)pumps, charcos, artificial lakes with dams, windmill powered piped supplies and boreholes were introduced by the missions, the Williamson diamond mine and the colonial government, which took a greater interest in the Region during the years following the second world war. The dams at Malya and Nyalikungu are a few of many examples of this interest, maintained by the Tanzanian Authorities after Independence. During the time of the survey construction of yet another dam across the Ningwa river near OldShinyanga was started.
At present only a small number of families, benefit by these modern supplies. In Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering", it is estimated that only 8 per cent of the total population of the Region has access to "safe and adequate" sources, a description applying almost exclusively to modern sources.
Most rural water supply schemes consist solely of a source, or have a very simple distribution system where water is drawn from public taps and carried home in a variety of containers. In fact, the consumption pattern at both traditional and modern sources is the same. It has often been observed, and research in other parts of Tanzania confirms this finding, that distance is the important factor in the decision from which source water should be obtained. To this it may be added that habit plays a major role. When traditional supplies are preferred to open reservoirs formed by dams, or lakes, quality plays an important role.
Dams are ideally suited for cattle waterpoints with the result that the water they contain is often of such poor quality that people would prefer to use an alternate source.
V.3. Water consumption
V.3.1. Introduction

The rural supplies which serve the average villager range from natural sources to piped supply schemes with distribution by private taps. In fact, according to the definition used in Tanzania rural supply applies to any scheme in which no consumers are charged for the use of water, in the sense that the scheme does not incorporate private house connections equipped with integrating meters. This implies that even the supplies which serve large concentrated villages and some of the largest integrated schemes such
as the Usia dam scheme (serving the villages of Tinde and Didia and several smaller ones in the same area) belong to the group of rural supplies in distinction to urban supplies.

Two surveys were made to study the water consumption in rural supplies.
The first study carried out in August-September 1972 was aimed at determining the domestic use of the rural population (see sub-section V.3.2.).
A second survey conducted in the beginning of 1973 was directed investigating the overall consumption in schemes which do not only provide water to the rural household groups, but also to schools, health centres, etc.
The results of this second survey are reported in sub-section V.3.3.

Data on urban supplies are evaluated in sub-section V.3.4. Finally design criteria for human water consumption are proposed in sub-section V.3.5.
V.3.2. Domestic water consumption of the rural household group

Fourteen villages were selected representing the spectrum of water supplies from traditional sources to schemes with pipes distribution facilities. The water consumption at public taps (also referred to as domestic points) was studied by

- interviewing households on the number of times they draw water per day, the amounts used expressed in containers like buckets or debes, the composition of the household group, and factors influencing the water use;
- observations at the source to confirm the above data, study the fluctuation of the water demand over the day, and obtain information on reasons underlying the choice of the source.

Investigation of the selected villages was rather intensive: 20 to 25 per cent of the households were interviewed.

The averages for the per capita consumption, which have been calculated over large totals, can be expected to reflect the norm, provided that two caveats are taken into consideration.
Firstly - except for standard containers such as big buckets and debes, which represented the great majority of containers used - the interviewers had to estimate the contents of the pots, calabashes and small buckets in which water was drawn. As those types of containers were small in number and the estimation of the interviewers, when checked, appeared to be rather accurate the error incurred in this way is considered acceptable. Secondly, the interviewers were instructed to give extra
attention to shopkeepers and in general to other occupations than farmers. Consequently in some villages this category is somewhat overrepresented, leading to slightly higher per head consumption in those sites. This error however is eliminated when only the farmers in the sample are taken into consideration.

All questionnaires taken together, representing 657 households, consisting of 4069 household members yielded an average consumption per head of 12.7 litres per day. Broken down over villages the average values range from 8 to 17 litres (see table V.l)

Table V. 1 Average per head consumption for 14 villages (August 1972)

| Villages | Total of liters <br> drawn | Total number <br> of persons of <br> interviewed <br> households | Average per <br> head consump- <br> tion in litres <br> day |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mbulu |  |  |  |
| Shagihilu | 4606 | 264 | 17 |
| Iboja | 3396 | 211 | 16 |
| Nyang'hwale | 6399 | 400 | 16 |
| Bulyanyemu | 3829 | 286 | 15 |
| Nhumbi | 4486 | 270 | 14 |
| Mwamadulu | 7295 | 359 | 12 |
| Chembeli | 3885 | 589 | 12 |
| Mipa | 2594 | 347 | 11 |
| Mwamagembe | 3205 | 235 | 11 |
| Bugoro | 2064 | 292 | 11 |
| Igologoto | 1385 | 202 | 10 |
| Mwamkanga | 2189 | 141 | 10 |
| Uzogore | 1963 | 240 | 9 |

To sum up, the average per head use for the whole sample can safely be put between 12 and 13 litres per day.

In the analysis of the factors which may influence the water consumption different categories were contrasted. One of the most striking differences was found between farmers and non-farmers, the first category averaging 12.4 litres per day, the second 18.4 or about $50 \%$ more. Household size proved to be another important variable. It may be assumed that in large household groups a lower per capita consumption will be found, an assumption that was confirmed in the research. Farmers with medium to large
households (five people or over) had an average daily consumption of 11.6 litres, while farmers with families of four people or less consumed an average of 16.5 litres. This difference is not found among the category of nonfarmers, but in this case the sample is too small to draw reliable conclusions.
Also the distance between source and homestead showed a significant effect on use of water. To prevent distortion of the results by the occupation variable (it might be assumed that more shopkeepers live near the source) only the largest group of the sample, being farmers with big families, was used in the analysis. In this group farmers living at five minutes' walking distance from the water source or less had a per capita average of 14.1 litres. Those living more than five minutes away use 11.6 litres a day.
Concerning the level of education there is some influence in consumption. People with standard $V$ or over averaged 14.7 litres against the others 12.5 However this difference is not very significant.
A comparison of villages on infrastructural importance in relation to water use did not result in significant differences. Contrasting high and low scores of the preliminary water development priority ranking (see chapter VI), based on a combination of factors concerning water need and infrastructural importance, did not produce clear differences.
Villages possessing a public tap showed a slightly higher (10\%) average water consumption than villages without.
As will be shown in section $V .4$ quality perception is somewhat defective, to say the least, and actual water quality consequently does not influence the consumption. Available storage facilities do not seem to influence the
water consumption either. Almost every villager stores water in big brown earthware pots which are locally made and inexpensive so that their number can easily be adjusted to the need.

Water is drawn throughout the day. To assess the daily fluctuation of the demand people were asked to list the time(s) at which they go and fetch water. The results obtained from the questionnaires are shown in the graph of fig.V.l and were confirmed by observations at the source. It shows that a large proportion of the consumers draw water between 7 and 9 a.m., whereas another peak period, though smaller, occurs around 5-6 p.m. The period from 1016 hours is particularly slack.
Long waiting periods were observed at many sources. Fetching water is ordinarily the task of women and girls and the majority of the water drawers were women. Still, men came to fetch water fairly often ( $30 \%$ ), especially if the distance from the source necessitated the use of a vehicle. Children were occasionally sent to draw water,
mostly with small buckets or gourds. Adults almost without exception used big buckets or debes. If a vehicle was used to fetch water, this was mostly a bicycle, on the rearcarrier of which two debes could be strapped. Only in Mwamadulu, where the distance was very great, tractors or oxen carts and even cars were used, as well as one group of donkeys. Shopkeepers fairly regularly employ people to draw water for them or buy it at Shs 0.25 a debe. In difficult situations, however, not only does the price go up to Shs 1.00 (Mwamadulu) or over (it is said that prices in Maganzo reach Shs 1.50 to Shs 2.00), but even farmers use their scarce cash to buy water.


Fig. V. 1 Fluctuation of water demand over the day

The majority of the consumers at water sources which were observed by the sociological team, came from a distance of approximately 500 to 800 metres. There were also some extreme cases however, as for example Mwamadulu, where people walk 13 kilometres to the water source. White, Bradley and white calculated that under those circumstances people spent $75 \%$ of the daily caloric intake solely by drawing water (ref. 23).

The question whether water drawing uses up valuable time that might have been spent in other pursuits is not easy to answer.
During the interviews the question was asked whether any people normally drawing water did not do so during the period of harvesting and land preperation. An affirmative answer was received from only 17 per cent of the farmers, whereas in addition one third of this proportion lives at a distance of less than 5 minutes walking from the source.
Obviously water drawing does not interfere with agricultural activities at present.
From a study of nine Tanzanian villages Warner reports that agricultural work occupies the largest share of the time of a majority of women (ref. 19). Water carrying was ranked second. When asked what they would do if they had more time available more than 50 per cent showed a preference to spend more time on domestic duties. The rest indicated increased agricultural work. The above report concludes that an improved water supply would not free much additional labour for crop production activities in most of Sukumaland. It is clear that improved water supplies would result in labour savings especially in the dry season, but there is no evidence that the labour freed from water carrying would be put directly to productive use. However it can be stated that any time saved has social and economic benefits at the present stage of development, since at least the energy saved will make it easier to maintain the often precarious balance between health and disease.

The most prominent reason for using a source proved to be the distance. From a population of 370 respondents 18 per cent had no choice due to the fact that only one source was available in the area. Fifty per cent of the respondents in the remaining group said that distance was the main factor for their choice among available supplies. The next consideration proved to be the quality of the water (32\%). Avoidance of crowding around the source was not a main concern and the same could be said of the technical convenience of the source.
These results prove that the consumers' choice is not completely bound to the traditional group valuation. There is a sensitivity for the choices that are offered and there is also some judging according to a simple set of individual perceptions.

In the survey female respondents were asked what uses they thought accounted for the largest amount of water consumed in their household during the wet and dry season.
In both periods drinking and cooking were said to be the most important items, followed by washing of utensils and
finally washing of clothes. Bathing of adults appeared unimportant. Bathing and the washing of clothes are often done at the water source itself.

Improving the water situation in the villages, is an almost universal wish. Even in the villages where the supply is rather modern (taps) and looks amply sufficient for the consumers - Shagihilu and Bulyanyemu - fifty per cent or over of all respondents stated that the village water supply should be improved, as against 90 per cent in less well-endowed villages. When asked if a simple ringwell without a pump would do to that end, the response was quite negatieve. Less than 25 per cent considered this a good solution.
V.3.3. Consumption in integrated rural water supplies

A total of 10 water meters were installed in the Usia-dam scheme near Tinde and Didia in Itwanji division (Shinyanga District) in January 1973 with the kind co-operation of the Regional Water Engineer. A schematical lay-out of the project and the locations of the meters is given in fig. V.2. Average daily water consumption as registered at the measuring points has been calculated over monthly periods (see app. 14). From these figures, the average daily consumption of service areas over February to May has been estimated and summarized in table V.2.

Surveys were made at the distribution points themselves and in some service areas to determine the number of domestic consumers and further to gather additional information on water use habits already studied in the first survey (see sub-section V.3.2.). A total of 317 people representing 1658 household members were interviewed at all domestic points. In the larger settlements door-to-door censuses were held to determine the actual number of domestic consumers. It is concluded from the results of the census-figures that the people interviewed account for 60-65 ( $=63$ ) per cent of the total number of people who rely on the Usia-scheme for domestic water consumption. Table V. 3 gives the results and estimated domestic consumers per service area. No information has been gathered on consumption aspects within the Bugisi mission.

In view of the consumers not covered by the figures of table V. 3 the total population served by the Usia dam scheme is estimated at some 3,500 to 4,000 people with a daily consumption of some 75 m 3 .
A comparative analysis of the consumption data of table V. 2 with the estimated number of consumers (table V.3) should take

Table V. 2 Average daily consumption of service areas of Usia-dam water supply

| Main area |  |  | Consumption of service areas |  | Distribution facilities | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| description | registered consumption (1) $\mathrm{m}^{3 / d a y}$ | service areas covered | registered <br> (2) $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ day | calculated from (1)-(2) $\mathrm{m}^{3 / d a y}$ |  |  |
| Branche-line from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usia-dam to Tinde | 32.3* | Tinde | 25.5 |  | 6 domestic points (one out of order) and 13 private connections | service area includes primary school (enrollment 280 children), health centre, trading centre, hotel, bar |
|  |  | cattle trough near Kisumani | 2.1* |  | cattle trough |  |
|  |  | Kituli upper primary school | 1.5 |  | elavated storage tank, some public taps | enrollment estimated at 150 . 200, houses for staff included in service area |
|  |  | Ngangamala, Kisumani, Nyandekwa. Isegalaja and Usia vilages | ) | 3.2 | 5 domestic points | domestic consumption only |
| Branche-line from Usia-dam to Itwangi pumping station and Didia village | no pertinent data available | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Kadati village and domestic } \\ \text { points along the main near } \\ \text { line of Imenya, pumping } \\ \text { station, primary court } \\ \text { (Itwangi), and Itwangi } \\ \text { junction } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 5 domestic points | domestic consumption only |
|  |  | Imenya and Igomelo villages | 4.3 |  | 1 domestic points | domestic consumption only |
|  |  | Uwela | 1.5 |  | 1 domestic point | domestic consumption only |
|  |  | Didia and Lohumbo | 24.5 |  | 9 domestic points | service area includes primary school (enrollment estimated at 200 children), trading centre, hotel, bar |
|  |  | Bugisi | 5.7 |  | not surveyed | mission compound |

- figures based on observations over two months only.
into account that water is not drawn exclusively for domestic use of the rural household group in the larger settlements of Tinde and Didia.
For Tinde, the following estimates have been made regarding the additional consumption of:

| - | the health centre: | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { m3/day } \\ & \text { (private connection) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | the primary school: | $1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{day}$ |
| - | 12 private connections (including hotel and |  |
|  | bar) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l m3/day each } \\ & \text { (see also sub-section } \end{aligned}$ V.3.4.) |

Table V. 3 Estimated numbers of domestic consumers of water for Usia-dam water supply

| Service area or village | domestic consumers as determined from door-to-door census(2) |  | results of interviews at tap points |  |  | estimated total number of dọmestic consumers $\left[=(2) \text { or } \frac{100}{63}:(4)\right]$ <br> (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | number of interviews <br> (3) | domestic consumers covered by interv. <br> (4) | (4) as proportion of (2) <br> (5) |  |
| Tinde |  | 401 | 59 | 271 | 67\% | 401 |
| Ngangamala |  |  | 10 | 52 |  |  |
| Kisumani |  |  | 8 | 39 |  |  |
| Nyandekwa |  | 146 | 12 | 76 | 52\% | 保 $46+324=470$ |
| Isegalaja |  |  | 11 | 51 |  |  |
| Usia |  |  | 12 | 63 |  |  |
| Kadati |  |  | 9 | 46 |  | 75 |
| Imenya |  | 112 | 12 | 66 | 59\% | 185 |
| Igomelo |  |  | 11 | 50 |  |  |
| Uwela |  |  | 10 | 46 |  | 75 |
| Primary court (Itwangi) |  |  | 12 | 43 |  |  |
| Itwangi junction |  | 119 | 17 | 75 | 63\% |  |
| Didia and Lohumbo |  | 881 | 134 | 552 | 63\% | 881 |
| Total (rounded) |  |  |  |  |  | 2,280 |

For Didia, where no actual private connections exist, the school is assumed to draw $1.5 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, whereas the consumption of the hotel and bar is taken at $1 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{day}$ each, as in the case of Tinde.
It has further been assumed that the trading centre function of both Tinde and Didia leads to additional water use in proportion to the domestic consumption. Although the survey has not


Fig. V. 2 Location of the water meters in the Usia dam scheme

Yielded sufficient information to come forward with a conclusive figure, the assumption of a 20 per cent increase of the domestic consumption in villages which have a trading centre function seems justified, as is shown below.
Taking into account the various estimates and assumptions rendered above, the domestic consumption at public taps in Tinde and Didia can be calculated and compared with figures for villages served by the scheme where water is used for domestic consumption only. The results are listed in table V.4. Generally they seem to indicate a slightly higher consumption than the results presented in sub-section V.3.2., and to confirm the plausibility of the assumptions made regarding the sources and quantities of additional water use in Tinde and Didia.

Table V. 4 Estimate of per capita domestic consumption at public taps in Usia-dam water supply

| Service area or village | estimated daily, water consumption in m3 |  |  |  | per capita domestic consumption at public taps in 1/day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } \\ & \text { see } \\ & \text { table } \\ & \text { V. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | private connections and other facilities | total consumption of public taps | domestic consumption of public taps |  |
| Tinde | 25.5 | 15.5 | 10.0 | $8 \cdot 3^{\text {* }}$ | 21 |
| Ngangamala, | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 7 |
| Kisumani, ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyandekwa ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Isegalaja, } \\ & \text { and Usia } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imenya and Igomelo | 4.3 |  |  | 4.3 | 23 |
| Uwela | 1.5 |  |  | 1.5 | 20 |
| Didia and Lohumbo | 24.5 | 3.5 | 21.0 | 17.5* | 20 |

* $\frac{100}{120}$ times total consumption of public taps

The slightly higher per capita consumption estimated for the larger villages of Tinde, Didia and Imenya may at least partly be explained by the fact that practically all respondents said that they came from within 5 minutes, walking distance.

Moreover the figures include losses due to leakage and spilling in contrast to those of sub-section V.3.2.
The survey revealed that practically the entire population of Didia relies on the Usia-dam scheme for water supply, but that in Tinde a considerable proportion, some 60 per cent, uses other, traditional sources.

The fluctuation in the water demand over the day has been determined for Tinde and Didia from 1119 observations at tap points during 14 days from $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to $7 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. The results are presented in fig. V.3. and compared with those of sub-section V.3.2. (see fig. V.l) over the same daily period. Although the consumptive pattern is generally the same, the extreme values of peak and slack demand are less pronounced in the case of Tinde and Didia. The relatively large consumption additional to the domestic use of the rural households themselves is the obvious reason for this phenomenon. In order to be on the safe side, maximum storage capacity to be provided in rural water supplies to even out fluctuations in the demand over the day should be determined from fig. V.l (see Technical Annex G, Civil engineering).

Finally, the observations at the source showed that only 37 out of 311 people observed (or 12 per cent) cleaned the water containers at the tap before drawing this domestic water. The average time spent on cleaning was 20 seconds.

water consumption according to fig. V. 1
___ water consumption Tinde and Didia

Fig. V. 3 Comparison of the fluctuation of water demand from 7-19 hrs

## V.3.4. Urban water supplies

The strict application of the definition used in Tanzania to distinguish between rural and urban supplies (see subsection V.3.1.) limits the latter group to the water supply systems of the four district capitals Shinyanga, Kahama, Nyalikungu (Maswa) and Bariadi, and of the township of Malampaka.
With the exception of Bariadi, the survey has been able to collect information on the population served in these towns both by private connections and public taps, and the consumption at both types of supply. The towns have piped supplies with a relatively large number of private house connections and some public taps. The data are summarized in the following table.

Table V.5.
Summary of daily water consumption in townships

| township | population <br> (rounded) | part of the population served by |  | average daily consumption |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | private * connections \% | ```public taps %``` | private <br> connec- <br> tions <br> 1/head | public <br> taps <br> 1/head |
| Kahama | 4000 | 33 | 32 | 65 | 20 |
| Malampaka | 1300 | 38 | 15 | 90 | 23 |
| Maswa | 4000 | 30 | 25 | 150 | 23 |
| Shinyanga | 10000 | 54 | 26 | 100 | 21 |

*) based on an average of 7 people per private connection.

## V.3.5. Design criteria

The results of the foregoing sub-sections have led to the recommendations of the following design criteria for human water consumption to be applied for the design of rural water supplies:

- human consumption at public taps is 201 per day per head of the design population, which is the maximum number of people estimated to be served by the scheme over the period of its economic lifetime;
- water supplies of trading centres should be designed taking into account a 20 per cent increase of the domestic demand of the design population;
- consumption of unmetered private connections for domestic use should be taken at $1 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day;
- consumption of bars and hotels should be taken at $1 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{day}$ each regardless of whether they are actually provided with a private connection or not;
- consumption of health centres and dispensaries with clinics should be taken at $2 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day; for hospitals individual estimates should be made;
- daily consumption of schools should be taken at 51 per head of the total enrolment with a minimum of $1.5 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day and augmented by the domestic demand of staff houses, if present.

The above criteria are further dealt with in Technical Annex G, Civil engineering, in which "design population" is specified and additional technical criteria (c.q. to account for losses due to leakage and spilling) are presented.

For urban water supplies the same criteria can be used except that private connections for domestic use will be equipped with integrating meters. It is assumed that human consumption through private connections will increase from $1001 /$ head at present to $1501 /$ head by 1991.

Estimates of water demands for cattle and agriculture are discussed in Technical Annex E, Agriculture. Industrial use should be evaluated separately, of course, case by case.

## V.4. Water perception

Data reported in this section have been derived from the survey conducted in August-September, 1972. It is clear that water is not a commodity that arouses strong feelings in the villagers of the Region, unless the circumstances under which it is to be obtained become too severe. The distance to the watersource is the most decisive circumstance. As a rule the villager will get his water at the closest source, and convenience of use or quality is not a main concern.
In general he will avoid travelling a longer distance than 15 minutes. It is only in situations where this is not possible that he perceives his situation as a hardship. The lack of inclination to travel too far or too often is also the reason that water for drinking is always drawn at the same source as the water for other household purposes.

The most common complaint concerning the quality of the water was that it was dirty. The survey showed that in the wet season 60 per cent of the people complained about dirty water, a percentage that decreased to 50 in the dry season. Next to "dirty" the qualification "salty" is used in particular in the wet season. Due to the obvious contradiction between the answers for wet and dry season, the results were not considered reliable. It was concluded that in many villages no clear insight exists concerning water quality. Fairly unanimous opinions are only found at the top and bottom of the quality scale, and these opinions do not correspond well with results of laboratory analyses of water samples. In one village many people complain about the salinity of water that tests prove to be well under any limit that one might put for noticeable saltiness. At another site splendid spring water is hardly rated half way up the quality scale, while in a third case water that contains enough nitrogen to give it at least an unpleasent taste is rated as very good. As high contents of fluoride are not perceptible to the consumer, water is frequently used that is fact is detrimental to health (see Technical Annex $F$, "Waterquality").
Water was only evaluated as diseased or contaminated in a minority of cases. Users of unimproved water sources ordinarily attempt to prevent pollution by washing and bathing outside the water hole and by using other sources for livestock. Only in some instances and mostly under circumstances of urgent need, a distinction between sources for human use and those for livestock is not maintained.

Concerning tap water the villagers generally have the idea that a tap removes all danger which is in itself a potentially dangerous misconception.
Fourteen per cent of the villagers in the sample population treated their water before use. A few villages showed a proportion between 30 and 50 per cent. The level of education of the consumer proved to be of influence on the decision to process water before drinking. Of the respondents without any education only 10 per cent gave some treatment to the water, while this figure was 20 per cent for those who had had schooling for a period of 1 to 4 years. Forty per cent of the respondents with an educational level exceeding four years treated their drinking water.

The actual treatment may vary. In most cases the water was boiled.
However, some people filter it through a cloth or simply allow the water to settle before using it.

## V.5. Water quality

In this section, general information only is given on the water quality to complete a rough description of the existing state of water provision to the Region's population. The subject of water quality is dealt with extensively in Technical Annex F.
The quality of the water in the Region depends on the type of source, as well as on the type of supply.

Surface water can be drawn from rivers only during the wet season. The physical properties of this water, such as colour and turbidity, are bad.
However, these factors are not relevant to the health of
the consumer but only to his appreciation of the water. Some bacteriological and organic pollution is likely. As far as chemical substances which may affect health are concerned, the water usually meets the W.H.O. standards for drinking water.
After the wet season, the rivers dry up and surface water is drawn from reservoirs, charcos and hafirs. The quality of surface water stored during the dry season gradually deteriorates.
Bacteriological and organic pollution can become very serious.
The chemical quality worsens, mainly due to evaporation. The lack of adequate supply facilities outside the storage area often contributes to pollution. There is a danger of bilharzia in the reservoirs.

Where people collect groundwater from a large number of unlined shallow wells and hand-dug waterholes in riverbeds during the dry season, bacteriological and organic pollution is again serious.
The same applies to most open wells and to those lined wells which are not sufficiently protected to prevent infiltration of polluted water into the well.

High fluoride and salt contents are the main hazards to the potability of the groundwater in the Shinyanga and Maswa Districts.
These factors have an influence on the health of the consumer. In particular, the boreholes and wells in the Shinyanga township area, the Manonga depression and some parts of Maswa show high fluoride contents of 5-10 ppm or even more, whereas elsewhere in the Region this figure is usually below 5 ppm . In the areas already mentioned the groundwater is locally salty at shallow depth. The conductivity of deep groundwater generally suggests salt contents exceeding 1500 ppm total dry solids.
Speaking in general terms the water consumed in the Region very often does not even meet the "maximum allowable "limits" set by the W.H.O. for physico-chemical quality.

Whereas its very poor bacteriological quality certainly forms a major hazard to public health.

## V.6. Water supply and social organizations

Since very little or no effort needs to be put into the construction of traditional sources and their upkeep does not seem to require much labour or other energy, no intricate cultural arrangements with regards to water accessibility have ever evolved among the inhabitants of the Region and use of water is almost without restriction. Everyone seems to be free to fetch water at any particular traditional water-supply regardless of whether he has contributed in its construction or upkeep.
In fact the only limitation noticed during the period of study was that livestock is barred from certain waterholes by means of thornbush to avoid excessive pollution and from cattle watering places which the livestock owner did not help to dig.
At first sight this appears to be a rather favourable phenomenon, particularly in the type of society that Tanzania is striving to realize. In this society exclusive waterrights might easily form an additional impediment in the process of water improvement.
However, the absence of individuals or groups who traditionally enjoy rights as a consequence of their involvement in the construction and or maintenance of waterpoints and who could charge others for their use of them has the negative side effect that there are no identifiable groups to carry responsibility for their upkeep at the village level.

The problem here, paradoxical as this may sound, is that seen from the perspective of the consumer, water is to a large extent a free commodity, and the need for high quality water is not yet felt at a level on which personal sacrifices are made for its acquisition. This, and the absence of specialized know-how, account to a great measure for the prevalence of poor waterpoints throughout the Region.

[^2]system) is the feasible solution, or on the upkeep of such sources once provided. Some years ago, the Regional water Development Committee was established with the specific task of looking after watersupply to the scattered population by the construction of shallow wells, of which a limited number have been completed. A more complete picture of the regional water organization is given in section IV. 6 of Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering".

It is possible to foresee that the tendency toward neglect of maintenance will continue unless a greater involvement of the rural population in the construction and especially the maintenance of water sources is encouraged and achieved. It seems highly recommendable that simultaneous to further water-resource developments a concerted effort is undertaken to render the rural population aware of the undesirability of polluted water. And once the need for safe water is felt at the individual level of the village farmer it should be easier to institutionalize social structures at the village level which would share the responsibility for the upkeep of water provisions with the regional and national authorities.

## CHAPTER VI WATER DEVELOPMENT PRIORITY RANKING

VI.1. Introduction

The realization of a programme to provide the entire rural population of the Region with adequate water supplies will take many years. To make best use of the available resources of manpower, technical facilities and funds it is indispensable to develop a system for comparing the various possible schemes in order to arrive at a water development priority ranking, taking into account the guiding principles of the Tanzanian Government.
The main purpose of the appraisal of water demand from data gathered during the sociological survey is to provide information for a priority ranking to be based on variables concerning:

1. the existing water need, which is determined by the presence or absence of a supply and the quantity and quality of the water provided;
2. the development potential of the area or project concerned.
Before discussing the ranking order in detail it is useful to state clearly the advantages of a priority ranking system for a water supply programme.

- A standardized system of priority ranking allows a consistent water-supply policy to be maintained for a number of years, limiting subjective considerations in the introduction of new improvements.
- A fixed ranking system offers a standardized instrument for the urgency ranking of sites and areas and can be used at all levels of the decision-making structure. It may also be applicable when sites not previously considered have to be integrated into a water supply programme.
- The variables used in the ranking order can easily be adapted to new circumstances or changed lines of planning without disturbing the system itself.

The ranking order based on the factors water need and development potential is still a provisional one. Its results have to be checked with the practical and technical possibilities and the desirability of implementing water supplies in the order indicated. This point which is dealt with in extenso in Technical Annex $H$, "Economy and general aspects", can best be illustrated here by two examples. The type of water supply may range from a simple source e.g. a shallow well, to sources which are more difficult to build such as a borehole or a charco, or even to a larger integrated scheme serving serveral communities with a piped distribution system. The construction requirements for each type of water supply differ to various degrees. For example, equipment and skilled manpower necessary for drilling boreholes is specialized and cannot effectively be used for other purposes.

[^3]
## VI. 2 Preliminary water development priority ranking for individual villages

VI.2.1. The scoring system

The basic assumption underlying the ranking order is the idea that both the development potential of the site or area and the quantity and quality of existing water supplies should be taken into consideration in any decision concerning water supply improvement. Studies on the relationship between improved water supply and rural development have shown that new water supplies do not quarentee social and economic development. Only when priority is given to the construction of water supplies in areas or villages with a promising development potential, can such supplies contribute to and stimulate economic and social growth.

The individual ranking order can be applied to the 416 villages in the Region covered by the sociological survey on village level. These villages were selected in first instance after consultation of the Ward Executive Officer, who was asked to list the villages in which the greatest water need existed, as well as those with a significant demographic growth and those with the most developed infrastructure. Villages which fall into one or more of these categories were interviewed. At a later stage the survey was extended to cover all concentrated settlements, in the sense of the definition given in section IV. 3 .

A large number of variables pertaining to either "water need" or "development potential" were determined for each village.

Three criteria were applied for the assessment of waterneed: a. Insufficient water supply in dry and wet season. b. An official request for improvement submitted. c. Distance to the most commonly used dry season source. Originally water quality was also considered a potentially important variable in the ranking order model. However no correlation appeared to exist between the villagers opinion of the quality of the water and the results of laboratory analyses. Consequently local opinion could not be used as a valid measure for the water quality of the sources. On the other hand it was not possible to include the laboratory analyses in the ranking order, because a systematic sampling of all the sources in the interviewed villages proved to be unfeasible.

The development potential was dicided by factors which can be divided into five groups of indicators:
a. Infrastructural characteristics
b. Demographic structure
c. Settlement pattern
d. State of agriculture
e. Agricultural potential

To assess the infrastructural significance of a village, the questionnaire (see app. 3 and 4) listed 32 different facilities, a number of which were selected as being relevant to the calculation of the ranking order. One of the underlying guidelines in the allocation of weight has been the consideration that provision of both medical and educational facilities enjoys a high priority in national planning. Therefore substantial scores were given to hospitals, health centres, dispensaries and schools.
The demographic structure has been split into two items: population size and the net migration surplus over a period of two years. The scoring is based on the consideration that large settlements have a higher priority than small ones and similarly growing villages a higher one than villages with a static or diminishing population.

Settlement pattern is a third criterion of the development potential. Relative weight was given according to the degree of concentration of dwellings and buildings and the ease of accessibility to the settlement. The provision of an adequate water supply to a largely scattered population is - considering the large investment per head - difficult to realize.
Furthermore, bringing water to where people live clashes with the governmental policy objective of villagization. Therefore a higher priority has been given to settlements with some concentration of dwellings and buildings in the belief that improved water supplies can stimulate further concentration. Access, mostly by road, is another indicator linked to the rate of concentration and offering ample opportunities for social and economic development.
The following factor in the priority ranking system is the agricultural situation. Three indicators are used in evaluating this. Modernization of agriculture is the main criterion underlying the scoring. Methods of cultivation, use of manure and regular selling of cattle are relevant items in this respect. It is assumed that priority will be given to areas and sites where agricultural modernization is under way. Finally "quality of the soil" and "availability of land" are indicators for future expansion of the area.

Table VI.l gives in detail the scores assigned to the indicators of the priority factors with reference to relevant information from the sociological survey of which the results are presented in app. 7.

Table VI. 1 Indicators and scores for the preliminary water development priority ranking.

| Indicators and (no.) of question in questionnaire (see app. 4) | Information according to results sociological survey (see app. 7) |  | Priority scores |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | position (print column ; line) | code* | per code* | maximum per indicator |
| WATER NEED |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Supply (59) |  |  |  | 20 |
| - sufficient supply | 31; 1 and 31; 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| - insufficient supply in dry season only | 31; 2 (and not $31 ; 1)$ | 2 | 8 |  |
| and dry season | 31;1 and 31;2 | 2 | 20 |  |
| 2. Official request (64, 65) |  |  |  | 5 |
| - no request/not known | $34 ; 1$ | 0,2 or 9 | 0 |  |
| under way | 34 ; 2 | 2.3,4.6 or 7 | 5 |  |
| 3. Distance to water source (57) |  |  |  | 15 |
| - less than 1 mile | $30: 2$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| - 1-3 miles | 30; 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| - 3-5 miles | 30:2 | 3 | 7 |  |
| - 5-7 miles | 30:2 | 4 | 11 |  |
| - more than 7 miles | 30:2 | 5 | 15 |  |

Table VI. 1 continued


- codes possible according to app. 7 but not listed in this table have been assigned a score of 0 points.


#### Abstract

Out of a total maximum score of 100 , the indicators for "water need" account for a possible 40 points, while the ievelopment criteria may come to 60 , weighting the ranking order slightly in favour of the "development potential". However, a village with a strong score for insufficient water supply can easily achieve a high priority ranking if only a small number of variables for the development potential are positive. Obviously the maximum of 100 points is purely theoretical. Scores between 50 and 70 points are already a strong indication for high priority.


VI.2.2. Results

For the 416 villages interviewed separately by the survey, the scores to be assigned to the various indicators of table VI.l can be determined from the information contained in app. 7.A computer printout from the priority scores is given in app. 12. Table VI. 2 summarizes the results.

The figures of app. 12 allow a detailed comparison of the four Districts with regard to the contribution of the several indicators to the final priority score. The frequency distribution of priority indicators and scores is given in table VI. 3 , broken down per District for the Region as a whole. The water need indicators are important for Maswa, Bariadi and Shinyanga, where more than two thirds of the villages interviewed show an insufficient supply in the dry season. Kahama on the other hand is in a relatively favourable position: 42 per cent of the villages interviewed in this District are not short of water.
The majority of the settlements in the Region (66\%) have sent an official request for an improvement of the water supply to the authorities. Again it is found that in Kahama the percentage is less than elsewhere.
Distance to the water source shows a strong correlation with the water supply indicators. Approximately 30 per cent of the villages in Shinyanga, Maswa and Bariadi have water sources at a distance of more than 3 miles from the village, while in Kahama 93 per cent of the settlements find the water within a range of 3 miles.
The frequency distribution for the water need clearly shows that in particular for Maswa, Bariadi and Shinyanga a significant part of the total priority score is determined by the water need factor in the ranking order.

The infrastructural significance score for development potential is high for Bariadi and Maswa. In both Districts a substantial number of the villages interviewed - respectively 42 and 35 per cent - has a score of from 10 to 20 points. The same can be said of population size and the migration surplus, indicating that in these two Districts a large part of the villages interviewed belong to the larger settlements.

Table VI． 2 Summary of the results of the preliminary water development priority ranking for individual villages

SHINYANGA DISTRICT

| 隹 priority score |  | village | priority score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t ¢$ |  | 43 |
| MAGAig | $t \in$ | KA！r ： | 43 |
| MIdA：${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | IS L／ | 43 |
| IS S M： 1 | 62 | 1 いいご， | 42 |
|  | 54 | －14．！！ | 42 |
| उU：！419［Y： | 59 | 1ui：！a， $\mathrm{T}_{\text {t }}$ | 47 |
| UUS＇！＇i | 59 | Mat $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | ＜？ |
| MWA ta LY：LY－ | 57 | ＇lwar ir＂i： | 4. |
| SINGIT： | 57 | SHAHA＊${ }^{\text {C，}}$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | 56 | KIL： 1 ： 1 | 41. |
| NGOF！L | 56 |  |  |
| KASIfiorli | 56 |  |  |
| BUBIK！ | 56 | ITJ！illd， | 40 |
| WISHIT：゙L＂Jた | 56 |  | 40 |
| ¢ ESEK！ | 55 | IGACh | 40 |
| KASHiSht | 54 | UK1，\61－U | 40 |
| TIM－ | 53 | Mivck；T．H．Y！ | 40 |
| YWALUKW： | $5 \%$ | buriel $1-\mathrm{kSjiL}$ A | 39 |
| I BA！$\%$ kuli | 51 | ILJサ： L | 39 |
| 10Ukits | 51 |  | 39 |
| NOHLi i CuJ | 51 | BULYGU？ | 38 |
|  |  |  | 38 |
|  |  | BUG：$:$ | 38 |
| SEKE | 50 | JIMCIIILGBRT： 1 T | 38 |
| IW Abr ili： | 50 | SADI | 38 |
| I DUEULA | 50 | KIZJTM！T | 38 |
| SELCMI | 50 | Mavit civa | 38 |
| MWAMATlita | 49 | Mwakilugilla | 38 |
| MIPS | 49 | I SAGimla | 37 |
| VSAYU | 49 | NJAYU | 37 |
|  | 40 |  | 37 |
| MASFPGWE | 49 | KILTA -1. | 37 |
| ITw4ticl | 48 | 1 BIHL： | 37 |
| ITUNGUITALE | 47 | UZUG＇er | 36 |
| KISH：PU | 47 |  | 36 |
| PANUIGICHILA | 47 | inzAIIZA | 36 |
| MAH： 18 E | 47 | IGupr L I | 36 |
| IMALARSIPIIm | 45 |  | 36 |
| MHU\／ | 46 | SULif | 36 |
| IJEG： 21 | 45 | WYASH！${ }^{\text {dran }}$ | 36 |
| BUS4：6W： | 45 | bUlou＇jow－ | 36 |
| MAStrras | 45 |  | 35 |
| HWarlisuliaga | 45 | MWarlirbariolva | 35 |
| kulardonto | 44 |  | 35 |
| I Hol | 44 | KIJ：llus | 34 |
| KIGUA VI，HD： H －UJAMSA | 44 |  | 34 |
| 203016 | 44 | BUGIK： | 34 |
| VYASA：BC－UJLitmA | 44 | LYailly | 34 |
| WEL：L | 43 | MISU：${ }^{\text {ars }}$ | 34 |
| UNYG．1YYM心．： | 43 | MWA！，K：L ¢idia | 34 |
| NZI＇12． | 43 | CHEH，．L！ | 33 |

Table VI． 2 continued

## SHINYANGA DISTRICT

| village | priority score | village | priority score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATIVA | 33 | MAPsit | 25 |
| I TUFIL．．VIL：I | 33 | －1WA9C！l 1 | 25 |
| KAK．IL 6 | 33 | Mruci］ | 24 |
| ULU Mintrill | $\pm 3$ | 小GU＇liasarati | 24 |
| KABAL | 33 | I BIL； | 24 |
| TUPEMU DS： | 33 | MWASJMU1） | 24 |
| MWAMALA | 22 | SHIC！iti！！ | 23 |
| ILINDILÍ： | 32 |  | 23 |
| I SHEGFNYム | 32 | KAK．L： | 23 |
| iv SHISHINULU | 32 | BUST：！ | 2.2 |
| PAMBE | 32 | VDUGLTI | 22 |
| HILISHI | $3 \%$ |  | 22 |
| MWAMAGFから\％ | 32 | MWALSTE | ＜2 |
| MHUG！－ | 32 | MAL $\because D T$ | 22 |
| SHATIMEA | 32 | MUPIE：． | 22 |
| ！Kuyia | 31 | NZẼ\％ | 22 |
| KALA！JGAl | 32 | SHAS1H1t．11 | 21 |
| I Kjometilla | 31. |  |  |
| KITY＝L．l | 31. |  |  |
| MWAburdocl a | 31. | UKごY！！${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 20 |
|  |  | BUGCYE！JTGOJ | 17 |
|  |  | MWAlithlmil | 18 |
| USULL | 30 | ISHIML！ | 17 |
| BUGINIKA | 30 | GUMAJPry | 17 |
| UBATi | 30 | Minavar？ | 17 |
| MHAVSU | 30 | MWAJ心1t，U． | 27 |
| IOUK1L； | 30 | MWatagk | 1.7 |
| ITILIMA | 29 | Stilkw | 16 |
| I HAL： | 29 | GUKIIIG： | 14 |
| MWAMA Winliga | 29 | Buy lua | 14 |
| BUTINJA | 29 | IGALULa | 13 |
| I Somblankula | 28 |  |  |
| MASKATi | 28 |  |  |
| WHUMIILI | 23 |  |  |
| W IMAT「 | 28 |  |  |
| HWAFENDA | 28 |  |  |
| SUSWA | 28 |  |  |
| ？ 1 WA MK．ARGA | 27 |  |  |
| MEIJ． 1 | 27 |  |  |
| MWAMALASA | 27 |  |  |
| MIZCNIA | 27 |  |  |
| NJINUT， | 27 |  |  |
| KIMLNOEGULI | 27 |  |  |
| MAWE： 1 ILJ | 27 |  |  |
| KAZIMA | 27 |  |  |
| NHOFITLA | 26 |  |  |
| BUTUMPA | 26 |  |  |
| ZUNLIILI | 26 |  |  |
| YWASHINUHG＇IELA | 26 |  |  |
| GUivo r hay | 26 |  |  |
| KIToft ${ }^{-1}$ | $2 t$ |  |  |

Table VI． 2 continued

## MASWA DISTRICT

| village | priority score | village | priority score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KINAMijurigilu | 60 | M WA HY：$=$ | 34 |
| MALAMPAKA． | 60 |  | 134 |
| IGWATA | 59 | －1wilal， 711.1 | 34 |
| ITIJJ ITIIJ）？ | $5 \%$ | IWíl | 34 |
| PGEGOM | 55 | KAB！J0： | ミ3 |
| MWABANAY： | 53 | Ywかり保： | 33 |
| MWAMSIT | 53 | KULI｀I | 32 |
| IMALA， $\mathrm{S}^{\text {K }}$ | 52 | LUBY10． | 32 |
| KIDEAA | 51 | M BIJSII | 32 |
| MASA＇IGA | 51 | HWA＋ASIGILI | 31. |
|  |  | L JGU： | 31 |
| MWABAYmindia | 50 |  |  |
| MWASITE | 49 |  | 30 |
| BADI | 48 | ¢ULUが可 | 29 |
| BUDLK．WA： | 48 | SEMEA！ | 29 |
| З UDEK WA－UJL：イAA | 48 |  | 29 |
| ZEBE：YA | 48 | MNAJYatIIA． | 29 |
| MWA：UOU | 47 | SEiN：！I | 29 |
|  | 47 | NYASMII明： | 28 |
| MWALUKUL | 45 | OKITM！ | 28 |
| 10 aug | 45 | MWat l！！－リJJtas | 27 |
| HAL！－¢－UJAMAA | 44 | WWAVASH11：IK | 27 |
| KULIMI | 44 |  | 26 |
| KIZIJNGU | 43 | MWAMIHA！LA | 21 |
| MASELA | 43 |  |  |
| SHISHIYU | 43 |  |  |
| I Gunya | 43 | USIJLI2： | 19 |
| KISESA | 43 | HWAVUIMT－IJAMAA | 17 |
| I LA：MLT？ | 42 |  |  |
| JGAFL | 41 |  |  |
| hGUligula | 41 |  |  |

MWANYSMBA 40
MWANIMDO 40
MWABUSALJ 39
SHUKA SHOKA: 39
MASGIIWA 39
LALLíG 39
SAYU-SAYU 38
LINGFK $\quad 38$
MWAMALOLE 33
MWAIHULI 37
IPIL.ILU 37
MWAGHILA 36
SHIIVAVIAA 36
LALI 35
VGWABULIMBU 35
MWABIL $\quad 35$
HWAN:JYE 35

Table VI． 2 continued

## KAHAMA DISTRICT

| village | priority score | village | priority score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［1TOE．I | 61 |  | 27 |
| MPulize | 54 | ［ Gi］：1； | 27 |
| HGOGWA． | 51 |  | 27 |
| LUINGUYA | 51 | BULI：\％ | 27 |
|  |  | MBCS号石 | 26 |
|  |  | MALINUT | 25 |
| KILAGU | 47 | BULIJ！CNK | 25 |
| VYANGNALE． | 47 | BUK．jME： | 25 |
| SHIL？LA | 47 | K1 Shtid： | 25 |
| L UiN4 | 46 | 1 TUnusaril | 24 |
| MASUMEME | 46 | ILEYV． | 24 |
| ITHUAE I | 45 | CHAML O | 24 |
| ：SAK | 45 | ITUL！arrikg | 24 |
| MBUL．il | 45 | MWANAS！ | 23 |
|  | 45 |  | 23 |
| ivtunguly | 42 | NYCJY：Le | 23 |
| IGU゚！日a | 42 | MHUNST！ | 22 |
| I BANLA． | 42 | SABA－Sidy | 22 |
| KABUHİAA． | 41 | UYTVU | 22 |
| USHTROMES | 41 | SEKi： | 21 |
|  |  |  | 21 |
|  |  | ULE： | 21 |
| LUHALA | 40 | ＊Y4E！「．． | 21 |
| I Boy | 40 |  |  |
| ：3JJA | 30 |  |  |
|  | 34 | I NYE：！${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 20 |
| YBIKム | 3.$)$ | IGUS， | 20 |
| BUTAMBALA | 37 | KA：IY：Vr＝－uJ．atas | 20 |
| MivAnリj． | $2 p$ | KAidiga | 20 |
| KALUMDT | 38 | voulu | 20 |
| ivHIn：rs | 38 |  | 19 |
| ISAGEif： | 37 | BUFijlatioum | 19 |
| BUKWI MtSA | 37 | MATALAV家： | 19 |
| Numbor | 37 | MWAL： | 16 |
| NGAYA | 37 | VYAMSUE： | 1.6 |
| KASHISHI | 37 |  | 15 |
| UYUG！ | 35 | BUCricily | 15 |
| KINISHIHA | 34 |  | 14 |
| KIJUKE | 33 | 5 HUJ | 14 |
| ULJWA． | 33 |  | 14 |
| ULUNA－UJ AMAas | 33 | MWALUGUJ！ | 13 |
| KINAVHUNDU | 32 | WAM： | 13 |
|  |  | UBAGM： | 13 |
|  |  |  | 13 |
| BUTIMEA | 30 | MSAS： | 12 |
| NSANGJ | 27 | KATUNGIJ！ | 12 |
| －hona． | 28 |  |  |
| MAIIANGA－UJAMAA | 28 |  |  |
| S ANGILWA | 27 | TULJL「－iJJA！！A | 11. |
| BUTOG） | 27 | ypras： | 10 |
| ZONGUME5A | 27 | I Subitlil！ | 20 |

## KAHAMA DISTRICT

| village | priority score |
| :--- | :---: |
| BUDUSHI | 9 |
| SCINGAMISELE： | 9 |
| HCHICGMA | 7 |
| NHALAMEST | 7 |

BARIADI DISTRICT
village priority score
45
IAWALALSGJ
4B1TJ ..... 44
IKUNGULYAにYuHAS 1I ..... 43
KASIII ..... 42
NHE？ ..... 42
 ..... 42
KABSL：－ ..... 42
NGOWAVİ WGLI ..... 41
：1ALITHII ..... 41
GANB：SINGU ..... 40
GASuri ..... 40
MWAI！！jt cht． ..... 39
INAL： ..... 38
SUL：H！J ..... 38
NG＇A！AACIKU ..... 38
BUPLidoal；ILA ..... 38
VYABUL！－iji ..... 38
： $14(3412$. ..... 37
MAS ©nd ..... 37
SUWLUL： ..... 36
IHUSI！I ..... 36
NANGA ..... 26
MHUNIL： ..... 36
：NYム：I．LiMA ..... 35
©AN․ A！I ..... 35
 ..... 34
iJKJLiJGMA ..... 34
NG＇UFM：IT： ..... 33
NGULYATI ..... 33
SEKJMO゙： ..... 30
HALAHA ..... 30
BETA ..... 30
ITAFIRMAMA ..... 30
ivullil：bi ..... 30
SAllu：JA ..... 29
GAN：，IS！ ..... 29
IKJIJGULYALA． ..... 29
इAKW：－UJAPIGA ..... 27
MWAMKUMEIJ ..... 27
VANS： ..... 21
NGULYATI－UJi．iALA ..... 21
MWAシりKI－UJi！！AA ..... 21
vyaill gunga ..... 15
YUBELI ..... 12

Table VI. 3 Frequency distribution of priority scores for individual villages per indicator

| indicator | Score | frequency distribution in \% |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kahama | Shinyenga | Maswa | Bariadi | Region |
| 1. water need | 0 | 42 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 20 |
|  | 8 | 58 | 75 | 85 | 82 | 74 |
|  | 20 |  | 10 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 2. official request | 0 | 41 | 31 | 31 | 36 | 34 |
|  | 5 | 59 | 69 | 69 | 64 | 66 |
| 3. distance to water source | 0 | 65 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 38 |
|  | 3 | 28 | 37 | 39 | 37 | 35 |
|  | 7 | 4 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 13 |
|  | 11 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 10 |
|  | 15 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. infrastructural significance | 0-4 | 57 | 59 | 32 | 39 | 50 |
|  | 5-9 | 18 | 14 | 33 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 10-14 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 11 |
|  | 15-20 | 19 | 15 | 25 | 28 | 20 |
| 5. population size | 0 | 50 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 24 |
|  | 3 | 39 | 52 | 42 | 22 | 42 |
|  | 5 | 11 | 31 | 43 | 61 | 34 |
| 6. migration surplus | 0 | 33 | 22 | 21 | 12 | 23 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
|  | 2 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 21 | 16 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 14 | 15 | $13^{\circ}$ | 13 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 22 | 36 | 35 | 28 |
| 7. type of settlement | 0 | 27 | 40 | 28 | 21 | 31 |
|  | 3 | 41 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 18 |
|  | 5 | 32 | 52 | 59 | 62 | 51 |
| 8. location | 0 | 52 | 62 | 82 | 79 | 66 |
|  | 5 | 48 | 38 | 18 | 21 | 34 |
| 9. type of cultivation | 0 | 94 | 49 | 10 | 21 | 47 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 45 | 64 | 11 | 34 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 68 | 19 |
| 10. use of manure | 0 | 59 | 70 | 88 | 95 | 75 |
|  | 2 | 27 | 23 | 11 | 4 | 18 |
|  | 7 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 11. selling of cattle | 0 | 58 | 29 | 36 | 52 | 41 |
|  | 1 | 29 | 55 | 42 | 47 | 45 |
|  | 4 | 13 | 16 | 22 | 1 | 14 |
| 12. soil quality | 0 | 31 | 37 | 19 | 15 | 29 |
|  | 1 | 69 | 63 | 81 | 85 | 71 |
| 13. availability of land | 0 | 28 | 41 | 51 | 37 | 39 |
|  | 1 | 72 | 59 | 49 | 63 | 61 |

Shinyanga occupies a middle-range position while for Kahama the majority of the villages have lower priority scores with regard to infrastructural significance and population size. The data for the degree of concentration and the location of the village represent the settlement structure in the Region. 51 per cent of the villages interviewed are more or less concentrated and 34 per cent are located along an all-weather road.

A comparison of the situation in the four Districts shows that: the most concentrated settlements are found in Bariadi, Maswa and Shinyanga. The category "some concentration" is dominant in Kahama, while in Shinyanga the dispersed settlements are most strongly represented (40\%).

The indicators for the agricultural situation - type of cultivation, use of manure and selling of cattle - give grounds for concluding that the degree of modernization in the Region is limited. Only 19 per cent of the villages interviewed make use of the tractor, while manure is restricted to 25 per cent of the settlements. In 41 per cent of the cases no selling of cattle takes place, whereas if we include those where this occurs only very occasionally the figure rises to 45 per cent. There are however significant differences between the Districts.
Concerning the type of cultivation it is remarkable that in Bariadi and Maswa the use of tractor and plough is more common than elsewhere in the Region. 68 per cent of the villages in Bariadi use a tractor and 26 per cent in Maswa.
All the Districts show a very limited use of manure with a slightly higher percentage for Kahama (14\%).
The majority of the farmers have a favourable opinion concerning the quality of the soil in their settlements (71\% for the Region), in particular in Bariadi and Maswa, 85\% and $81 \%$ respectively. The availability of land, giving ample opportunities for new settlers, is considered highly favourable in Kahama and Bariadi. For both indicators one point is alloted to the ranking order.

In the total priority scores, which is a summation of the scores for the respective indicators, five priority categories have been differentiated. Table VI. 4 gives the frequency distribution for the Region and the four Districts.

The frequency distribution given in table VI. 4 is also presented in a diagram of fig. VI.l.

From the data it will be clear that the majority of the villages with a high priority are found in Bariadi, Maswa and Shinyanga. Kahama has a rather favourable picture with only 4 per cent of the villages in the highest priority group of 51 points or more.
The analysis of the frequency distribution for the several
indicators in the ranking order proved that in Maswa and Bariadi the high priority is mainly based - in addition to water need - on the significant scores for infrastructural facilities, population size, immigration surplus, type of settlement and type of cultivation.
The development potential is less important for Shinyanga, giving substantial scores only for infrastructural significance, population size and location.
The contribution to the total score of the use of manure, cattle selling and quality and availability of land is limited and differences between the Districts appear less significant.

Table VI. 4 Frequency distribution for 5 priority categories in Region and Districts.

|  | Proportion of villages <br> 5 priority <br> categories |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-20$ | $21-30$ | $31-40$ | $41-50$ | 51 <br> more <br> or | number <br> of <br> villages |
| Region | 1.1 | 24 | 31 | 21 | 13 | 416 |
| Kahama | 29 | 31 | 21 | 15 | 4 | 96 |
| Shinyanga | 7 | 26 | 33 | 22 | 12 | 176 |
| Maswa | 2 | 17 | 39 | 28 | 14 | 72 |
| Bariadi | 2 | 18 | 28 | 24 | 28 | 72 |

The results of the preliminary water development priority ranking have alse been indicated on the District maps no. 3.14.04-06-07 to 09 on which the five priority categories mentioned above can be distinguished.
VI. 3 Preliminary water development priority ranking for Wards

A simplified form of the priority ranking system described in sub-section VI.2.l has been used to assess the priority relationship between larger areas. In keeping with the administrative organization it appeared obvious to choose the 95 Wards of the Region as areal units for this exercise. The areas around the four District capitals (Kahama, Shinyanga, Nyalikungu and Bariadi) which have a separate status - as division or subdivision - have not been included.


Fig. VI. 1 Frequency distribution for 5 priority categories

The Ward ranking is applied in Technical Annex H, "Economy and general aspects", to determine the priority within the water master-plan of areas instead of individual villages for the implementation of:
a. integrated supply systems in cases where individual supplies are not feasible from the technical or economic point of view;
b. water supplies which though individual by nature can best be built as part of a construction programme. For practical reasons, such a programme should develop the provision of water to the (scattered) population in whole areas rather than on a village by village basis.

As one example of the above points the provision of water by means of charcos has already been mentioned in section VI.l.

Another example is a large scale construction programme for shallow wells which is recommended as part of the water masterplan (see vol.I Main Report and vol. II Technical Annex H). Obviously the execution of such a programme, in which the actual time spent on the construction of a well is short in relation to the time spent for shifting of locations, transport of materials, site surveys etc., can only be done effectively if all wells necessary in a certain area are built at the same time. This particular programme is further proposed to be effected in a relatively short period of some six years only, so that there is no need for a large differentiation. Provisionnally three priority groups are considered sufficient.

As in the case of the ranking system for individual villages, scores are allocated to factors related to "water need" and "development potential". The information obtained at Ward headquarters on all villages in the Ward ("general questionnaire", see app. 2) presented on the Ward Infrastructural maps 3.14.04-06-04 to 06 has been used.

The reliability of the data available at ward level is lower than that of the data used for the individual ranking order. On the one hand, the information from Ward headquarters could not be checked in detail. On the other hand some factors are related to the Ward's population, so that reasonable approximations had to be made regarding the geographical position of the Ward boundaries in relation to enumeration area borders used in the Census. Obviously, the results are subject to a fair margin of the error. Therefore, a dual scoring procedure is introduced:

- first, the revelant indicators are distinguished for each factor and a score is assigned to each indicator as in the system described in section VI.2; the Ward scores are determined for one factor and the Wards are listed in order of decreasing scores;
- secondly, the provisional ranking order per factor is divided into three equal parts and all wards within one part receive the same final score for this factor. These parts or groups are further referred to as "categories".

The relative water need of the wards, the first factor of priority ranking, is determined by relating the number and types of existing modern water sources and supplies to the 1967 population of the Ward. Indicators used are:
a. the number of the villages with (connection to) a piped water supply system (=A)
b. the number of lined shallow wells and boreholes (=B);
c. the number of other improved sources such as dams, and charcos (=C);
d. the estimated 1967 population ( $=$ P).

Different importance is assigned to the various types of supplies; the relative weight of group (B) is taken to be twice that of group (C) and that of group (A) five times that of group (B). The provisional ranking order of the Wards for the
factor water need follows now from the value of $\frac{P}{10 A+2 B+C}$
Obviously, priority for water development should be given to the category of wards where the above factor has the highest values. In keeping with the scoring system of sub-section VI.2.1. the category $I$ scores 40 points. The categories II an III get 20 and 0 points respectively.

For the "development potential" two factors are taken into account being "facilities" and "infrastructure". Following the same reasoning as applied in section VI. 2 priority should be given to the implementation of water supplies in the category of Wards which are relatively most developed in terms of facilities and infrastructure. Out of a maximum possible score of 60 points for "development potential" both factors are allotted 30,15 and 0 points each for the categories I, II and III respectively. It was not possible to include at Ward level factors related to the state of agriculture or the agricultural potential.

The indicators used for the factor "facilities" are:
a. the number of medical facilities, being

- hospitals and health centres ( $=\mathrm{D}$ ) ; or
- dispensaries with or without clinic ( $=\mathrm{E}$ ) ;
b. the number of schools, distinguished as - governmental or private primary schools (=F) ; or - secondary schools (=G);
c. the number of trading centres in - concentrated settlements ( $=\mathrm{H}$ ) ; or - other settlements (=I), for definition see section IV. 3;
d. the number of missions (=J).

Again following the scoring system for individual villages, different importance should be given to the above indicators. Moreover the total of (weighted) facilities should be related to the estimated population $(=P)$ in order to compare different Wards and determine their relative priority. The Wards belonging to category $I$ are those for which the factor $\frac{10 D+5 E+3 F+6 G+4 H+2 I+J}{P}$ has the highest values.
The relative weighting of the various indicators follows from the co-efficients in the above formula.
The values of the variables $D$ to $J$ can be read from the ward Infrastructural maps no. 3.14.04-06-04 to 06.

The factor "infrastructure" is assessed for each Ward on the basis of:
(a) the total length of railroad and all-weather roads in km ( $=\mathrm{K}$ ) ;
(b) the total length of dry-weather roads in $\mathrm{km}(=\mathrm{L})$; which indicators are related to:
(c) the total area of the ward in $\mathrm{km}^{2}(=W)$, exclusive of gazetted areas. In this case category I contains the wards with the highest values of $\frac{2 K+L}{W}$

App. 13 gives the scores per ward of the three factors, and the category to which each Ward belongs in the preliminary water development priority ranking. The category with the highest priority comprises wards scoring 65 points or more, whereas the lowest priority is indicated by scores of 40 points or less. A summary of the preliminary ranking order is presented in table VI. 5 .

Table VI. 5 Summary of preliminary water development priority ranking of wards

| district | division | Wards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | category $1=$ highest prrority | category 11 = medium priority | category III = lowest priority |
| Kahama | Dakama | Ukamba | Mpunze <br> Isaka, Zongomera <br> Ngogwa | Ukune Isagehe, Mhongolo |
|  | Kahama |  |  |  |
|  | Msalala | Busangi, Ngaya, Lunguya |  |  |
|  | Mweli | Ulewe | Ushetu, Uyogo |  |
|  | Siloka | Mbogwe | Runzewe, Ushirombo | Masumbwe |
| Shinyanga | Itwangi | Usule | Didia, Isemela, Tinde | Hola, Itwangi |
|  | Kishapu | Mwakidova | Uchunga, Kishapu <br> Bubiki, Mondo, Maganzo | Shagihilu, Masanga, Mwamalasa, Somagedi |
|  | Mondo | Bunambiyu, Bugoro, Songwa, Lohumbo |  |  |
|  | Negezi | Talaga | Ibadakuli, Itilima, Ukenyenge, Mwamashele | Kiloleli, Lagana, Ngofila |
|  | Nindo | Solwa | Iselemagazi, Nyang'ombe | Salawe, Mwantini, Lyabukande |
|  | Samuye | Mwamala | Usanda/Singita, Samuye |  |
| Bariadi | Mjini |  | Kizumbi | Chibe |
|  | 1tilima |  | Luguru, Mwamapalala | Zagayu, Nkoma, Mbita |
|  | Kanadi |  | Lagangabilili, Bumera | Chinamili, Sagata |
|  | Ntuzu | Somanda. Bunamhala, Mwabubingi, Gabu | Nkololo, Mhangu, Dutwa | Nyakabindi |
| Maswa | Meatu | Itinje, Imalaseko | Bukundi, Nkoma | Mwabuzo, Semu-Kimali, Kisesa |
|  | Mwagala | Busilili, Dakama | Lalago, Sukuma | Mpindo, Ipililo |
|  | Nung'hu | Masela | Buchambi <br> Malampaka, Nyabubunza | Isanga <br> Kulimi, Shishiyu |
|  | Sengerema | Badi |  |  |

Contrary to the results of the preliminary priority ranking of individual vilages, Kahama District has the largest proportion of Wards belonging to category $I$, the highest priority. Little difference is found between Shinyanga and Bariadi Districts, which have clearly lower proportions of high scoring Wards than Maswa District.
A summary of the frequency distribution of the scores per indicator (see table VI.6) shows that water need is very high in Maswa District, still considerable in Bariadi, whereas the situation in Kahama and Shinyanga District is rather favourable.

This reflects the good shallow groundwater potential of Kahama (see Technical Annex C, Hydrogeology) and the relatively large number of existing supplies in the Shinyanga District. As regards the factor facilities Kahama scores very favourable because of the large number of facilities in relation to the population. This circumstance is not apparent in the ranking of individual villages (see sub-section VI.2.2) as the facilities are spread out and not concentrated in larger villages. The very low score for the Wards in Bariadi District points to the exact opposits.
Finally the results for the indicator infrastructure confirm the predominant position of Shinyanga District in this respect.

Table VI. 6 Frequency distribution of priority scores for wards per indicator

| INDICATOR | Score | frequency distribution in \% |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Shinyanga | Maswa | Bariadi | Region |  |
| 1. water need |  | 39 | 49 | 19 | 12 | 33.6 |
| 2. facilities |  | 44 | 33 | 19 | 41 | 33.6 |
|  |  | 17 | 18 | 62 | 47 | 32.8 |
|  | 0 | 17 | 33.3 | 38 | 41 | 32.8 |
| 3. infrastructure | 15 | 22 | 33.3 | 29 | 53 | 33.6 |
|  | 30 | 61 | 33.3 | 33 | 6 | 33.6 |
|  | 0 | 22 | 23 | 57 | 35 | 32.8 |

The three priority categories are also indicated on a regional map, no. 3.l4.04-06-10, which may serve to distinguish areas of priority for improvement of the water supply situation.

The preliminary priority ranking of Wards determined in this section is recommended in Technical Annex $H$ as a guideline for the implementation of a crash programme for the construction of shallow wells to improve the water supply to the rural areas.

The suitability of this type of supply depends, however, on the hydro-geological conditions of the area, which constraining factor has to be applied to the preliminary water development priority ranking of wards in order to arrive at the final one.

On map 3.14.04-06-10 the Wards have, therefore, been further classified in the following three groups:

- shallow wells generally feasible;
- shallow wells limitedly feasible;
- shallow wells generally infeasible.

This point is further dealt with in Technical Annex $H$, "Economy and general aspects".

Chapter VII WATER SUPPLY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

## VII.1. Introduction

The commitment of Tanzania to provide adequate rural water supplies and the general guidelines along which this commitment will be fulfilled are concisely spelt out in the Second Five Year Plan and were amply discussed in the Conference on Rural Water Supplies in East Africa held in April 1971 in Dar es Salaam. The plan mentions the various economic and social benefits ensuing from improved water supplies, including that of a more efficient settlement pattern. It points out, however, that in the face of limited resources a fully satisfactory solution cannot be reached in the near future, and as a consequence gives the highest short-term priority in the short term to low cost projects benefitting a maximum number of people. Planners are reminded that the provision of rural water should in principle be aimed at (ref. 2.):
( I) Development of Ujamaa villages;
( II) Areas of acute scarcity of water;
(III) Areas of population concentration;
( IV) Promotion of productive activities for agriculture and livestock.

In his opening address to the conference on Rural Water Supplies, the Minister for Water and Power reiterated the recommendations made in the plan. He pointed out that the rural areas should be provided with safe and adequate water within the next twenty years. Moreover, he urged planners not to forget that the implementation of projects will always depend on political decisions, the priority to Ujamaa Villages being an example.
A master-plan should not merely provide information on available and potential water resources but should come forward with a ranking of areas according to water requirements and development potential, having taken manpower and financial restraints into account. In more explicit terms this means that in addition to an assessment of present water needs data must be collected on agriculture possibilities, social and economic infrastructure, and demography, and that the planning of water supplies should be closely co-ordinated and related to the development programmes.

Based on these principles a preliminary priority ranking system has been developed by Nedeco, see chapter VI.
Only if priority is given to the construction of water supplies in areas or projects with a good development potential, can the provision of such supplies contribute to and stimulate an overall development.
Several studies exist on the relationship between improved water supply and an overall rural development. For Tanzania the research of Warner (ref. ll.) and Heynen en Conyers (ref. 22.) are the most relevant in this respect. However their conclusions
are tentative because of the limited scale of the surveys. The major finding is that very few of the benefits expected from water occur spontaneously. Water in itself proves to be insufficient to stimulate rural development. It appears, therefore, that water must be provided as part of a "package deal" and that the people should fully participate in the project. These conclusions are confirmed by I.D.Carruthers, mainly based on the study of rural water investment in Kenya. He states that ".. water investment, though perhaps necessary for creating a progressive rural environment, is clearly not sufficient" (ref. 24). The same can be said with regard to a stimulation of concentrated settlements. In Nzega rapid growth of settlements seems to have occurred around water points located in existing villages, and more moderate growth around those located not in a village but on a road, the combination of road and water providing a nucleus. However, the points located elsewhere have not yet attracted any noticeable settlement. It may be concluded that water is not the main factor determining the location of settlements. Therefore if optimum use is to be made of the investment in the water supply from the various resources for implementation the water development programme should not be considered on its own.
It should be an integral part of an overall regional development programme.
The drawing up of a regional development plan is beyond the scope of this study. It seems reasonable however, to assume, in keeping with the national policy of self reliance in labour and the priority towards agricultural development and in view of the fact that almost the entire population of the Region is engaged in agriculture and animal husbandry, that such a regional plan would be aimed at the optimization of the average income derived from these sectors, either per family or per group of families cooperating, (e.g. in an Ujamaa village). Nedeco has therefore studied in outline the possible development of agriculture and animal husbandry over the period of the master-plan, the main conditions that have to be fulfilled to achieve such a development and the consequences this may have on the master-plan. Reference is made to Technical Annex E, "Agriculture".
The method applied is based on an optimum use of the available land, capital or labour, whichever is scarcest. Promising crops, yield projections, input requirements, availability of land, labour and capital, price and market projections, and changes in the composition of the livestock herd are estimated.
VII.2. Considerations for a regional development plan

As has been said, the design of a regional water master-plan cannot be considered without taking into account the socioeconomic developments in the Region for the coming decades. In many countries a water master-plan has been developed as an
isolated planning programme, mainly based on demographic extrapolations.
In this study the future water supply is seen as an integrated part of a regional development plan. In keeping with the statements made by the Tanzanian Government (see section VII.l) the purpose of the water master-plan will be to provide every inhabitant of the Region with adequate and safe water by 1991. The socio-economic development which would result from the implementation of a regional development plan will determine the future population distribution and the settlement pattern, both essential elements for the planning of future water supplies. The design criteria proposed in Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering", clearly illustrate the importance to the planning of socio-economic developments, as they relate the types of supply to the number of consumers, type of settlement, standard of living, development potential of the area, etc.

As the majority of the population in the Region is occupied in agriculture, the socio-economic development will mainly be determined by the agricultural sector, the more so since the prospects for other economic activities during the period 19741991 are considered very limited indeed. The Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Co-operatives (Kilimo) intends to build an abattoir possibly with a fertilizer plant, and a spinning mill is also on the list of new projects.
Generally speaking, however, the Region does not (yet) provide a suitable environment for industrial development.

In the description of the Region under section IV. 3 on demography it has been said that for the coming decades an annual growth rate of $3 \%$ is expected for the population as a whole. The 1967 population of 899,468 will then increase to 1,828,000 in 1991.
In view of the predominance of agriculture in the regional economy the growth rate of the agricultural population (those employed in this sector together with their dependents) is taken to be only slightly lower than the overall-growth, i.e. 2.7 per cent per year (see the demographical projections of the next section VII.3). On this assumption, some l,630,000 people will constitute the agricultural population of the Region by 1991. It is clear that this considerable increase will make demands on the agricultural output. Considering the Region as a whole, with the present system of land use, the increasing population can be accommodated only for some 10-15 years from 1972 onward. That is why a more intensive use of land will be necessary to accomodate the farmers within the regional boundaries. The scope of the Nedeco survey precludes the consideration of the effects of developments outside the Region, such as immigration from Mwanza Region into Shinyanga or emigration from Shinyanga to Tabora Region.

In the report on agriculture, Technical Annex E, detailed recommendations are formulated to improve the gross and net
production values per hectare. Based on a division of six agronomic zones, covering the Region, the agricultural carrying capacity is calculated with an extrapolation to 1991, in which a significant increase of the average yield has been included. If these figures per division are related to the distribution of the farming population in 1991 it appears that in a number of divisions the population will surmount the carrying capacity. The population density in these areas will increase to such an extent that the land available for each production unit, being the rural household group, will be less than anticipated under conditions of improving agricultural output, and moreover that very marked differences in income will occur. An additional disadvantage would be that if farm sizes decrease it may be expected that as food crops have first priority the area with cash crops, such as cotton, paddy and oil seed, will diminish.
In particular in the Itilima, Sengerema, Itwangi, Mondo, Negezi (north), Samuye and Mjini divisions the future population will exceed the carrying capacity of the land. On the other hand there are areas like Kahama that have a vast quantity of arable land, where the carrying capacity will not be utilized by the local population in 1991, leaving ample opportunities for new settlers.
On the basis of the above considerations it is concluded in Technical Annex E that a redistribution of the farming population could play a decisive role in the intensification of land-use and as such should be a basic element of the development plan and of the water master-planning. Technical Annex E, "Agriculture", deals with the possible development models which would further suit the above objective. The alternative which would provide the agricultural population, especially in the long run, with the highest income and the most even distribution of this income, has been elaborated. It calls for an active migration to be started in 1976, which would make it possible that as far as crop production and livestock-keeping are concerned the Region will not be fully occupied before 2005-2010. Criteria are suggested for the selection of emigration areas, from which people should be encouraged to move, and immigration areas to which new settlers should be attracted. The effects of the implementation of such active migration policy on the population distribution over the period up to 1991 are relevant to the water masterplan.

The promotion of a settlement pattern where people live in concentrated villages is also considered a major objective of the regional development plan. This is in line with the national policy of villagization which is more or less a prerequisite for any co-operative undertakings, a necessary condition for the improvement of the agricultural production.

In addition, seen from a different angle, the provision of adequate facilities, water supply being one of these, is very
much hampered by the existing settlement pattern under which most people live widely dispersed.
As has been said earlier, the design of a complete Regional Development Plan is beyond the scope of the present report. However, the above mentioned objectives are reasonable assumptions for an overall planning on which a water master-plan can be based.

## VII.3. Demographical projections

The present population in the Shinyanga Region is to a large extent dependent on agriculture. According to the Census of 1967 the percentage of the population employed in farming and livestock was $95.6 \%$ of the active population, being $53.5 \%$ of the total population of the Region (see table IV.3).
For the period 1972 - 1991 it may be assumed that the economic development will be mainly determined by the agricultural potential of the Region. A significant increase of the other economic sectors is not likely. This fact is illustrated by the few possibilities for industrialization as mentioned in the previous section and the diminishing importance of diamond mining at Mwadui.
Based on these economic considerations it may be assumed that only a slight increase of the non-farming population during the planning period may be expected. Therefore the annual growth rate for the farming population in the Region has been estimated at $2.7 \%$ versus an overall growth rate of the Region of $3 \%$ annually.
The extrapolation of the 1967 population up to 1991 are based on these growth-rate assumptions. Table VII.l shows the estimated population of Shinyanga Region in 1967 and 1991 by economic activity.

Table VII.l. Population of Shinyanga Region in 1967 and 1991 by economic activity

|  | 1967 | 1991 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| total population of the Region | 899,468 | $1,828,000$ |
| farmers | 859,891 | $1,630,000$ |
| non-farmers |  |  |
| non-farmers in \% of total | 39,577 | 198,000 |
| population | $4.4 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |

Up to now, the population distribution has been given in accordance with the type of settlement in 1967. The data from the sociological survey indicated a correlation between population-size and type of settlement and the rate of growth.

The growth rates for existing concentrated settlements in 1972 have been estimated from this relationship and are listed in table VII.2. For Shinyanga town the Nedeco census, carried out in June 1972 actually showed an annual growth of more than 9\% for the period 1967-1972 (see appendix 10).

Table VII.2. Annual growth rates for concentrated settlements (existing in 1972)

| settlement | population size <br> in 1972 | economic activity | owth- <br> te <br> yr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kahama/Bariadi/ <br> Maswa towns <br> Shinyanga town Mwadui mines concentrated settlements |  | non-farmers <br> same <br> same | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 8-9 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2,000-6,000 |  |  |
|  | 11,500 |  |  |
|  | 8,000 |  |  |
|  | 400-1,000 | farmers and non-farmers | 3 |
|  | 1,000-2,000 | same | 3-3.5 |
|  | 2,000-4,000 | same | 3.5-4.5 |
|  | more than 4,000 | same | 5 |

The above mentioned growth rates have been applied for the calculations of the population distribution in 1991, shown in table VII.3. The procedure followed was:
a. The population in the district capitals and the Mwadui mines is derived from the figures of 1972 and consists entirely of non-farmers.
$\begin{aligned} &\left.\text { Kahama/Bariadi/Maswa towns: } \begin{array}{rl}1,0519 \times 11,400 & =29,000 \\ \text { Shinyanga town } & : 1,08^{19} \times 11,500\end{array}\right)=50,000 \\ & \text { Mwadui mines }: \text { constant }\end{aligned}$
b. The total population of the concentrated settlements in the area in 1972 was calculated by applying different growth rates for the respective sizes of the settlements. Concentrated settlements, 1972 pop.

| $400-1000: 1,03$ | $89,600=$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $1000-2000: 1,0325^{19} \times 61,800=$ | 157,000 |
| $2000-4000: 1,04,000$ |  |
| $4000: 1,0519 \times 36,600=$ |  |
|  | 77,000 |
| Total |  |

c. Besides the existing concentrated settlements a group of new settlements in development areas, according to the implementation of a regional development plan, has been distinguished, (see sections VII.5 and 6). The total farming population for this category was estimated at some 278,000 people in 1991 in Technical Annex E, "Agriculture". sections V. 7 and 8.
d. The differentiation between farming and non-farming population was calculated as follows. The non-farming population, living outside the district towns and the Mwadui mines amounts to $198,000-87,000=111,000$ people. It may be assumed that this group will be located in concentrated settlements proportionally divided between the old and new settlements. The proportion then becomes $16 \%$ for both types of concentrated settlements.
e. The population of the scatterd settlements follows from the Regional total and the totals for concentrated settlements.

Table VII.3. Estimated population distribution in 1991 by type of settlement and economic activity.


Comparing the data of this table with the figures of 1972 it appears that the population living in towns in the Region will increase from 30,900 to 87,000 , while the population of existing concentrated settlements will grow from 199,200 to 375,000 to which number 330,000 has to be added, being the population of the new concentrated settlements in the development areas.
The proportion of the scattered population would decrease during the planning period from $77 \%$ in 1967 to $55-60 \%$ in 1991.

The farming population in existing concentrated settlements in 1967 was 153,900 (see app. ll), while for 1991 a population of 316,000 is expected. This means for the period 1969-1991 an annual increase of 3.0 per cent, slightly more than the growth rate of the total farming population, being 2.7. The implication is that during the planning period a slight tendency among the farming population to settle near existing concentrated villages is assumed. The validity of this assumption is suggested by the results of the sociological survey. The overall growth of the farming population having been taken at 2.7 per cent a year, the growth of the scattered farming population of $1967(706,000)$, a group which by 1991 is divided into those who have migrated to new concentrated settlements in development areas and those remaining in scattered villages, might be assumed to amount to 2.62 per cent a year over the period 1967-1991. Though consistent from the mathematical point of view, it would indicate an unrealistic confidence in the accuracy of the data and assumptions underlying the predicted growth rates of table VII. 2 to use this figure for the annual growth of scattered settlements. Given the occupation of their inhabitants the overall rate applied to the category "farmers" of $2.7 \%$ a year is proposed instead.
The use of this figure in section VII. 5 leads to an estimate of the scattered population of $1,060,400$ in 1991 or an overestimation of $2.4 \%$ as compared with table VII.3. This negligible discrepancy over a period of 24 years indicates a satisfactory degree of consistency between the assumptions made for growth rates differentiated according to natural access, economic activity, or type of settlement.

On the basis of the demographical data in this section detailed calculations will be made in section VII. 5 per division for the population distribution over the master-plan period at the (interim) years 1976, 1981 and 1986. The results are relevant to the water master-plan and will further be used in Technical Annex $G$ on 'Civil engineering'.
Summarizing the implications of demographic developments for the water master-plan it can be said that:
(i) The increase of the population of district capitals, the Mwadui mines and the existing concentrated settlement is known for the entire master-plan period; the topographical locations are shown on maps 3.14.04-01-01 to 03.
(ii) The number and population of new concentrated settlements to be supplied with piped water in each period of five years is known; the area in which the new settlements will be located can only be assessed generally within a division (see Technical Annex E).
(iii) The scattered population of each division can be determined for each interim year, as well as its average distribution over the areas with a different water potential. The latter two points depend of course entirely on the priority criteria to be adopted for the selection of immigration and emigration areas. The migration alternatives 1.a.c and l.a.d defined in Technical Annex E, are discussed as are the results of their implementation on the population distribution.

## VII.4. Socio-economic development

In view of the predominant role of agriculture in the regional economy a regional development plan should be aimed at the optimalization of the average income derived from the crop production and animal husbandry sectors, either per family or per group of families, cooperating in an Ujamaa or other forms of co-operative villages. Planning of water supply should be closely co-ordinated with the region-wide development planning of crop and livestock production in the present farming areas and the farm settlement areas to be developed.
In the report on agriculture some alternative development models of the regional agricultural potential, dependent on topography, soil texture, fertility, rainfall and evaporation distribution, as well as present and future conditions of pastures and bush encroachment, are considered. In this section the main aspects of the actual and future economic development of the area are discussed.

Technical Annex E divides the whole Region into six agronomic zones. Within each zone the natural conditions are assumed to be more or less constant, enabling the optimum choice of a combination of crops suitable for the area with an average yield per ha deviating only to a limited degree. The zones have been located within existing administrative boundaries wherever possible.

The zones are indicated on fig. V.l of Technical Annex E. Generally boundaries could be matched with administrative, divisional boundaries. For the divisions Negezi, Kishapu and Meatu a distinction between a northern and southern part was necessary.

For each agronomic zone a number of promising crops, adapted to the natural conditions, were considered. Yield estimates were made on the assumption that agriculture in the Region would
develop both along current lines and, by active promotion of modern husbandry methods, as a package deal including extension service and credit facilities, co-operative villages, etc. It is shown that by 1991 the latter development model would lead to a very considerable improvement of the Net Production Value (NPV) derided from agriculture per average farming household.

Table VII.4. Sub-division of the Region into agronomic zones

| number zone | agronomic zone | constituting division(s) | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | South \& West Kahama | Dakama, Mweli, Siloka | Kahama |
| 2 | East Kahama | Kahama, Msalala | Kahama |
| 3 | West Shinyanga | Itwangi, Nindo | Shinyanga |
| 4 | Central Shinyanga East | Samuye, Mjini, Mondo | Shinyanga |
|  | Maswa \& Bariadi | Sengerema, Nunghu Itilima, Ntuzu, Kanadi | Maswa Bariadi |
| 5 | East Shinyanga \& East Maswa | ```Negezi (north), Kishapu (north) Mwagala, Meatu (north)``` | Shinyanga Maswa |
| 6 | Southeast <br>  <br> South Maswa | Negezi (south), <br> Kishapu (south), <br> Meatu (south) | Shinyanga Maswa |

The optimum cropping patterns to achieve this, and from these the average farm sizes, have been calculated for each agronomic zone. The cropping patterns assume that each household or group of households will provide its own labour and will give first priority to the cultivation of its own food crops, in line with both the present system and the government's policy.

It appears that in zones 1 to 5 preference should be given to crop production instead of livestock keeping, provided of course, that the land is suitable to this purpose. For zone 6 a combination of food crop production and livestock keeping would offer the best solution.
As a next step the number of people of the farming population,
who can be accommodated so that each member has an area of agricultural land that he can handle optimally, has been estimated for the various divisions of each agronomic zone. This number is referred to as the "carrying capacity" of the division. If the carrying capacities are related to a mere extrapolation of the 1967 population of each division, the analysis given in the agricultural report makes it clear that in several divisions the 1991 population will surmount its carrying capacity, notably in the divisions Itilima, Sengerema, Itwangi, Mondo, Negezi(north), Samuye and Mjini, while in particular in Kahama District the population is far less than its carrying capacity.
This is to say that in the divisions mentioned the availability of land to each production unit will be less than anticipated and therefore the possibilities based on labour will not be utilised adequately. Consequently the average income will be less than that possible, the more so as available land will firstly be allocated to the cropping of food crops, while cash crops will only be grown on the remainder. The excess of population under natural growth over the carrying capacity is especially large in zone 6, where livestock keeping requires an average grazing area per household or group of households which is about two times the size of arable land to be allocated to cash crop production in the other agronomic zones. On the other hand there will be areas in the Region where quite a lot of land will be idle, Kahama serving as an example. The differences in land distribution would not only imply failure to obtain maximun NPV's in too densely populated divisions, but would also lead to considerable variations in the distribution of income in the agricultural sector. This situation already of undesirable proportions in 1991 would worsen steadily in later years.

Taking not only the water master-plan period (up to l991) but also the farther future into consideration it should be one of the aims of a regional development plan to reach an optimalization of the average income in the agricultural sector, making full use of the production development potentials of the whole Region. It is obvious that this calls for a partical redistribution of the population allowing each production unit, the farming family, to cultivate the maximum quantity of land which it can handle as long as arable land is still available in the Region. Technical Annex E estimates, that under such a policy the farming population of the Region can be accommodated up to the year 2005-2010. The number of people to be migrated in the course of the years (see next section) is so large, that implementation of an active migration programme should be undertaken shortly. Its effluence on the population distribution up to 1991 will be an important input for the water masterplan.

The development of the agricultural potential of the Region requires further that a regional development plan pays due
attention to the modernization of agriculture and to a marked reduction of the average livestock density (see Technical Annex $E)$. These factors also influence the water master-plan.

Improvement of the efficiency of the extension service, the use of farm machinery and controlled grazing - necessary both to put an end to the present, serious overgrazing in some areas and to free land for crop production - call for farmers of each village to be organized under a co-operative system. It is therefore assumed that a regional development plan will emphasize "villagization" in the sense that settlers in new development areas will be accommodated in well-planned concentrated settlements and that a similar settlement pattern will also be promoted in the already more densely populated areas, though then the process will undoubtedly be more difficult and slower. Obviously, this type of settlement has economic and technical advantages and implications for the provision of water as is dealt with further in Technical Annex G, 'Civil engineering'.
The urgent need to reduce the livestock herd and to lower the average livestock density (shown in Technical Annex E as a prerequisite to improve the animal husbandry sector and further needed in densely populated areas to make land available for more porfitable crop production), has led to the recommendation that provision of water to cattle should only be included in the water master-plan in areas where controlled grazing is practised at the optimum density.
VII.5. $\frac{\text { Migration and its influence on the population }}{\text { distribution }}$
VII.5.1. General

In Technical Annex $E$ an attempt has been made to estimate the required volume of migration during the water master-plan period up to 1991. The calculations have been made on a divisional scale related to migration from the too densely populated divisions (emigration divisions) to the scarcely populated ones (immigration divisions), a process which is called "inter-divisional migration".
Two land-suitability alternatives are distinguished. It has been calculated that taking into consideration alternative la migration with a capacity gradually built up during 1976-1981 to 14,000 people annually, can lead to an optimum population distribution in the Region in 2008. That is to say that a total number of 430,000 people should migrate to new sites in immigration divisions. Under land suitability alternative 2 which is less favourable the figures are 16,000 annually and 464,000 people in total in 2007 .
Given the period of the master-plan (up to 1991) and the small differences which both alternatives involve for that period,
the figures of alternative 1 are used for the master-plan. An estimate is also given for the migration required within the divisions from one area to another, referred to as "intradivisional migration". Starting in 1981, it is estimated that from 1986 onwards some 5,700 people would have to be resettled annually, totalling 102,600 members of the farming population by 2,008 .
Several alternative migration schedules are considered, based on different priority criteria to be applied to emigration and immigration divisions.
As feasible dates for implementation 1976 has been chosen for the inter-divisional migration and 1981 for the intradivisional migration. The provision of adequate institutional support, the size of the operation and the necessity to execute the migration policy as a part of a detailed regional development plan seem to prohibit realization at an earlier date. A period of five years is foreseen during which the migration capacity will gradually increase to the required levels of $14,000 \mathrm{FP}$ (members of the farming population)/year and 5,700 FP/yr respectively.

It is proposed that people who migrate settle down in concentrated villages, planned in development areas according to a detailed plan and equipped with the necessary facilities such as piped water supply, schools, medical provisions, agricultural support and the like. The planning and sub-division of such development areas is discussed later. in section VII.6. Sub-section VII.5.2 deals with the effect of the implementation of migration alternative l.a.c (see Technical Annex E), considered the most appropriate one for the water master-plan. The resulting distribution of the farming population at 5 year intervals (1976, 1981, 1986 and 1991) is discussed on the basis of the data given in app. 10 of Technical Annex E.

Under migration alternative l.a.c the situation in agronomic zone 6 would develop along natural lines until 1991. The restructuring required to turn this into an area where agricultural activities would concentrate on rational and optimalized livestock keeping on a small-holder scale, with the cultivation of food crops for own consumption only, will pose huge problems. Especially so, because under this system far fewer people can be accommodated than under present land-use practices, and large scale emigration will be required. By extrapolation of the 1967 figures to 1976 the population of Meatu south would be $86 \%$ of the carrying capacity, that of Kishapu south $185 \%$ and Negezi south $247 \%$. For 1991 these figures would read 128,276 and $368 \%$ ! Stimulation of emigration in the first phases of a regional development plan will also be hampered by the current attitude of the farming population which indicates the inclination to move into zone 6 rather than away from it. It is therefore argued that an active emigration policy should not be applied to zone 6 before the population pressures in other areas have been reduced and the positive

[^4]VII.5.2. Assumed population distribution for the water mastex-plan

Farmers and their families who emigrate are assumed to belong to the category of the scattered population. The effect of migration will generally be that the scattered population in each division will be smaller then that which would follow from an extrapolation of the 1967 situation. A corresponding number of farmers will be accommodated in new settlements in development areas, either in the same division or in an immigration division.
In this sub-section the figures presented in Technical Annex $E$ for migration alternatieve l.a.c are considered.

The number of farmers migrating to new development areas either under inter-divisional or intra-divisional movements have been calculated from tables $10.5,10.8$ and 10.10 (Technical Annex E, appendix 10) for three five-yearly periods from 1976-1991, and are summarized in table VII. 5 below. Technical Annex $E$ does not specify the sequence of the execution of intra-divisional migration during the relevant period 1981-1991, but it is assumed that priority will be given to the divisions which have the highest overall density of the farming population. A comparison between tables IV.l and V.l of Technical Annex E then renders the following sequence for the divisions where intra-divisional migration is foreseen in the agricultural report:

- from 1981 to 1986: \begin{tabular}{l}
Itilima, Sengerema, Nunghu, Ntuzu and <br>
- | Mwagala (partly); |
| :--- | <br>

from 1986 to 1991: Mwagala (partly), Kahama, Kishapu <br>
(north), Nindo, Meatu (north), Dakama <br>
and Msalala.
\end{tabular}

The total population of the new concentrated settlements in developments areas by 1991 is estimated in table VII. 5 from the farmers who actually migrate, their descendants and a proportion
of non-farmers taken at 16 per cent of the agricultural population (see section VII.3).

Table VII.5. Population of new concentrated settlements in development areas

| district or division | farming population resettling in ${ }^{\text {devel }}$ opment areas |  |  | 1991 population of new concentrated settlements in development areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976-81 | 1981-86 | 1986-91 | farmers (resettlers and descenda | non- <br> farmer <br> s | total |
| KAHAMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dakama | 1.2 | 59.9 | 4.0 | 74.0 | 13.8 | 87.8 |
| Kahama |  | 4.6 | 6.2 | 11.5 | 2.2 | 13.7 |
| Msalala | 44.6 |  | 1.5 | 59.8 | 11.2 | 71.0 |
| Mweli |  | 3.3 | 77.7 | 81.4 | 15.2 | 96.6 |
| SHINYANGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kishapu north |  |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Nindo |  | 5.1 | 12.0 | 17.8 | 3.3 | 21.1 |
| BARIADI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Itilima |  | 1.7 |  | 2.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| Ntuzu |  | 11.6 |  | 13.2 | 2.5 | 15.7 |
| MASWA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meatu north |  | 4.6 | 3.0 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 10.2 |
| Mwagala |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 7.6 |
| Nung' hu |  | 0.9 |  | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Sengerema |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| REGION (total) |  |  |  | 278.0 | 52.0 | 330.0 |

[^5]The water master-plan should take into account type, number, size and locations of the new settlements. Generally, development areas will have to be found in areas where the 1967 population density was below 40 capita per square kilometre (see maps 3.14.04-06-01 to 03). Exact locations can only be determined after further detailed survey, beyond the scope of the water master-plan study. Type, number and size of the new settlements will be discussed in section VII. 6.

Assuming than no other migration will take place than that referred to above, the number of farmers and their families who remain in scattered settlements can be deduced for the target years 1976, 1981, 1986 and 1991 by extrapolation of the 1967 figures (see app. 1l) and subtration of those resettling in development areas (see table VII.5). Their distribution over each division is important to assess the various types of improved sources which will be required for the supply of water to this group. Merely for the sake of the water master-plan emigration is therefore assumed to take place from the most densely populated areas in a division. The planning produce for water supply to the scattered population by means of the improved sources described in Technical Annex $G$, Civil engineering, further requires that a distinction is made between:

- low-density areas, where strict application of a maximum walking distance to the source $(1.5 \mathrm{~km}$ is proposed as a target) is inpracticable as it would lead to excessive cost for relatively expensive supplies such as boreholes or charcos. The population is assessed from an extrapolation of the 1967 figures at an annual growth rate of 2.7 per cent.
- medium density areas; the adopted design criteria can be applied unabridged and the population and its distribution are derided from an extrapolation of the 1967 situation.
- high density areas; the planning of the water supplies must take into account that emigration from these areas should be encouraged, whether it be during the master-plan period itself or over a relevant period thereafter, say up to the year 2000 .

The resulting distribution of the scattered population up to 1991 is given in table VII.6. Low, medium and high density areas were actually determined on the basis of the 1967 population distribution maps but totalized for presentation per division, in line with the accuracy of data available and assumptions made regarding migration.
Low density areas are in general those where the total population density in 1967 was $0-19$ people per km2.

Table VII. 6 Assumed distribution of the scattered population over the course of the master-plan period according to the migration alternative i.a.C (see section VII.5.1) (numbers are rounded to nearest 100; calculations based on unrounded figures).
$\times 100$ people

| district or division | total 1967 | low-density areas* |  | medium density areas* |  | high density areas |  |  |  |  | total 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1967 | 1991 | 1967 | 1991 | 1967 | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 |  |
| KAHAMA | 1282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2312 |
| Dakama | 387 | 28 | 53 | 316 | 599 | 43 | 55 | 63 | 57 | 42 | 694 |
| Kahama | 345 | 34 | 65 | 220 | 416 | 91 | 115 | 132 | 128 | 110 | 591 |
| Msalala | 244 | 145 | 273 | 74 | 140 | 25 | 33 | 37 | 37 | 33 | 446 |
| Mweli | 94 | 88 | 167 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| Siloka | 212 | 100 | 189 | 112 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| BARIADI | 1551 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2466 |
| Itilima | 364 | 22 | 42 | 109 | 207 | 233 | 296 | 338 | 280 | 175 | 424 |
| Kanadi | 388 | 36 | 68 | 253 | 479 | 99 | 126 | 143 | 164 | 112 | 659 |
| Ntuzu | 799 | 55 | 104 | 513 | 973 | 231 | 294 | 336 | 336 | 306 | 1383 |
| MASWA | 1824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2893 |
| Meatu north | 277 | 121 | 230 | 116 | 220 | 40 | 55 | 70 | 56 | 46 | 496 |
| Meatu south | 436 | 34 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 402 | 512 | 585 | 668 | 763 | 827 |
| Mwagala | 475 | 62 | 118 | 221 | 420 | 192 | 244 | 284 | 302 | 239 | 777 |
| Nung'hu | 235 | 9 | 16 | 40 | 75 | 186 | 236 | 270 | 287 | 246 | 337 |
| Sengerema | 401 | 8 | 15 | 86 | 162 | 307 | 391 | 423 | 328 | 279 | 456 |
| SHINYANGA | 2403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2933 |
| Itwangi | 344 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 328 | 416 | 320 | 248 | 214 | 245 |
| Kishapu north | 162 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 239 | 36 | 46 | 54 | 57 | 57 | 296 |
| Kishapu south | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 205 | 249 | 284 | 305 | 305 |
| Mondo | 352 | 3 | 5 | 108 | 203 | 241 | 306 | 399 | 294 | 241 | 449 |
| Negezi north | 400 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 393 | 500 | 390 | 326 | 299 | 313 |
| Negezi south | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 175 | 200 | 228 | 260 | 260 |
| Nindo | 380 | 96 | 182 | 124 | 235 | 160 | 204 | 233 | 223 | 184 | 601 |
| Samuye | 181 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 112 | 122 | 155 | 177 | 126 | 94 | 206 |
| Mjini | 285 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 52 | 258 | 328 | 277 | 227 | 206 | 258 |
| REGION | 7060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10604 |

[^6]High density areas were determined as being those from which either inter- or intra-divisional emigration would be likely to take place before 2000. They were found mathematically by the following procedure:

- the 1967 population census figures and the data from the Nedeco survey of all concentrated settlements in 1972 were extrapolated and used to estimate the farming population in 1976 for each area of the population density maps, differentiated as scattered "farmers" and those living in concentrated settlements;
- the density of the total farming population per area of each division was calculated for 1976;
- the areas within a division were arranged according to declining magnitude of the above density;
- the assumed number of emigrants per division for the period 1976-81 was derived from appendix 10 of Technical Annex E, Agriculture;
- emigration was supposed to start in the area of a division with the highest density of the agricultural population and to affect the scattered population only. If the density figure dropped to the level of the second highest ranking area and further emigration was still necessary, both areas were considered jointly, and so on;
- the farming population of each area of a division in 1981 followed from extrapolation of the 1976 situation taking into account the decrease of the scattered population in emigration areas;
- the new density of the farming population of each area could be calculated for 1981, and the procedure repeated for 1981-86, etc.

Table VII. 7 gives a summary of the high density areas determined in the above way. They have been indicated according to the density class to which their minimum overall population density belonged in 1967, as shown on the maps 3.14.04-06-01 to 03.
VII.5.3. Implications_of_earlier_development_of_agronomic_zone_6

The implications for a water master-plan designed for the assumed population distribution as dealt with in sub-section VII.5.2, supposing that a regional development plan were to give priority during the master-plan period up to 1991 to restructuring agronomic zone 6 (Negezi south, Kishapu south and Meatu south) as a cattle keeping area, will be discussed on the
basis of migration alternative l.a.d set out in Technical Annex E. This alternative differs from the l.a.c alternative previously dealt with in that emigration divisions are simply ranked according to decreasing value of relative population density, which is the ratio between actual or extrapolated agricultural population and the carrying capacity of a division.

Table VII.7. Classification of high-density areas

| district or division | population <br> density in 1967 <br> (people / $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) <br> of more than | district or division | minimum <br> population <br> density in 1967 <br> (people / $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) <br> of more than |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAHAMA |  | SHINYANGA |  |
| Dakama | 80 | Itwangi | 40 |
| Kahama | 80 | Kishapu north | 40 |
| Msalala | 80 | Kishapu south | 5 |
| BARIADI |  | Mondo | 40 |
| Itilima | 80 | Negezi north | 20 |
| Kanadi | 80 | Negezi south | 20 |
| Ntuzu | 80 | Nindo | 60 |
| MASWA |  | Samuye | 40 |
| Meatu north | 60 | Mjini | 40 |
| Meatu south | 20 |  |  |
| Mwagala | 60 |  |  |
| Nung ' hu | 40 |  |  |
| Sengerema | 40 |  |  |

Livestock keeping in zone 6 with food crop cultivation only for own consumption calls for a relatively low population density. Emigration from zone 6 would therefore receive high priority, especially for Kishapu south and Negezi south. Table 10.1 of appendix 10, Technical Annex E, gives comparable figures for the migration alternatives. From these the reductions to be applied to the scattered population in the high density areas of zone 6 as listed in table VII. 6 for alternative l.a.c can be determined for l.a.d. The results are presented in table VII. 8. In case of migration alternative 1.a.d the planning of water supplies in zone 6 would not in fact have to reckon with scattered population in Negezi and Kishapu divisions and with a more or less constant scattered population of some 50,000 people in Meatu south. It should however be kept in mind that in accordance with the recommendations made on the rationalized cattle-keeping system in the agricultural report, water supply to the livestock of the area would have to be included.

Table VII.8. Comparison of the scattered population in high density areas of zone 6 for migration alternatives l.a.c and l.a.d. (rounded figures)
x 1,000

| Migration alternative division <br> l.a.c. (see table VII.6.) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { migration alternative } \\ & \text { l.a.d. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 |  | 1976 | 1981 | 1986 | 1991 |
| 18 | 20 | 23 | 26 | Negezi south | 18 | 2 | 0 | - |
| 21 | 25 | 28 | 31 | Kishapu south | 21 | 6 | 1 | - |
| 51 | 59 | 67 | 76 | Meatu south | 51 | 59 | 51 | 48 |
| 90 | 104 | 118 | 133 | zone 6 total | 90 | 67 | 52 | 48 |

In regard to the distribution of the scattered population in the other divisions than zone 6, alternative l.a.d would simply delay the emigration from high density areas some 4-5 years, and consequently lead to population figures about 13 per cent higher than those listed in table VII.6.
A switch from migration alternative l.a.c to l.a.d would not affect the distribution of the scattered population in low or medium density areas.
Neither would it have any implications for the population of new concentrated settlements in development areas (see table VII.5) as the ranking of these areas remains unchanged.

Vll. 6 Planning in the new areas
VII. 6.1. Planning procedure

Although it is not the aim of either this report on the water master-plan to outline a regional development plan, it will be necessary to indicate some guiding principles to serve as a reference for the future water supply of the Region. Moreover, as has been indicated in the foregoing section large scale migration from relatively sparsely populated areas will be necessary for an optimization of the agricultural income. Since large scale migration of people and livestock is a major operation, a framework for the resettlement programme has to be considered.

Regional planning is oriented towards the future; it looks to the relation between social purposes and spatial arrangements.

The process of planning may, in general, be regarded as one which leads to the formulation and clarification of goals and to their ultimate reduction to specific courses of action, programmes and projects. Thus regional development planning may be described as the process of formulating and clarifying social objectives in the ordering of activities in a certain area.
The basic question therefore is in what way activities have to be distributed in space in order to achieve the social objectives. Alternatively, what are the proper social objectives in accordance with which activities are to be allocated in a planning area. One of the main functions of regional planning is to state the ordering of centers within an area, to indentify the functions to be performed by each center and to study the interrelationship.

A regional development programme will suggest different things to different individuals, but is likely to suggest to everyone not a single programme but a number of related programmes focusing on common objectives. For a development agency, a regional development programme implies scores of individual projects ranging from those involving engineering to those basically educational in nature.

In general the following steps can be distinguished in the planning procedure.
A. Formulating the targets.

This is the phase in which the main objectives for the Region and the different sub-areas are formulated and agreed upon by the authorities. A distinction may be made between ideal and real targets.
B. Investigation.

It is essential that the planners possess information on the situation on which the planning is to be based and the means available. This phase makes it necessary to investigate in detail the several areas on which the regional plan will be focused.
C. Designing the plan.

Here the planning agency should begin by analysing the present situation and by measuring it against the targets to be reached. The next step will be to determine what action programmes can be employed within the existing situation to achieve the targets fixed in an earlier phase. Also the time and methods required for the realization of the programmes have to be determined, while a selection has to be made from among the optimum and the feasible programmes.
In most instances a number of action programmes have to be integrated.
The final plan can be drawn up on the basis of these considerations.
D. Confirming the plan.

Here the regional plan has to be presented to the politically reponsible authorities for approval or modification, while the implication for the budget also has to be considered.
E. Implementation.

When approval has been obtained, the plan is ready for implementation, which may be entrusted either wholly of partly to government agencies.
F. Evaluation.

If the process of planning is to function properly, it is essential that some idea be gained of the effect of a given action. Once that is known, it is possible to determine to what extent the plan measures up to expextations.
Such evaluation is of essential importance when plans have to be drawn up on the basis of insufficient data.

Within the framework of this planning procedure, the regional authorities will have to formulate the details of the development plan for the area. The proposed water supply programme and the implications of the necessary resettlement of people in concentrated and consolidated villages, makes it necessary to outline the general requirements for the implementation of the settlement programme.

Some guidelines have been given in Technical Annex E. Three phases have been distinguished: the pre-settlement ' planning, the execution of the resettlement and the postsettlement development. This sequence can be found in the general planning procedure, discussed earlier in this chapter.
The main elements of the first phase are:

- identification of areas suitable for settlement;
- assessment of the degree of mechanization to be introduced both in clearance and reclamation of the selected sites and in the subsequent cultivation of the lands;
- the determination of the optimum size of the settlement units;
- the preparation of a blueprint for an optimum settlement pattern, including the mapping out of new roads, watering points and sites for markets and social facilities;
- the indication of the boundaries of the areas suitable for crop and/or animal husbandry and - in the case of a communal settlement - the setting of boundaries between individually and collectively cultivated and/or grazed land;
- the drawing up of a tentative schedule for the execution of the settlement operation;
- the assessment of the manpower requirements for the execution;
- the assessment of all material and financial assistance required.

In the execution of the resettlement there are three main tasks:

- opening up the settlement areas by constructing roads, clearance and reclamation of lands and the location of villages accommodated with some social facilities such as schools, dispensaries and above all drinking water supply;
- simultaneously the recruitment of settlers will take place.
They will have to be selected from the rural poor in the relatively densely populated areas. The most difficult task will be the motivation of the prospective candidates in order to make them willing to leave their area.
- the migration process. For the relocation of the settlers' families from their area(s) of origin to the new settlements proper organization is needed. Not only the people but their cattle, goods and chattels will have to be moved.

Finally the third phase is of crucial importance for the success of the programme. It is here that the Government not only provides the infrastructure in the new area, but also reclaims and develops the land, assists in the construction of houses and in the development of agricultural production, as well as in community development.

The great variety of tasks to be carried out in the framework of the execution of the plan will involve many government agencies. Road construction and clearance of land will have te be carried out by the Ministry of Communications, Transport and Labour (Comworks), which also has to be involved in the construction of public amenities like schools and dispensaries.
Drinking water for the human livestock population will have to be provided under the supervision of the Regional Water Engineer.

Reclamation and bringing under cultivation of the land should be done by the settlers themselves with assistance of the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Co-operatives' regional staff.
Facilities and funds for the provision of services in the field of agricultural credit, supply of agricultural inputs and marketing of agricultural surplus will have to be provided by the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Cooperatives and through existing agencies.

All these activities and organizations will have to be coordinated within a regional planning board, part of the office of the Regional Commissioner.

For the guidance of the activities within the new areas, two types of personnel are necessary. In the first place community development workers are needed especially in the early stage of development.
It is felt that the number of settlements to be served by the community development workers should preferably not exceed four villages.
Next to the community development workers agricultural extension officers will be necessary in dealing with the development of the agricultural production and marketing of surplus. Both community development workers and agricultural extension personal should co-operate very closely in the promotion of rural development.

Before the implementation of the settlement plan it would appear necessary to start the programme with an experimental phase, during which the organizing institutions try out the implications and feasibility of the project. Such a project, if properly prepared and managed, would soon be a source of practical information to be applied on a larger scale during the plan period.
It is advisable to select a favourable area for this experimental phase, while the structuring of the settlement pattern has to be done in an integral way, that is to say including an areal centre, the appropriate local centres and the agricultural villages belonging to the service area of the centres.
VII.6.2. Settlement patterns

As has been said earlier, the promotion of concentrated settlements of farmers is one of the main objectives of the plan, and more or less a condition for an adequate water supply (see Technical Annex G).
A number of guiding principles were drawn up for the Region. It was felt necessary that social and economic facilities should henceforth be concentrated as far as possible in centres since this course of action would have the following advantages:
a. the various service units influence one another, and because of this interaction a concentration of facilities is usually more effective than an identical number distributed throughout a whole area;
b. a concentration of this sort increase the possibility of establishing useful public facilities which can help to raise living standards considerably;
c. the centres, on which social and economic activity must be focussed, will be able to improve the structuring of the present organization of rural areas.

Concentrating of facilities in the centres may be expected to lead to their becoming focal points of social and economic activity. This could exert a positive influence on the forming of rural communities.

It will be clear that this process can only succeed if the population is invited to help in getting centres running.

Starting from the general principle that facilities should be concentrated in centres, the following distinctions serve as general indication for a comprehensive settlement programme in the new areas.

1. District and/or divisional centre. This provides services including such institutions as a district office, hospital, etc. throughout a District, not in the administrative sense of the word but rather as a group of development areas roughly covering one division or some (parts of) smaller divisions. The district capitals and larger existing concentrated settlements may be suitable to serve as such.
2. Areal center. The activities of these centres are limited to a certain area; in the resettlement programme a centre could be allocated to a new area that will come under cultivation. These centres would provide services for which there is a regular demand. The activities are estimated to cover a radius of $8-10 \mathrm{~km}$. Starting from this assumption settlements of this kind should preferably be situated at a point where a main road intersects one or more secondary roads.
3. Local centre. Activities of this type of settlement are on a local scale, providing facilities for which there is a daily need such as schools, and shops. Their activities cover a radius of $4-5 \mathrm{~km}$, while the extent of the total area which they serve is estimated to be some $40-60 \mathrm{~km} 2$. Such centres should preferably be situated at a point where a secondary road intersects one or more tertiary roads.
4. The agricultural village. This type of settlement is the lowest echelon in the settlement pattern, having as a main function the concentrated housing of the farmers. The village will cover an area of approximately 10 km 2 and will preferably not exceed a total agricultural population of 700-800.

This plan has to be considered as an outline for a resettlement
programme, indicating how the allocation of people and services can be structured.
The different types of centres should generally be located in the heart of the area concerned and must be easily accessible from every part of that area. Furthermore a centre should be laid out in such a way as to leave space for eventual expansion of the service units even when the population of the service area has reached its maximum number. Every effort should be made to locate the centre in such a way as to make the fullest possible use of the facilities already in existence. Finally, care will have to be taken to ensure that the centre or village is in tune with its natural surroundings.

In general it is estimated that one out of four agricultural villages will be a local centre while one out of every four local centres will be planned as an areal centre. The interrelationship between the types of settlement is laid out in fig. VII.l.


Fig. VII. 1 Interrelationship between the types of settlements

It will be clear that this scheme functions solely as a model; the actual structuring will be carried out taking into account the administrative units, like divisions and sub-divisions,
whereas different sizes of agricultural villages may be required in different areas.

In the following table the characteristics and the functions of the respective types of settlements are given systematically. One area would include 12 agricultural villages, 3 local centres, and $l$ areal centre with a total agricultural population of 12,000. Assuming for 1991 the category of non-farmers to constitute some 16 per cent of the total population of these new settlements (see section VII.5) they would number some 2,000-2,500 people. By assuming distribution of the non-farmers more or less in accordance with the importance of the different types of villages, the maximum total population of areal centres, local centres, and agricultural villages has been estimated at $1,300,1,100$ and 800 people respectively. Again it should be remarked that these figures are to be regarded as reasonable averages to base the water master-plan on rather than strict criteria for the regional development plan to come.

Table VII. 9 Settlement scheme

| type of settement | estimated maximum population | maximum population served | radius <br> km | $\begin{aligned} & \text { area } \\ & \mathrm{km}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | agricultural facilities | other facilities | type <br> of access | water supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Areal Centre | 1300 | $\begin{aligned} & 14000- \\ & 14500 \end{aligned}$ | 8-10 | 200-300 | - tractor <br> workshop <br> - cotton buying post <br> - sub-centre community development <br> - sub-centre agricultural extension <br> - (veterinarian officer) | - dispensary and clinic - Tanu-Head quarters <br> - school <br> - shops <br> - market restaurant petrolpump | all <br> weather road | piped supply |
| - Local Centre | 1100 | $\pm 3,500$ | 4-5 | 40-60 | - trading centre cottonstore <br> - tractors <br> - community development workers - agricultural extension worker | school <br> shops | dry <br> weather road | piped supply |
| - Agricultural village | 800 | $\pm 800$ | 1.8-2 | $\pm 10$ | - tractor <br> - store |  | track | piped supply |

In view of the fact that in the plan for centres the establishment of service units is based on an altogether new policy, the various work methods that have been used up to the present will have to be changed and new methods, for instance with regard to financial planning, will have to be introduced to ensure success.
The government should assume control of the lands concerned as soon as possible, while legislation concerning physical
planning is particularly needed. Plans regarding public
utilities can be worked out in detail now and adapted to the future structure.
It is possible to fit the plan for centres into the plans for political decentralization. The centres service areas could, for the matter, serve as political units. Service areas would thus be assigned a new and important function.
VII.6.3. Water supply to development areas

For a further water master-plan attached to a new settlement programme, it will be necessary to have an approximation of the number of new settlements, differentiated according to type, which may be implemented before 1991.
For the implementation of the settlement structure designed it is felt necessary that in new areas the programme will start with the allocation of the area centre or/and the local centre (s). Before the number of people reaches the maximum and during the development of the centres within a certain area, the lay-out of the agricultural villages belonging to the centre has to be started.
As soon as the population within the centres reaches a certain level - the determination of which will depend on the type of centre and the expected demographir growth rate in the future new migrants are directed to the agricultural villages within the radius of the centres.
The development of the settlement structure therefore is started from the upper level, gradually extending towards the lower echelons, in particular the agricultural villages. The advantage of this policy is that the functions of the centres are built up immediately after the occupation of the new land, while furthermore - because of the facilities offered within the centres - a concentrated settlement pattern is started at the very beginning of the colonization. This will have a demonstration effect on the settlement structure of the whole area.

The number of new settlements required for each period of 5 years from 1967-1991 is estimated from the figures of table VII.5, on the envisaged volume of the resettling farming population, by observing the following assumptions:

- to allow for growth, the farming population of a new settlement at the time it is established, is taken at

550-600 people. This means that the village reaches its optimum size in some 10-15 years after which the excess agricultural population should be accommodated elsewhere. In view of the very small migration volumes in the first years (1976-81) this factor is disregarded in the water master-plan.

- the total number of settlements required per division for each period of five years follows from dividing the "farming population resettling in development areas" (see table VII.5) by the above initial agricultural population;
- the first settlement to be established in an area will be the areal centre followed by agricultural villages. However, the fifth, ninth and thirteenth settlements to be established will be local centres.

The results are listed in table VII.l0. Between 1976 and 1991 a total of 34 areal centres, 79 local centres and 323 agricultural villages in development areas will have to be equipped with an adequate water supply.

In order to use the water supply, being one of the facilities and utilities, which must be provided as a factor to stimulate migration to the new development areas a piped water supply system is recommended.

As argued already in section III. 3 the provision of water as as integral part of development of the new areas, and in view of the priority assigned to this development, requires that the water supply to new settlements in these areas receives absolute priority and is realized at the time the settlement is established. The same reasoning applies to the other facilities and services foreseen, of course.

Nett human water consumption can be estimated for the above type of centres in keeping with the griteria proposed in sub-section $V .3 .5$ at an average $35.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for areal ģentres, $27.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for local centres, and $16.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day for agricultural villages. The total design capacity of a scheme should be determined by addition to these amounts of the waterconsumption of cattle, if applicable, and a fair margin for spillage and other water losses. See further Technical Annex G, "Civil engineering".

Table VII. 10 Number and types of concentrated settlements to be established in development areas during the water master-plan period.

| district of division | number and type* of concentrated settlements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976-1981 |  |  | 1981-1986 |  |  | 1986-1991 |  |  |
|  | A | L | V | A | L | V | A | L | V |
| KAHAMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dakama | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | 20 | 78 |  |  | 7 |
| Kahama |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Msalala | 5 | 15 | 58 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| Mweli |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 26 | 101 |
| SHINYANGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kishapu north |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Nindo |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| BARIADI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Itilima |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Ntuzu |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 15 |  |  |  |
| MASWA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meatu north |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Mwagala |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Nung'hu |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Sengerema |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| REGION (total) | 6 | 15 | 59 | 16 | 29 | 122 | 12 | 35 | 142 |

```
*A = areal centre
    L = local centre
    V = agricultural village
```

Appendix l. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR WARD HEADQUARTERS
(Selection of villages to be interviewed separately)

1. What are the names of all the villages in this ward?
2. ..... 16
3. ..... 17
4. ..... 18
4 ..... 19
5. ..... 20
6. ..... 21
7. ..... 22
8. ..... 23
9 ..... 24
10 ..... 25
11 ..... 26
12 ..... 27
13 ..... 28
14 ..... 29
15 ..... 30
9. In which village is the waterneed (INTERVIEWER) Only ONE village:
most urgent?
10. Compared to the other villages inward, would you say that the num-ber of inhabitants in this villa-1. Very large 2. Large 3. Medium,4. Small.
ge is:
11. Which village has the largest (INTERVIEWER) Only ONE villagepopulation growth?5. Compared to the other villagesthis ward, would you say that thenumber of inhabitants in this1. Very large 2. Large 3. Medium4. Small 5. Very small.
village is:
12. Which village has the most facili- (INTERVIENER) Only ONE villageties located within a concentration?
13. Compared to the other villages inthis ward, would you say that the 1. Very large 2. Large 3. Mediumnumber of inhabitants in this 4. Small 5. Very small.village is:
14. Which village is entirely Ujamaa or contains Ujamaa subvillages?

Village
Subvillage


## Appendix 2. GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ALL VILLAGES (To be completed at ward headquarters)

Name of the village................ Name of the ward

| 1. Is there a school in the village? <br> a. If yes, number of standards or forms? | 1. Yes 2. No |
| :---: | :---: |
| b. Is the school isolated or part of a concentration? <br> c. does the school get it's water from? | 1. Isolated 2. In concentration <br> 1. A piped supply 2. Borehole <br> 3. Cistern 4. Well 5. Rainstorage tank 6. Other |
| d. Which of these sources are out of order? |  |
| 2. Is there in the village: <br> 1. A hospital <br> 2. A health centre <br> 3. A dispensary with clinic <br> 4. A dispensary without clinic | 1. Yes (number of beds.....) 2. No <br> 1. Yes (number of beds.....) 2. No <br> 1. Yes (number of beds.....) 2. No <br> 1. Yes <br> 2. No |
| a. If any of these are present, are they isolated or part of a concentration? <br> b. Where do they get their water from? | 1. Isolated <br> 2. In concentration <br> 1. A piped supply 2. Borehole <br> 3. Cistern 4. Well 5. Rainstorage tank 6. Other |
| c. Which of these sources are out of order? <br> 3. Is the village: | 1. Completely scattered <br> 2. Scattered concentrations <br> 3. Mainly concentrated |
| 4. Is the village a tradingcentre? <br> 5. Is the village connected to a piped supply? | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. Yes } & \text { 2. No } \\ \text { 1. Yes } & \text { 2. No }\end{array}$ |
| 6. Is there a dam within or sufficiently near to the village for people to make use of it? <br> a. Do people use it for human drinkwater? | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. Yes } & \text { 2. No } \\ \text { 1. Yes } & \text { 2. No }\end{array}$ |
| 7. How many cemented wells are there? 8. How many boreholes are there? | ......... Number not used. |

9. How many rainstorage tanks?
.......... Number not used.........
10. Is there a missionpost in the village area?
11. Yes
12. No

## Appendix 3. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR UJAMAA VILLAGES



1. In which year was this Ujamaa village established?
2. Of how many people did the Ujamaa village consist at the date of it's establishment?
3. Of how many people does the village consist at present?

| 4. Which activities are undertaken | l. Agriculture |
| :--- | :--- |
| cooperatively? | 2. Livestock |
|  | 3. Other; mention which |

5. If agriculture is done cooperatively, how large was the area reserved for collective farming at the date of the establishment?
acres
6. How large is this collective farming area at present?
acres
7. If livestock is collectively managed how large was the communal herd at the date of establishment? ............heads
8. How large is the collective herd at present? .............heads
9. If the number of people of the

Ujamaa village decreased since the date of establishment, what would you say is it's reason?
10. If the number of acres or heads of cattle decreased since the date of it's establishment, what would you say to be the reason?
11. If the Ujamaa village has not yet reached it's final, planned acres size, of how many acres and/or of how many heads of cattle and ............................. of cattle of how many people should the village consist when this goal .................................... has been reached?
12. Does the Ujamaa village make yearly use of fertilizers?
13. Does the Ujamaa village make yearly use of insecticides?
14. If the answer to questions 12 and 13 is NO, explain why insecticides and/or fertilizers are not used yearly!
15. What would you say are some of the main obstacles that this village faces in it's way towards Ujamaa?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Yes
4. No
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ ..........................................
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Appendix $4 . \quad$ VILLAGE QUESTIONNAIRE

| Name of the village. <br> Name of the ward.... | Provisional number <br> Name interviewer. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name of the district | Function informant................... |
|  | Date interview. |
| Form checked by. | Remarks |
| Check date. |  |
| Qualification G.Lang. |  |
| Number of inhabitants |  |

## A. SOCIO-ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE

1. How many hundred cell-leaders are there in this village?
2. How many ten cell-leaders are there in this village?
(including the hundred cell-leader)
3. What are the names of the subvillages; how many tencell-leaders has each subvillage; what is the number of households in each ten cell?

## Names Subvillages Number of cellleaders Number of H.H.

1.......................... 1 . 2. 3.
2......................... 1 . 2. 3.
3......................... 1 .
2.
3.
4.
1.
2.
3.

TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS
4. Which of the following facilities are there in this village? (Circle the numb.)

1. Gov't Primary School
2. Other school
3. Number of standards or forms
4. Dispensary with clinic
5. Dispensary no clinic
6. Health centre
7. Hospital (number of beds
8. Police station
9. Post office
10. Railway station
11. Tanu headquarters
12.Village training centre
12. Marketplace
14.Shops (number............)
13. Hotel
14. Restaurant
15. Bar
16. Petrol pump
19.Garage
20.Agricultural repair shop
17. Railroad
18. Mainroad
19. Secondary road
20. Completely scattered
21. Some concentration in subvillages
22. Most houses concentrated in one location.
23. They are a part of a concentration
24. They are isolated from concentrations.

People

Households

1970 ........... plus
1971 ........... plus




E. WATER
55. Where does the water for human consumption come from in this village?


Appendix 5. WATER CONSUMPTION QUESTIONNAIRE
(To be used for the interview of household)


1. What kind of containers do

| 1. debe | 5. skin* |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. bucket* | 6. no adults |
| 3. gourd* | carry water |
| 4. pot* | 7. no children |
|  |  |

* IF OTHER THAN A DEBE IS USED ASK:

How many of these containers are needed to fill a debe?
(INTERVIEWER: If farmer does not know, you examine the container and make an estimate yourself).
2. What kind of containers use
$1 . \quad 5$. children in your household to carry water
2. (code answer from list in Q. 1.) 3. 4 . 7 .

* IF OTHER THAN A DEBE IS USED ASK:

How many of these containers are needed to fill a debe?
(INTERVIEWER: If farmer doesnot know, you examine the container and make an estimate yourself.
3. Do men in your household even carry water?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Yes
4. No
from another source in the dry season (chu) than in the wet season (kiduku)?
5. Why do you get water from from another source in the in the dry season (chu)?
6. How many trips do members of your household make daily to the water source
7. Wet season source dries up
8. Wet season source becomes polluted (diseased)
9. Wet season source becomes salty or other bad quality (dirty, bad, taste, etc.)

WET SEASON DRY SEASON
7. How much water is brought back on each trip

INTERVIEWER: Estimate the equivalent in debes if other containers are used.
8. How many people and which people go along on each trip
9. When did the farmer begin using the wet season source last year
10. When will the farmer probably stop using this source this year
11. What kind of source do you get your water from?
12. How far is the source of your water from your house (in miles one way)

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

.......... ..........
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

```
0 flowing water 0
    river or stream l
l = handdug hole
    in river or
    stream bed
2 spring 2
3 = natural lake 3
    or pond
4 = hand dug well 4
    or water hole
    (no pump)
5 = hand dug well 5
    with pump
6 bore hole 6
7 = tap on village 7
    water supply
    system
8 = other
                                    8
```

WET SEASON DRY SEASON
$0=$ don't know 0
1 = less than 100 l
yards
$2=100$ yards to $\frac{1}{4} 2$
mile
$3=\frac{1}{4}$ mile to $\frac{1}{2} \quad 3$
mile
$4=\frac{1}{2}$ mile to 14
mile
$5=1$ to 2 miles 5
$6=2$ to 3 miles 6
$7=3$ to 5 miles 7
$8=$ over 5 miles 8
13. How long it takes to walk from your house to the water source
14. Which water use usually consumes the largest amount of water in your household
15. Which water use usually consumes the second largest amount of water in your household (code answer from list in previous question)
16. Do you have to contribute any labour or pay any money for the use and upkeep of your water supply
17. Would you say that the water you use for drinking is of good quality, bad quality or is in between good and bad
18. What is wrong that your water is not good quality

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

| $1=$ under 5 min. | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2=5$ to 10 min. | 2 |
| $3=10$ to 15 min. | 3 |
| $4=15$ to 30 min. | 4 |
| $5=30$ min. to 60 | 5 |
| $6=1$ to $1 \frac{3}{2}$ hrs. | 6 |
| $7=1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hrs. | 7 |
| $8=$ over $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hrs. | 8 |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

| $0=$ | drinking | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1=$ cooking | 1 |  |
| $2=$ | washing uten- | 2 |
|  | sils |  |
| $3=$ washing clothes 3 |  |  |
| $4=$ bathing babies | 4 |  |
| $5=$ | bathing adults | 5 |
|  | and children |  |
| $6=$ animals | 6 |  |
| $7=$ house building | 7 |  |
| $8=$ irrigation | 8 |  |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

| 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON


2 NO
2 NO

| $1=$ good | $1=$ good |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ bad | $2=$ bad |
| $3=$ in between | $3=$ in between |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

|  | dirty | 1 | dirty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | salty | 2 | salty |
| 3 | diseased | 3 | diseased |
| 4 | polluted by animals | 4 | polluted animals |
| 5 | other, specify | 5 | other spe |

19. Do you do anything to your water before you drink it
(I) answer is NO, continue with next question 21)
20. Why don't you do anything to your water

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

|  | YES | 1 | YES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | = boil | 1 |  |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 3 | = add dawa | 3. |  |
| $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { other } ; \text { speci- } \\ & f_{y} \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |
| 2 | NO | 2 | NO |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

```
water good l
no need
no time 2
too expensive 3
nothing can be 4
done to make the
water better
5 \text { don't know how 5}
to improve water
```

0 At home with 0
water carried to
the house
River or stream 1
Handdug hole in 2
river or stream
bed
3 Natural lake or 3
pond
4 hand dug well or 4
waterhole (no
pump)
5 hand dug well 5
with pump
6 bore hole 6
7 tap on village 7
8 other 8
WET SEASON DRY SEASON

```
l=less than 5 min.l
2=5 to 10 min. 2
3=10 to 15 min. 3
4=15 to 30 min. 4
5=30 to 60 min. 5
6=1 to l\frac{1}{2}}\textrm{hrs}. 
7=1\frac{1}{2}hrs to 2\frac{1}{2}hrs 7
8=over 2\frac{1}{2}}\textrm{hrs
9=other; specify 9
```

WET SEASON DRY SEASON
per week do the adults children
and babies in your household
take a bath

| $1=$ no times | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2=$ once | 2 |
| $3=$ twice | 3 |
| $4=$ three times | 4 |

24. Are there problems that prevent members of your household from bathing as often as they want
25. Does your water supply ever fail in the dry season so that your household doesn't have all of the water you need
26. How often does it fail?
27. How long does this period of water shortage usually last?
28. How long does it take to drive your cattle to where they get water (one way).
29. Is there always enough water for the cattle

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

```
5= four times 5
6= five times 6
7= over five times7
8= other, specify 8
    ..............
```

WET SEASON DRY SEASON


1. Every year or nearly every year
2. About every other year
3. About 1 year in every 3 years
4. Less often than one year in 3
5. Other; specify.................
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
6. less than 1 month
7. 1 to 2 months
8. 2 to 3 months
9. 3 to 6 months
10. 6 to 9 months
11. Other specify
WET SEASON
DRY SEASON

| 1. under 30 min. | 1. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. 30 to 60 min. | 2. |
| 3. 1 hr. to 2 hrs. | 3. |
| 4. 2 to 4 hrs. | 4. |
| 5. 4 to $6 \mathrm{hrs}$. | 5. |
| 6. 6 to 8 hrs. | 6. |
| 7. over 8 hrs. | 7. |

WET SEASON DRY SEASON

| 0 Yes | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. No | 1. |
| 2. Other, specify | 2. |

30. Do you have to do any work or
pay any money to maintain this source

WET SEASON
0 Yes; explain what

1. No

DRY SEASON
0
1.
31. How many families are there within the same household group
32. Composition of the household group. List:

No. Function Sex Age Remarks
$\qquad$
$\qquad$



$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
33. How long has the household group been living within the village area
34. Where did it come from

Village
Ward
District .....
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Males Females
First
family
Second
family
Third
family
Males ........... Age
Females .......... Age
38. How many houses are there on the compound of the household group including barns.
Appendix 6. WATER CONSUMPTION QUESTIONNAIRE
(TO be used for interviews at the source)

9. What is your main crop:
10. How far have you travelled to this water source?

Don't know
Less than 100 yards

- 100 yards to $\frac{1}{4}$ mile
- $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mile}$

O $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 mile

- 1 to 2 miles

O 2 to 3 miles

- 3 to 5 miles

O 5 miles and more
o other: ...........
11. Do you use the water you get here for drinking? Yes/No.
12. Which other water sources do you use during the dry season?

For drinking water For other purposes
None
River/stream
Spring
Natural lake/pond
Handdug waterhole in
Handdug waterhole elsewhere
Ring-well
Borehole
Pumpsupply or stream from river
Dam
Storage tank
Paddyfield
Tap
13. Do you use any other water source during the wet season?

For drinking water For other purposes
None
River/stream
Spring
Natural lake/pond
Handdug waterhole in river bed
Handdug waterhole elsewhere
Ring-well
Pumpsupply or stream from river
Dam
Storage tank
Paddyfield
Tap
14. If you use any other sources, why do you do this?

18. How often do you come to this water source? ......... To get how many debes per day?
19. Why don't you come more often? (not necessary/too far/have to work in fields/others: ................)
20. What are you going to do/have you done today?
21. What is your opinion about the quality of the water of this source:

Dry season Wet season
Good
Fair
Bad
22. Do you do anthing to your water before you drink it? (No/yes, boil/yes, allow to settle/yes, add dawa/yes, other: ........)
23. Do you think this village needs a new water supply? Yes/No.
24. If yes, of what kind? (well/borehole/pumpsupply from river/stream/dam storage tank/other: ......................)
25. (a) Would you work for a week to construct it? (Yes/No/don't know)
(b) If water here became very scarce, and you knew that there was an abundance of it in a village nearby, would you go and live there? (Don't know/may be/yes/no).
26. Does the head of your household ever buy water?
(Regularly/occasionally/never)
If yes from whom?
When, and for what reason do you buy water?
What is the price per debe?
27. What members of your householdgroup carry water daily, and how many times?

| Men: age | Men : | times | Women: | age | Women: | times | Chidren: | times |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:..... |  |  | . . . . | -•• | ..... | . . . . . | -•••••• |  |

28. What is the time they spend walking from your home to here?
............. hours
minutes.
29. At what time of the day do the household members usually go to carry water?

Morning from to
Afternoons from ........... to
Evenings from ............. to
30. How much water did the following family members bring YESTERDAY?

|  | Number debes | Number litres | NOTE: | If no debes were used, examine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  |  | give estimated |
| Number |  |  |  | number of litres |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

31. Do members of your household use carts, bicycles or animals to carry water?

Type of transport:
Always
Sometimes
Never
32. What type of storage do you use for drinking water?
33. Which water uses consume the largest and second largest amount of carried water in your household?

Wet season Dry season
Drinking
Cooking
Washing
Bathing
Animals
Housing/building
Irrigation
Others:
34. Where do members of your household usually bathe?

Wet season Dry season
At home
At traditional source
At modern source
Distance ..........................................................
Yards
35. What work do you have to do or amount of money to pay for the use and upkeep of your water supply?
Wet season ....................................

36. Does your water supply ever fail during the dry season, so that your household doesn't have all the water they need? (never/occasionally/regularly)

38. If a good and constant water, supply for the cattle was nearby in another village, would you go and live there? (Yes/No).
.

Appendix 7. results of viliage questionnaire

EXPLANATION



## KEY TO CODING

```
C-A]T LNSWERET
z-ACRE
C-F: \(-h\)
- Ah Jinity
cenferl EORF
- - art nusu 天-i
    -fxsct numsti fof icris
```



```
Ceveral. ic:er
(-Rit insinikic
```



```
z-Jしミt surileifrir
z-I PuRCiANT
4-2FMA2K5
cerefal rode
C-N.CT ANSHERFi)
1-GCOD
EーがったIU:4
z-PRO
```



```
C-AET ANSNTAEA
1-TC aUY MEOF RETTLS
ट-TG うGTAM NOR LPA:
```



```
4-リガにTだ
ェーCT:~ロS
- -irnies
Gevelel Cojne
G-SCT A:VGurRED
:-1 \(=6\) ?
こー 75
\(3-50 \%\)
4- \(25=\)
Eーに
```



```
c-nCT relr.vas:t
cencull creve
c-RMT C:VSWERE?
1-5 Tr: 10 r(ADSS
-īC Ti 20 mbacs
?-? 0 TO 4.) HEACS
```



```
c-nOt felevant
G:Arect Coce
C-ACT GNSNEEER
i-Incrtasfn
z-cecriaseo
z-mrgr e? LfSS tife sine
S-HOT KRLEVAT
Cf:AFRLL CESF
O-NCT ARSEFFE
1-TEAF SHIMJAS,
```



```
z-r,UTSET VILLIGE
```



```
3-WET SEASFiv: Vニ̈ SHCMFES
    Der sf: S-N: ritell: villagr
```




```
e-1 +
--An.T : ELIVAAT
lentanl rbiec
リーJIT : AGs.EFET
1-SMIKTACF 「F La.jC
くーLACK C゚F hater
E-i + ?
```




```
r-m!" h!l(VANT
EENFAD COET
```


## EXPLANATION continued

| PRINT COLUMN | line | Question | KEY TO CODING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<4$ | 1 | K－whar fill micir ratilr fim |  |
|  |  | cramklinl if： |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ב－r：＇t retrtisic |
|  |  |  | c－i．ci＇bllval．t |
| 24 | 2 | Flout Mill | 6－Nt：AL COC： |
| $\therefore 5$ | ？ |  | P－i¢：Tt：a＊Kkt |
|  |  | AMI：OL HUSUANDFY，FCF PPESEARE | H－SATIE O！16t－neloct |
|  |  | Sre LIASE？ | （－CtMTLP Celer： |
|  |  |  |  |
| ．．．－－．．．．－－－ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | l－ctitie ojf |
| 25 | 2 | Avsllatility Cfanjonl |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TH LIMF 1 |  |
| －． 20 | 1 | Pristact rif Shres | Gatate corr |
| 2.0 | ？ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5－2 TE5 |
| － |  | －UATGERS |  |
|  |  |  | こ－＊tre TMAN 15 |
|  |  |  | ＜－8irlt |
|  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | facilities fip phesevce | Y－リIS¢1ご，frer |
|  |  | Ettlote | L－CTtE Iriteitics |
| 27 | 2 | Avollilillity bi AbSiticioml | Cinctil rrob |
|  |  | faclilites lecioflag te linc a |  |
| 23 | 1 | SLuFSES Fry human hater | c－vir insirseo |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | z－wfle |
| －．．．． |  | ．．． | E－TIPEC S！IPfly |
| ．．．．－－－－－．． |  |  | $4-1+2$ |
| ．．．．．－．．．． |  |  | 5－1＋ 3 |
| ．．．．．．． | ． |  | E－？${ }^{\text {c }}$ ， 3 |
| ．．．． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\angle i$ | i | Siculces fox muvar anter | SHE LIA．E． 1 |
|  |  | C口19SUMPTIJA IN EFY SEASCN |  |
| 29 | 1 | WH：CH hatEk SUFFI．Y IJ USEJ |  |
|  |  | uy mest peiple in the het |  |
| ．．．．．．．．．－－ |  | SEASCN： |  |
| －． 29 | 2 | WHICH NATER SUFPLY IS USEC | Ste Lide： |
|  |  | iY NCST PECPLE IA THE DFY |  |
|  |  | SEASCN |  |
| ．－．．．－－30 | 1 | DISTAICL FPGM CLATRE IF | C－VET ARSmEF＝ |
| －．．．－．－．－． | ． | VIllage tc natef sufply ncst | 1－LESS THAN 1 MILE |
| ．－－－－．．．．－－．．．－．．． |  | Finewuiluty lsfej in the wet | －TC E Milcs |
| ．．．．．．－－．．－． |  | SEASCN | こ－3 Tr ¢ Milfo |
| －．．．．．．．－－－－． | ． |  | 4－5 TC7 PILES |
| ．－．．．．．．．．．． | － |  | E－NLfy Thin 7 NILES |
|  |  |  | t－art filitvant |
| 30 | 2 | distanci fach centhe gf | Ste Lige ！ |
| － |  | VILLICG TC gitef sucply nest |  |
|  |  | fatiuently usfe in the dfy |  |
|  |  | －EASCN |  |
| －．－． 31. | 1 | IS ThERE IN GENEFAL EDEUGH | GEMERAL CODE |
|  |  | WAT！F FES HUNLA CRASUAFTICA |  |
|  |  | Ifs Thit het sessca |  |
| 31 | i | IS Tr：sti IN GFAEEAL Prough | CHIErAL COME |
| ． |  | WATE：FUR HIMAN CRASJHFTICN |  |
|  |  | IH TMT LEY JFOSEA |  |
| 32 | 1 | P：GPLES RPINISA CA GUCLIIY | C－NCT AN：Sn：FEL |
|  |  | Dr fejtalag matef la tht | ア－Gi゙！ |
|  |  | ה！T SEASOA | 2－bissinatar |
|  |  |  | 2－rfil |
| －－ 32 | 2 | PIUPLES GFIAJEA CA GJALITY | SEE LJJAE 1 |
|  |  | UF HFINKING WATEF IN THE |  |
|  |  | DEY SEEASIA |  |

## EXPLANATION continued


YOLUMN 1









$\begin{array}{llllllllll}25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}35 & 36 & 37\end{array}$

## Mondo division

COLUMN 1
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\ 24\end{array}$
2526
$\begin{array}{llllllll}27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 3\end{array}$
$35 \quad 36 \quad 3$


COLUMN 1
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24\end{array}$


$\qquad$


## Semuro division



## Nung'hu division


INE:Ir, WIGIJLU $\qquad$


 08.0
200
010 $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 2.0 0 0 $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}11 & 1 \\ 1 & 09\end{array}$ CuTf 1P. 232:0 $\qquad$


| 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 00 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 34 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 40 | 46 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 00 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2

2
2
2
2
2
2
2 $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 9 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cc}1 & 10 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 03 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & c 4 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & c t \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cc}2 & 1 ; 5 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 ; \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 ; 5 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 ; 6 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ 2
2
4
2
2
2
2
4
2 $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 2 & \\ 3 & \\ 2 \\ 2 & \\ 2 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 3 & j \\ 2 & 1 \\ ? & 1\end{array}$ 5
2
$c$
2
2
0
2
5
5
2

3 Mar.hV C? $\begin{array}{ll}3 \times 2 & 08011\end{array}$ 1 11 $\begin{array}{ll}2 & \vdots \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ 3
3 99
CONE:AR, 2i 3 :

 C Mive:ik. 2i 3:0 SEltint.
Conitix. 21321


| 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | $C 7$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $C 6$ |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | $C 8$ |


| $C 7$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |
| $C \epsilon$ |  |
| 2 |  |
| $C B$ |  |
| 1 |  |

1
2
1
2
2
1

| 2 | $?$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 |


| 3 | 1 | $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | -2 | 2 |

$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & n\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrr}17 & 99 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 177 & 5 \\ 0 & \vdots & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 8\end{array}$



## Meatu division



## Mwagalla division



## Mwagalla division (continued)

SANGA-MWALUGESHA CONFNR._275?O
$\qquad$
 SHIVAVIJ







## KAHAMA DISTRICT

## Kahame division


 $\begin{array}{rr}1 ; 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 ; 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 5 ; 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 ; 5 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 ; 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 1 ; 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 ; 5 & 5 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}4 & 2 & 2 & 1 & c \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 7 & 2 \\ 8 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 9 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}5 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 9 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 9 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 9 & 2 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \text { меCHVC } \\
& \text { \???? }
\end{aligned}
$$


 03
00
01
00
03
00
01
00
03
00
01
00
03
00 1
0
1
0
1
0
1
0
1
0
2
0
1
0 $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ OMONOHOMOHOHOM 1
0
2
0
0
2
0
0
1
0
0
1
0
0
2
0
0
1
1 $\begin{array}{rr} & 91 \\ 0 & 115 \\ 2 & 115 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 43 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 18 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 20 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 999 \\ 0 & 9 \\ 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}$ BuTnGillo $\square$ 133 $\begin{array}{rllllll}32 & 1 & 00^{-} & 2 & 5 & 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$
$\square$ $\begin{array}{rrr}3 & 1 & 1 ; 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$

 01
03
00 $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lr}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 214\end{array}$
 $\qquad$
 $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}$
$\qquad$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 6 & 1 & ? & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}$
sin:
R. $35=? 9$
 2
3
3


Unf:R. 2? $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}1 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 13 & 1 & 0 & 00 & 2 & 2 & 9 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$


| $\because$ | ; | 8.4 | $\cdots$ | 1 | !: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | 2 | $\therefore$ | 2 | * | a |
| 9 | 1 | 「4 | 三 | 1 | 1: 5 |
| 3 | 2. | $?$ | ? | ? | \% |
| 9 | 2 | C. | 3 | 2 | 1;5 |
| 2 | 2. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 5 | 2 | 03 | 1 | , | 1; |
| 2 | 2 | , | 1. | 1 | 2 |


| 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 |






## COLUMN 1 <br> $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\ 16 & 17\end{array}$ <br> $\begin{array}{lllllll}18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24\end{array}$ <br> $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 & 36 & 37\end{array}$



## gariadi distric <br> BARIADI DIST Itilima division




## Ntuzu division (continued)

I TARAMANDL CuDEIR. $445 \%$ $\qquad$ $454 \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{2}-\frac{0}{2} \frac{3}{2}-0 \quad 11 \frac{2}{2}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} 10$ $2-2^{2}-\frac{2}{2}$ $\qquad$




| 67 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 2 |
| 63 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |






 $\begin{array}{cc}2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ -0 & 0 \\ -3 & 9 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}2 & 13 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 9 \\ 1 & 7 & \\ 0 & 1 & 99 \\ 1 & 34 & \\ 0 & 1 & 9\end{array}$ 99
1
99 1
2
1
2
$i$
2
$\qquad$









## Kanadi division

HA:dion
COUED: 46103
HO JCL:21
C GiJE:JR. 46: CS
MHIU:IL
COUEHR. 40: ©0
GASNA.
CNOE (1R. 462$) 1$
SABATA
CODEAR. 46207
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}61 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 00 & 3 & 2 & 21 & 0 \\ & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 61 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 10 & 3 & 1 & 0 & C \\ & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & \vdots & 00 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 21 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 62 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 00 & 3 & 3 & 21 & 28 \\ 62 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 00 & 3 & 1 & 48 & 7 \\ - & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ c & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 21 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 28 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 7 & 2 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$

| 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |

$\begin{array}{rr}1 & 12 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 13 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 12 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll} & 1 & 1 ; \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}1 ; 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 ; 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 ; 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cc}3 & 1 \\ 2 & ? \\ 3 & \vdots \\ 2 & \vdots \\ 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll} & 9 \\ 3 & 2 \\ 3 & \vdots \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lll}x y z & 01 & 0 \\ ? ? ? & 20 & 0 \\ x y ? & 01 & 0 \\ ? ? ? & 20 & 0 \\ x y ? & 01 & 0 \\ z=? & 20 & 0\end{array}$ 1
0
1
0
1
0 1
0
1
0
1
0 0
0
0
0
1
0 $\begin{array}{rr}1 & 99 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ 21 & 93\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccc}C 6 & 3 & 1 & 1 ; \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & \\ C 4 & 3 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrr}1 & 1 ; 5 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 ; 5 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lll}77=20 & 00 \\ x y z & 01 & 01\end{array}$ $22222 \overline{2}$ 3
$\begin{array}{lll}x y 2 & 01 & 0 \\ ? \because 20 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}? \rightarrow 2000 \\ 7 y & 080\end{array}$ $-\frac{3}{9}-x y 7$

| 3 | 1 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 3 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
|  | 0 |
|  | 1 |
| 0 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 1 |  |
| 0 |  |

197029
1
0
1 47032 199 $\begin{array}{r}79 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ 1
2199
21 2199 $1 \quad 23 \quad 1$
$-$

2
34
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}25 & 26 & 27 & 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 & 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 & 36 & 37\end{array}$

## Kanadi division (contimend)

-NHESHA
COOcNR 4030
MWAMर1j"E?

- CUOENR. 46307
-NWAMAGESHA
- HKOLIGOMA
chocisp. mern4 MWAGIJKI-IJJA:IAA CNIENR. 4641.6 NYALIJLEGI
-CODEUR 46409

$$
-\sin \sin x-70
$$

$\qquad$ 6342300
$\qquad$ 1222 23.12
2
2 $\begin{array}{r}124 \\ 2-2 \\ \hline-2\end{array}$ 124
2
28 $24-2$
28
2
2
$\qquad$ $\therefore 22$
$\qquad$ 41002 $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $2222^{2} 2-2-2$


64423002
 $-\quad 0$
$-\quad 3$
$-\quad 28$
$-\quad$. 0.1
8.
8
8 1
2
-1
-2 2
$-\frac{1}{2}$
-2 2.2 633
199 1
2
2 - - - $1 \div 2$

Appendix 8. WARD CODES
Code numbers as applied in app. 7.
8.1. Shinyanga District
Negezi division
IBADAKULI ..... 11
ITILIMA ..... 12
UKENYENGE ..... 13
MWAMASHELE ..... 14
KILOLELI ..... 15
LAGANA ..... 16
TALAGA ..... 17
NGOFILA ..... 18
Itwangi division
USULE ..... 21
DIDIA ..... 22
IMESELA ..... 23
TINDE ..... 24
ILOLA ..... 25
ITWANGI ..... 26
Mondo division
BUBUKI ..... 31
BUNAMBIYU ..... 22
BUGURO ..... 33
MONDO ..... 34
SONGWA ..... 35
LOHUMBO MWADUI ..... 37
MAGANZO ..... 38
Kishapu division
UCHUNGA ..... 41
MWAKIDOYA ..... 42
SHAGIHILU ..... 43
MASANGA ..... 44
MWAMALASA ..... 45
SOMAGEDI ..... 46
KISHAPU ..... 47
Mjini division
CHIBE ..... 51
KIZUMBI ..... 52Shinyanga has a separate status
Nindo division
SOLWA ..... 61
SALAWE ..... 62
MWANTINI ..... 63
ISELAMAGAZI ..... 64
LYABUKANDE ..... 65
NYANG'OMBE ..... 66
Samuye division
MWAMALA ..... 71
USANDA SINGITA ..... 72
SAMUYE ..... 73
8.2. Maswa District
Nung'hu division
BUCHAMBI ..... 12
MASELA ..... 13
ISANGA ..... 14
Sengerema division
MALAMPAKA ..... 31
BADI ..... 32
KULIMI ..... 33
NYABUBINZA ..... 34
SHISHIYU ..... 35
Meatu division
ITINJE ..... 51
MWANBUZO ..... 53
IMALASEKO ..... 54
SEMU-KIMALI ..... 55
KISESA ..... 56
BUKUNDI ..... 57
NKOMA (Meatu division) ..... 58
Mwagala division
SUKUMA ..... 73
BUSILILI ..... 74
MPINDO ..... 75
DAKAMA ..... 76
IPILILIO ..... 77
LALAGO ..... 72
8.3. Kahama District
Kahama division
ISAGEHE ..... 12
ISAKA/JANA ..... 13
MHONGOLO ..... 15
ZONGOMERA ..... 16
Dakama division
UKUNE ..... 21
UKAMBA ..... 22
MPUNZE ..... 23
Mweli division
ULEWE ..... 31
USHETU ..... 32
UYOGO ..... 33
Siloka division
RUNZEWE ..... 41
USHIROMBO ..... 42
MASUMBWE ..... 43
MBOGWE ..... 44
Msalala division
NGOGWA ..... 51
BUSANGI ..... 52
NGAYA ..... 53
LUNGUYA ..... 54
8.4. Bariadi District
Itilima division
LUGURU ..... 21
ZAGAYU ..... 22
NKOMA (Itilima division) ..... 23
MWAMAPALALA ..... 24
MBITA ..... 25
Ntuzu division
SOMANDA ..... 41
NKOLOLO ..... 42
MHANGO ..... 43
BARIADI ..... 44
BUNAMHALA ..... 45
NYAKABINDI ..... 46
MWABUBINGI ..... 47
DUTWA ..... 48
GABU ..... 49
Kanadi division
CHINAMILI ..... 61
SAGATA ..... 62
LAGANGABILILI ..... 63
BUMERA ..... 64

Appendix 9. NUMBER AND POPULATION OF CONCENTRATED SETTLEMENTS 1972

| district or division | number of concentrated settlements in rural areas |  | total population of concentrated settlements ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pop. 400-800 | pop. $>8800$ |  |
| Kahama District | 22 (pop. 12,100) | 7 (pop. 6,600) | 18,700 |
| Dakama | 8 | 2 | 6,800 |
| Kahama | 5 | 2 | 4,600 |
| Msalala | 5 | 1 | 3,300 |
| Mweli | 2 | 1 | 2,000 |
| Siloka | 2 | 1 | 2,000 |
| Bariadi District | 11 (pop. 7,100) | 27 (pop. 50,900) | 58,000 |
| Itilima | 5 | 11 | 26,100 |
| Kanadi | 0 | 3 | 3,300 |
| Ntuzu | 6 | 13 | 28,600 |
| Maswa District | 20 (pop. 11,900) | 20 (pop. 31,600) | 43,500 |
| Meatu | 9 | 6 | 14,300 |
| Mwagala | 2 | 8 | 15,000 |
| Nung'hu | 4 | 4 | 8,800 |
| Sengerema | 5 | 3 | 5.400 |
| Shinyanga District | 59 (pop. 35,300) | 33 (pop. 43,700) | 79,000 |
| Itwangi | 16 | 3 | 12,800 |
| Kishapu | 9 | 9 | 19,700 |
| Mondo | 6 | 6 | 10,200 |
| Negezi | 7 | 8 | 15,800 |
| Nindo | 8 | 3 | 8,200 |
| Samuye | 9 | 2 | 7,500 |
| Mjini | 4 | 2 | 4,800 |
| Region | 112 (pop. 66,400) | 87 (pop. 132,800) | 199,200 |

(*) not included: Shinyanga, Bariadi, Maswa \& Kahama towns and Mwadui

Appendix lo. CENSUS SHINYANGA TOWN (13-15 June 1972)

| 10.1. Total population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Men of 16 years and older | 3,808 | $33 \%$ |
| Women of 16 years and older | 3,711 | $32 \%$ |
| Children 0-15 years | 3,983 | $35 \%$ |
| Total population 1972 | 11,502 | $100 \%$ |

10.2. Population growth 1967-1972

Total population $1972 \quad 11,502$
Correction for new boundaries established after 1967

1,191
Total population 1972 within 1967
boundaries
10,311
Total population 1967
5,135
Population growth 5,176
10.3. Water distribution Shinyanga town

Estimated number of people using water from
private tap
Estimated number of people using water from
public tap 2,615

Estimated number of people using other water 1,399
Number of people with no response $\quad 2,078$
Total 11,502
10.4. Population of adjacent urban area in Kizumbi ward

| Men of 16 years and older | 895 | $29 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Women of 16 years and older | 851 | $28 \%$ |
| Children $0-15$ years | 1,295 | $43 \%$ |
|  | 3,041 | $100 \%$ |

10.5. Water distribution urban area in Kizumbi Ward

Estimated number of people using water from private tap
Estimated number of people using water from public tap712

Estimated number of people using other water 1,683
Number of people with no response $\quad 486$

## Appendix ll. POPUIATION 1967 ACCORDING TC TYPE OF SETTLEMENT AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

| district OI division | total population | population <br> district <br> capitals <br> (incl. <br> Bariadi and Mwadui) | total rural population | population concentr. settlements rural areas | non-farmers total | non-farmers concentr. settlements rural areas | farmers in concentr. settlements rural areas | farmers scattered | farmers cotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6=0.044 \\ & \text { total }(2) \end{aligned}$ | 7** | $8=5-7$ | 9 - 4--5 | $10=8+9$ |
| Kahama District | 147,628 | 3,211 | 144,417 | 16,170 |  | 1,696 | 14,474 | 128,247 | 142,721 |
| Dakama | 44,601 | 0 | 44,601 | 5,858 |  | 615 | 5,243 | 38,743 | 43,986 |
| Kahama town | 3,211 | 3,211 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kahama | 38,403 | 0 | 38,403 | 3,940 |  | 413 | 3,527 | 34,463 | 37.490 |
| Msalala | 27.280 | 0 | 27,280 | 2,857 |  | 300 | 2,557 | 24,423 | 26,980 |
| Mweli | 11,219 | 0 | 11,219 | 1,777 |  | 186 | 1,591 | 9,442 | 11,033 |
| Siloka | 22,914 | 0 | 22,914 | 1,738 |  | 182 | 1,556 | 21,176 | 22,732 |
| Bariadi District | 206,304 | 1,095 | 205,209 | 50,065 |  | 5,251 | 44,814 | 155,144 | 199,958 |
| Itilima | 58,986 |  | 58,968 | 22,568 |  | 2,367 | 20,201 |  | 56,619 |
| Kanadi | 41,628 |  | 41,628 | 2,845 |  | 298 | 2,547 |  | 41,330 |
| Niuzu | 105,690 | 1,095 | 104,595 | 24,652 |  | 2,586 | 22,066 |  | 102,009 |
| Maswa District | 224,612 | 4,726 | 219,886 | 37,502 |  | 3,935 | 33,567 | 182,384 | 215,951 |
| Meatu-North* | 34,390 | 0 | 34,390 | 6,670 |  | 700 | 5,970 | 27,720 | 33,690 |
| Meatu-South* | 49,284 | 0 | 49,284 | 5,656 |  | 593 | 5,063 | 43,628 | 48,691 |
| Mwagala | 60,883 | 388 | 60,495 | 12,970 |  | 1,361 | 11,609 | 47,525 | 59,134 |
| Nung'hu | 35,287 | 4,338 | 30,949 | 7,549 |  | 792 | 6,757 | 23,400 | 30,157 |
| Sengerema | 44,768 | 0 | 44,768 | 4,657 |  | 489 | 4,168 | 40,111 | 44,279 |
| Shinyanga District | 320,924 | 12,518 | 308,406 | 68,106 |  | 7,145 | 60,961 | 240,300 | 301,261 |
| Itwangi | 45,389 | 0 | 45,389 | 10,990 |  | 1,153 | 9,837 | 34,399 | 44,236 |
| Kishapu-North* | 21,871 | 0 | 21,871 | 5,659 |  | 594 | 5,065 | 16,212 | 21,277 |
| Kishapu-South* | 27,395 | 0 | 27,395 | 11,286 |  | 1,183 | 10,103 | 16,109 | 26,212 |
| Mondo | 44,012 | 0 | 44,012 | 8,823 |  | 926 | 7,897 | 35,189 | 43,086 |
| Negezi-North* | 47,347 | 0 | 47,347 | 7,328 |  | 768 | 6,560 | 40,019 | 46,579 |
| Negezi-South* | 20,064 | 0 | 20,064 | 6,319 |  | 663 | 5.656 | 13,745 | 19,401 |
| Nindo | 45,115 | 0 | 45,115 | 7,089 |  | 744 | 6,345 | 38,026 | 44,371 |
| Samuye | 24,493 | 0 | 24,493 | 6,431 |  | 675 | 5.756 | 18,062 | 23,818 |
| Mjini | 32,720 | 0 | 32,720 | 4,181 |  | 439 | 3,742 | 28,539 | 32,281 |
| Shiny anga-town | 5,135 | 5,135 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mwadui | 7,383 | 7,383 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Region | 899,468 | 21,550 | 877,918 | 171,843 | 39,577 | 18,027 | 153,816 | 706,075 | 859,891 |

- Technical Annex Edifferentiates between the northern and southern parts of the Meatu, Kishapu and Negezi divisions for calculations on future population distributions.
The boundary line is indicated on fig. V.1, see Technical Annex $E$.
$\cdots 7=\frac{\operatorname{tot}(6) \cdot \operatorname{tot}(3)}{\operatorname{tot}(5)} \cdot(5)$


## Appendix 12．PRELIMINARY WATER DFVELOPMENT PRIORITY RANKING






```
    * !V「&STULCTUHGL SEOOIFICARCO
    O WOUL!TICNる&くこ
    t. \lGiATINA
    7 irperf SeTPLum:OVT
    & LOCむ丁口に゙:
    `\mp@code{TyR ir rultIVATILN}
\thereforea aje ir afautit
```



```
    SigL ixUSL!TY
```



| NAME | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{x}{1} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{6}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CODENR． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 67 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WYASTjum？ | \％ | $\because$ | －$\therefore$－．． | $\therefore 0$ | 5 | $\cdots$ | 1， | $\because$ | \％ | E | $\because$ | （ | 7 | 4 | 0 | $i$ | E0 |
| ！Anty it： | ， | $\because$ | ＋（5） | $\dot{H}$ | 三 | 21 | $\therefore$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | 3 | ！ | 0 | ？ | 68 |
| SA！${ }^{\text {a }}$ ： | $\because$ | ＋ |  | ＂ | E | id | ：1） | 5 | 3 | 5 | $i$ | 7 | $\square$ | ， | 2 | 0 | 08 |
| MAくtiata | $2:$ | 1 | 二为） | 3 c | 5 | c | 二） | $\therefore$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | to |
|  | $\therefore 3$ | $\therefore$ | －as | 二！ | $\overline{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | 5 | $?$ | E | c | 7 | 7 | u | 0 | 1 | cti |
| MIHITA | $t$ | 1 | $\therefore \therefore 6)$ | 20 | 5 | 7 | ！ | \％ | $\checkmark$ | 5 | $\because$ | ） | 0 | ； | i | 0 | 62 |
| Kのs．！！！ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | ד4．．．1 | 3 | 5 | $\because 2$ | $\because \cdot$ | ； | 5 | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | ）． | c | ！ | $?$ | 1 | 52 |
| IS\％：i．Lu | ¢i | ； | 14＜，\％ 7 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | $!$ | 3 | 4 | ？ | U | 61 |
| WT：301 | $\because:$ | $\vdots$ | 55\％：1j | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | 7 | $1 \vdots$ | 三 | 5 | V | 5 | 0 | Z | 4 | ג． | 1 | 6） |
| 小大的 1.1 | 4 | 4 | 4421 | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | 5 | 三 | 2. | 5 | － | E | 5 | 7 | C | 0 | $?$ | 1 | E： |
| Kivajaralolluy | $\mathfrak{i}$ | － | E．＜u； | $\dot{5}$ | $\Sigma$ | 3 | ：？ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 60 |
|  | 9 | 2 | $\therefore 3103$ | 3 | 5 | 0 | ？${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3 | 5 | \＃ | － | 7 | 8 | 0 | $i$ | 0 | 60 |
| Mandioultu | ． c | $\therefore$ | ¢ Son？ | くO | 5 | 11 | ！ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | c | ̇ | $?$ | 0 | 59 |
|  | $3 \%$ | － | 3adi | a | 5 | 1 i | 6 | 5 | \％ | 5 | $?$ | i | 0 | i | 1 | 1 | 59 |
| BUS：13？ | $t!$ | i． | －64．3 | $:$ | j | 3 | 23 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | ， | \％ | $i$ | 1 | 59 |
| 1 G Wata | $\because 5$ | $?$ | $\therefore 2507$ | 8 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | C | 0 | 1. | 0 | 59 |
| ITIJ\％1T，16． | 6 | $\therefore$ | $\therefore 2.00$ | b | 5 | i1 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | $!$ | 0 | 4 | 1. | 0 | 59 |
| Miwnlusidu | ： | $\because$ | 4\％） | 8 | － | む | 27 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | c | $i$ | ？ | 1 | 59 |
| Mavilicyily | ： 4 | 2 | 2． 24014 | 20 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 5 | 7 | C | 0 | $i$ | 1 | 57 |
| SINGTTE | 1. | d | 二768 | i | 5 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | C | 1 | 57 |
| Madymita | $\therefore$ | － | 4 ¢404 | is | E． | i： | $\cdots$ | 5 | 4 | 5 | C | 7 | © | 0 | ） | ］． | ¢7 |
| HaLIM M！ | 4？ | 4 | $4490 ?$ | $\rho$ | 5 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | $i$ | 1 | 57 |
| Mivalm fulu－JJinat | $\because$ | T | $\therefore 10 \% 6$ | Ci | L | \＄ 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 5 | 0 | c | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | 4 | $\because$ | 0 | 56 |
| NCum Jt．： | d | $\cdot$ | －$\because 3 \%$ | 21 | 三 | 三 | is | 5 | 0 | 5 | C | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $r$ | 1 | 56 |
| KAS：whll | 8 | $\cdots$ | 1．5\％ | 20 | 5 | $\cdots$ | ！ 0 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 三 | 5 | ： | c | $i$ | ， | 8 | 56 |
| BUBIK．I | ：\％ | 4 |  | $\because$ | 5 | C | ¢ 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | C | $?$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 50 |
| －IStital | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\cdots 4$ | 3 | 5 | 2 | ！ | ？ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 1 | a | 2 | － | $\lambda$ | 56 |
| NGEi；Anio | $\therefore<$ | $\dot{-}$ | 4080 | と | 5 | 7 | 44 | E | 1 | E | 5 | $i$ | － | $i$ | $\therefore$ | 1 | 56 |
| SESKR： | 7 7－ |  | －765 | 20 | 5 | 0 | ¢ 5 | $\cdots$ | 2 | 5 | C | ？ | c | 3 | C | i | 55 |
| MWAMAPSA的し． | － 4 | 4 | ＋¢ \％ | ¿ | 5 | C | 19 | 5 | 5 | $\pm$ | 三 | 0 | \％ | $\cdots$ | $i$ | 1 | 55 |
| flyak isldily | 40 | 4 | 44ts） | （） | 5 | C | 10 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 | C | $\because$ | 6 | a | 55 |
| KASHISHI | 37 | $\therefore$ |  | 8 | 5 | 7 | 5 | $\equiv$ | 5 | 5 | c | ？ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| MPutiza | $\therefore$－ | $\because$ | 20）1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | （ | 1. | 54 |
| LUubry GIndsay | 人 | 4 | 428 | 5 | 5 | 0 | ？ 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | C | 54 |
| IG：心！ | くご | 4 | 4等30 | 8 | 5 | 13 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 3 | 5 | C | 7 | 6 | ． | $i$ | 0 | 54 |
| Sagate | 12 | － | 40＜u7 | 5 | 5 | － | 20 | 5 | 4 | ט | ？ | 7 | 6 | 0 | i | 1 | 54 |
| TId）： | 4 | ？ | $\therefore<43 ?$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 53 |
| MWAbstriYA | 3 | $\therefore$ | － 253 | \％ | 5 | $1 i$ | 17 | $=$ | $\checkmark$ | $=$ | C | $C$ | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 53 |
| Mivathilij | 三r | － | 二とうご | \％ | 5 | 25 | 3 | E | 5 | 5 | c | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 53 |
| MWatujliu－lJJムita | こ | 4 | 40310 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | ！i | ？ 5 | $三$ | ； | $\because$ | C | 7 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | 53 |
| Mwillukis | － | 三 | 二） 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | ij | 3 | 4 | j | 5 | 1 | 6 | ？ | 2 | 0 | 52 |
| IMALES：Kい | Et | － | －54i\％ | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 5 | $三$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | ； | ？ | 0 | 52 |
| idGes： | c． | － | 4安， 3 | E | 5 | 7 | ， | $?$ | \％ | 三 | $\therefore$ | 7 | t | $\because$ | ？ | 1 | 52 |
| BARI MDJ－UJ天， | $4 \therefore$ | － | $44+12$ | ； | C | 0 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | $r$ | 0 | $)$ | 1 | 52 |
| I 3 入uakul．i | $\because$ | is | $1 \times 29$ | c | こ | C | $\cdots 4$ | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1. | 2 | 1 | c | 1 | 51 |
| IUUKİ， | ？ 7 | d | $\because$－ 70 | i | 0 | 7 | 48 | $?$ | 5 | 5 | $i$ | 1 | r | 4 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| NUOL：L？JI | 48 | T | i＋ju | 9 | 0 | 7 | i 4 | 5 | ？ | $=$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | $i$ | C | ？ | 51 |
| KIDE：1A | ¢ 4 | ＜ | 214．14 | 3 | 三 | 7 | 7 | 5 | ） | 5 | － | 7 | ： | 1 | 1 | 0 | 51 |
| Masardga | ジ | － | － $3 \%$ ， | 8 | C | 7 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $c$ | 4 | $\therefore$ | 0 | 51 |
| idGoliwa | 58 | － | －5195 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 3 | it | $?$ | 3 | う | 5 | ？ | 7 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 51 |
| Luiviura | 54 | 3 | うつ4！ | is | 5 | U | 15 | U | 5 | 3 | 5 | i | 7 | is | ？ | 1 | 51 |
| LUGUFIJ | $\sim$ | 4 | 4230 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 4 | E | 5 | $r$ | r | G | $i$ | 0 | 51 |
| 2ANz11I | $\bigcirc 0$ | 4 | 42 Cl | 合 6 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 5 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 7 | $r$ | $!$ | ： | 0 | 51 |
| Klvailmily | ＜3 | 4 | くですう | $\dot{\text { a }}$ | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | c | 7 | $\dot{\sim}$ | 0 | $\therefore$ | $?$ | 51 |
| ISales： | 4， | $\therefore$ | 4 ¢ 27.3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | \j | 3 | 「 |  | 5 | ： | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 51 |
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MALIT:-JJMitća
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| NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\boxed{3}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{c} \\ & \frac{c}{5} \\ & \frac{w}{a} \end{aligned}$ | CODENR． |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 67 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113171 | \％ | 4 | 44320 | 8 |  | 5 | 3 | $\therefore$ | 05 | 5 | \％ | 5 | 0 | 7 | c | 0 | c | 0 | 44 |
| WEL：${ }^{\text {co }}$ | $\because$ | － | 2．siond | 8 | 3 |  | 7 | ¢ | ＝ | $=$ | ： | ז | b | 0 | 2 | i | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| UnYmivr：The | 6 | 1 | 14，92 | 8 | 5 | E | 3 | 13 | 3 | z | 0 | 5 | 5 | ， | 6 | 0 | 0 | c | 43 |
| ivcilio． | a， | $\therefore$ | 6． 0 | $\varepsilon$ |  | 三 | 3 | 12 | C： | ： | 9 | 5 | 5 | \％ | 2 | 1 | c | 1 | 43 |
| LYäulativis： | $t=$ | 1 | st\％u\％ | 3 | 5 | 5 | c | 14 | 43 | 3 | 5 | 5 | c | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 43 |
| KAbr！ ar ： | 7 | S | ？7．2\％ | 4 | 5 | 5 | $三$ | 4 | ： | z | 4 | 5 | 三 | ； | c | $\ddagger$ | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| I c．．ly | 7. | i | 17：0\％ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1： | ： | － | 2 | C | ¢ | i | c | 0 | C | 0 | 43 |
| 人121：の以1 | ！ | ¢ | 3\％ | ？ | 5 | 5 | $\stackrel{3}{ }$ | 3 | ； 5 | F | 3 | E | 0 | I | － | 0 | I | 1 | 43 |
| masel |  | ， | －．．s | 3 |  |  | 3 | 1.3 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 5 | 0 | 1 | ？ | a | 0 | c | 4 ？ |
| shiotirs | 27 | ＜ | $\therefore 209$ | 4 | 5 | ． | 3 | 17 | 7 |  | 5 | $=$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | 1 | 43 |
| 1 Gujy a | ： 3 | $\therefore$ | －j5\％ | c |  | 58 | ¢ | 6 | 6 c | c | $\therefore$ | 5 | c | 7 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 43 |
| KIS． 6 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | － 3020 | 8 |  | ） | 三 | \＆ | ， 2 | 2 | $j$ | 5 | c． | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3. | 0 | 43 |
| IKdjigul．yaty Ynaishi | くこ | 4 | 4．4．th | 0 |  | 5 | ¢ | 13 |  | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | $n$ | 1 | 1 | 43 |
| 1 DUT： | $\therefore$ | i． | －24？ | 3 |  | 5 | 3 | S | ． | \％ | 4 | ᄃ | 4 | C | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| cirnci | $\uparrow$ | ， | $\therefore 43 \mathrm{c}$ | $\varepsilon$ |  | 5 | 7 | 1. | － 3 | 3 | ¢） | 5 | c | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
|  | 57 | 1 | 2505 | 8 |  | 0 | 三 | 15 | 5 | 5 | ） | 5 | 5 | \％ | 0 | \％ | $!$ | 0 | 42 |
| ILAMATA | 23 | $\therefore$ |  | \％ |  | 5 | $\checkmark$ |  | 75 | 5 | $\rightarrow$ | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| 小Tびかっ儿U | i 3 | 3 | 3：330 | is |  | 0 | c | 13 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
| 1 gu゙ar | 21 | － | 32334 | 8 |  | E | 0 | 17 |  | ？ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
| Ibsatca | 54 | 3 | 35086 | 8 |  | 5 | － |  |  | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | ？ | a | 3 | 1 | 42 |
| KASILI | 24 | c． | 4く403 | $\dot{B}$ |  | 5 | 3 |  | 7 | 5 | $?$ | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| NHESA | 42 | 4 | $44 \geq 09$ | ¢ |  | 51 | 1.1 | 0 | ） | $\equiv$ | ？ | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 1 | 42 |
| 1 Sando． | 6 | 4 | $44501 ?$ | 9 |  | E | 3 | 14 |  | 5 | 4 | 5 | $r$ | U | 0 | $i$ | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| Matwis | \％ | ？ | ： 5 ¢ 0 | ¢ |  | 5 | 15 |  | 43 | 3 | $+$ | U | － | C | 0 | 1 | 1. | 0 | 41 |
| Mwasisinu | 8 | － | － 2406 | 20 |  | 5 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | $=$ | ， | 0 | 1 | c | 1 | 1 | 1 | 41 |
| SHATSTILE | 5 | ， | icsua | 8 |  | E | E | 4 | 43 | $?$ | $i$ | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 | a | c | 41 |
| KILAL．Li | 47 | ： | ¢4707 | 20 |  | － | 7 |  | － | 3 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | c | 4 | 2 | 1 | 41 |
| NGAE． | 14 | 2 | 214015 | \＆ |  | 5 | c |  | － 5 | 5 | 4 | c | 0 | \％ | 7 | 4 | ． | 0 | 41 |
| VGULIGUI | 77 | a | 2770 | 1 |  | 5 | 3 | 8 | － | 7 | 5 | 5 | C | \％ | 0 | ！ | 1 | $i$ | 41 |
| Kablitlima | 4 | 3 | 34：10 | 8 |  | ， | 0 | 15 |  | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | c | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| USHISUAStu | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | ． | 340．3． | 1 |  | 5 | c | is |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | ن | U | 4 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| Katal： | $\therefore$ | 4 | 462.4 | 8 |  | E | 3 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 9 | $\underline{2}$ | 0 | 7 | c | 1 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| HGEMAM，inali | 4 | 4 | 44.35 | c |  | E | 3 |  | 3 3 | j | 5 | 5 | $r$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | $\lambda$ | ， | 41 |
| Maliduivi | 44 | 4 | 444．ji | 8 |  | E | 3 |  | 25 |  | 5 | 5 | E | 1 | 0 | 0 | $!$ | 1 | 41 |
| ITUREMuld | \％ | $\vdots$ | 3209 | 9 |  |  | 45 |  | $\underline{3}$ |  | 0 | 5 | C | 5 | 0 | $\lambda$ | 2 | 1 | 40 |
| HOCMA | 36 | 1 | 2.3409 | 8 |  | 5 | 15 |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | O | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1. | $l$ | 40 |
| I GAlia | $4!$ | こ | 24：04 | 20 |  | 5 | 3 |  | 05 |  | － | 0 | O | 1 | c | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 |
| JKIFIGU．U | （\％） | \％ | －6530 | 8 |  | c | ） 5 |  |  |  | 4 | C | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | l | 1 | 40 |
| Mwakitul y | tt | ： | － 6605 | 8 |  | 三 | 0 | 13 |  | ミ | 4 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | － | c | ； | 1 | 1 | 40 |
| Mwayy | 57 | － | 25724 | $\varepsilon$ |  | 0 | 0 | 25 |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | ， | C | $\pm$ | 0 | 1 | 40 |
| MWals 小lil | 75 | \％ | －75．6 | 2 |  | 5 | ， |  | ， | 3 | 5 | E | $\bigcirc$ | ！ | 6 | 4 | 1 | u | 40 |
| Luthits． | 4 | － | 3406 | ¢ |  | 5 | 7 |  | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | c | ！ | ， | 0 | 1 | 1 | 40 |
| IB， Y ： | 4.6 | 3 | 34480 | $\stackrel{+}{5}$ |  | 5 | 3 | i ${ }^{\text {＋}}$ | ＋ | 0 | $=$ | 3 | C | 0 | C | ； | $\lambda$ | 0 | 40 |
| טathise 3l avo | 23 | ¢ | 46306 | － |  | 5 | C |  | ？ | 5 | 2 | － | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 2 | i | 40 |
| GASub． | \％． | 4 | $46 \times 31$ | 8 |  | E | 3 | － | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 5 | 1 | 三 | 0 | 7 | c | c | ； | $i$ | 40 |
|  | \％ | ， | $32 \%$ \％ | ¢ |  | c | 2 |  | $=$ | 3 | j | $=$ | 5 | 0 | \％ | 4 | 0 | $?$ | 39 |
| i Lumatい | $\therefore$ | ： | $\therefore$ 二̇S | 8 |  | 5 | c | ＝ | J | － | 5 | ， | E | c | 2 | 3 | $c$ | 4 | 39 |
| MWancosiga | 4. | 1 | 142.03 | と |  | 5 | 85 |  | $\cup$ | $\vdots$ | $\cup$ | ． | 5 | 1 | U | 0 | $x$ | 1 | 39 |
| Mwabusciu | 50 | $?$ | ＜50，0 | E |  | 5 | $三$ |  | 5 | 三 | ， | c | $\because$ | $\because$ | 6 | $\stackrel{ }{2}$ | j | 0 | 39 |
| Sturk Shika | 7 | － | 27303 | 0 |  | E | 7 |  | 7 | 5 | 5 | c | 5 | i | c | 0 | 1 | 1 | 39 |
| institma | $i 4$. | \％ | 27408 | $i$ |  | c | c | i 8 | ¢ | ¢ | － | E | $=$ | ． | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | ミ9 |
| LALAU： | 7 | $\because$ | －7\％9 | $\therefore$ |  | ᄃ | $\bigcirc$ | 20 | 25 | 5 | － | 5 | 5 | 3 | （ | ＊ | 0 | ¢ | 39 |
| I RuJ：， | ＜ | $=$ | scioj | a |  | 6 | c | 17 | 7 | 3 | © |  | E | $i$ | 0 | i． | 1 | 1 | 39 |
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| NAME | $\mathbb{K}$ | － | CODENR． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\therefore<$ | $\therefore$ | ．$\therefore 4 \therefore 0$ | ¢゙ | E | 2 | $1 \cdot$ | E | 4 | C | L | 7 | 6 | $l$ | i | 1 | 25 |
| MwAhcila | ！ |  | $\cdots ?$ | c | － | $!$ | と． | $\overline{3}$ | ？ | 5 | 1 | ； | 6 | $\because$ | j | 1 | 35 |
| MWill ${ }^{\text {M }}$ ． | 二心 | － | － | $Q$ | 0 | 2 | c | 3 | 5 | $\because$ | － | ＇ | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 35 |
| UYMis | $\because$ | － |  | 9 | 0 | 2 | 4 | I | 4 | 5 | ； | 6 | $=$ | 9 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| NYAMILAAA | ＜ |  | ¢－ | 3 | $i$ | 7 | $\therefore$ | $?$ | $\therefore$ | 5 | C | 7 | a | Y | $\therefore$ | i | 35 |
| GABN： | 67 | ， | $\because 47.7$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | － | i | $\because$ | ； | ¢ | 5 | 7 | $\cdots$ | c | 0 | i | E5 |
| 「】J小！${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | ： | ； | －150 | $\because$ | 5 | 7 | $\vdots$ | 1. | $\because$ | 三 | C | ； | 0 | 1 | $i$ | － | 3.4 |
| IGULIUT： | $\cdots$ |  | －¢ $¢ \therefore$ | と | 5 | 2 | － | 5 | 3 | 0 | $\cdots$ | $c$ | 7 | ． | ； | $\cdots$ | 34 |
| BUG：K． | $=7$ | $?$ | 1．794 | $\checkmark$ | 5 | I2 | 4 | 2 | O | 4 | i | ！ | （ | ； | $i$ | 1 | 3.4 |
| LYAdrid | $\because$ | $!$ | タり回 | と | 5 | $三$ | 12 | $\vdots$ | 3 | C | $r$ | $i$ | 0 | 0 | ？ | 3 | 34 |
| M1SHi－${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\therefore:$ | ． | $\therefore 0.00$ | $\bigcirc$ | （ | 3 | 17 | 5 | $\geq$ | E | 1 | \％ | $\cup$ | 3 | 2 | 0 | 34 |
| Whatiganation | $t$ | ＊ | c c 4.6 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | c | 7 | 0 | ； | 5 | 亢 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | ？ | 1 | 34： |
| MwAly $1 . T^{\text {a }}$ | $\because$ | ， | －－らa | 5 | 5 | $\because$ | b） | $r$ | 3 | © | c | 7 | 0 | $i$ |  | ． | 34 |
|  | $\therefore$ ： | ， | － 317 | c | 5 | $\pm$ | 3 | $\dot{3}$ | ； | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | C | 三4 |
| MWABi．Latuly | 76 | $\because$ | c． 750 ？ | 8 | $\underline{5}$ | こ | 5 | 5 | ， | ¢ | i | 3 | $\because$ | $<$ | $y$ | 0 | 2.4 |
| I wricama | 77 | － | c． 776. | こ＇ | 5 | ＋ | $\therefore$ | 2 | 4 | （ | 1 | $\therefore$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $i$ | c | 34 |
| KIV：HIHA． | ¢＊ | $\because$ | $\therefore 3 \therefore 5!$ | $\tau$ | C | 6 | 5 | $\pm$ | 3 | $=$ | 5 | C | 0 | 1 | $i$ | 1 | 34 |
| oUki：uncril ILJ | $\therefore \mathrm{C}$ | 4 | 46 | $\dot{\text { と }}$ | C | $=$ | 5 | 3 | 0 | $=$ | C | $\overline{7}$ | $1)$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 34 |
|  | ćs | 4 | 4 C－60： | $\cdots$ | C | 三 | ¢ | 3 | $\therefore$ | ： | e | 7 | i． | ！ | $\because$ | （ | 34 |
| CHEstriLi | $\because$ | F | 4－ 69 | 3 | 5 | C | － | 三 | $?$ | 5 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | c | 3 | 0 | 9 | $\because 2$ |
| MAT：${ }^{\text {cio }}$ | $\because$ | ： | $\therefore 2.0$ ？ | c | 1 | $\Xi$ | $\cdots$ | E | $j$ | 6 | ！ | $i$ | $i$ | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 E |
| itursenil．： | $\therefore$ ¢ | ， | $\therefore 8-00$ | 9 | c | 3 | 4 | E | 3 | $\therefore$ | i | 6 | 7 | ？ | 4 | 1 | こj |
| KAKIL A | $\therefore 2$ | $\downarrow$ | $\therefore 4107$ | t | C | C | 3 | 2 | 5 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | ¢ | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1 | ； | 三3 |
| OLU MHHIH2L | 47 | ： | $\therefore 470 \%$ | $?$ | L | 3 | 5 | 5. | $\pm$ | 5 | 5 | S | 6 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 6 | 33 |
| KAbril ！ | i． 4 | ！ | －04．1？ | 8 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 3 | $\overline{5}$ | U | 1 | L | （ | 4 | － | $i$ | 3.3 |
| TUPEMOANE | 7： | $\because$ | 9 95 | 8 | 5 | 12 | $c$ | $\because$ | $i$ | $=$ | （ | 1 | 0 | 4 | \％ | C | こう |
| K ABialui： | 5 | $\because$ | －54． 5 | $\pm$ | C | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | $\because$ | i | 5 | 6 | － | Z | 1 | 8 | i | 33 |
| MWA WET\％ | 75 | 2 | c 70.0 | $\therefore$ | E | 3 | 34 | i | $\checkmark$ | 0 | $\therefore$ | j | C | 0 | i | 1 | 33 |
| KIS．JK． | $\therefore$ ： | ： | －6： | と | ¢ | 三 | 0 | $\equiv$ | ！ | － | E | 6 | 0 | $\underline{3}$ | ， | 1 | 33 |
| ULliva． | ？ | － | $\therefore \therefore \mathrm{O}$ | $r$ | 5 | $\square$ | 三 | 3 | 0 | ： | $\because$ | C | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| ULUM，－UJsisma | $=2$ | ： | －2\％ | $\dot{\square}$ | 5 | C | 5 | 2 | 5 | ᄃ | ； | 1 | $\square$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| iNG＇uA州T： | 4 | 4 | 448： | $\cdots$ | U | $C$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | $\dot{5}$ | $\therefore$ | 7 | \％ | Q | 3 | d | 33 |
| NGULYATI | $\therefore 3$ | $\checkmark$ | 4－2， | $\checkmark$ | 5 | C | 7 | 3 | 5 | 三 | C | 7 | C | 6 | $i$ | 0 | 33 |
| MWA\％tas | $\therefore:$ | i | $\therefore 10 \therefore 9$ | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | C | $\cdots$ | 3 | $\cdots$ | $=$ | 5 | c | 0 | ？ | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| ILIHillu | － |  | ¢－\％ 7 | z | 5 | 7 | $\therefore$ | 5 | ？ | a | i | ： | c | T | 0 | i | 32 |
| ISHOC！ 1 Ma | $<$ | ＊ | $\therefore$ 2uS | ＇ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 三 | 5 | $\because$ | \％ | r | $\bar{z}$ | $i$ | $\because$ | 0 | 32 |
|  | 26 | ¢ | 2040 | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | － | C | 6 | 6 | 7 | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | i | 0 | 32 |
| PAMbi | 二： | 2 | 15： $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | 7 | U | 9 | 4. | （ | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | ？ | 6 | 1 | 32 |
| HILISH］ | 45 | i | －45dく | 8 | 5 | $\overline{3}$ | $1 \vdots$ | © | 1） | － | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | $i$ | 1 | 32 |
|  | 47 | ， | こヶ7ゴ | is | 5 | 三 | i | 5 | $\cdots$ | $\underline{5}$ | 0 | $i$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | ； | 32 |
| mHUCi | 6 | $\because$ | $\therefore \dot{C}$ | と | $=$ | 2 | ， | 3 | $\dagger$ | ＜ | 5 | 6 | \％ | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | $!$ | 32 |
| SHati Am： | 1 | 1 | 475 | 8 | 三 | $\geq$ | 4 | 三 | E | 5 | （． | 2 | $\because$ | 1 | $r$ | $i$ | 32 |
| KULI：1： | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | c．$=\begin{gathered}\text { at }\end{gathered}$ | ＇ | $\checkmark$ | 0 | ： | $\because$ | $\div$ | － | 6 | 7 | ＇ |  | $\mathfrak{i}$ | $i$ | 32 |
| Lubyabi | ᄃ | $\because$ | く5：う7 | 8 | 5 | $\underline{\square}$ | ？ | 3 | $\because$ | － | 0 | ： | （ | 4 | $\vdots$ | （ | 37 |
| MBS JS HI | 87 | － | $\therefore 37 \therefore 2$ | $\dot{\text { e }}$ | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | $r$ | 0 | C | 2 | $\because$ | 0 | 1 | ！ | 37 |
| KINarlimidou | 3 | 3 | 32\％ | E | 5 | 0 | ？ | 3 | $\checkmark$ | C | 0 | e | 1 | 1 | i | \％ | 32 |
| IK．jobia | ， |  | 6．5．： | C | $\pm$ | $i$ | ！？ | i | 2 | 三 | （ | 1 | C | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 2 | 32 |
| KALA！JGOL | $i j$ | $\therefore$ | ¢ 5i ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ¢ | 5 | 11 | ？ | 1 | ¢ | C | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | 0 | $i$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 21 |
| IKOgumauluh 1 | $\because 1$ | ＊ | 3 3757 | 8 | 5 | $\because$ | 3 | 6 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 6 | 5 | i | C | $\llcorner$ | $\ddagger$ | ） | 31 |
| KITY：LU | c． | 1. | ¢03107 | ） | 0 | 2 | ic | 5 | 1 | F | 0 | C | \％ | 1 | ！ | 1 | 21 |
| Mwablidirla | 64 | \％ | 264！ | 5 | $E$ | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | \％ | G | r | 4 | 1 | ． | 31 |
| MWAけSSICILI | 5 | － | －6．07 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 | 7 | $\cup$ | 2 | 4 | （ | 0 | 1 | \％ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | ； | ミ1 |
| LUGUl．U | 5 | 3 | くら5？ | $\bigcirc$ | $=$ | 0 | $\Xi$ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | ？ | \％ | 6 | $i$ | C | 21 |
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| NAME | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{x}{3} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{6} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CODENR． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUTUMBA | $\because$ | $\therefore$ |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | $j$ | 0 | 2 | 三 | 0 | 0 | $\dot{\gamma}$ | ？ | 1 | ； | 26 |
| CUNZULI | 2 | J | 12505 | $\varepsilon$ | 1） | $j$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | C | 0 | 6 | $\therefore$ | 4 | ； | 1 | 26 |
| MWASHIJMHGMELA | ジ | ？ | 133.5 | E | 5 | $\underline{3}$ | 3 | 5 | 3 | C | 0 | 6 | $\therefore$ | 0 | $\dot{3}$ | 1 | 26 |
| GORS＇HiJma | 5 | $\therefore$ | ¢54．4 | 5 | 6 | ij | 1） | － | 3 | で | （！ | C | i | I | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| KITONGO | 7 | i | 171）？ | と | 0 | 0 | j | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | G | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| NG＇WANHF．UEL．． | $3 \%$ | 2 | ＜34．7 | 8 | E | 3 | 2 | $?$ | 4 | C | 0 | 0 | c | 1 | C | 0 | 26 |
| MBujul： | ＜4 | ？ | －1906？ | 6 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | （ | n | l | $:$ | 26 |
| Mallous | 47 | $\therefore$ | －4．750 | 6 | 6 | ？ | $\dot{\sim}$ | $\geqslant$ | 5 | C | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | ） | 25 |
| MwA\％İILJ． | 76 | ： | a $7<07$ | 良 | 5 | （ | 9 | $?$ | 3 | 三 | 2 | ； | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | $\because$ | 0 | $<5$ |
| MALI：O？ | 16 | $\because$ | $\pm . .6<0$ | E | b | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 5 | I | 1 | 1. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| BULUViswe： | $3 i$ | $z$ | $3 \times 503$ | $\dot{*}$ | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | （ | 0 | 0 | 1 | i | 25 |
| ひUKいい！t． | 42 | － | $\because 4200$ | ¢ | i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | C | 5 | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\hat{i}$ | 0 | 25 |
| KI分： $1+1$. | 5 | ； |  | 1 | 0 | $($ | 力 | 0 | 3 | E | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | z | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| M HUJ， | $\vdots 5$ | ， | －＜2） 4 | a | 5 | $\cup$ | 0 | Q | ？ | 三 | 5 | $\square$ | c | 0 | 1 | C | 24 |
| NGUVimatoral | $4:$ | \％ |  | 0 | 0 | $\because$ | 5 | $\xi$ | 4 | 2 | C | 3 | i | $\square$ | $i$ | 1 | 24 |
| 1B1L | $0:$ | $\therefore$ | 102．1 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | ！ | c | $i$ | c | 0 | 1 | i | 1 | 24 |
| Mwas jnibid | ca | $\because$ | （6） | と | 0 | 7 | a | 3 | $\cup$ | $i$ | C | 0 | U | 4 | i． | ？ | 24 |
| ITJuilivitl | $\because$ |  | こ．ccs | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | © | $\overline{\%}$ | 0 | 0 | 1. | 24 |
| ILCMVE | $?$ | ： | $3 \therefore 75$ | 3 | 5 | C | 3 | 0 | 5 | －） | U | r | 0 | $\geqslant$ | i | 2 | 24 |
| Chall3： | ， | 3 | 二®く35 | 0 | 5 | $c$ | S | 3 | $j$ | 5 | $\because$ | ， | 1 | 11 | ？ | 0 | 24 |
| ITULAMASHIK： | 3 | $\vdots$ | －人くら， | s | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 1. | 1. | 24 |
| SHIL LELI | ＜1 | $\pm$ | 12is | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | U |  | 0 | （： | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| IMal fivislu | $\because 5$ | $\because$ | $\therefore 65.3$ | $\dot{と}$ | 5 | $?$ | $\omega$ | 3 | 1） | C | © | 0 | 2 | i | 0 | \％ | 23 |
| KARIHA | 6 | 1 | 30．03 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\cup$ | i2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Mindidas | $\because$ | 3 | 5 $5 \%$ | 8 | E | 7 | 1） | $\square$ | 0 | 0 | \％ | r | 0 | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 23. |
| KISUK $\because-1 / J \therefore 12 A$ | $\therefore$ | － | －2．5s | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | ？ | $\therefore$ | 3 | 5 | i | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| ivYEJYELE | 32 | \％ | $\times 3207$ | i） | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| BUS 3：100 | 4.4 | ； | － 40 | S | 5 | $\because$ | 3 | 0 | ．） | 三 | C | $!$ | $\bigcirc$ | ？ | $\because$ | 6 | ＜2 |
| HOUJUT | ？ 6 | $\therefore$ | S 260 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | $\because$ | E | C | $\because$ | 2 | 4 | c | 0 | 22 |
| MWAdCratalas | 7. | $\downarrow$ | ¢ 2 La | 3 | 0 | 3 | a | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | ？ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 22 |
| Mivalat： | 4 | $\checkmark$ | 2430 | ， | E | 3 | J | 5 |  | 5 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ， | U | 0 | 2 | 3 | 22 |
| MAL ：HOT | 6 | I | $\therefore 4507$ | 8 | 0 | 11 | ¢ | 0 | 1） | ， | 0 | ？ | 1 | 0 | $?$ | 1 | 22 |
| MUIJ！i | 7. | \％ | $-7205$ | 0 | 5 | ？ | 3 | 0 | 3 | $\underline{\square}$ | E | i | 6 | 1 | 0 | $\grave{ }$ | 22 |
| MHU！dGOL． | ミこ | $\therefore$ | 3.50 | D | C | 0 | $?$ | 2 | 3 | － | 5 | c | 2 | $i$ | ？ | 0 | 22 |
| SABA－SATSTMI | $\therefore$ | 3 | $\therefore \because=\%$ | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | （i） | － | 5 | r | $\bigcirc$ | $?$ | 1 | $l$ | 22 |
| UYOVII | 42 | 3 | 34103 | 0 | C | 0 | is？ | $\therefore$ | i） | 3 | 5 | $i$ | 0 | i） | 1 | $i$ | 22 |
| NLEくら | $4 \%$ | E | $\bigcirc 400$ | $\varepsilon$ | 6 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 3 | 3 | － | 9 | $!$ | 5 | 4 | 1 | ） | 21 |
| SHAGIMILJ | 43 | 1 | $2+304$ | 0 | $\ddot{\square}$ | 0 | $b$ | 5 | ？ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| MWAlI HAINZA | こ\％ | $?$ | 2．304 | 8 | C | 3 | ＇； | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | $r$ | C | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| SEK： | $\therefore$ | 3 | シ．．tcb | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | \％ | $?$ | 2 | C | $c$ | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| BUT）Mamisilu： | $\dot{<}$ | $\vdots$ | こくふくす | $\varepsilon$ | 5 | 0 | $\dot{4}$ | 0 | ？ | 三 | U | 0 | C | 6 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| ULïn： | $\bigcirc$ | $\therefore$ | 35045 | 0 | C | 3 | 3.4 | O | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| NYASATI， | $\therefore 4$ | － | 544\％ | e | 0 | ！ | $?$ | 3 | $\because$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $i$ | 1. | 21 |
| NAivgl． | 二？ | 4 | 4こ2らく | し | 0 | 0 | $\therefore$ | 3 | ？ | E | O | 7 | 0 | ！ | 1 | $\lambda$ | 23 |
| HGULYATI－JJamat | 42 | 4 | 4435 | O | C | 0 | 2 | ； | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | $?$ | © | 21 |
| MWALJKI－UJAFAAA | 64 | 4 | 46400 | 8 | C | 0 | 3 | $i$ | 1 | C． | （ | 7 | 0 | 1. | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| UKENYY HG： | 12 | ¢ | 24 3 \％ | 0 | 0 | c | 6 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| I AYAJGA | 12 | 3 | 3：232 | 0 | C | 3 | $r$ | 3 | 0 | C | 5 | 6 | 7 | \％ | ？ | 0 | 20 |
| IGusa | － | $\checkmark$ | 32300 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | C | 0 | 0 | 2 | （i） | （ | 1 | 20 |
| KAivyrny：－UJaida | $\because$ | 3 | 33395 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | （） | 5 | 3 | 0 | C | C | C | C | 1 | 20 |
| K ANİA | 4 | 亏 | 3427 | i） | $\because$ | 1 | ？ 5 | $\because$ | C | 3 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | C | 1 | 3 | 20 |
| ngulu | $5:$ | 三 | 3500］ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | ？ | C | C | © | $c$ | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| BUGAY的JIG．J | $1:$ | i | ¢ 2005 | is | 5 | 3 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\because$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | ？ | \％ | ！ | G | 1 | 1.3. |
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| ME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \frac{\alpha}{k} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{6}{6} \\ & \frac{6}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CODENR． | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | －＇ | $\cdots$ | －$\quad$ \％ | $\because$ | $\because$ | － | ． | ， | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | ！ | ！ | Q |  |  | $!$ | i？ |
|  | $\because$ | － | ¢ 6 | $\cdots$ | ؛ | ， | ： | $\because$ | 4 | 5 | 1. | 1 | 0 | $\cdots$ | ： | ； | 19 |
| TAL：${ }_{\text {a }}$ | $\cdots$ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore 3$. | i． | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ |  | 0 | － | C | ， | i | 7 | 4 | $\because$ | 0 | 19 |
| Al：cris | $\ldots$ | 1. | $\therefore \therefore \%$ | ： | 0 | － |  | ； | $\therefore$ | $\cdots$ | $\therefore$ | ： | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\because$ | ： | $\square$ | ¢5 |
| İJ！ 1 ！ | ： 7 | $\therefore$ | $\therefore 70$. | $\therefore$ | $\checkmark$ | $\because$ | － | 1. |  | ． | O | ！ | 0 |  | $!$ | $\therefore$ | 13 |
| IIH il． | c | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | cos | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $=$ | $\therefore$ |  | 三 | ： | $r$ | － | $i$ | 3 | 4 | 17 |
| －1．14．Y 1 |  | $\therefore$ | $\because \therefore \therefore$ | ＇ | － | 3 | $\cdot$ | ！ |  | $1 i$ | 1 | $c$ | $\because$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | ＋ | $\therefore 7$ |
| ： 11 ： | － | ： | ：． $2 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $\therefore$ | 0 | ， | ？ | 1 | ： | 5 | $\therefore$ | 7 | \％ | － | ！ | 17 |
|  | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | 人4せいう | 1 | 5 | 2 | ： | 1. | ＇r | 8 | O | ？ | i） | ！ | $x$ | 3 | 17 |
|  | $\therefore 7$ |  | $\therefore$ ¢ 7,4 | i， | 6 | C | 4 | ， |  | － | $\dot{C}$ | 1 | $\because$ | $i$ | 0 | 2. | 17 |
|  | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore \therefore$ ？ | $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | ＜ | 6 | ： | ！ | i | ： | 1 | 1 | C | （ | 1 | $i$ | 17 |
|  | $\therefore$ | c | ¢ $\because \therefore .1$ | S | $i$ | － | i | 1. | $i$ | ； | i） | $\because$ | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | O | 0 | \％ 0 |
| HAL： | $\therefore$ ： | ： | $\therefore 4 \therefore 1$ | － | 5 | 6 | ） | 3 | ！ | 1 | 6 | 0 | （ | 4 | 1 | C | 10 |
| 分号，儿上 | ： | $\vdots$ | 35.3 | \％ | $\dot{5}$ | © | S | 1 | ？ | ： | $\checkmark$ | i | 0 | 0 | 3 | $?$ | 10 |
|  | $=$ | $\therefore$ | $3 \times 3$ | L | i） | \％ | ． | 6 | ： | ？ | 5 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | 55 |
| \％ 311 | $\vdots$ | － | － | 3 | $C$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $?$ | ） |  | C | $\because$ | － | C | 1 | $i$ | 1.5 |
| \％h1501 0 | $\therefore$ | $\leq$ | 4 4． 2.5 | 3 | c | 3 | ； | 0 | $\therefore$ | C | $\checkmark$ | （ | 0 | ！ | 1 | i | 15 |
|  | ： | ， | －¢ Cu－ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | 3 | $\therefore$ | 5 |  | $1:$ | C | ： | r | 4 | 0 | C | 14 |
| Ir lí， | $\bigcirc$ | $\therefore$ | $\therefore \mathrm{A}$ | 6 | i | $=$ | $\cdots$ | 6 | C | i | ， | 0 | r | 1 | i | 1 | 14 |
| ！1，号： | $\therefore$ | 2 |  | $\because$ | （ | U | 2 | 0 | － |  | 5 | \％ | 0 | $\therefore$ |  | 1 | 14 |
| （1：1 |  |  | 3．5．5 | － | － | （． | 1 | \％ | ． |  | ． | $\checkmark$ | 7 | ！ | $r$ | 2 | 14 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |  | ＇ |  | 6 | 5 | $($ | i） | 2 | ¢ | $i$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | i | 14 |
| ，AL 11. | $\cdots$ | 3 | $\therefore \therefore$－ | $\because$ | j | 0 | ＇ 7 | U |  | ＇$=$ | 0 | $\because$ |  | q | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 4－L ，S： 1.1 | － | $\therefore$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $i$ | b | 1 | 5 |  | ， | r | 0 | ， | \％ |  | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | 13 |
| t $\because: \%$ | $\therefore$ | － | $\because \measuredangle<0$ | 0 | 5 | U | j | 6 | ＇ | － | $r$ | a | \％ | 1 | i | 3 | 13 |
| 3ivis： | $\because$ | 3 | ここム： | i | － | 3 | 1． |  | － |  | U | $i$ | \％ | ！． | ， | j | 13 |
|  | \％ | － |  | $i$ | 5 | 6 |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | ¢ | 0 | 6 | $\ell$ | ； | 0 | 13 |
| J3341： | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | ¢4¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | i | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | $\therefore$ | 5 | $\overline{3}$ |  | ${ }^{\prime}$ | ： | ， | ！ | ？ | $i$ | 13 |
| 3A．3： | － | $\vdots$ | $\therefore-\cup$. | 9 | $i$ | C | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | － | I | U | ？ | \％ | － | ： | ； | 1．${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| ¿TH：0，！！． 1 | $\because "$ | ： | ジっく号 | $\varepsilon$ | © | $\checkmark$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 15 | 0 | c | ？ | （ | 1 | 3 | $1 . \hat{1}$ |
| ル．リl | ： | ： | シ $\ \therefore 24 \%$ | $\checkmark$ | － | 6 | 0 |  |  | 12 | C． | $\because$ | 3 |  | 0 | i | 1.7 |
| 了このム | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because \%$ 2 | $\checkmark$ | $i$ | c | ？ |  |  | $1=$ | － | $i$ | ！ | $!$ | 6 | i | 10 |
| jUMLil | $\therefore$ | － | $3 \times .450$ | 6 | 6 | ？ | $\therefore$ | $?$ | 4 | 0 | $!$ | \％ | $c$ | i | $?$ | 3 | 11） |
| J0J： | － | － | 3：\％\％ |  | C | \％ | U | u | ！ | ： | 5 | 3 | r | i． | 1 | 0 | 9 |
|  | $\therefore$ | $\bigcirc$ | －$\underbrace{\circ}$ | 8 |  | U | $\checkmark$ |  |  | ； 6 | 1 | － | ＇ | O | $?$ | 2 | 0 |
| Aリア1： | － |  | E．t． | 3 |  | 6 | $\because$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | r | $\checkmark$ | i | ， | 7 |
| 1AL厶和3 | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | $\cdots<\dot{3}$ | $\because$ | $E$ | （ | ¢ |  |  | ， | \％ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | 7 |


| district | division | ward | priority score |  |  |  | priority <br> category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | factor water need | lactor facilities | factor infinstructurn | total |  |
| Kahama | Dakama | Ukune | 20 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Ukamba | 40 | 15 | 15 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Mpunze | 0 | 30 | 15 | 45 | 11 |
|  | Kahama | Isagehe | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Isaka | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Mhongolo | 0 | 15 | 15 | 30 | III |
|  |  | Zongomera | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  | Msalala | Ngogwa | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Busangi | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Ngaya | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Lunguya | 40 | 30 | 15 | 85 | 1 |
|  | Mweli | Ulewe | 40 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Ushetu | 20 | 30 | 0 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Uyogo | 20 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 11 |
|  | Siloka | Runzewe | 20 | 30 | 0 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Ushirombo | 0 | 30 | 15 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Masumbwe | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Mbogwe | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
| Shinyanga | Itwangi | Usule | 40 | 0 | 30 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Didia | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Isemela | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Tinde | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | llola | 20 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Itwangi | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 111 |
|  | Kishapu | Uchunga | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Mwakidoya | 40 | 0 | 30 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Shagihilu | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Masanga | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
|  |  | Mwamalasa | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
|  |  | Somagedi | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
|  |  | Kishapu | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  | Mondo | Bubiki | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Bunambiyu | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Bugoro | 20 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Mondo | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Songwa | 20 | 30 | 30 | 80 | 1 |
|  |  | Lohumbo | 40 | 30 | 30 | 100 | 1 |
|  |  | Maganzo | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  | Negezi | Ibadakuli | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Itilima | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Ukenyenge | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Mwamashele | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
|  |  | Kiloleli | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Lagana | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
|  |  | Talaga | 40 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 1 |
|  |  | Ngofila | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 111 |
|  | Nindo | Solwa | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Salawe | 20 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Mwantini | 20 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Iselemagazi | 20 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Lyabukande | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Nyang'ombe | 20 | 30 | 0 | 50 | 11 |
|  | Samuye | Mwamala | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Usanda/Singita | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Samuve | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  | Mjini | Chibe | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 111 |
|  |  | Kizumbi | 0 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 11 |
| Bariadi | Itilima | Luguru | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Zagayu | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 111 |
|  |  | Nkoma | 20 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Mwamapalala | 20 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Mbita | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 111 |

Appendix 13 continued

| district | division | ward | priority score |  |  |  | priority <br> category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | factor water need | factor facilities | factor infrastructure | total |  |
| Bariadi (continued) | Kanadi | Chinamili | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 11 |
|  |  | Sagata | 20 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Lagangabilili | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Bumera | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  | Ntuzu | Somanda | 20 | 15 | 30 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Nkololo | 20 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Mhangu | 40 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Bunam'hala | 40 | 30 | 15 | 85 | 1 |
|  |  | Nyakabindi | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | III |
|  |  | Mwabubingi | 40 | 15 | 15 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Dutwa | 20 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 11 |
|  |  | Gabu | 40 | 15 | 15 | 70 | 1 |
| Maswa | Meatu | Itinje | 40 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Mwabuzo | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 111 |
|  |  | Imalaseko | 40 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Semu-Kimali | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 111 |
|  |  | Kisesa | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 111 |
|  |  | Bukundi | 40 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Nkoma | 40 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 11 |
|  | Mwagala | Lalago-Mjini | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Sukuma | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Busitili | 20 | 30 | 15 | 65 | 1 |
|  |  | Mpindo | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | III |
|  |  | Dakama | 40 | 15 | 15 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Ipililo | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | III |
|  | Nung'hu | Buchambi | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Masela | 40 | 30 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
|  |  | Isanga | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 111 |
|  | Sengerema | Malampaka | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 11 |
|  |  | Badi | 40 | 15 | 30 | 85 | 1 |
|  |  | Kulimi | 20 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 111 |
|  |  | Nyabubinza | 40 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 11 |
|  |  | Shishiyu | 20 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 111 |

Appendix l4. WATER METER READINGS OF USIA SCHEME

| Meter nr. | Location | Month | Average daily water consumption (m3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7650454 | in pipeline to Tinde | February | 31.172 |
|  |  | March | 32.695 |
| 7666014 | Kituli Upper Primary | January | 1.198 |
|  | School | February | 1.270 |
|  |  | March | 1.424 |
|  |  | April | 1.504 |
|  |  | May | 2.025 |
| 7666017 | in pipeline to cattle trough between Kisumani and Tinde P.S. | February | 1.949 |
|  |  | March | 2.218 |
| 7650406 | in discharge pipe from Tinde storage tank | January | 27.303 |
|  |  | February | 25.320 |
|  |  | March | 24.693 |
|  |  | April | 24.067 |
|  |  | May | 28.160 |
| 7666016 | in pipeline to Imenya/ Igomelo | February | 4.009 |
|  |  | March | 4.657 |
|  |  | April | 4.278 |
|  |  | May | 4.326 |
| 7666015 | in pipeline to Uwela | February | 0.425 |
|  |  | March | 1.433 |
|  |  | April | 2.006 |
|  |  | May | 1.038 |
| 7650453 | in pipeline to pump sump of Itwangi P.S. | February |  |
| 7650409 | in pipeline to Didia | February | 11.410 |
|  |  | March | 14.098 |
|  |  | April | 11.981 |
|  |  | May | 13.344 |
| 7650407 | in pipeline to Didia | February | 10.997 |
|  |  | March | 13.114 |
|  |  | April | 10.486 |
|  |  | May | 12.585 |
| 7650408 | in pipeline to Bugisi mission near Didia | February | 5.156 |
|  |  | March | 6.677 |
|  |  | April | 5.148 |
|  |  | May | 5.760 |


[^0]:    The alternative migration models considered in this report both assume that from 1976-1991 a total of 228,000 farmers will actually resettle in new concentrated villages in development areas. Inclusive of natural growth and a category of nonfarmers these villages will be inhabited by 330,000 people in 1991.

    The alternative models differ in the selection of areas where emigration should be stimulated. A special problem in this respect is formed by the southern parts of the divisions of Negezi, Kishapu and Meatu (agronomic zone 6, see Annex e fig. V.l for indication of zones), where livestock keeping is recommended with food crop cultivation for own consumption only, whereas in other zones livestock keeping is only recommended as a marginal activity. This calls for a decrease of the population of zone 6 in relation to other areas. Therefore in the first alternative dealt with it is assumed that priority will be given to restructuring of agriculture in and stimulation of emigration from the densely populated division outside zone 6, which itself is tackled in a later phase after the end of the master-plan period (1991). In the second alternative, zone 6 is dealt with in the preliminary phase of the implementation of a regional development plan, from 1976 onwards.

[^1]:    + according to the definition used in the Census "employed" refers to anyone who is gainfully occupied (see vol IV, ref. 21)

[^2]:    The Ministry of Water and Power has taken responsibility for the construction and maintenance of improved supplies, a task that on the whole is carried out by, or under the auspices of the Regional Water Engineer. Up to now, this office has- in line with the Ministry's policy-concentrated on schemes with piped distribution facilities which serve consumers who live in more or less concentrated villages. So far less effort has been spent on the provision of water to the many times larger group of people living dispersed, for whom in most cases an improved or modern source on its own (without distribution

[^3]:    Thus, a certain balance will have to be maintained between the different types of water supplies to be implemented each year. Secondly, the technical aspects of providing an area with water supply may require an integrated approach. This can vary from the combined supply to two or more villages to the more or less simultaneous construction of a sufficient number of charcos to serve a whole area. Failure to build these charcos at the same time would result in an excessive number of consumers at the beginning of the dry season so that the source would be driedup before its end.
    Resuming, a final water development priority ranking must be derived from the results of the provisional one, which has been based on sociological and socio-economic factors (water need and development potential) by comparison with technical, economic and organizational criteria.

    This chapter is concerned solely with the preliminary water development priority ranking. The final ranking with recommendations for implementation programmes for the water master-plan is contained in Technical Annex $H$.

    Section VI. 2 deals with a ranking system which can be applied to individual villages. A total of thirteen indicators are used to determine the scores, for which information from the "village questionaire" (app. 3) provided the necessary information. The villages interviewed in such detail (see section III.3) have been chosen selectively. For this reason the information on water need and development potential of the individual villages should not be regarded as a representative picture of the situation in larger areas.
    A simplified form of the ranking system is therefore introduced in section VI. 3 in which a preliminary priority ranking of the Wards is obtained from the results of the "general questionaire" (app. 2) which covers all villages in the Region.

[^4]:    effects of the new system have been clearly demonstrated. Consequently migration alternative l.a.c is chosen as the basis for the water master-plan.
    In sub-section VII.5.3, however, the implications of major differences in the population distribution relative to that assumed are assessed, particularly those resulting from an earlier emigration of the "excess" farming population from zone 6 (migration alternative l.a.d).

[^5]:    * Note: for each period the number of people given represents those who actually migrated in that period (42,000 in 1976-81; 87,100 in 1981-86; 98,500 in 1986-91) inclusive $2.7 \%$ annual growth over the year(s) from the moment of migration to the end of the 5 -year period under consideration.

[^6]:    - Note: the population at interim years follows from extrapolation of the 1967 figures assuming a compound annual growth rate of 2.7 per cent

