20-

Community Water Supply and Sanitation Programme Helvetas Pokhara

Library IRC International Water and Sanitation Centre Tel. +31 70 30 689 80 Fax +31 70 35 899 64

Final Report

on

Drinking Water Quality Surveillance Programme 1992/93

Pokhara, January 1994

Prepared by D B. Gurung CWSSP/HELVETAS

822-94-14866

-

. .

. .

·

,

ذ^{ير} عم

۴.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background Information 1.2 The Concept of DWQSP 1.3 Objective of DWQSP in CWSSP/HELVET 1.4 Area Coverage 1.5 Sampling Location 	1 1 1 1 2 2 2
 SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY 2.1 Bacteriological Indicators 2.2 Physico-Chemical Indicators 	4 4 5
3. THE WHO GUIDELINES	5
 4. EVALUATION OF SURVEILLANCE RESULTS 4.1 Bacteriological Quality 4.2 Physico-Chemical Quality 	7 7 8
5. CONCLUSION 5.1 Bacteriological Quality 5.2 Physico-Chemical Quality	8 8 9
6. RECOMMENDATIONS	9
7. FOLLOW-UP PROGRAMME	10
8. REFERENCES	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	List of projects visited for DWQSP in 1992/93	2
Table 2:	Name and general description of water sources	3
Table 3:	List of physico-chemical parameters used in DWQSP	5
Table 4:	WHO guidelines on water quality	5
Table 5:	Proposed bacterial grading system	7
Table 6:	Bacteriological grading of surveillance results	8

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex	I:	Bacteriological test results
Annex	11:	Physico-chemical test results

LIBRARY IRC PO Box 93190, 2509 AD THE HAGUE Tel : +31 70 30 689 80 Fax: +31 70 35 899 64 BARCODE: 14866 LO: RAX NPWE94 . .

Ŧ

CWSSP/HELVETAS

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the final report of the Drinking Water Quality Surveillance Programme (DWQSP) which was carried out in some of the rural water supply projects of Community Water Supply and Sanitation Programme (CWSSP/HELVETAS, Pokhara) in the Western Development Region of Nepal. The surveillance programme started since August 1992 and was complete in September/October of 1993.

- 1.1 Background Information
 - Since 1978, bacteriological water testing has been carried out in a sporadic wav in the CWSSP. The CWSSP considers water schemes requiring some kind of water treatment, except plain sedimentation, as not feasible. Henceforth water tests are used to assess the quality of new proposed sources for water supplies and if the conditions permit to choose the one with the best water quality.
- 1.2 The Concept of DWQSP

The basic principles underlying the DWQSP is to ascertain that the guality of water supplies do comply with accepted drinking water quality standards and that any deterioration and/or problems within the water supply system is identified so that corrective measures can be quickly taken to restore the quality of water. To fulfil this task requires a sound knowledge of water-related diseases, the principles of Drinking Water Quality Surveillance, proper planning, good technical support and institutional arrangement, sufficient trained manpower, good information processing and dispatch system, and some key elements which spell out the details in methodologies in carrying out monitoring, sanitary surveys, remedial action-plan, community involvement, etc. An effective surveillance programme depends on the existence of national regulatory standards of water quality and code of practices. These, in turn, depend on appropriate national legislation and the establishment of a competent surveillance unit or agency within the government.

1.3 Objective of DWQSP in CWSSP/HELVETAS

From July 1992 onwards, the laboratory in the CWSSP was inducted into a unit itself. To stimulate the monitoring work, a DWQSP was developed to be executed for a period of one year. The primary objective of DWQSP in CWSSP is to monitor, on a routine and regular basis, the water quality in number of existing water supply projects within the period of one year and to assess and evaluate the results of water quality. The other objective is to develop a statistical record of the test-results which later on should be used as a guiding tool in improving the quality of water in those water supply projects where there is the occurrence of a major health risk due to water-related diseases.

The CWSSP, however, at the present situation can not meet all the requirements of a fully established Drinking Water Quality Surveillance Agency because of its own limitations. The study was

• •

initiated on a very rough idea and lacks in experience. This studv on DWQSP should be regarded as a pilot work and therefore there is a need for further improvements.

1.4 Area Coverage

Initially, there was an idea to cover more projects for DWQSP. But later on, even after visiting once or twice, few water supply schemes were dropped out because of various reasons and limitations. Finally, the number of projects were reduced only to 8 (eight). The following CWSS constructed water supply projects were selected and visited for the study.

Table 1: List of projects visited for DWQSP in 1992/93

District	Name of Project	Name of VDC	Year of constr.	Type of project
Kaski	Bharat Pokhári	Bharat Pokhari	1991/92	New
Tanahun	Kotre-Juwadi Eklekhet-Majuwa Tarkudanda Bhangara Makanpur	Dulegaunda Dulegaunda Dulegaunda Dulegaunda	1989/90 1990/91 1992/93 1992/93	Training Training Repair Training
Parbat	Khurkot Subedithar Khurkot Lampata Pakuwa Mandanda	Khurkot Khurkot Pakuwa	1989-1992 1987-1990 1991-1993	Rehab.

^{1.5} Sampling Location

In this study, the water quality was analysed/monitored only at the sources of water supply projects. Majority of the schemes consisted of stream sources. A brief description of the surveyed water sources is given below.

÷

Table 2: Name and general description of water sources

Name of Project	Name of Water Source	Nature of Water source
Bharat- Pokhari	Tinghare Mul	This is a spring source and lies inside a dense forest. The intake area is not protected. During monsoon season there is a high risk of water pollution.
Kotre- Juwadi	Bhutte- Chhahara	This is a stream source. The dam/ intake is somehow o.k. but there is a need of frequent visit to be made by the VMW to the source. High risk of water pollution because the source area is not protected well. Moreover, the nearby area is used for farming.
Eklekhet- Majuwa	Bhirpani	A stream source. It is located far away from the village and lies deep inside the jungle. Unprotected source. Although it is normally inaccessible by man and other animals, it presents a high risk of pollution during monsoon.
Tarkudanda- Bhangara	Bhirpani	As described above for Bhirpani water source.
Makanpur	Bhirpani	As described above for Bhirpani water source.
Khurkot- Subedithar	Jukepani Mul	This is a spring source which is located on the bank of a stream. High risk of pollution. Before the intake chambers were nicely built and the source was protected. But in the last rains the whole struc- ture of dam/intake was washed away in the flood and as such the source did not existed at all. Some imme- diate corrective measures should be taken to reinstall the spring source and prevent water from pollution.
Khurkot- Lampata	Halhaleko- Phedi	A stream source. The source is situated up in the hills. The catchment area is not protected and there is a high risk of water pollution.
Pakuwa- Mandanda (system I)	Mathe- Khola	As described above for Khurkot Lampata stream source.

.

`

Name of Project	Name of Water Source	Nature of Water source
Pakuwa- Mandanda (system II)	Maruwa Mul	This is a spring source. In fact there are two other small spring sources near to it. Water from all these three springs is collected into Maruwa Mul and then supplied to the village. The intake is constructed just in the middle of rice fields and is unprotected. It exhibits a very high risk of water contamination- both chemically and bacteriologically.
Pakuwa- Mandanda (system III	Bivadeko- Khola)	A stream source. This is located at the lower end of a village and is inside the valley. The dam/intake is unprotected and very much exposed to high risk of water pollution.
Pakuwa- Mandanda (system IV)	Biyadeko- Khola	As described above for system III water source.

2. SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY

The planning for monitoring the quality of water was developed in such a way that within the duration of the DWQSP, at least four surveys could be carried out in each of the selected water supply projects. These surveys were carried out in varying climatic conditions so that a general overview of the water quality throughout the year could be obtained.

Two different kind of methodologies were considered to assess the water quality- 1) The bacteriological quality and 2) Physicochemical quality.

2.1 Bacteriological Indicators

In this analysis, the method followed was Membrane Filtration (MF) Method. The examination included determination of fecalcoliform bacteria, presence of which indicate the fecal contamination of water which in turn, implies the potential presence of pathogenic organisms. Ideally, drinking water should not contain any micro-organisms known to be pathogenic.

The equipments and field test kits used for bacteriological analysis were those supplied by Millipore Corporation, U.S.A. All the tests were carried out directly in the field using the portable field test kits.

. . •

Physico-Chemical Indicators 2.2

The analysis for physico-chemical quality of water was carried out using portable instruments and field test kits supplied by HACH Company, U.S.A. The following parameters were selected in this study.

Table 3: List of physico-chemical parameters used in DWQSP

Parameter	Method used
Total Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	HACH Digital titration
Calcium Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	HACH Digital titration
Magnesium Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	HACH Digital titration
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)	HACH Color Disc
Nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	HACH Color Disc
Iron	HACH Color Disc
p ^H	HACH Color Disc
Temperature	-

3. THE WHO GUIDELINES

Before evaluating the results of DWQSP, it is necessary to have a general idea on the WHO (World Health Organization) guidelines on water quality. After all, there has to be certain standards for measurement so that the test-results could be interpreted. On one hand it is nice that WHO has given the guidelines on water quality. However, on the other hand experiences in several small community water supplies have shown that these guidelines, especially those set for bacteriological quality, are found too stringent to be adapted for water supplies of developing countries. The following table shows guideline values given by WHO on water quality. The parameter shown are only those tested in the CWSSP.

	Table 4	ł:	WHO	guidelines	on	water	quality
--	---------	----	-----	------------	----	-------	---------

Parameter	Unit	WHO Guideline Value	Remarks / Effects On Beneficial Uses
A) Bacteriological:			
Fecal-coliform organism	number/ 100 mL	0	Indications of fecal pollution of water.
Total coliform organism	number/ 100 mL	3 *)	Indication fo bacterial pollution of water.

v ,

Page:6

Parameter	Unit	WHO Guideline Value	Remarks / Effects On Beneficial Uses
B) Physico-chemical:			
Total Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	500	Hard water lead to for- mation of scales in the pipes and boilers, results in excessive soap consumption.
Calcium Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	200	Higher concentration lead to formation of scale deposits in pipes and boilers.
Magnesium Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	-	At higher concentration Magnesium salts have laxative effect, incre- ase hardness of water.
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)	mg/L	44.3	Toxic if present in excessive amount, cause death of infants by cyanosis.
Nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	mg/L	-	Higher values indicate groundwater pollution by sewage.
Iron	mg/L	0.3	Higher values lead to corrosion of iron pipes and form deposits in the pipes, stains laun- dry and plumbing fix- ture, taste complaints, increase maintenance costs of the system.
Η	-	6.5-8.5	Elevated or less pH values lead either to corrosion problems or inefficiency of chlorine disinfection processes.
Temperature	°C	_	Cool water is palatable but it inactivates the water treatment process Higher water tempera- ture on the other hand, enhances the growth of undesirable micro- organisms.

*) Only in occasional sample but not in consecutive samples.

ſ

.

4. EVALUATION OF SURVEILLANCE RESULTS

A detailed description of the surveillance results is given in the attached annexes. (Please refer to Annex I for bacteriological test results and Annex II for the physico-chemical test results).

4.1 Bacteriological Quality

As pointed out earlier, the WHO guidelines for bacteriological quality of water are considered too stringent to be applied for small rural water supplies as this would lead to the condemnation of vast majority of the existing water supplies in low-income communities. The CWSSP, which is dealing with installation of gravity-fed rural water supply schemes, is not out from it. Therefore, a more flexible classification system as proposed by R. Feachem et al is used in this study.

Proposed Bacterial Grading Scheme:

Although there were two kinds of microbiological examinations made in this study, i.e. the total coliform test and fecalcoliform test, the CWSSP preferred to analyse the results against the density of thermotolerant fecal-coliform bacteria (E.coli). It is because that fecal-coliforms are a sub group of the total coliforms and have the same properties as that of total coliforms except they tolerate and grow at the higher temperature of 44 -44.5 ^OC. Moreover, the identification of fecal-coliform bacteria provides a definite evidence of fecal contamination of water.

Grade	Number of fecal-coliforms (E.coli/100 mL)	Risk / Recommended operation
A	0-10	No risk. Supply untreated.
В	10-100	Low risk. Treat if possible, if not supply untreated.
С	100-1000	Gross pollution; high risk. Water should be treated, if not supply untreated or abandon the source depending on various other factors.
D	> 1000	Gross pollution; very high risk. Water must be treated or otherwise the source should be abandoned depending on various other factors.

Table 5: Proposed bacterial grading system

From among the total of 43 tests carried out in DWQSP, 42 tests were made for total coliform examination and 28 tests were carried out for the examination of fecal-coliforms. On the basis of above proposed grading scheme, the bacteriological qualitv (fecal-coliform density) of the 28 tests can be summarized as follows:

. J . •

Grade	No. of tests	(%)	Risk / Action priority
A	6	21.43	No risk; no action priority
В	18	64.28	Low risk; low action priority
С	4	14.29	High risk; higher priority action
D	0	0	Very high risk; highest priority action required.
TOTAL	28	100.00	

Table 6: Bacteriological grading of surveillance results

4.2 Physico-Chemical Quality

From the results obtained, it can be summarized that water quality of all the eight water supply projects selected under DWQSP is within the WHO permissible limits. Water quality of all the sources do not show any considerable variations in physicochemical composition. Generally, all the visited sources could be regarded as fairly good in terms of physico-chemical characteristics.

5. CONCLUSION

5.1 Bacteriological Quality

From the above table, it could be explained that majority of the water sources fall under grade B of bacteriological grading scheme. This means that most of the water supplies contain fecalcoliform bacteria in the range of 10-100 organisms per 100 mL of water. The table also reflects that about 21% of the surveyed samples contained less than 10 fecal-coliform bacteria per 100 mL water (Grade A) indicating that these water schemes are supplying fairly good and clean water. Similarly, it can also be explained that few water supplies (about 14%) are having poor water quality indicating that pollution has occurred at their sources (Grade C).

Though it is observed that some water sources are of poor water quality (Grade C), but if the quality of individual sources are assessed, the results lie very near to the lower end of this grade. This implies that in general, all the water sources surveyed under DWQSP are supplying average quality of water.

But as according to the general condition and nature of the water sources described earlier, all the sources are liable to high risk of pollution. This indicate that most of the water sources should have been very poor in terms of quality of water. Nevertheless, there are several other factors which give rise to grading of the water schemes in these various categories. They are as- number of tests carried out in one particular season, sampling location, type of source, sanitary conditions, etc.

If a comparison is made for all the eight water supply projects, three projects contain worst quality of water. They are-1) Bharat Pokhari 2) Kotre-Juwadi 3) Pakuwa Mandanda (system II). The surveillance results exhibit that pollution by fecal-coliform organisms is found very high during monsoon season and is low in the dry period. The risk of fecal contamination is found severe in those water supplies which contained stream source. The spring source, in general, are found less prone to fecal contamination.

5.2 Physico-Chemical Quality

As stated earlier, generally all the visited sources could be regarded as fairly good in terms of physico-chemical characteristics. However, some notable variations were also observed in chemical compositions. For example, in June 1993 the total hardness of water for the source of Pakuwa Mandanda (system II) was recorded as 82 mg/L as $CaCO_3$ whereas in October 1993, it showed only 2 mg/L as $CaCO_3$. Similarly, the pH-value for the same source changed drastically from 7.6 in September 1992 to 6.7 in October 1993. The cause for this is unknown but one reason could be that as the source is situated in the middle of the rice lands, the change in the use of various fertilizers at different seasons must have led to these changes. The nitrogen composition, in general, found to be higher during monsoon season and remained high until October. This was noted to be low in dry season. This could also be understood by the fact that during rainy season, when most of agricultural practices are carried out, the concentration of nitrogenous compounds and other fertilizers become more abundant on the soil surface.

6. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

In view of the past, most of the water supply systems are built without previous findings of the quality of the proposed water source. Therefore before going to construct any water supply system, it would be ideological to consider the following aspects:

- Bacteriological and physico-chemical analysis of the existing water sources should be carried out before the commencement of any construction work.
- If possible, always select the best spring source.
- The source catchment area must be well protected against intrusion of any other foreign things to safeguard the quality of water. This could be done by doing plantation of fast growing trees but not the fruit trees at and around the source area.
- After the water supply scheme is built, each and every structure of the system must be protected.
- Good and proper education on Operation and Maintenance (O & M), health and sanitation, water using patterns, etc. should be given to the communities.
- A thorough sanitary inspection, along with the bacteriological analysis, should be carried out for the water supply schemes where there is a danger of water pollution.

7. FOLLOW-UP PROGRAMME

One of the objectives of this DWQSP is to take remedial actions in the water sources which expose a serious health threat to the consumers. In this DWQSP, three water supply schemes are found to be problematic and hence a follow-up programme shall be launched in the next stage in these projects.

8. **REFERENCES**

- Hutton, L.G.: Field Testing of Water in Developing Countries. WEDC, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Technology, Loughborough, Water Research Centre, Medmenham, 1993. (ISBN 0 902156 06 3)
- 2) MILLIPORE: Bacteriological Analysis of Water and Wastewater, Millipore Corporation, 1973.
- Loon, N.H. van and Vos, L.: Heath Impact of a Piped High Quality Water Supply in Rural Nepal, interim report, 1985.
- 4) Heijden, A.M.M.L. van der and Leentjens, A.M.F.: Health Impact of an Improved Water Supply in Rural Nepal, provisional final report, 1985.
- 5) WHO: Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Volume 1, Recommendations, Geneva, 1982. (EFP/82.39)
- 6) WHO: Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Volume 3, Drinking Water Quality Control in Small Community Supplies, Geneva, 1985. (ISBN 92 4 154170 9)
- 7) Feachem, R., Mc Garry, M. and Mara, D.: Water, Wastes and Health in Hot Climates, ELBS and John Wiley and Sons, 1978. (ISBN 0 471 99709 9)
- 8) UPM/PEPAS, WHO Western Pacific Regional Centre: Information Retrieval Handbook on Drinking Water Quality Surveillance Procedures for Small Water Supply Systems, Draft, August 1988. (FNP/87.1 REV.2)
- 9) NARSS/DISVI: Water Quality Testing in Ilam, Kathmandu, December 1990.
- 10) Twort, A.C., Law, F.M. and Crowley, F.W.: Water Supply, third edition, Edward Arnold- A division of Hodder and Stoughton, 1985. (ISBN 0 7131 3513 1)
- 11) Lloyd, B. and Helmer, R.: Surveillance of Drinking Water Quality in Rural Areas, published on behalf of WHO and UNEP, Longman Group UK Limited, 1991. (ISBN 0 582 06330 2)
- 12) CWSSP/HELVETAS, Pokhara: CWSSP Annual Reports (1985/86 to 1992/93).

. .

•

E

ate:	r Quality Analysi	s, CWSSP/HELVETAS	. Pokha	ra:	BACTERIO	LOGICAL T	851 - R ======	LESULTS					
est o,		Name of V.D.C./ District 		Name of Water Source 				ANALN Date 		{Volume}	Total		¦ Fecal
1	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaski	¦Source ¦	Tinghare Mul	¦Spring ¦	;25-08-92 ;	18.00	;26-08-92 ;	15.00	100		80	
2	¦Kotre – Juwadi ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhutte- ¦ Chhahara		27-08-92 	15.00	28-08-92 	17.00	¦ 100 ¦		¦ 85	
3	¦Bklekhet-Majuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		Bhirpanı (Thulokhola)		28-08-92	10.00	29-08-92 	17.00	100		68	
		¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	•	¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		28-08-92	:11.50	29-08-92 	17.00	100		62 ;	
5	¦Makanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		Bhirpani (Thulokhola)		28-08-92	15.30 ;	29-08-92 	17.00	100		¦ 52	
6	¦Durlung Deurali ¦	¦Durlung/ ¦Parbat		¦Panchase ¦Huhaan	Spring 	09-09-92 	:14.45	10-09-92 	15.00 	100	302	200	
7	¦Durlung Deurali ¦	¦Durlung/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Chinar Mul 	¦Spring ¦	09-09-92 	16.15	10-09-92 	15.00	100	270	170	
8	¦Khurkot Lampata ¦	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi	Stream 	09-09-92 	18.00	10-09-92 	15.00 	100	462	396	1 1 1
9	¦Khurkot ¦Subedıthar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	Source	Jukepani Kul	l¦Spring ¦	:10-09-92 ;	9.30	11-09-92 	; 7.30	100	TNTC	192 	 ! !
10	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Karuwa Mul	¦Spring ¦	¦11-09-92 ¦	12.00	:12-09-92	18.00 	100	TNTC	450	()
11	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source 	¦Nathe Khola ¦	¦Stream ¦	11-09-92 	:14.00	:12-09-92 ;	:18.00	100	TNTC	364	1
12	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source 	e¦Bivadeko ¦Khola	Stream 	:11-09-92 :	15.30	¦12-09-92	:18.00	100	TNTC	412	1
13	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaskı		:¦Tinghare Hul	l¦Spring ¦		16.15 	24-09-92 ;	17.30 	100	321	151	
14	¦Kotre – Juwadi ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	Source	e¦Bhutte- ¦ Chhahara	¦Stream ¦		16.30 	25-09-92	18.00 			940	
15	¦Bklekhet-Majuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	Source 	:¦Bhırpani ¦(Thulokhola)	Stream }	25-09-92	9.45	26-09-92		100	TNTC	142	1 1 1
16	¦Tarkudanda- ¦Bhangara	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		Bhirpani (Thulokhola)			:11.30			100	TDTC	418	
17	Nakanpur 	:Dulegaunda/ ;Tanahu		e¦Bhirpanı ¦(Thulokhola		25-09-92 ¦	::15.30			100		127	

. • . κ.

•

Water Quality Analysis, CWSSP/HELVETAS, Pokhara: BACTERIOLOGICAL TEST - RESULTS

Test No.		Name of V.D.C./ District				Type of Source							{Volume;	Total	LIAL COUNT Total Coliform	Fecal
		¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source	Saure	Nuhaan	Stream 	24-11 	-92	16.30	25-11 	-92	17.30	100 		•	¦Test ;failed
	-	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	¦Source	Dhara Mul	e	¦Spring ¦	¦25-11 ¦	-92	9.00	26-11	-92	16.00	100		-	¦Test ¦failed
	¦Bhakunde ¦(New project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung			Nul + eko Nul	Spring 	25-11	-92	10.15	26-11 	-92	16.00	100			¦Test ¦failed
	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	¦Source 	¦Rakse	 Kuhaan	Stream 	25-11	-92	11.15	26-11 	-92	16.00	100			¦Test ¦failed
	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	¦Source ¦	Inara	Mul	Spring 	25-11 ;	-92	14.15	26-11	-92	16.00	100		36	¦Test ¦failed
23	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source 	¦Patle	Mul	Spring 	25-11 	-92	15.50	26-11	-92	16.00	100 		46	:Test :failed
	¦Bhakunde ¦(Control воигсе)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung			kholako dhero	¦Spring ¦	25-11;	-92	17.00	26-11	-92	16.00	100 		TDTC	¦Test ¦failed
25	¦Khurkot Lampata ¦	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	¦Halha ¦Phedi		¦Stream ¦	:27-02	-93	13.00	28-02	-93	14.45 	100 	-	10	¦ 0
	¦Khurkot ¦Subedithar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source	Jukep	ani Mul	Spring 	27-02 	-93	16.30	28-02	-93	14.45 	100 	-	80	2
27	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ !Parbat	¦Source	¦Nathe	Khola	Stream	01-03 	-93	14.00	¦02-03	-93	:17.00	100		11	0
28	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source	Maruw 	a Mul	Spring 	01-03 	-93	14.30 	0 2 -03	1-93	:17.00	100	 ; - ;	65	2
29	¦Pakuwa Nandanda !(system III)	¦₽akuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	¦Bivad ¦Khola		Stream 	01-0: 	}-93	:12.45	:02-03	}-93	:17.00	100	 - 	25	0
30	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system IV)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Biyad ¦Khola		Stream 	:01-03	-93	12.00	02-03 	}-93	17.00	¦ 100	 - 	35	0
31	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaski	¦Source ¦	¦Tingh ¦	are Mul	Spring	11-0! 	5-93	:13.00	12-05 	5-93	17.30	¦ 100	 	232	; 152 ;
32	¦Kotre – Juwadi ¦	;Dulegaunda/ ;Tanahu	¦Source		e- hahara	Stream 	11-0 	5-93	:17.15	12-05 	5-93	17.30	100 	 ! ! !	280	190
33	¦Bklekhet-Najuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	¦Source		anı .okhola)	Stream	12-0 	5-93	9.15	13-05 	5-93	:12.30	; 100 ;	 1 1 1	120 	1 70 1
34	¦Tarkudanda- ¦Bhangara	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	Source 		oani lokholal	¦Stream 	1 2 -0	5-93	:10.30	13-05 	5-93	12.30	¦ 100	1 1 1	¦ 110	50

Water Quality Analysis. CWSSP/HELVETAS. Pokhara: BACTERIOLOGICAL TEST - RESULTS

..........

Test No.		Name of V.D.C./ District 		Name of Water Source			L I N G ¦ Time ¦			;Volume;	Total	HAL COUNT ¦ Total ¦Coliform	; Fecal
35	¦Makanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		12-05-93 	13.30 	3-05-93 	12.30 	100 		80	¦ 40
36	'Rhurkot Lampata '	¦Rhurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi	Stream 	17-06-93	9.30	¦18-06-93	17.00	100	-	280	52
	¦Khurkot ¦Subedithar	¦Rhurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Jukepani Mul	Spring 	16-06-93	17.15 	:17-06-93 ;	17.00	100	-	210	40
38	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	¦Mathe Khola ¦	¦Stream	18-06-93 	12.15 	19-06-93 	15.00	100	-	95	30
39	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(svstem II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Maruwa Mul 	¦Spring ¦	18-06-93 	10.30 	19-06-93	15.00 	100	-	¦ 254	112
40	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Biyadeko ¦Khola	Stream 	:18-06-93 	\$13.30	¦19-06-93 ¦	15.00	100	-	150	89
41	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(svstem IV)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Bıyadeko ¦Khola	Stream 	:18-06-93	14.45 	19-06-93 	15.00	100	-	120	39
42	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaski	Source 	¦Tinghare Mul ¦	Spring 	15-09-93 	3¦14.30	16-09-93 	18.00 	100	-	422 	170
43	Kotre - Juwadı 	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	Source 	¦Bhutte– ¦ Chhahara	-	16-09-93 	9.00	17-09-93 	9.00	100	-	96	38
44	¦Bklekhet-Najuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhırpanı ¦(Thulokhola)	•	16-09-93 	3¦13.00 ¦	;17-09-93 ;	13.00 	100	-	¦ 258	68
45	¦Tarkudanda- ¦Bhangara	;Dulegaunda/ ;Tanahu		¦Bhırpani ¦(Thulokhola)		¦16-09-93	814.45	17-09-93 1	13.00 	100	-	204 	: 60 ;
46	¦Hakanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		¦16-09-93	3¦17.00 ¦	¦17-09-93 ¦	13.00 	100	-	132 	28
47	¦Rhurkot Lampata ¦	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi	¦Stream ¦	02-10-93 	3:15.15 	;03-10-93 ;	18.00 	100	-	¦ 102	; 15 ;
48	¦Khurkot ¦Subedıthar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Jukepanı Hul ¦	Spring 	02-10-93	12.15 	¦03-10-93 ¦	;18.00	100	-	146	26
49	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	¦Mathe Khola ¦	¦Strean ¦	03-10-93 	3¦12.30	04-10-93	16.00	100	-	¦ 112	40
50	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Maruwa Mul 	Spring 	03-10-93 	3 15.45 	¦04-10-93 ¦	16.00	100	-	; 176 ;	; 52 ;
51	¦Pakuwa Nandanda ¦(svstem III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		 Biyadeko Khola	¦Stream ¦	103-10-93 !	;13.30	:04-10-93	 16.00	100	-	204	 ; 74 ;

, .

Water Quality Analys	IS. CWSSP/RELVETAS	, Pokha	ra:		OLOGICAL 1							
Test¦Name of Project No. ;	District	Site		Source	Date	¦ Time	Date	¦ Time	Volume	Total	¦ Total	Fecal
52 ¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system IV)	•		¦Biyadeko ¦Khola	-	03-10-93 	•	-	•	-		-	

-

.

FILE\C-06-LAB\3-NOV29B

.

. t' .

,

Water Quality Analysis. CWSSP/HELVETAS. Pokhara: PHYSICO-CHEMICAL TEST - RESULTS

-																													
_	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	٠	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

lest Io.	Name of Project	Name of V.D.C./		Name of Water Source			YSIS	HARDNESS	as CaCO3	mg/L	¦ pH		¦NO3 ¦∎g/L		lron
10.	1 5 1		i olle	, Waler Source	, source		¦ Time	; Total ;	Ca ;	Ng	1	; 0 0	¦ a g / u	, 8 876	, mg / u 1
1	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	Bharat Pokhari/ Kaski	Source 	Tinghare Hul	¦Spring ¦	25-08-92	17.'00 	; 12.1 ; ; ;	4.4	1.1	:6.7	24.0 	17.6 	\0.07 \	0.04
2	¦Kotre – Juwadı ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	¦Source ¦	¦Bhutte- ¦ Chhahara		27-08-92	::13.30 :	9.3	4.3	5.0	:7.2 	25.5 	;17.6 ;	0.07 	10.04
3	¦Bklekhet-Najuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		28-08-91	:; 9.15 ;	9.5	4.7	4.8	;7.2	21.0	¦17.6	0.03	0.08
	¦Tarkudanda- ¦Bhangara	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		28-08-9 	2:11.00	8.9	4.0	4.9	7.2 	21.0	17.6 	0.03	:0.04
5	¦Nakanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		(Bhírpaní (Thulokhola)		(28-08-9) 	14.45 	7.9	3.6	4.3	7.0 	20.0	(13.2 	0.03 	0.04
6	Durlung Deurali 	¦Durlung/ ¦Parbat		¦Panchase ¦Huhaan	Spring 	09-09-9 	2:14.00	4,1	2.5	1.6	6.6 	19.0	22.0	0.03	0.06
7	Durlung Deurali 	¦Durlung/ ¦Parbat	Source	Chinar Mul 	¦Spring ¦	09-09-9 	2:15.45	3.4	1.9 ;	1.5	;7.0	¦18.0	¦17,6	¦0.09	;0.00 ;
8	Khurkot Lampata 	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi	¦Stream ¦	;09-09-9 ;	2:17.30	4.5	2.0 ;	2.5	7.1 	;20.0	17.6 	0.07 	;0.01
9	¦Rhurkot ¦Subedithar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Jukepani Hul 	Spring 	10-09-9 	2¦ 8.30 ¦	¦ 11.5 ¦	7.0	4.5	;7.1	;22.0 ;	¦17.6	:0.07 :	0.0
10	Pakuwa Mandanda (system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source 	Maruwa Mul	Spring 	11-09-9 	2:11.15 :	32.2	17.0 ;	15.2	7.6 	;27.0	;17.6	:0.07 	:0.1
11	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Nathe Khola	¦Stream ¦	;11-09-9 ;	2;13.15 ;	; 10.2 ;	6.2 ;	4.0	;7.3	;2].5 ;	;17,6	0.07 	;0.0
12	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Biyadeko ¦Khola	Stream	11-09-9 		14.5	9.5	5.0	7.3 	:23.0	:17.6	0.03 	:0.0
13	Bharat Pokhari 	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaski	Source			23-09-9	2:15.30 					24.0 		:0.03	0.0
14	Rotre - Juwadi 	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		Bhutte- Chhahara		¦24-09-9	2:15.30	10.7		6.2		25.5 ;		0.07 	
15	¦Bklekhet-Majuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)				14.9		8.4		20.0 		0.07	
16		¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)			2¦10.45 ¦							:0.03	
17	¦Nakanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola		25-09-9	2:14.45	9.0		7.0	: :6.8	19.5	 :17.6	0.03	:0.0

. . •

Water Quality Analysis, CWSSP/HELVETAS, Pokhara:

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL TEST - RESULTS

	Name of Project						Y S I S	HARDNESS	as CaCOS	3 ag/L	l pH			1 NO2	lron
No.	1	District 	¦Site ¦	Water Source	¦Source ¦		; Time	; Total ;	Ca ¦	Hg	1 1 1	o C	¦∎g/L ¦	¦ng/L ¦	ing/l ¦
	•	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source	Saure Muhaan	¦Stream ¦	24-11-92 	16.00 	< 1.0	-	-	: ;6.8	8.0 	;17.6 ;	0.03 	:0.06
19	¦Bhakunde ¦(New project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source	Dharapani Hul	Spring 	25-11-92	8.30	8.0	2.0 ;	6.0	7.0 	:15.0	17.6 	0.07 	0.04
20	Bhakunde (New project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung		¦Rakse Mul + ¦Khalleko Mul		25-11-92 	9.45	¦ < 1.0 ¦ ¦	-	-	;7.0 	12.0 	17.6 	0.03 	10.01
21	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old projecț)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source	¦Rakse Muhaan ¦	¦Stream ¦	25-11-92 	10.40 	2.0	< 1.0	-	:7.0 ;	12.0 	17.6	0.07 	;0.10
22	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source 	¦Inara Nul ¦	¦Spring ¦	25-11-92 	;13.30 ;	1.0	-	-	:6.9	17.0 	17.6 	0.07 	: : :
23	¦Bhakunde ¦(Old project)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source 	Patle Mul	Spring 	25-11-92 	15.15 	2.0 	-	-	6.6 	:16.0	17.6 	:0.07	:0.01
24	¦Bhakunde ¦(Control source)	¦Bhakunde/ ¦Baglung	Source 	¦Pairekholako ¦ Pandhero		25-11-92 	16.30 	1.0	-	-	:6.3	15.0 	;17.6	:0.07	:0.0
25	Rhurkot Lampata 	¦Rhurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi	Stream 	2 7-02-93 	12.10	4.8	2.5	2.3	6.7 	16.0 	17.6 	:0.07	:0.0
26	¦Khurkot ¦Subedithar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	¦Source	Jukepani Mul	¦Spring ¦	27-02-93	15.30 	13.6	6.0	7.6	¦6.9 ¦	19.5 	:17.6	0.03	0.0
27	¦Pakuwa Kandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Mathe Khola ¦	¦Stream ¦	:01-03-93	13.15	16.4	8.2	8.2	¦7.3 ¦	;12.0	¦17.6	:0.03	:0.0
28	¦Pakuwa Kandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source 	Maruwa Mul 	¦Spring ¦	01-03-93	14.45 	38.0	36.0	2.0	;6.9 ;	19.0 	22.0 	0.10 	:0.0
29	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Bivadeko ¦Khola	¦Stream ¦	01-03-93 	12.15	13.0	11.0	2.0	;7.3 ¦	;13.0	¦13.2	¦0.03	:0.0
30	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(syste n IV)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Bıyadeko ¦Khola	¦Stream ¦	01-03-93 	11.30	47.8	27.0	20.8	; ; ;	¦13.0	¦13.2	0.03 	0.0
31	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokharı/ ¦Kaski	¦Source	¦Tinghare Mul	¦Spring 	11-05-93		12.5	3.3	9.2	7.1	23.5 	;22.0	:0.03	:0.0
32	¦Kotre – Juwadi ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhutte- ¦ Chhahara		11-05-93	3:16.30 ¦	16.7	8.0	8.7	7.3 	;22.0 ;	17.6 	0.07 	10.0
33	¦Bklekhet-Na,iuwa ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhırpani ¦(Thulokhola)		12-05-93 	8.30	17.5	8.0	9,5	;7.4 ;	;18,0	:13.2 ;	0.03 	:0.0
34		¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		12-05-9:	9.50	17.5	8.0	9.5	¦7.1	18.0	 13.2 	0.03	0.0

·

j

1

•

•

Water Quality Analysis. CWSSP/HELVETAS. Pokhara: PHYSICO-CHEMICAL TEST - RESULTS

|--|

Test. No.		Name of V.D.C./		Name of Water Source			A L	YSI	S¦1		as CaCO		¦pH			¦NO2 ¦mg/L	
NU	1			water ource			ate	; Time			Ca ¦		1	; • •	, wg / L	, , , mR , p	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
35	¦Makanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		Bhirpani (Thulokhola)	¦Stream ¦	;12-) ;	05-93	;12.45 ;		15.5	6.8 ;	8.7	7.1 	¦18.0 ¦	13.2 	;0.03	:0.06
36	{Khurkot Lampata }	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat		Kalhaleko Phedi	¦Stream ¦	:17 :	06-93	¦ 8.45	; ;	5.3 ;	-	-	;7.0	19.0 	17.6 	0.07 	10.04
•••		¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	Source 	Jukepani Hul	Spring 	16- 	06-93	;16.30 ;) 	17.6 ;	-	-	7.0 	:21.0	17.6 	0.09 	¦0.06 ¦
	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source 	Wathe Khola	Stream 	18- 	06-93	11.4{ 	5	13.2 ;	-	-	;7.2 ;	21.0	17.6 	:0.03	:0.04 ;
	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	¦Source ¦	Karuwa Kul 	Spring 	18- 	06-93	10.0() 	82.0	-	-	:6.9	:22.0 :	22.0 	0.03	;0.10
	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	Pakuwa/ Parbat		Biyadeko Khola	¦Stream ¦	18- 	06-93	13.0() 	17.0 ;	-	-	;7.2 ;	23.0 	13.2 	0.07 	;0.12 ;
	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system IV)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Biyadeko ¦Khola	¦Stream ¦	18- 	06-93	13.4! 	5 ¦	16.5 ;	-	-	;7.3 ;	21.0 	22.0 	0.07 	:0.08
42	¦Bharat Pokhari ¦	¦Bharat Pokhari/ ¦Kaskı	Source 	Tinghare Mul 	¦Spring ¦	15- 	09-93	13.4! 	5	11.6 ;	-	-	6.2 	23.0	26.4 	0.03	;0.02 ;
43	Kotre - Juwadi	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu	¦Source	¦Bhutte- ¦ Chhahara	¦Stream 	16- 	09-93	8.0(0	9.0	-	-	;7.0	;22.5	22.0 	0.03 	0.08
44	¦Bklekhet-Majuwa ¦	;Dulegaunda/ ;Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)	Stream 	16- 	09-93	12.3 	0	14.2	-	-	¦6.8 ¦	¦20.0	22.0	0.03 	0.02
	¦Tarkudanda- ¦Bhangara	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)	¦Stream ¦	16- 	09-93	14.0	0 ;	10.5	- 1	-	6.8 	20.0	22.0 	0.03 	¦0.08
46	¦Makanpur ¦	¦Dulegaunda/ ¦Tanahu		¦Bhirpani ¦(Thulokhola)		;16- ;		16.3 			-	-	:6.7	20.0	22.0 	¦0.03	;0.08 ;
47	¦Rhurkot Lampata ¦	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat		¦Halhaleko ¦Phedi						3.9		-	;7.1	20.0	;26.4 ;	}0.03 	;0.02 ;
	¦Khurkot ¦Subedithar	¦Khurkot/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Jukepani Nul ¦	Spring	;02-	10-93		0 ;	9.6			;7.2	¦23.0	26.4	0.07	;0.02 ;
	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system I)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Mathe Khola ¦	¦Stream ¦	03- 	10-93			11.2		-	7.1 	20.0 	26.4 	\0.07 \	:0.06
	¦Pakuwa Kandanda ¦(system II)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat	Source	¦Naruwa Kul ¦	Spring 	03- 	10-93			2.0		-	:6.7	:24.0	26.4	0.10 	:0.06
51	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system III)	¦Pakuwa/ ¦Parbat		¦Biyadeko ¦Khola									17.3	21.5	26.4	:0.07	0.08

Wat	er Quality Analysi	.s. CWSSP/HELVETAS	5. Pokhe	ITA:	PHYSICO-	-CHE	CHIC	A[; ===	TB = = :	ST - ====	- R :==	ESULTS							
	t!Name of Project	District	Site		Source						¦		 	 	- ;	o C	¦mg∕ľ	ag/L	¦mg/L
52	¦Pakuwa Mandanda ¦(system IV)			¦Bıyadeko ¦Khola	Stream 														

.

FILE\C-06-LAB\3-NOV29A

-

-

•

í

ŀ

.

-١ • 1 ٠ ; ; : : : ÷ ۱ د 1 .