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I. INTRODUCTION

This Manual is for the use of NWSDB Engineers in assessing water quality, identifying the need for water treatment, and in planning, designing, rehabilitating and, to some extent, operating water treatment facilities. It is a development of the Design Manual on Small Community Water Supplies, prepared for NWSDB with WHO assistance in 1982 (Ref.1), and includes all relevant material from this earlier manual, which in some cases has been reviewed or updated.

The Manual is substantially more comprehensive than the earlier manual, and includes sections on water quality characteristics and standards, water borne diseases, sampling and testing, interpretation of chemical analyses, and other specific unit processes not covered previously.

The manual was put together by G. A. Bridger, Environmental/Sanitary Engineer, USAID Project from a variety of source materials, the most important of which are listed in the References.
2. WATER QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS
AND STANDARDS

2.1 DRINKING WATER QUALITY

A potable water supply is essential for the prevention of waterborne diseases. Water that is contaminated by pathogenic (disease causing) organisms or that is unacceptable aesthetically will need some kind of treatment before being used. It is the engineer's responsibility to provide an ample supply of pure and wholesome water, free from:

- visible suspended matter;
- colour, taste and odour;
- objectionable dissolved matter;
- bacteria indicative of pollution; or
- aggressive constituents.

In assessing potential water supplies it is the role of the engineer to be able to identify and measure any water quality problems which exist or may occur under certain conditions, and to understand the impact of these problems on the potability of the water. Subsequently, suitable treatment methods must be provided, if necessary, to ensure that a potable supply is delivered at all times. Such treatment must be carefully designed to an appropriate technological level to match the operating and maintenance skills available, or the quality of water produced may fall below acceptable standards.

2.2 WATER QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS

Physical Characteristics

- Temperature – important for its effect on other properties, such as speeding up chemical reactions, changing solubility of gases, amplifying tastes and odours, etc.

- Taste and odour – due to dissolved impurities, often organic in nature, e.g. phenols and chlorophenols; difficult to measure.

- Colour – true colour is due to material in solution, apparent colour due to suspended matter. Natural yellow colour in upland catchment water is due to organic acids and is harmless. Measured in degrees Hazen.
Turbidity — colloidal solids give water a cloudy appearance, aesthetically unattractive and possibly harmful. May be due to clay and silt particles, sewage or industrial waste, or micro-organisms.

Solids — may be organic/inorganic matter in suspension and/or solution. Total dissolved solids (TDS) are due to soluble materials; suspended solids (SS) are discrete particles which may be filtered out. Settlesable solids are those which settle out in a graduated cone after standing for 2 hours (useful for assessing sedimentation tank performance).

Electrical conductivity — depends on quantity of dissolved salts, and approximately proportional to TDS content.

Chemical Characteristics

pH — the intensity of acidity or alkalinity of a sample, actually the concentration of hydrogen ions present.

Alkalinity — due to the presence of bicarbonate, carbonate or hydroxide. It is useful as it provides buffering to resist changes in pH. Expressed in mg/L of CaCO₃.

Acidity — CO₂ acidity is in the pH range 8.2–4.5, and most natural waters are in this range. Mineral acidity, below pH 4.5 due to H₂SO₄, HCl or HNO₃ would normally be due to industrial wastes. Expressed in mg/L of CaCO₃.

Hardness — the property which prevents lather formation with soap and produces scale in hot water systems. Due to metallic ions of Ca, Mg, Fe, Sr.

There are two forms —

i) Carbonate hardness (metals associated with HCO₃) and

ii) Non-carbonate hardness (metals associated with SO₄, Cl, NO₃).

Total hardness — alkalinity = non-carbonate hardness

Expressed in mg/L of CaCO₃.
**Dissolved Oxygen (DO)** – required for maintaining higher forms of biological life in water; the effect of a waste discharge on a river/stream is largely determined by oxygen balance of system. Solubility of oxygen varies with temperature:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DO mg/L</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nitrogen** – exists in 4 main forms:

i) Organic nitrogen (in the form of proteins, amino acids and urea).

ii) Ammonia nitrogen (as ammonium salts or free ammonia).

iii) Nitrite nitrogen (final oxidation product). Analyses can give useful indication of pollution of sample, but bacteriological analysis is more reliable.

iv) Nitrate nitrogen – (an intermediate oxidation stage)

**Chloride** – gives a brackish taste and may be indicator of sewage pollution due to chloride content of urine. Detectable at about 250 mg/L upwards.

*Biological Characteristics*

Bacteriological analysis of water is the most sensitive water quality parameter, since almost all organic wastes contain large numbers of micro-organisms. Microorganisms are important in water quality control in terms of self-purification of rivers and stabilization of organic wastes. They are, however, responsible for waterborne diseases, tastes and odours, corrosion and microbial growths in bodies of water. They may be broadly classified as either animal or plants.

**Plants** – require soluble food which may be organic or inorganic.

**Viruses** – the smallest plants less than 0.3 μm in diameter, and can only live and reproduce inside a specific host cell. Diseases such as influenza and poliomyelitis are caused by virus infections. Commonly found in large numbers in sewage effluents, they are difficult to remove and resistant to normal disinfection methods. Requires strong oxidation to kill them.
- **Bacteria** - basic units of plant life, being single cell organisms of various shapes ranging in size from 0.5 to 5 μm. May be aerobic or anaerobic, and are responsible for waterborne diseases. Important for waste water stabilization.

- **Fungi** - multicellular aerobic plants, more tolerant of acid conditions and a drier environment than bacteria. Exist in polluted rivers, and responsible for tastes and odours.

- **Algae** - Multi-cellular photosynthetic (energy from sunlight) plants, incorporating chlorophyll. Are usually microscopic in freshwater, and utilize inorganic compounds such as CO₂, NH₃, PO₄ to produce more cells and oxygen. Growth of large algal blooms in lakes can cause severe taste and odour problems.

**Animals** - require only organic food, usually in solid form.

- **Protozoa** - single cells 10-100 μm long and live by eating large quantities of bacteria, and are important in biological waste treatment.

- **Rotifers** - multicellular animals which like stable conditions with high DO, therefore indicators of good quality water.

- **Crustaceans** - hardshelled multicellular animals, an important fish food, and found in stable conditions. Some may be seen with the naked eye.

- **Worms and Larvae** - rotiflers which scavenge in bottom deposits.

**Measurement of bacteriological quality**

It is not practicable to test the water for all organisms it might contain, therefore bacteriological quality is measured using certain specific types of bacteria which are indicative of contamination. Coliform bacteria are the predominant organisms that are found in the intestinal tract of man and other animals. The coliform group includes faecal and non-faecal bacteria, such as are found in soil. Presence in drinking water of significant numbers of coliform bacteria indicates that the source is not adequately protected. E-coli (Escherchia Coli) is a specific type of coliform organism which indicates contamination by faecal matter. Generally, testing is done for the presence of coliforms and of E-coli.
The standards for water quality in Sri Lanka are based on the SLS 614, 1983 - Part 1 Physical and Chemical Requirements, and Part 2, Bacteriological Requirements, which are similar to the WHO Standards (See Table 2.1, 2.2).

These standards should always be applied with common sense, particularly for small community and rural water supplies where the choice of source and the opportunities for treatment are limited.

The criteria should not in themselves be the basis for rejection of a groundwater source having somewhat higher values for iron, manganese, sulphates or nitrates than in the Table. Care must be exercised in respect of toxic substances such as heavy metals, which should be allowed only after expert opinion of the health authorities has been obtained.

For small supplies which frequently are to be provided from individual wells, boreholes or springs, the water quality criteria may have to be relaxed. Obviously, in all instances, everything possible should be done to limit the hazards of contamination of water. Using relatively simple measures such as the lining and covering of a well, it should be possible to reduce the bacterial content of water (measured as coliform count) to less than 10 per 100 mL, even for water from a shallow well. Persistent failure to achieve this (particularly if E. coli is repeatedly found) should as a general rule lead to condemnation of the supply.
Table 2.1

Specification for Potable Water

SLS 614 (1983)

PART 1 - PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Maximum Desirable Level</th>
<th>Maximum Permissible Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.0 - 8.5 units</td>
<td>6.5 - 9.0 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>5 units</td>
<td>30 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>Unobjectionable</td>
<td>Unobjectionable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>2-JTU</td>
<td>8-JTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elect. Conductivity</td>
<td>750 μS/cm</td>
<td>3500 μS/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (Cl)</td>
<td>200 mg/L</td>
<td>1200 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine-Free resid(Cl')</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity (as CaCO)</td>
<td>200 &quot;</td>
<td>400 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia-Free</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia-Aluminoid</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (as N)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (as N)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride (as F)</td>
<td>0.6 &quot;</td>
<td>1.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphates-Total (PO₄)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solids</td>
<td>500 &quot;</td>
<td>2000 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness Total (as CaCO)</td>
<td>250 &quot;</td>
<td>600 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron-Total (as Fe)</td>
<td>0.3 &quot;</td>
<td>1.0 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>200 &quot;</td>
<td>400 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>100 &quot;</td>
<td>240 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>30 to 150 *</td>
<td>150 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.05 &quot;</td>
<td>0.5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>5.0 &quot;</td>
<td>15.0 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anionic Detergents</td>
<td>0.2 mg/L</td>
<td>1.0 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic Compounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(as Phenolic OH)</td>
<td>0.001 &quot;</td>
<td>0.002 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticide Residue</td>
<td>(Refer to WHO &amp; FAO requirements)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chem. Oxygen Demand (COD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Depending on sulphate content, i.e. for 250 mg/L sulphate, max Mg. is 30 mg/L; for less sulphate, more Mg is allowed
Table 2.2

Specification for Potable Water
SLS 614 (1963)

PART 2 - BACTERIOLOGICAL REQUIREMENTS

Requirements

1. Pipe-borne water supplies:
   - Throughout any year, 95 per cent of the samples shall not contain any coliform organisms in 100 mL.
   - None of the samples examined shall contain more than 10 coliform organisms per 100 mL.
   - Coliform organisms shall not be detectable in 100 mL of any two consecutive samples.
   - None of the samples examined shall contain E. coli in 100 mL. (Faecal coliform).

2. Individual or small community supplies:
   - None of the samples examined shall contain more than 20 coliform organisms per 100 mL on repeated examination.
   - No sample shall contain E. coli in 100 mL. (Faecal coliform)

NOTE: Individual or small community supplies include wells, bores and springs.

Frequency of Sampling for Pipe Borne Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Served</th>
<th>Maximum Interval Between Successive Samples</th>
<th>Minimum Number of Samples to be Taken from Whole Distrib. System Each Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20,000</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
<td>1 Sample per 5000 popn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 to 50,000</td>
<td>2 Weeks</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 to 100,000</td>
<td>4 Days</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 100,000</td>
<td>1 Day</td>
<td>1 Sample per 10000 popn.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 WATER RELATED DISEASES

Water related diseases are those traceable to water supply and excreta disposal. The most important of these diseases may be classified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Transmission</th>
<th>Specific Diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-site-insect carried</td>
<td>Disease-carrying insects breed in or near water.</td>
<td>African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onchocerciasis (river blindness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Malaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arboviruses (yellow fever and dengue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Filariasis (including elephantiasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Japanese encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water contact</td>
<td>Disease transmitted by direct contact with water.</td>
<td>Schistosomiasis (bilharziasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water quality microbiological</td>
<td>Disease transmitted by consumption of microbiologically contaminated water.</td>
<td>Cholera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Typhoid fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dysentery (amoebic, bacillary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea worm (dracunculiasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitation-related/water hygiene</td>
<td>Disease transmitted by inadequate use of water.</td>
<td>Shigellosis (bacillary dysentery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trachoma and conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ascariasis (roundworm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scabies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The various categories are:

- **Water-site-insect carried** - where the source or supply site provides a breeding ground for insects such as mosquitoes, which transmit diseases by biting people — it is not necessary for people to come into contact with the water. Transmission is complex, involving a host (man or animal), a parasite that causes the disease (protozoa or worm) and a carrier (insect, fly or mosquito). Malaria, filariasis, dengue and Japanese encephalitis carried by mosquitoes. Piped water supplies, and elimination of mosquito breeding places, would help to control these diseases.
- Water contact - where contact with water such as swimming is sufficient to produce infection in people, usually through a disease-causing organism penetrating the feet, legs, hands or other part of the body.

- Water quality - microbiological - where consumption of water causes disease by ingestion of a germ, or toxic substance. These diseases are endemic in areas where excreta disposal is haphazard and can achieve epidemic proportions in serious cases of contamination.

- Sanitation-related water hygiene - infection is most common due to faecal contamination of water, food, and fingers and might have been eliminated through proper washing or cleaning.
3. SAMPLING AND TESTING

3.1 SANITARY SURVEY

A sanitary survey is essential for the adequate interpretation of chemical and bacteriological analyses of drinking water supplies. It consists of an extensive field inspection and evaluation of local environmental and health conditions by assessing current and potential hazards to the water supply or existing water system.

Sanitary surveys are important as backup information when interpreting the results of water analyses. If analyses indicate contamination of the water supply, a sanitary survey should be conducted to identify the source of the contamination.

Sanitary surveys should be conducted for:

- development of new water sources;
- rehabilitation/inspection of existing schemes.

Simple observation is the major part of all sanitary surveys. Inspections of existing water supply systems involve checking facilities and operational practices for signs of contamination. For example, water from a well should be considered as possibly contaminated if the well is uncovered or unprotected, or when the collection vessel is not kept clean. Leaking pipes may draw in sewage or other contamination from the soil, particularly in intermittent systems.

If a sanitary survey reveals possible bacteriological contamination, further investigation should be done to determine the source and the level of contamination and determine the treatment needed, or steps taken to eliminate the source of contamination.

Sanitary surveys of existing systems can reveal physical and chemical impurities. Water that is turbid may not be safe or aesthetically acceptable. If pipelines, pumps or other system components are corroded, the water supply may be chemically contaminated. Hard, scaly deposits on pipes or pumps indicate water with high mineral content which may be unsuitable for many domestic purposes. Minerals can encrust pipes and pots. If red stains appear on plumbing, the water may be high in iron. If the community believes a water source is harmful even though contamination is not evident, the water should be tested for harmful toxic chemicals.
If a sanitary survey reveals possible physical or chemical contamination, a complete laboratory analysis should be done to verify conditions. If analysis reveals that the water system is seriously contaminated either physically or chemically, the problem should be solved immediately or another water source should be developed.

3.2 TYPES OF ANALYSIS

The following types of analysis are listed in Reference 4 as being carried out by NWSDB Central Laboratory.

- **Partial Analysis (Short)**
  
The parameters assessed for a partial analysis include: temperature (°C), residual chlorine (RCl), hardness (EDTA), alkalinity (ALK), chloride (Cl), hydrogen ion concentration (pH), electrical conductivity (EC), turbidity (NTU), and sometimes colour and ion. Other parameters may be included when demanded — such as copper, sulphides, sulphates, and nitrogen as ammonia and albuminoid.

- **Complete Analysis (Major Constituents)**
  
The traditional "Complete" analysis, in addition to the parameters measured for partial analysis, includes: silica (SiO₂), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), potassium (K), sulphate (SO₄), chloride (Cl), nitrate (NO₃), fluoride (F), boron (B), and total filterable residue (TFR). Values to be calculated from these measured values include carbonates (CO₃), bicarbonates (HCO₃), free carbon dioxide (CO₂), percent sodium (%Na), total dissolved solids (TDS), and the sulphate to chloride ratio (SO₄:Cl). Ammonia nitrogen and albuminoid nitrogen may require analysis as demanded by the nature of the source water.

- **Minor and Trace Inorganic Analysis (Metals and Nonmetals)**
  
The analyses in this group are scheduled on a rotating basis. Minor and trace inorganic constituents include: aluminium (Al), arsenic (As), barium (Ba), beryllium (Be), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), lithium (Li), lead (Pb), manganese (Mn), mercury (Hg), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), silver (Ag), strontium (Sr), and zinc (Zn).

Nonmetal minor and trace inorganic constituents include sulphate (H₂S), phosphates (PO₄), nitrates (NO₃), ammonia nitrogen (NH₄-N), and organic nitrogen (Org.N).
o Organic Analysis

Chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), extractable oil and grease, and total organic carbon (TOC) are included in this group.

o Microbiological Analysis

This analysis of water includes tests for coliform and faecal coliform organisms. In addition, special straining techniques such as the gram stain are used when applicable.

o Biological Analysis

This analysis of water from surface sources and reservoirs includes the identification and enumeration of observable organisms. Included in the grouping are the green and blue-green algae, and other floral forms such as submerged aquatics, and animate forms such as the aquatic invertebrates.

o Reservoir and source water

These waters are monitored for fluctuations in dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, and turbidity (or an equivalent) as a function of location, depth and time with the objective of obtaining improved water quality through better reservoir management.

Another analysis which is of interest in design and rehabilitation of schemes is:

o Filter Media Cleanliness Determination

Designed to provide a quantitative evaluation of filter media condition (cleanliness) and/or backwash efficiency. This procedure can be used for cleanliness determination on any type of filter media. Media samples can be taken in depth increments for the determination of accumulated solids at any particular layer, or a standard 300 mm core sample can be taken for the determination of the total accumulated solids remaining per volume of filter media.

The results in g/L are compared to those of a new media placement or specially washed used media. A filter bed should be investigated at least twice per year to determine the condition of the media in order to advise on maintenance or to evaluate filtration effectiveness. The need for this type of determination can be observed in the monitoring of filter effluent turbidities.
In addition, it should be noted that the Laboratory conducts analyses to measure the characteristics of treatment chemicals and the characteristics of sludge.

3.3 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Accurate analysis of a water sample depends on proper collection of the sample. Samples must be taken from several locations at different times so that they are representative of the entire water supply. Samples for specific analysis are those collected when a new water source is being developed, when an outbreak of water-related disease occurs, when a scheme is being rehabilitated, or when water pollution is suspected. Samples collected regularly to monitor an existing water system are for routine analysis.

Methods of sample collection depend on whether the analysis to be made is bacteriological or physical and chemical, and on whether the sampling and analysis are routine or specific. The main considerations in sampling are to collect representative samples of the water and transport them quickly to the laboratory. Where the equipment is available, field testing may also be carried out.

Samples must be collected in the correct volume, with the right device and in the right container. They must be marked properly showing the date, time and exact location of the sampling point, and be accompanied by an analysis request form showing the required tests. In addition, samples should be adequately preserved, if required, and transported to the laboratory with a minimum of delay. Analyses only represent the water quality at the time of sampling, and it will often be necessary to take a series of samples at different times or seasons in order to obtain a more complete picture of the water quality variations. This is particularly true for bacteriological samples — analysis of a single sample is not reliable. For routine bacteriological sampling, the frequency of sampling is established in SLS 614. (See Table 2.2)

Samples for bacteriological analysis must be collected in sterile glass bottles with sterile stoppers. A paper or foil hood over the stopper and bottle neck is necessary. Stoppers can be made of ground glass or rubber. Normally, pre-sterilised sample bottles are obtained from the Central Laboratory. In an emergency, well-washed bottles can be sterilized in the field by boiling them for five minutes. If sample bottles are not sterile, any bacteria present on them will make the analysis useless. The sample bottle must be kept sealed, and the stoppers and bottle neck should be covered with parchment paper or thin aluminium foil. The covering should be kept in place after sampling. Each sample should be at least 100 mL in order to perform all tests required for bacteriological contamination.
Samples for physical and chemical analysis must be collected in chemically clean plastic or glass bottles. At least 2 litres of water need to be collected for a complete physical and chemical analysis.

Samples drawn from a stream or river that is being developed as a new source should not be collected too close to the bank nor too deep under the surface. Areas of stagnation should be avoided. Samples should not be drawn from the same spot every time, but should be taken from different points.

In piped water systems, samples should be collected at all points where water enters the distribution system. In addition, samples should be drawn from taps connected directly to the water main and not from storage tanks.

Special samples need to be drawn from known problem areas, such as areas with low pressure, areas with high leakage, and areas far from the treatment plant. When collecting bacteriological samples, great care must be taken to avoid contamination of the sample by touching the inside of the stopper or bottle neck or contamination from other sources apart from the water being tested. Bottles should be labelled immediately after sampling.

The following procedures should be used for sampling from different sources:

- Stream or river — collect from midstream, if possible, holding base of bottle and scoop water in an upstream direction beneath the surface.
- Lake or tank — collect from alternative intake locations, plunging bottle neck down into the water and filling the bottle horizontally, beneath the surface.
- Shallow well — use a weighted bottle and lower to required depth, until full.
- Handpump well — pump to waste for at least 1 minute before sampling.
- Tap — allow to run to waste for at least 1 minute before sampling.

Sample labels should give the following information:

- Sample number;
- Reason for sampling;
- Exact place of sampling and type of source;
- Temperature of sample source;
- Date and time of sampling;
- Sample depth (if required);
Additional information, as shown in Table 3.1 should be kept for each sample, to aid in the interpretation of results. It is also useful to sketch on the reverse side of the form the sample location.

Storage of samples Changes occur in the bacteriological content of water when it is stored. To get an accurate analysis, bacteriological testing of the water sample is best begun within one hour of collection. Samples for bacteriological analysis must be tested within 24 hours of collection. When a field kit is used to analyse samples, testing within one hour of collection is possible. If samples must be transported to another site, they must be carefully stored and transported so they remain representative of the water supply at the time the sample was collected. Temperature of samples during storage should remain as close as possible to the temperature of the source from which they were drawn. The length and temperature of storage of all samples should be recorded and considered in interpreting the analysis.

For physical and chemical samples, analysis should be done as soon as possible, and should not be delayed over 72 hours. Whenever possible, samples should be kept between 0°C and 10°C during storage and transportation.

3.4 INTERPRETATION OF CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Interpreting the results of a chemical analysis requires a knowledge of three factors:

a) What is the water to be used for?

b) What are the values of the test results?

c) What are the consequences of using the water for its intended use, taking into account the test results?

Some substances, although dangerous in excessive amounts, may be tolerable in trace amounts. These among others include lead, arsenic, fluoride, selenium, and hexavalent chromium. They are not normally determined unless there is reason to believe the elements are present, since they seldom occur in a water supply.

Engineers involved with interpretation of chemical analysis of water should know, for instance, that nitrate and sodium make water unfit only in specific cases; chlorides and sulphates over 500 mg/L will give water a salty taste; sulphates have a laxative effect; iron causes staining; and calcium and magnesium cause heavy use of soap and leave residues.
Table 3.1 Additional Sampling Data

Name of person requesting sample: ______________________________ Sample No: ______________

Reason for sample: [ ] Routine [ ] for bacteriological analysis
[ ] Specific (explain) [ ] or physical/chemical analysis

Date and hour of sampling ________________________________ [ ] for other analysis

Sample location: _______________________________________

Scheme/Project: _______________________________________

Sample source: [ ] Tap [ ] Cistern [ ] Stream [ ] Pond [ ] Well
[ ] Spring [ ] Rain catchment [ ] Other (specify)

Source name, if any: ________________________________

Exact spot from where sample was drawn: ______________________________

Is water treated? [ ] No [ ] Yes (If yes, specify type of treatment)

Does water quality change after heavy rains? [ ] No [ ] Yes (If yes, explain, odour, color, taste, turbidity)

For well sample, specify:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth of sampling</th>
<th>Depth of well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
<td>______________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from water surface to ground level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether well is covered or uncovered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cover, materials, condition of cover (explain)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of raising water (pump, rope and bucket, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether the well has a protective apron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type, material, size and condition of apron:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well lining material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possibly sources of contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For spring sample, specify:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether sample is drawn directly from spring or collection box</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction material and condition of collection box</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For lake or tank sample, specify:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How lake is supplied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of catchment - conserved or not</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature and extent of weed growth or algae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible sources of contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For stream or river sample, specify:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth at which sample was drawn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether sample was drawn from boat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible sources of contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether water level was above or below normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of time sample was stored before analysis _________________________

Temperature at which sample was stored _________________________

Remarks (eg. weather conditions or recent rainfall which may affect sample) _________________________

Completed by _________________________ Date ______________
When a chemical analysis is required, engineers should be aware of the objectives and the available tests, (refer to Section 3.2) and be selective regarding tests to be carried out. A guide to interpretation of chemical analyses is given in Table 3.2.

The "partial analysis" is designed to give enough basic information about a proposed water supply to be able to determine whether the supply is suitable as a potential water supply. The tests are not too cumbersome, time consuming or costly and include the following:

**pH**

Values are given in units varying from 0 to 14. All pH values below 7.0 denote acidity. Values above 7.0 denote alkalinity. The closer the value is to 7.0 (neutral) the more neutral is the substance, thus a pH value of 5.5 indicates a greater degree of acidity than a value of 6.7. Similarly 7.6 is slightly alkaline while 9.5 indicates a considerable degree of alkalinity. The further one gets from 7.0 the more severe is the acidity and alkalinity.

A pH of 6 is ten times the acidity of neutral water, a pH of 5 is 100 times, a pH of 4 is 1000 times, and a pH of 3 is 10,000 times the acidity of neutral water. Below pH 6.5, corrosion and objectionable tastes may occur.

**Colour**

Colour in water is generally derived from leaves, peat, logs and other similar organic substances. Sometimes it is due to iron or manganese that has combined with organic matter. Swamp water is the source of most coloured water. Colour is not injurious to health but is aesthetically objectionable.

True colour of water is due to material in solution while the apparent colour is due to the effect of particles of suspension. Only true colours are important, therefore substances in suspension must be removed before colour determination is made. Water that is highly turbid is seldom highly coloured because materials causing turbidity tend to absorb those causing colour.

The unit of colour used as a standard is that produced by 1 mg of platinum in a litre of water. Colour should not exceed 30 and preferably be less than 5. Colour of less than 3 units will not be noticed, even in a filled bath tub, whereas colour of 5 units, although scarcely perceptible, will be noted by many.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Test</th>
<th>Results (mg/l)</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.0 to 8.5 units</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 to 7.0 and 8.5 to 9.0</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>below 5.0 above 9.0</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>0 - 5 units</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 - 30 units</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 30 units</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>less than 1 unit</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Conductivity</td>
<td>0 - 750 um/cm</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>For most industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750 - 3500 um/cm</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0 - 50</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 - 250</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 250</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Salty taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>does not include hardness by more than 35</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate Alkalinity</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>0 to 10</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 to 30</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Danger to Babies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrites</td>
<td>0 - 0.1</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>0 to 0.6</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 to 1.5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 1.5</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solids</td>
<td>0 - 500</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 - 2000</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 2000</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Taste, industrial problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>above 600</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>0 to 0.3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 to 1.0</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 1.0</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphates</td>
<td>0 to 200</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 to 400</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 400</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium/Magnesium</td>
<td>0 - 100</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0 to 0.05</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 to 1.5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>5 to 15</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0 to 0.05</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0 to 0.05</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 to 0.1</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>above 100</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>For sodium-free diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>20 to 30</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>0 to 0.2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 to 1.0</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>0 to 0.001</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above 0.001</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>up to 250</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 - 25000</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Turbidity -

Water is turbid when it carries in suspension so many finely divided particles of clay, loam, sand or occasionally micro organisms that it presents a muddy appearance. There is evidence that freedom from disease organisms is associated with freedom from turbidity. Turbidity may cause gastro-intestinal irritation, but is primarily objectionable from a standpoint of appearance.

Turbidity is measured photometrically by determining the percentage of light of a given intensity that is either absorbed or scattered. Most turbidity meters work on the scattering principle, although turbidity caused by dark substances that absorb rather than reflect light should be measured by the absorption technique. Formazin is the standard reference chemical with meter readings expressed as formazin turbidity units (FTUs). The term nephelometry turbidity units (NTUs) is used to indicate that the test was carried out on the scattering principle. Turbidity of a good water supply should not exceed 5 and it is preferable to maintain it at 1 or less. At 10, slight cloudiness can be observed in a glass tumbler. At 500, water is practically opaque.

Conductance -

The specific conductance of a water is a measure of the ability of the water to conduct an electric current. It provides an accurate measurement of stream purity: pure water is highly resistant to the passage of an electric current and therefore has a low specific resistance.

Chlorides -

Chlorides are combinations of chlorine with other elements and are usually found in natural waters. Where the normal chloride content of water is known, the determination of chlorides can be of value in judging the sanitary quality of water. Chlorides in excess of the normal content may be considered an indication of contamination by sewage, since chloride is a constituent of urine, or intrusion of salt water if the supply is located near the coast. Chlorides increase the corrosive character of water.

Where contamination is suspected, the source of chlorides may be due to sewage, manufacturing wastes, mineral deposits, oil fuel wastes or salt water. The chloride upper limit of 250 mg/L is not set for health reasons, and water containing greater concentrations can be used without harm.

Chlorides will impart a salty or brackish taste to water which will be noticeable around 250 mg/L. At 500 to 1,000 mg/L content, water will be unpalatable. Water containing more than 4,000 mg/L is unsafe. Chloride tests are not essential in determining safe water supplies, but may be used as a general indication and guide.
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Alkalinity -

Water can be acid, alkaline or neutral. Alkalinity is usually caused by the presence of alkaline salts in water. Various degrees of alkalinity are typical with different forms of the salts.

- Bicarbonate alkalinity is derived from bicarbonates of calcium and magnesium.
- Carbonate alkalinity is derived from carbonates of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium.
- Hydroxide or caustic alkalinity is derived from hydroxides of sodium, potassium, calcium and magnesium.

Although some of these compounds can cause hardness, the total amounts of alkalinity and hardness are the same only when calcium and magnesium carbonates are present alone. A criterion for minimum and maximum alkalinity in a public water supply is related to the relative amounts of bicarbonate, carbonate and hydroxide alkalinity. Generally alkalinity should not be less than 30 mg/L nor higher than 400 mg/L.

Ammonia (N) -

Albuminoid Nitrogen (called albuminoid ammonia) is nitrogen present in organic matter before any decomposition occurs. The formation of ammonia nitrogen or free nitrogen marks the first step in the decomposition of organic matter. Ammonia combines with oxygen to form nitrates ultimately.

Nitrites - Nitrates -

Nitrates in water should be regarded with suspicion, as they may be due to pollution by organic wastes or due to mineral deposits. The Nitrite ion is the substance responsible for causing methemoglobinemia, a blood disease in babies. Having considered this aspect, nitrates over 10 mg/L may be safe providing they are bacteriologically safe.

Spot tests for nitrates show whether or not there are nitrates in the sample and give only a rough approximation of the content. The purpose of this test, which is very simple to perform, is to indicate the presence or absence of nitrates, thus indicating whether further tests should be carried out.
The process of forming nitrates is briefly as follows:

Organic matter — (albuminoid nitrogen, albuminoid ammonia) decomposes to ammonia nitrogen (free ammonia, free nitrogen) then decomposes to unstable nitrites (characteristic of fresh sewage) then oxidizes to nitrates — a relatively stable form.

The relative amounts of various nitrogen compounds rather than the actual amounts indicate the condition of the contaminating material. When ammonia nitrogen is greater than the albuminoid nitrogen, it is a fairly definite indication that the water has been contaminated by sewage and that decomposition has already commenced. If the organic matter in the water is old, nitrites will have been changed to nitrates. It is seen therefore that where nitrites are found continuously, it may be concluded that there is continuous contamination in close proximity to the sampling point.

Additional tests may be required to give further specific information for specific problems.

**Fluoride**

Fluoride occurs naturally in groundwaters in certain areas of Sri Lanka. The element fluorine may be found in volcanic gases, fluorite or fluorspar in sedimentary rocks, or triolite in igneous rocks. Disfigurement or mottling of teeth occurs when the fluoride content of a potable water exceeds approximately 1.5 mg/L and becomes pronounced when the content exceeds 3 to 6 mg/L.

However, fluoride in the range of 0.6 to 1.5 mg/L is beneficial in prevention of tooth decay in children, and is often added to water supplies when naturally absent.

**Total Solids — Fixed Solids — Dissolved Solids**

Total solids in water is the weight of all mineral and organic matter in the water — either in solution or suspension. It is not a measure of any particular ingredient but rather the sum of all the various elements and compounds dissolved and suspended in the water. It includes, therefore, iron and other chemical constituents.

The SLSI recommends the total solids content should not exceed 2,000 mg/L and preferably should be below 500 mg/L.
The suitability of a water for drinking purposes is indicated in a general way by the solids content. High solids content indicates that a water is highly contaminated or contains excessive amounts of mineral matter.

Filtering a sample of water will remove all suspended solids and a sample that is evaporated will leave behind all total solids. The difference between total solids and suspended solids is the dissolved solids. If the total solids are burned and the resulting ash weighed, this is an indication of the fixed solids.

**Hardness**

Evidence of hardness in water can easily be detected in the field. Water is hard if it requires much soap to produce a lather and soft if it lathers freely. Hardness is due to salts of calcium and magnesium, and is expressed in mg/L of CaCO₃. Hardness is not dangerous to health.

The following are examples of mineral compounds related to hardness in water:

- Calcium bicarbonate — solution of CaCO₃ in waters containing CO₂.
- Magnesium bicarbonate — together with above are the main constituents of temporary or carbonate hardness.
- Calcium sulphate.
- Magnesium sulphate — permanent hardness or non-carbonate hardness.

Also present sometimes are calcium and magnesium chloride, and calcium and magnesium nitrate.

The objections to hardness are:

- It wastes soap and affects the skin.
- Soaps and salt form a precipitate or curd which clings to fabrics in laundry work and textile manufacturing.
- Hardness modifies colours in dying work.
Carbonate hardness forms boiler scale when heated that adheres to the boiler shell reducing the capacity of the boiler and causes tubes to leak or burn out.

Calcium deposits choke water heaters. Since hardness may be due to several different compounds, results are normally expressed so that we can see at a glance the relationship between different waters. Hardness ratings are:

- 0 - 50 mg/L - soft
- 50 - 100 - moderately soft
- 100 - 200 - moderately hard
- 200 - 400 - hard
- 400 + over - very hard

Water can contain up to 800 mg/L and be satisfactory, though over 200 mg/L softening may be considered. Some wells, however, containing 5,000 mg/L hardness have been used without harm.

Iron and Manganese -

Iron, although it has no bearing on health, is important with respect to appearance, palatability and suitability for domestic and industrial use. It stains glass, porcelain fixtures, clothes when laundered, and unites with tannic acid in tea and coffee changing their flavour and appearance. It may impart an inky or metallic taste to water. Water which contains excessive amounts of iron will develop a brown precipitate or fine brown suspension due to iron oxide (iron rust) following exposure to air. An iron content exceeding 0.05 mg/L may develop some odour, stain fixtures or form precipitates.

The SLSI set limits of iron content at 0.3 mg/L. This limit was not set for reasons of health but rather taste, odour, laundering, etc. Condemning a water because of high iron content is therefore not justified for health reasons although a water with higher iron content is not readily accepted because of a reddish appearance and staining characteristics.

Manganese in concentrations of a few hundredths milligrams per litre, will cause a build up of coatings in distribution piping which slough off. It can cause laundry staining or formation of precipitates.
Sulphates

Sulphate content is not too significant when assessing the potability of a drinking water.

Excessive sulphates may:

- Cause bad taste
- Have a laxative effect when used for the first time.

The SLSI specifies that a potable water should not exceed 400 mg/L sulphates. Elsewhere, water with sulphates up to 6,000 mg/L is being consumed without any apparent harm.

Calcium and Magnesium -

Calcium and magnesium are the constituents which produce hardness in water. They waste soap, affect skin, are less satisfactory for cooking and washing and form scales in boilers and pipes. Calcium and magnesium bicarbonates are the main constituents of temporary hard water. The sulphates and chlorides constitute permanent hardness, and removal is more difficult.

Calcium compounds cause hardness, alkalinity and salinity in water and although their presence in most natural waters has no sanitary significance, they may have a detrimental effect on the use of water for domestic and industrial purposes.

Copper -

Except for traces, copper salts do not occur in natural waters. Their presence in potable water is attributable to corrosive action on piping.

Concentrations large enough to be significant from a health standpoint would render water supplies completely unpalatable. However, there is little danger of copper poisoning from this source. Tastes in the water supply will occur with concentrations of 3 to 5 mg/L. Concentrations of 0.5 mg/L or less in some soft waters will cause staining of porcelain.

The SLSI specifies a limit of 1.5 mg/L.

Zinc -

Zinc has been found in concentrations up to 50 mg/L in zinc mining areas. However, zinc content is normally limited to amounts incidental to corrosion of brass, galvanized piping, and those amounts are not of practical significance until the corrosion is sufficient to cause metallic tastes in water. The limit set by the SLSI is 15 mg/L. However 5 mg/L may cause a disagreeable taste and may aid corrosion.
Arsenic -

Arsenic may be present in water from hot springs or in the wastes from some industries. The maximum limit set by the SLSI is 0.05 mg/L.

Lead -

Lead is not present in natural waters and would not be present if not for direct contamination.

Lead contamination results when corrosive waters are allowed to stand for a length of time in lead piping or to come in contact with lead bearing jointing compounds, and the problem is considered limited. Lead poisoning usually results from the cumulative toxic effect of lead after protracted consumption of water containing significant concentrations, rather than from occasional presence of concentrations in excess of 0.1 mg/L.

The limit set by SSI is 0.5 mg/L.

Sodium - Potassium -

Sodium content of water may be deleterious to those suffering from high blood pressure, where 200 mg/L of sodium may be significant.

Sodium and potassium chloride can be tested. Sodium content around 200 mg/L is reasonable except for sodium free diet consumers where content above 100 mg/L is bad.

Silica -

Silica in water can be present in colloidal form or in solution. Its presence has advantages such as assisting coagulation with aluminium sulphate and helping build up a good coating that protects iron from corrosion. Its disadvantage is in high pressure boilers and turbines where it forms a scale which is hard and troublesome.

ABS -

ABS is short for Aklyl Benzene Sulphonate and it is a surface active agent or surfactant, as they are called. They are organic substances which, at usage concentrations, significantly lower the surface tension of water.

The ease with which a surfactant is degraded or discharged by biochemical means is called biodegradability. Those resistant to biodegradability are called hard detergents and conversely those detergents that contain surfactants that are easily degraded are called soft detergents.
When wash waters and waste which contain synthetic detergents go down the drain they will contain approximately 0.1% to 0.5% of finished detergent product. This is 1,000 mg/L to 5,000 mg/L respectively and is equivalent to 200 mg/L to 1,000 mg/L of either ABS, LAS, or other surfactant. This LAS is a linear alkylate which when sulphonated becomes linear alkylate sulphonate hence LAS.

When ABS goes down the drain into the sewer it becomes diluted by other wastes 100 times or so, so that raw sewage contains typically about 10 mg/L ABS.

Traces of ABS which find their way into drinking water, almost always come from sewage. ABS therefore is an index of pollution of sewage origin.

While a level of 5 mg/L ABS might be encountered as an unusually rare circumstance on a temporary basis, ABS levels in drinking water are almost always below 1.0 mg/L which is the recommended limit and are usually well under 0.2 mg/L.

Phenols —

Phenols result from oily or tarry wastes from coke ovens and wood installation plants. The limit of 0.001 mg/L will produce tastes with the addition of chlorine.

The amounts of phenols would not be significant if not for the fact that objectionable tastes and odours result when phenol bearing wastes are subjected to chlorination.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) —

Biodegradeable organic matter in waste discharges is broken down by aerobic microorganisms to provide food and energy. The dissolved oxygen used by the microorganisms in this metabolic process is referred to as the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD).

The test for BOD is an important one for determining the degree of pollution of streams and the oxygen requirement of sewage and trade wastes.

The BOD is a measure of the amount of organic matter present or rather of the oxygen required to oxidize the organic matter. In raw sewage the five day BOD is generally above 100 mg/L and for industrial wastes the BOD may range from 1,000 to 50,000 mg/L.
Pesticides

This test should be included where there is the possibility of industrial pollution or agricultural runoff, since pesticides may create health problems. Refer to local WHO or FAO office for guidelines.

Mineral Oils

May cause tastes and odours after chlorination.

3.5 INTERPRETATION OF BACTERIOLOGICAL ANALYSES

If the water samples taken do not meet the prescribed standard, the source should be considered unsuitable for use without treatment. If any coliform organisms are found, further investigations may be necessary to determine their source, if this is not evident. Disinfected water supplies should be completely free of any coliforms, however polluted the raw water may have been.
The object of water treatment is to obtain water of approved quality standards. Treatment must be both economical and reliable, requiring the least capital investment and operation and maintenance. The relative merits of sources requiring treatment against the cost of long pipelines from a distant source not receiving treatment should be weighed carefully in view of the constant attention which would be required for the operation and maintenance of a treatment plant.

The methods of treatment depend on the nature of the source and its quality. Treatment may comprise only chlorination for disinfection where raw water quality is within the recommended limits or it may involve certain specific or full treatment including aeration, sedimentation (either plain or flocculation and coagulation), rapid or slow sand filtration followed by chlorination. Ground waters generally require the least amount of treatment, chlorination usually being sufficient. In the case of surface water and iron-bearing ground waters, part or all of the full treatment processes may be required. It is important, therefore, that water quality of the source be thoroughly evaluated to select, first of all, the source which requires the least treatment and secondly, the most economical treatment process (es). Data on design of the various unit processes are given in Chapter 5.

For small community water supplies, complicated treatment schemes are not suited and in such cases a better solution may be to develop an alternative unpolluted source of water, even when this can only be found at a greater distance.

Important design considerations are:

- Low cost
- Minimum use of mechanical equipment
- Avoidance of use of chemicals, when possible
- Ease of operation and maintenance.

The possible treatment stages including unit processes, chemical dosing points, washwater recovery and sludge disposal are shown in Figure 4.1. The effectiveness of treatment processes in removal of various impurities is shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.2 presents treatment options in a slightly different format with recommended processes for various levels of undesirable characteristics. Both Tables 4.1 & 4.2 should be used with caution since treatment effectiveness usually depends on a combination of unit processes.

Figure 4.2 shows the approximate operational ranges of treatment processes with respect to removal of particles of different sizes, and Table 4.3 shows unit processes required for various typical types of source waters.
### Table 4.1
Effectiveness of Water Treatment Processes in Removing Various Impurities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Quality Characteristic</th>
<th>Chemical Coagulation and Flocculation</th>
<th>Sedimentation</th>
<th>Rapid Filtration</th>
<th>Slow Sand Filtration</th>
<th>Chlorination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen Content</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide Removal</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity Reduction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour Reduction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taste and Odour Removal</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria Removal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and Manganese Removal</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter Removal</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosiveness</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

+++ increasing positive effect
o no effect
- negative effect
### Table 4.2 Recommended Treatment Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Quality Characteristic to be Reduced</th>
<th>Raw Water Storage</th>
<th>Screening</th>
<th>Sedimentation</th>
<th>Aeration</th>
<th>Pre-Chlorination</th>
<th>Preliminary Settlement</th>
<th>Flocculation</th>
<th>Coagulants and Settling</th>
<th>Filtered Water</th>
<th>Slow Sand Silts</th>
<th>Post-Chlorination</th>
<th>Superchlorination and BG Chlorination</th>
<th>Lime and Soda Softening</th>
<th>Activated Carbon</th>
<th>Desalting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floating debris</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0– 5 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5– 30 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30– 100 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–750 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750–1000 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1000 TU</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30 Hazen</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30– 100 Hazen</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tastes &amp; odours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;200 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and manganese:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.3 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3–1 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorides:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–500 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500 mg/L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliform bacteria, MPN per 100 mL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0– 20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20– 100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Chemicals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**  
- **E** = Essential  
- **R** = Recommended
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Aeration for Increasing $O_2$</th>
<th>Aeration for Reducing $CO_2$</th>
<th>Pre-Chlorination</th>
<th>Infiltration Gallery</th>
<th>Plain Sedimentation/Roughing Filter</th>
<th>Coagulation and Flocculation</th>
<th>Sedimentation</th>
<th>Rapid Filtration</th>
<th>Slow Sand Filtration</th>
<th>Post Chlorination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic, not corrosive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic, corrosive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic, not corrosive; no Fe and Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic, not corrosive, with Fe and Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Waters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected hill country streams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity &lt;10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streams, slightly polluted turbidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasionally &gt;10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streams polluted, frequent high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity and colour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks or lakes, canals slightly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polluted, low turbidity and algae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks or lakes, canals, lowland rivers,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polluted, high turbidity and algae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tastes &amp; odours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Table 4.3: Treatment Systems for Water from Various Sources

- BYPASS OPTIONS
Flow Diagram Showing Possible Treatment Stages
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APPROXIMATE OPERATIONAL RANGES FOR TREATMENT PROCESSES
5. Unit Processes

5.1 Aeration

Aeration may be used on surface waters to help remove tastes and odours due to dissolved gases, or on ground water supplies to reduce or remove objectionable amounts of carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulphide or methane and to introduce oxygen to assist in iron and manganese removal. In surface waters with a high organic content (such as Kalatuwawa) aeration may also assist in colour removal.

There has in the past been over-use of aeration in surface water schemes in Sri Lanka. Where aeration is not required for the above purposes, it adds to the cost of pumping (usually 1–3 m head) and increases the oxygen content making the water more corrosive. This adds to the cost of treatment and makes for a more aggressive water in the distribution system. Aeration should not, therefore, be used unless required for:

- addition of oxygen to anaerobic waters;
- precipitation of iron and manganese in solution; or
- removal of carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulphide or other soluble organic and inorganic substances causing tastes, odours and sometimes colour.

Natural surface waters often contain dissolved complex organic and inorganic substances which volatilise on aeration and some oxidation of dissolved organic matter also occurs. This exchange has the effect of removing or reducing obnoxious tastes and odours and, with it, the ultimate chlorine demand of the natural water. However, aeration may not remove all tastes and odours caused by less volatile substances.

Dissolved ferrous and manganous compounds are oxidised by aeration, and precipitated as insoluble ferric and manganic oxide hydrates which may then be removed by sedimentation or filtration. This process is likely to be less effective in the presence of organic matter, which may combine with the iron and manganese to form complex compounds which do not precipitate well. In such cases, moderate rather than vigorous aeration may work better.

Groundwaters will release a part of any dissolved gases and aerate with atmospheric oxygen thus acquiring a more desirable taste. The release of carbon dioxide increases the pH and reduces the corrosivity of the water.

Reducing the carbon dioxide content, however, may shift the carbonate–bicarbonate equilibrium in the water so that deposits of calcium carbonate are formed which may cause problems.
The rate of aeration is governed by the area of interface between the air and liquid, the thickness of the interlayers and the time of contact.

The type of aerators commonly used are:

**Spray Aerators**

These consist of stationery nozzles, through which water is sprayed into the air, at about 5-7 m/sec. The nozzle design is important in achieving optimum dispersion. Spray aerators are appealing, but are no more efficient than other types which require less space.

Typical design criteria are:

- **Nozzle dia:** 10-40 mm @ 0.5 - 3.0 m spacing

  Nozzles to be tilted 3° - 5° to the vertical to avoid interference due to falling water. They should be enclosed by suitable windshields to prevent loss of water;

- **Output per nozzle:** 5-10 L/s

- **Head required at nozzle:** 2-9 m of water

- **Velocity of water in the aerator pipe:** 1.0-1.5 m/sec.

- **Aerator area:** 0.72 - 2.16 m²/m³/day of flow.

The time of exposure of the droplets, the head required and the flow from each nozzle can be calculated from the following formulae:

- \( V = C_v \sqrt{\frac{2gh}{g}} \)

- \( q = C_d a \sqrt{\frac{2gh}{g}} \)

- \( t = \frac{2 C_v \sin x}{g} \frac{2h}{g} \)

- \( h = \) total head of water at nozzle

- \( g = \) acceleration due to gravity

- \( V = \) initial velocity of drop emerging from nozzle

- \( C = \) coefficient of velocity (0.9)

- \( C = \) coefficient of discharge (0.9)

- \( q = \) discharge per nozzle

- \( a = \) cross section area of nozzle opening

- \( t = \) time of travel or exposure

- \( x = \) angle of inclination of spray to horizontal
Multiple Tray Aerators

These are simple, inexpensive and occupy little space (See Fig 5.1(a)). Water is dispersed evenly through perforated pipes over the upper tray from where it trickles down.

Typical design criteria are:

- Number of perforated trays = 3–5
- Tray perforations = 10 mm dia. @ 50 mm centres.
- Spacing between trays = 30–50 cm
- Height of aerator = 2–3 m
- Size of media in trays = 4–7 cm
- Depth of media = 10–25 cm
- Flow rate = 0.01–0.02 m³/s per m² of tray surface
- Tray area = 1.0–2.0 m²/m³/min. (34–68 ft²/mgd)

Trays can be of any suitable non-corrosive material, and for better dispersion may be filled with coarse gravel. Coke may also be used, which acts as a catalyst to promote the precipitation of iron from the water.

Cascade Aerators

The principle is to spread the water as much as possible and let it flow over obstructions to produce turbulence. These are similar to tray aerators, but with a series of steps or platforms over which the water cascades (see Fig.5.1(b),(c)). Obstacles may be placed on the edge of each step. Cascades aerators generally take up more space than tray aerators, but the overall head loss is lower, and maintenance is minimal. Where space permits, therefore, they are the preferred type of aerator.

Typical design criteria are:

- Number of drops = 4–6
- Height of drops = 30–60 cm
- Overflow rate = 0.01 m³/s over m width of step.
- Height of aerator = 2–3 m
- Cascade area = 1.5–2.0 m²/m³/min of flow (51–68 ft²/mgd)

An example of cascade aerator design is given in Annex A.
(a) MULTIPLE TRAY AERATOR

(b) CASCADE AERATOR

(c) MULTIPLE PLATFORM AERATOR

AERATORS

FIGURE 5.1
For river and lake intakes some form of protective boom or coarse screen, about 75 mm opening, should be used to prevent large floating objects entering the intake. The velocity of water through the screen opening should not exceed 0.5 m/s.

Fine screens are usually 5–20 mm opening, duplicate screens being provided to allow the removal of one screen for cleaning manually. Alternatively, provision may be made for cleaning by raking or water jetting. Maximum velocity through the screening medium should not exceed 1 m/s. Mechanical screens may be necessary on larger schemes, operated continuously or intermittently as controlled by the loss of head across the unit. For a belt type screen 15 mm/s would be a typical belt speed for continuous operation and drum and disc type units may rotate continuously at 0.2–2 rev/min.

The microstrainer is a development of the drum screen using a fine stainless steel mesh supported on a coarser material. Because of the small aperture size of the fabric, clogging occurs rapidly and the fabric must be continually cleaned by washwater jets. The speed of rotation of the drum depends on the diameter but in general the maximum peripheral speed should not exceed 0.5 m/s. Filtering head is normally 40–140 mm and maximum operating head should not exceed 0.25 m. Three types of fabric are in general use: Mark I 23 micron aperture, Mark I 35 micron aperture and Mark II 65 micron aperture.

Straining rates are usually in the range 700–2300 m³/m²d and backwashing requires about 2% of the influent water. Typical sizes and capacities are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MACHINE SIZE</th>
<th>DIA (m)</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE WIDTH (m)</th>
<th>MOTOR (kW)</th>
<th>CAPACITY RANGE (m³/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.15 or 0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>230–2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1360–6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3620–16100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>13600–45360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>23000–66000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 COAGULATION

Colloidal particles and fine suspended material cannot be removed by plain sedimentation because of their extremely low settling velocities. It is therefore not normally possible to remove particles of normal specific gravity with diameters less than 50 micron by sedimentation in conventional tanks. Suitable chemicals can be added to water to form bulky floc particles which will settle readily removing most of the colloidal and suspended constituents of the water.

The most commonly used chemical for coagulation of water is aluminium sulphate (alum) $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3.14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ but the following chemicals may sometimes be used:

- Ferrous sulphate (copperas) $\text{FeSO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O}$
- Chlorinated copperas $\text{FeSO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Cl}_2$
- Ferric sulphate $\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$
- Ferric chloride $\text{FeCl}_3$

The substances that frequently are to be removed by coagulation and flocculation are those that cause turbidity and colour. Surface waters in Sri Lanka are often turbid and contain colouring material. Turbidity may result from soil erosion, algal growth or animal debris carried by surface runoff. Colour may be imparted by substances leached from decomposed organic matter, leaves, or soil such as peat. Both turbidity and colour are mostly present as colloidal particles.

Generally, water treatment processes involving the use of chemicals are not so suitable for small community water supplies and should be avoided whenever possible. Chemical coagulation and flocculation should only be used when the required water quality cannot be achieved with another treatment process using no chemicals. If the turbidity and colour of the raw water are not much higher than is permissible for drinking water, it should be possible to avoid chemical coagulation in the treatment of the water. A process such as slow sand filtration would serve both to reduce the turbidity and colour to acceptable levels, and to improve the other water quality characteristics, in a single unit. A roughing filter can serve to reduce the turbidity load on the slow sand filter, if necessary.
Alum is by far the most widely used coagulant but iron salts have advantages over alum in some circumstances. One advantage is the broader pH range for good coagulation. (For alum the pH zone for optimum coagulation is quite narrow, ranging from about 6.5 to 7.5 whereas for ferric sulphate it is considerably broader, about 5.5 to 9.0). Thus, in the treatment of soft coloured waters where colour removal is best obtained at low pH, iron salts may be preferred as coagulants. Iron salts should also be considered for coagulation at high pH since ferric hydroxide is highly insoluble in contrast to aluminium salts which form soluble aluminate ions at high pH. Synthetic organic polyelectrolytes have become available as coagulants and coagulants aids, but are generally not economical for small water supply systems, nor are they readily available in Sri Lanka.

The coagulant must be added in the correct dose and mixed thoroughly, for optimal coagulation. The optimal dose should be determined periodically by carrying out a jar test.

Dosing

Chemicals are normally added to water as a solution or suspension so that rapid dispersion throughout the main body of water becomes possible. For accurate continuous dosing the chemical must be carefully measured and this may be done by either dry or solution feeders.

Dry feeders draw finely ground chemical from agitated storage hoppers, measurement being gravimetric or volumetric. The measured chemical is usually delivered to a solution pot for injection into the main supply as a solution or a slurry.

With solution feeders the chemical is dissolved in large storage vats holding 12-24 hours supply of solution with stirrers to a standard concentration (usually 3-7%) which is then added via a constant head orifice or similar measuring device.

In either case duplicate feed capacity must be provided in case of breakdown and there should be at least one month's chemical storage capacity adjacent to the feeders. Since most of the concentrated chemical solutions are highly corrosive, solution lines should be of rubber or plastic and tanks should be lined with a plastic coating. A typical arrangement is shown in Figure 5.2.

Problems have been reported with lime and alum dosing equipment in treatment plants in Sri Lanka, from which the following conclusions may be drawn:
FIGURE 5.2
CHEMICAL FEED ARRANGEMENT FOR ALUM

BAFFLED CHANNEL FOR RAPID MIXING.

NOTE: THE WEIR CAN ALSO BE USED TO MEASURE THE FLOW

COAGULANT MIXING

FIGURE 5.3.
o proportional feed dosing pumps have been commonly used, but are unreliable, and a gravity feed system through pipes of steady gradient should be utilized wherever possible;

o the amount and concentration of the solution must be known for optimum coagulation and economy of coagulant use;

o solution tanks are sometimes some distance from the point of chemical dosing, requiring long feeding pipes. Solution preparation, dosing and flocculation should be as close together as possible.

Coagulant Dispersion

The initial dispersion of the coagulant in the raw water is of utmost importance, and to ensure even dispersion of the chemical throughout the water some form of mixing is essential. The desired degree of mixing may be accomplished either by mechanical means or by utilising hydraulic turbulence.

Hydraulic methods include overflow weirs and baffled channels as shown in Figure 5.3 or in the discharge piping of a pump. 5–10 sec. mixing time should be adequate, if it is really vigorous and continuous.

If a hydraulic jump is used for mixing, the velocity of flow in the flume should be 3–4 m/s and the water leaving the flume should mix with water moving at about 0.7 m/s, the total mixing time being about 5 sec. The head loss for such mixing is 0.25–0.50 m.

Mechanical rapid mixing may be achieved by high speed (300–900 rev/min) propeller type mixers installed in a small chamber; criteria to follow are:

- Detention time of at least 30 s (commonly 60 s)
- Power requirement 2.5–5.0 kW/m³
- Head loss 0.2–0.6 m
- Ratio of tank dia to height 1–3

However, experience indicates that mechanical rapid mixing is not as effective as well designed hydraulic mixing, and Parshall flumes or weirs are preferred.

Dilution of the coagulant, to a solution of 0.5 to 1.0% should be carried out immediately prior to dosing the raw water. The dilution water should be filtered, but without pH adjustment (before lime addition). It must be possible to measure the volumes of both dilution water and coagulant. A suitable and simple type of diffuser system is shown in Figure 5.4. The feeding point should be across the flow at the point of maximum agitation.
COAGULANT AND DILUTION WATER

SLOTTED PIPE DIFFUSER

WEIR AND COAGULANT APPLICATION POINT

DIFFUSER

COAGULANT DIFFUSER WITH DILUTION LINE AND SAMPLING TAP

FIGURE 5.4
Flocculation

The size of flocc particles and their speed of formation can be improved by creating velocity gradients in the water to increase the chance of collision between particles. Such conditions can be provided by rectangular horizontal flow tanks with slowly rotating paddles or with baffles, or by vertical flow tanks with or without mechanical stirring.

a) Mechanical Flocculators

Flocculators are generally of mechanical type in larger plants and hydraulic or gravitational type in smaller plants. For mechanical type, use the following typical criteria:

- Detention period = 20 to 30 minutes
- Avg. velocity of flow = 0.3 m/s
- Paddle area = 10 to 25% of the area swept
- Speed = 2 rpm
- Max. peripheral velocity = 0.15–0.75 m/s
- Head loss = 0.15 m
- Depth of tank = 1½–2 paddle diameters
- Power requirement = 2.5–5.0 kW/m³/s. (design for starting torque)

Operate as many paddles as possible from one shaft and motor. Many methods of coagulation and flocculation can be effective as long as the concept of plug flow is maintained rather than uncontrolled or undirected flow through large open basins. The very simplest mechanically-driven flocculators, either horizontal or vertical, can be effective if the tank is divided into sections or cells requiring a series flow pattern. Even if not provided in the original design, this can often still be accomplished later by installing baffles in existing tanks to create cells or compartments.

b) Solid Contact/Upflow Sludge Blanket Clarifiers

Most existing plants in Sri Lanka use a combination of flocculation and settling within a single structure in upflow sludge blanket type clarifiers. (see Fig. 5.5.) These units operate best under heavy turbidity conditions so that a dense sludge blanket can be formed; this is not the case in most of the plants. Furthermore, these basins do not take overloads well and sharp changes in flow adversely affect their performance. The sludge rises and overflows at the slightest provocation.
Most unreliable of the existing units are the single compartment flocculators which allow short circuiting and short flocculation times. Since slow agitation is critical to the formation of settleable floc this unit is very important. The time of agitation and amount of energy input are important parameters. In upflow basins neither is well controlled.

For the most part the loading of the basins is at the limit of reasonable overflow rates. Add to this the fact that flocculation times are close to or below reasonable minimums, and it becomes clear that these clarifier-flocculator units are not easily modified and upgraded.

If more clarification capacity is needed in the future at these plants, new flocculation and settling basins will be required. There is, however, an alternative solution: a light coagulant dosage and direct filtration can probably produce excellent water quality for most of the year and possibly even cope with seasonally high turbidity waters (during the rainy season) by more frequent filter washing.

c) **Hydraulic Flocculators**

Baffled or hydraulic flocculation basins are simple, economical alternatives to mechanical flocculators. They have the distinct advantage of being free from mechanical equipment, O&M problems as well as short-circuiting. Their disadvantages are that mixing intensity is dependent on flow rate and that they require more area.

The velocity gradient $G$ (sec$^{-1}$) for hydraulic flocculators can be controlled by the spacing of the baffles. $G$ should be greater than 10 in order to promote flocculation but less than 75 if disintegration of the floc by shear forces is to be avoided. The value of $G$ can be adjusted to floc size growth requirements by providing a higher initial value and decreasing it progressively. The initial $G$ value may be as high as 100 with the final values dropped to as low as 10 (typical values would be in the range 45-90).

The minimum detention time in the basin should be at least 20 min (1200 sec). Care must be taken in introducing flocculated water into the sedimentation tank to minimize floc disintegration. The recommended velocity in the channel or pipe to the sedimentation basin should be between 0.1-0.3 m/s and emphasis must be placed on smoothness of flow.
COMBINED FLOCCULATION AND SEDIMENTATION TANK
Two methods of providing tapered $G$ values are by either varying the baffle spacing in a basin having a fixed cross-section or by evenly spacing the baffles along a varying channel section. An example calculation for a fixed cross-section channel is given in Annex B.

Typical sketches of horizontal and vertical flow baffled channel flocculators are shown in Figure 5.6.

Typical design criteria are as follows:

- **Velocity gradient**, $G = 45-90 \text{ sec}^{-1}$
- **Detention time**, $t = 600-1800 \text{ sec}$
- **Channel vel.**, $V = 0.1-0.3 \text{ m/sec}$
- **Head loss**, $H = 0.5 \text{ m}$
- **No. of baffles**, $= 12-20$ with $180^\circ$ direction change and well-rounded corners

Velocity gradient:

$$G = \frac{S g H}{u T}$$

where $S = \text{special gravity}$
$H = \text{headloss per baffle (m)}$
$V = \text{Viscosity of water (m}^2/\text{sec}$
$(0.90 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec at 25°C})$
$T = \text{detention time per baffle (sec)}$
$g = \text{gravity (9.81 m/sec}^2)$

There are many examples of older or smaller plants without mechanical equipment where good flocculation has been accomplished simply by gravity flow through baffled channels. These include both under-and-over and around-the-end baffle design. The particular style or design does not seem to be important. What is important is to keep in mind the objective of plug flow and to approach that as closely as possible.

### 5.4 SEDIMENTATION

#### a) Horizontal Flow Settling Tanks

Sedimentation or clarification is the removal of suspended matter from water through a process of settling. In the process, particles heavier than water settle to the bottom of an especially designed tank. Further treatment may be needed to remove fine clay and colloidal matter which have a very slow settling rate and are difficult to remove by plain sedimentation. Horizontal flow settling tanks should be used where water contains much suspended matter. The tanks can be used alone, as a pre-treatment step for a slow sand filter, or as an intermediate process between chemical coagulation and rapid filtration.
HORIZONTAL-FLOW BAFFLED CHANNEL FLOCCULATOR
PLAN

VERTICAL-FLOW BAFFLED CHAMBER FLOCCULATOR
CROSS SECTION

FLOCCULATORS
FIGURE 5.6
The most common design provides for the water flowing horizontally through the tank (Figure 5.7) but there are also designs for vertical or radial flow (Figure 5.8). For small water treatment plants, horizontal-flow, rectangular tanks generally are both simple to construct and adequate.

Sedimentation tanks are designed to reduce the velocity of water entering the tank so that it is retained long enough to permit particles to settle. A sedimentation tank has four sections: the inlet zone, the settling zone, the outlet zone, and the sludge deposit zone. (See Figure 5.7)

- The inlet zone is the area where water enters the tank and is distributed evenly throughout its entire width and depth.

- A baffle or wall separates the inlet zone from the settling zone. The work of the sedimentation tank is done in the settling zone, where the water is held long enough to permit suspended particles to settle. The efficiency of the settling process will be improved if there is no turbulence or cross circulation in the tank.

- In the outlet zone, clarified water is collected from the top layer of the tank. This area is separated from the settling zone by a weir which controls water flow out of the tank and into the outlet zone.

- Finally, the sludge zone collects the material settled from the water. The floor in the sludge zone should have a minimum of 5% slope toward the drain.

Sedimentation tank design is based on the assumption of ideal conditions in the settling zone, such as:

- A uniform flow distribution vertically and horizontally at the inlet and outlet to the settling zone;

- A uniform solids concentration at the inlet to the settling zone, vertically and horizontally.

Consider a particle with settling velocity \( V_o \) which is just removed (i.e., traverses the full depth \( H \) of the tank in the detention time \( T \)).
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\[ V_o = \frac{H}{T} \quad \text{where} \quad T = \frac{A \cdot H}{Q} \text{ hr} \]

\[ A = \text{surface area of tank, } m^2 \]
\[ Q = \text{flow rate } m^3/hr \]

Then \[ V_o = \frac{H \cdot Q}{A \cdot H} = \frac{Q}{A} \text{ m/hr which is the surface overflow rate} \]

or loading rate.

Note that the tank depth, \( H \), is therefore immaterial.

Particles with settling velocity \( V_s \) of \( V_o \) or greater should all be removed, but when \( V_s \) is lower than \( V_o \):

- provided \( H < V_s T \), they are removed, but if \( H > V_s T \), they are not removed.

Therefore proportion of removed particles is \[ \frac{V_s}{V_o} \]

where \( V_o \) is design velocity.

Settling tank design should be based on a settling column analysis of the raw water. Some typical settling velocities are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Partial Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Settling Velocity (m/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand</td>
<td>1.0, 0.5</td>
<td>365, 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>0.25, 0.10</td>
<td>97.5, 29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>0.5, 0.005</td>
<td>10.6, 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine clay</td>
<td>0.001, 0.0001</td>
<td>0.005 (5 mm/h), 0.000005 (0.05 mm/h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For plain sedimentation (without pretreatment of chemical coagulation and flocculation), lower surface loading rates should be used.

A typical tank layout is shown in Figure 5.9 and suggested design criteria are as follows:
Surface loading rate (see table below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Q/A, m/day per m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pretreatment</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With chemical coagulation</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With coagulant aids</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sedimentation after efficient chemical coagulation should remove almost all of the colloidal and suspended impurities in water. Large floc particles have settling velocities in the range 0.5 - 3.5 m/hr and for alum floc 1.0 m/hr is commonly used for design purposes.

Recommended surface overflow rates are given below:

For efficient design most, if not all, of the following factors should be considered:

- number of tanks;
- dimensions;
- velocity of flow;
- detention time;
- sludge storage and removal;
- inlet and outlet arrangements;
- particle size of solids to be removed; and
- water temperature.

It is very difficult to take into account all the above factors, particularly since the whole situation can be upset by outside influences such as wind action. In view of this, most designs are based principally on judgement and experience.
Outlet weirs should be designed to maintain suitable settling velocities and to minimize short-circuiting. The rate of flow over an effluent weir should be restricted to 18 m³/m² hr. Vee notch effluent weirs with notches 50 mm deep at 75-150 mm spacing are preferable to plates since the effect of subsidence on the weir would be less acute. Submerged orifices may be used as an alternative to overflow weirs.

For the inlet, distribution of water equally and at uniform velocities requires an inlet arrangement such as baffles with open or submerged ports. Simple inlet and outlet arrangements are shown in Figure 5.10. Sludge removal may be achieved by mechanical sludge collection or by a hopper bottom with perforated pipes through which sludge is removed under hydrostatic pressure. Also rectangular tanks with reverse bottom slope are used with high pressure hoses for manual cleaning. Minimum size of pipe to be used for desludging is 200 mm dia. for non-mechanised units and 100-150 mm dia for mechanised units.

The following criteria should be used for sludge removal:

For manual cleaning:
- slope of 1 in 10, hopper bottom 1.2 in 1 - 2 in 1

For mechanical scraping:
- 1 in 12 slope

Allowable head loss:
- 0.50 m

Power required:
- 0.15 - 20 kW/m³/d.

Rake speed:
- 0.3 m/min

Manual cleaning has the disadvantage that the tank has to be taken out of service, but good plant design normally provides more than one settling tank, even with mechanical equipment. Where the solids load in the raw water is small, a plant can be operated for months between scheduled sludge removal. Small units can be provided with hopper bottoms for even simpler cleaning.

b) Vertical Flow Tanks

Solids contact units are popular in developed countries and combine in a single basin the various clarification operations with flow in an upward vertical direction through a layer (blanket) of flocculated suspended matter. These are often used for combined softening and clarification.
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FIGURE 5.10
Commonly used criteria are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Surface loading} & = 1.25-2.5 \text{ m/hr} \\
\text{Detention period} & = 2 \text{ hours (not usually used as a criterion)} \\
\text{Weir loading} & = < 215 \text{ m}^3/\text{d/m of weir length}.
\end{align*}
\]

These clarifiers require competent operation to maintain an optimum sludge blanket layer with suitable controls of sludge withdrawal. The blanket is sensitive to the coagulant dosage and to a number of other factors including the prevailing difference between ambient temperature and the influent temperature.

Experience with this type of clarifier in Sri Lanka has been mixed and often unsatisfactory, and they are not therefore recommended for small water treatment plants.

c) Clarifiers with Tube or Plate Settlers

Tube settlers are now being incorporated in the design of many new water and wastewater treatment plants. They are also being used to increase settling capability of existing plants. Much remains to be learned about the design and performance of tube settlers, but experience already indicates they can increase operating rates by two to three times above conventional design criteria under proper conditions.

A recent development in high-rate sedimentation is the plate settler. Plate settlers may be made of metal, plastic, or waterproof plywood. Flow through the plate settlers may be either vertical or horizontal. The design concept is similar to that of tube settlers but is cheaper. The size of structures is kept to a minimum. The basin overflow rates for plate settlers are 5-10 times those of conventional rectangular basins.

Tubes may be circular or square in section, of about 5 cm size and inclined at 60° to the horizontal. Water is passed up through the tubes, and sediment settles out and trickles down, keeping the tubes clean. Tubes are usually prefabricated in packs and made of PVC they are light and cheap; pipes may also be used. Loading is normally 9 m\(^3\)/hr per m\(^2\) (m/hr).

When used for upgrading existing sedimentation tanks, improved surface loading rates of 4-5 times may be obtained, and it is important also to upgrade the sludge removal facilities to deal with the extra volume of sludge. A typical layout of a plate clarifier is shown in Figure 5.11.
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FIGURE 5.11
Rapid filtration is recommended only for medium or larger schemes where skilled operators will be available. Filtration can be considered as the final polishing operation in water treatment. If the preceding units are operating correctly, about 95% of the impurity load will have been removed from the water before it reaches the filters. Although overall purification resulting from the filters is small the process does ensure that the clarity of the treated water is to a high standard.

For filtration the choice is between slow sand filters for (gravity operation) and rapid sand filter (gravity or pressure filters). Rapid filters must be provided with efficient coagulation and sedimentation pretreatment (for water with turbidity in excess of 10-20 units).

A comparison of characteristics of the main types of filters is shown in Table 5.1 and Figure 5.12 shows the main features. A typical design layout is shown in Figure 5.13.

Common criteria for rapid gravity filters are:

- At least 2 filters to be provided.
- Influent turbidity 20 units after pretreatment
- Unit area Up to 140 m²
- Filtration rate 120-360 m³/m²d
- Head loss Up to 2.5 m
- Filter run 1-7 days
- Washwater consumption 1-6% of filtered water
- Depth of filter 0.3-0.6 m gravel, 0.6-1.0 m stratified sand
- Gravel graded from 2-50 mm over depth of layer.
- Sand specification:
  - 0.4-1.2 mm Effective Size (ES)
  - 1.5-2.0 mm Uniformity Coefficient (UC)
- or passing 25 mesh (1 mm)
- retained 30 mesh (0.5 mm)
- Minimum depth of water when operating - 1 m
- Maximum inlet velocity - 0.6 m/s
- Minimum ratio underdrain area to filter area - 0.003
- Backwash velocity - 5-10 m/m/s
- Minimum backwash time - 5 min.
- Wash water tank Provide for 2 or minimum of 1 filter washes of 10 mins each. Should be capable of being refilled in 60 min.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTERISTIC</th>
<th>SLOW FILTER</th>
<th>RAPID FILTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtration rate</td>
<td>2 m³/m²d</td>
<td>120-360 m³/m²d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of bed</td>
<td>Large (2000 m²)</td>
<td>Small (100 m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of bed</td>
<td>300mm gravel, 1m sand unstratified</td>
<td>500mm gravel, 0.7-1.0m sand stratified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective size of sand</td>
<td>0.35 mm</td>
<td>0.4-1.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity coefficient</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head loss</td>
<td>Up to 1 m</td>
<td>Up to 3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of run</td>
<td>20-90 days</td>
<td>1-7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of cleaning</td>
<td>Scraping off top layer and washing</td>
<td>Backwash with air or mechanical scour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash water consumption</td>
<td>0.2-0.6% filtered water</td>
<td>1-6% filtered water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration of suspended solids</td>
<td>Superficial</td>
<td>Deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment by Coagulation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct on main use</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easily extended</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered construction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible operation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital cost</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating cost</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Rapid Sand Filter

Main Features

**Figure 5.12**
Auxiliary scour — Mechanical rake in sand 1-10 rev/min. Air scour 0.015-0.025 m³/m² min. for several minutes before backwashing, pressure 20-35 kN/m². Surface wash by additional 1-20 mm/s jetted onto surface of sand at 150-450 kN/m².

Head loss in wash water system 8-15 m

Wash water troughs— Should be clear of expanded sand with maximum horizontal spacing of 2 m. Top of troughs should be level and dimensions such that maximum flow can be carried with 50 mm free board.

Velocity in washwater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>outlet</th>
<th>2.4-3.6 m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length/width ratio</td>
<td>1.25-1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum depth</td>
<td>2.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>- sampling taps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- loss of head gauge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- rate of flow control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- rate indication for washwater line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- sand expansion gauge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underdrains

Should consist of a central manifold with laterals either perforated on their underside or having umbrella type strainers on top (also other types such as porous plate may be used). Typical criteria are as follows:

| Minimum dia. of underdrains | 20 cm |
| Dia. of perforations | 6 to 12 mm (staggered at a slight angle to vertical axis of pipe) |
| Spacing of perforation along laterals: | 7.5 cm for perforation of 6 mm; 20 cm for perforation of 12 mm |
| Ratio of total area of perforation to total cross sectional area of laterals: | 0.25 for 6 mm size; 0.50 for 12 mm size |
| Ratio of total area of perforation to the entire filter area: | 0.003 |
Length/diameter ratio of lateral = 60:1

Max. spacing of laterals: 30 cm
Cross sectional area of manifold: 1.5 to 2.0 times the total area of laterals

Velocity of filtered water outlet: 1.0 to 1.8 m/s

b) Multiple and Coarse Media Filters

Until recent years, most rapid sand filters were designed for a rate of 120 m³/d/m². Some health authorities were convinced that unnecessary risk was involved in exceeding this rate. Now, rates two or three times as high are becoming quite common. In some cases, successful experience has been demonstrated with rates far higher than that. There are a number of reasons for such a great difference. Most important is perhaps the change in filter media. However, better preparation of the water ahead of filtration, including the use of chemical polymers, is another important reason.

Where the capacity of the piping, channels, and other structures in the plant has made it hydraulically possible, many older plants have been substantially increased in capacity simply by rebuilding the filters to take advantage of new technology. Although this usually means complete rebuilding starting with the underdrain system, it can often be done entirely with local materials and labour and therefore costs much less than building an addition to the plant. One important lesson here is to design new facilities with plenty of extra hydraulic capacity in order to take advantage of such advances in technology.

The conventional rapid filter generally uses sand with an effective size of 0.6 mm and a uniformity coefficient of 1.5-2.0. This results in stratification of the media after backwashing, in which the fine medium remains at the top and the coarse medium at the bottom of the filter bed. This sand arrangement will restrict the effective use of the entire filter bed. To overcome this problem of gradation, two alternative media have been proposed, notably (i) dual-media and multi-media filters, and (ii) coarse size, narrowly graded media filters.
In multi-media filtration the arrangement of different sizes of grains is reversed, by use of media of different sizes and different specific gravity: the lighter and coarser material at the top and the heavier and finer material at the bottom. Generally a coarse medium of low density such as anthracite (specific gravity = 1.35 to 1.70) over a fine but heavier medium, like sand (specific gravity = 2.65 to 2.70) is used. On backwashing in an upward direction, the coarse and lighter grains remain over the fine and heavier medium. This makes the penetration and removal of impurities take place throughout the entire bed.

The size of the media must be carefully selected so that the water used for fluidizing and re-stratifying the bed does not cause severe intermixing. Optimum conditions for minimizing the intermixing exist, depending on the media type, specific gravity and size involved. As an alternative to anthracite, polystyrene beads, coconut shell charcoal, or natural coke may be used. Other possible materials are crushed coconut husks or pumice. Heavier materials such as garnet sand, ilmenite or magnetite may be used as the lower medium.

For small-size rapid filters it is common to use only two materials in combination: 0.3–0.5 m of sand with an effective size of 0.4–0.7 mm as the under-layer, topped by 0.5–0.7 m of anthracite, pumice or crushed coconut husks with an effective size of 1.0–1.6 mm.

Pilot testing in Sri Lanka using a layer of 50–60 cm coconut charcoal (specific gravity 1.42) of 0.63–1.4 mm size over a 25 cm layer of sand (0.5–1.4 mm) has shown good results.

In coarse media filtration, narrowly graded coarse sand with an effective size of 2 mm and placed to a greater depth can be used instead of sand with smaller effective size (0.45–0.55) and a large uniformity coefficient (about 1.5). The filter can be operated at a higher rate, and the deeper penetration and higher storage capacities in the narrowly graded coarse medium leads to longer filter runs. However, due to greater particle deposition throughout the filter, backwashing requirements are higher. Generally, air-water backwashing is necessary in coarse-medium filters and these requirements are higher than for conventional filters, and the underdrain system may also require modification.

When dual media filters are installed in plants it will be important to provide a high pressure hose line to jet into the expanded charcoal during backwash. Mud balls form quickly in the light charcoal media. They must be broken up and washed out or problems will quickly develop. A high pressure jet stream directed into the expanded bed throughout the wash will do the job.
In water treatment plants of a generation ago, high technology was usually represented by automatic rate controllers which were designed to maintain a constant filter rate throughout the run. They were costly, not very reliable, and difficult to maintain properly. This has been another element of great change, since most filter designs now do not use rate controllers; depending on the viewpoint, this is either new or old technology.

In this method, incoming flow is supplied to all operating filters on a free-flow basis to meet their individual operating rates. There are no effluent controllers. The only control is the effluent overflow level in the clear well. Figure 5.14 shows the general arrangement for declining rate operation.

The method of operation is as follows:

The filter influent enters below the low water level of the filters. The water level is essentially the same in all operating filters at all times. This is achieved by providing a relatively large influent header to serve all the filters and a relatively large influent valve or gate to each individual filter. Thus, head losses along the header or through the influent valve are small and do not restrict the flow to each filter. The header and influent valve will be able to deliver whatever flow each individual filter is taking at the moment.

All of the filters in the bank of filters served by the common influent header get dirty; thus, the flow through the dirtiest tends to decrease the most rapidly. This causes the flow to redistribute itself automatically so the cleaner filters pick up the capacity lost by the dirtier filters. The water level rises slightly in the redistribution of flow to provide the additional head needed by the cleaner filters to pick up the decreased flow of the dirtier filters. The cleanest filter accepts the greatest flow increase in this redistribution. As the water level rises, it partially offsets the decreased flow through the dirtier filters; as a result, the flow rate does not decrease as much or as rapidly as expected.

This method of operation causes a gradually declining rate towards the end of a filter run. The rate increases which do occur always do so on the cleaner filters in the filter bank, where they will have the least effect on filter effluent quality. These rate changes, both upward and downward, occur gradually and smoothly without any automatic control equipment.
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FIGURE 5.1A
There are many advantages to this scheme in terms of better filtration and simpler operation and maintenance. However, another very important advantage is that many existing plants can easily be adapted to this process. The needed modification can often be done with local resources. (See also Section 5.5 (g), Upgrading Existing Filters)

d) **Upflow Filtration**

In this process, raw water is fed at the bottom in an upward flow direction and coarse-to-fine media filtration is achieved with a single medium in the direction of filtration, which makes better use of the entire filter bed. The problem with upflow filtration arises when the headloss exceeds the weight of the bed after a certain period of filter run, at which time partial fluidization of the medium occurs. This can be overcome by placing a grid, with a spacing of 100 times the sand grain diameter, just below the top of the sand bed.

Upflow filters have been used successfully in Singapore and Western Europe for some years. They may in some cases be useful as a pretreatment for rapid or slow sand filtration but are suitable only for water with low or medium turbidity (< 60 units), or they will be subject to short filter runs and restricted flow rates, due to high head losses.

e) **Direct Filtration**

Direct filtration is filtration without prior sedimentation. This has been recommended (Refs 2,3) as suitable for many treatment plants in Sri Lanka, since surface water sources are, in general, quite clear for most of the year. It is reported to be suitable for turbidities up to 40 units.

A very light dose of either alum or ferric chloride coagulant is required to destabilize the low turbidity content, so that it can be readily trapped on or in the filter media. This would require less use of coagulant, and increased filter loading rates, with an increase in filter washing during times of high turbidity. A contact time of 30 to 60 minutes is desirable prior to filtration if coagulants are used.

In the right conditions a properly designed filter can handle a relatively turbid water by direct filtration. Dual and mixed media are preferred. The main advantages over conventional filtration are:
- savings in chemical costs;
- reduced sludge handling;
- less land area required;
- reduced construction cost;
- simpler O&M;
- less liable to upsets in coagulation process.

Disadvantages are:

- more frequent backwashing with more water;
- less reliability in effluent quality (bacteriological and turbidity);
- more operator vigilance required to maintain quality.

The suitability of a raw water for direct filtration may be investigated using the filter paper test, see Annex D.

f) Low Pressure Backwashing

In this method, a gravity filter may be backwashed by the effluent from the adjoining filter units. To obtain sufficient head, the depth of the filter box must be greater by 1.5 to 2.5 m than for conventional filters, and filter bottoms must be designed for lower headlosses (20-30 cm instead of 1-1.4 m). It requires a minimum of 4 filter units.

Using restricted declining rate mode, the filter units are connected by common inlet and outlet channels. Three gate valves control the backwash process, as shown in Figure 5.15. Typical details of the layout and bottom are given in Figure 5.16.

g) Upgrading Existing Filters

When converting existing filters to dual media, the filter box and washwater trough must be carefully examined to be sure that there is enough depth to accommodate the filter media. The bottom gravel support requires roughly 35 to 40 cm, the sand 25 cm and the charcoal 50 to 60 cm. This makes a layer of from 1.10 to 1.20 m in depth. Another 50 cm is required for bed expansion and a margin of safety to the backwash overflow level, so that at least 1.70 m of filter box is required below the washwater overflow weir level.

The increase in filter loading will be limited by the hydraulic constraints more than the capacity of the filter and filter media. In all existing plants, however, the inlet channels appear to have ample capacity. The filtered water outlet is probably more critical but it is short so that twice the present filter rate may be possible.
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Filter rates should be determined for all the filters in the plant. This work can be done at the same time the raw water pumping tests are made. The procedure is quite simple:

1. Fill the filter box to the normal water level with the filter outlet valve closed.
2. Close filter inlet valve.
3. Open filtered water outlet valve.
4. Measure the drop in water level in the filter box by timing a drop of 15 to 20 cm. The timing should be started only when the outlet valve is completely open.
5. Knowing the area of the filter box the volume is easily calculated and the filter rate can be determined.

This information is important as an indicator of the maximum filter rate possible with the existing bed and existing losses in the outlet valves and piping. If the rate is higher than advisable an orifice plate can be installed in the outlet system to introduce a headloss which will reduce the filter rate to anything the designer desires. (See Annex C).

Filter backwash rates should also be determined. This will be just the opposite procedure to the above.

1. Close inlet valve.
2. Filter the water down to a point about 10 cm above the bed.
3. Close filter outlet valve.
4. Start backwash.
5. When wash is on full force measure the rise in the water level before it reaches the bottom of the overflow drains. This distance is sometimes very short so that the available distance may be small.

A good backwash rate is 500 to 600L/min per m². The backwash rates in all existing plants are probably all less than 500L/min per m².

Most operators are reluctant to wash filters often. This is understandable because (a) it requires work to open and close several valves; (b) it uses a large amount of water, and (c) it takes a part of the filter capacity out of service. It is necessary to understand the importance of operating filters at a high loading; it is far more economical to increase the filter rate even though the washing frequency goes up. Below is a calculation of production per m² of filter bed at 100m³/day and 234m³/day.
Even though one filter is washed 9 times as often, the higher rate pays big dividends in production per m².

For conversion of filters to direct filtration, testing is required, with a low coagulant dose determined in the laboratory with filter paper (see Annex D). The filter performance should be monitored for turbidity and headloss. If performance is good at the plant rate, cut out one unit and increase the load on the others, continuing until the runs are reduced to less than 12 hours, or the effluent turbidity rises above 4.5 units. This will indicate the increase in filter loading possible without any modifications.

A simple filter head loss gauge is shown in Figure 5.17.

h) **Pressure Filters**

These are based on the same principle as rapid gravity sand filters, but water is passed through the filter under pressure. They usually consist of a prefabricated steel or cast-iron tank placed vertically or horizontally.

Pressure filters are commonly used in situations where it is desired to take advantage of a particular hydraulic gradient and in such case the use of free surface settling tanks is not possible. Coagulants must therefore be added directly to the pressure filter where they build up floc in the filtering medium with consequent rapid choking of the filter and the need for frequent backwashing.

There is economy by avoiding double pumping with this type of filter but they suffer from many disadvantages among which are the difficulty to inspect, clean and replace the media and effective pretreatment by chemicals. They are used generally for industrial needs and swimming pools.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Rate (m³/m²/day)</th>
<th>Filter Run (Hrs)</th>
<th>Filter Wash Rate (m³/m²/min)</th>
<th>Wash Water Consumed per day (m³/d)</th>
<th>Filtered Water Lost from Down Time of 30 min/ wash (m³/m²)</th>
<th>Total Water Lost (m³/m²)</th>
<th>Net Water Produced per day (m³/day/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>97.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>29.60</td>
<td>204.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OPERATION:

The Filter Head Loss is indicated by the displacement of the mercury in the glass U-tube. If there is no head loss, the top of the mercury will be at the same level in both limbs of the tube. As head loss develops, the limb tapped below the filter will rise and the other will fall.

Specific gravity of mercury is 13.6

The sand trap can be flushed by adding water at the vent.

DETAILS:

The scale should slide vertically for adjusting the zero setting and should have divisions of 73.5 mm for each meter of head loss (1000/13.6). The length should be approximately one tenth maximum head loss.
5.6 SLOW SAND FILTRATION (SSF)

a) Introduction

Slow sand filtration (SSF) has been used as a basic method of treatment in Europe and N. America for many years and is particularly appropriate for application in developing countries by virtue of its simplicity of design and construction and ease of operation and maintenance. Experience with the several existing plants in Sri Lanka, however, has been mixed, and there is a need to pay more attention to raw water quality, pretreatment and operation and maintenance aspects. A programme of monitoring existing SSF plants in Sri Lanka is necessary to provide evaluation and feedback to assist designers in overcoming the present problems.

Water is purified in a SSF by slow percolation through a bed of fine sand. Pretreatment is necessary with raw waters having an average turbidity of 25 TU or more, but should be considered also for less turbid raw waters 5 to 25 TU to improve effluent quality and reduce frequency of cleaning.

SSF is also useful for treating groundwater containing solids in suspension, e.g. ferric and manganic compounds converted by aeration from the soluble state of the salts.

The advantages of SSF may be summarised as follows:

- High quality of treated water — physical, chemical & bacteriological;
- Ease of construction — local material and skills; little special pipe work or equipment required;
- Low cost and ease of operation — no chemicals or energy; only manual cleaning of filter beds;
- Conservation of water — no wastage; water passed through a filter during the 'ripening' process can be returned to source or diverted to another filter;
- Ease of sludge disposal — being handled in a dry state there is no pollution of watercourse.

The limitations/disadvantages are:

- Land requirement — SSF requires more land than rapid filters;

As of November 1988 a research project is underway with this objective.
changing water quality, concentration of colloids and toxic substances affect biological filtration adversely.

algae - certain types of algae interfere with the working of SSF causing premature clogging. Pretreatment or covering of filter beds means additional capital cost.

Slow sand filtration is capable of producing safe drinking water from a polluted surface source, at relatively low cost and with O&M by trained operators from the local community with a minimum requirement of technical knowledge, or backup. Treatment efficiency is high in a properly operated plant, with 98% removal of bacteria, E-coli and virus reduced by a factor of 1,000 more, and efficient removal of parasites, thus providing substantial public health benefits.

b) Filtration Mechanisms

A combination of different processes act in SSF to achieve removal of impurities from the raw water. During several hours of storage above the sand bed, flocculation and sedimentation of large particles take place. Daytime exposure to sunlight also has a bactericidal effect. In the sand bed straining, adsorption and biochemical and microbial action take place, with most activity in the top layer of the filter surface, called the "schmutzdecke."

This surface layer strains out bacteria, algae, protozoa, rotifers and other micro-organisms which, through tremendous biological activity, break down the organic matter in the raw water. As flow continues downward through the filter bed, this process is continued by adsorption onto a sticky layer of organic material around the sand grains and degradable organic matter, bacteria and viruses are gradually broken into inorganic compounds such as nitrates, carbon dioxide, sulphates and phosphates. Most biological activity takes place near the surface (0.5—2 cm) but the process continues to a depth of 40—60 cm into the sand bed. Bed thickness should therefore not be reduced to less than 70 cm.

Efficiency is restored by scraping off the surface layer of sand at intervals of from two months to several months. After cleaning, the filter does not become fully effective for 1 or 2 days, until the growth of biological activity develops fully.
c) **Features**

The main features of a SSF are shown in Figure 5.18. These are:

- a head of water above the sand bed to produce the design flow under maximum head-loss conditions;
- a filter bed of uniform material;
- an under-drainage system designed to maintain a uniform filtration rate over the whole filter area;
- regulation and control devices to maintain head of water during operation, drain for cleaning, and refill; and
- scum removal, flow and head loss measurement and aeration devices.

d) **Design**

As far as possible, design factors should be based on experience obtained from existing SSF plants in Sri Lanka, using similar types of raw water, and it is hoped that such information will be available from research projects ongoing during 1988.

In the absence of specific design factors, however, the following basic criteria should be followed:

- **Depth of water above sand**: 1 - 1.5 m
- **Freeboard above water level**: 0.2 - 0.3 m
- **Height of walls above ground surface**: > 0.8 m
- **Depth of media**: 1.0 - 1.4 m
- **Grading of sand**: effective size 0.15 - 0.35 mm - uniformity coeff. 2 - 3 (preferably < 3)
- **Filtration rate (V)** = 0.1 (average) - 0.2 (maximum) m/h
- **Gravel filter support**: 0.3 - 0.5 m depth
- **Depth of under-drainage system**: 0.3 - 0.5 m
- **Area (A) per filter bed**: 10 - 100 m²

$$A = \frac{Q}{V}$$ where $Q$ = flow (m³/h)  
$V$ = filtration rate (m/h)

- **Effluent weir level above sand**: 30 - 40 mm
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FIGURE 5.18
Provide 2, preferably 3 or 4 filter units (max. rate with 1 filter being cleaned should not exceed 0.2 m/h)

Provide space for additional filter units

To minimise wall length \( L \), width \( W \) = \( \frac{L}{2} \left( \frac{N+1}{N} \right) \)

where \( N \) is number of filter units

The filter media may consist of sand, building sand, or other material such as crushed coral or burnt rice-husk. The media should be clean, rounded and free from clay, loam or organic matter. Details of sand preparation are given in Annex E.

Controls are necessary for the following operations:

- delivery of raw water;
- removal of scum from surface;
- draining supernatant (from above filter);
- lowering head of water over filter;
- controlling flow rate;
- avoiding negative pressure on bed by provision of weir in effluent line;
- delivery of filtered water to clear well/storage;
- delivery of filtered or raw water to waste during filter 'ripening' period; and
- filling of bed from below initially and after cleaning.

Consideration should be given to the following aspects of design:

- raw water quality and necessity for pre-treatment and/or aeration;
- necessary for chlorination and clear water storage, pumping and distribution;
- site location, foundation conditions, space for expansion and pretreatment;
- availability of source of filter media, and construction materials;
- office, chlorination room, operator wash room/toilets and other quarters;
o fencing and security;

o provision for cleaning/washing media on a regular basis;

o high ground water levels producing uplift; and

o mode of operation - continuous over 24 hours or declining rate over 1 or 2 shifts (8 or 16 hours).

Declining rate filtration is when the operator closes the raw water inlet valve and shuts down the raw water pumps (if any) at the end of his shift, but keeps the filter outlet valve open. The supernatant water will continue to pass through the filter at a declining rate. The longer the period of declining rate filtration, the larger will be the required filter bed area. The required filter bed area \( A \) may be calculated from the following formula (Ref 14):

\[
A = \frac{Q}{0.1a + b}
\]

where,

\( Q \) = water demand \( (\text{m}^3/\text{d}) \)

\( a \) = no. of hours per day at normal operation (at rate of 0.1 m/h)

\( b \) = 0.5 for 8 hours declining rate filtration

or \( b \) = 0.7 for 16 hours declining rate filtration.

Depending on the method of construction to be adopted, such as:

o reinforced concrete, mass concrete;

o stone masonry;

o brick wall and plaster lining; or

o masonry on puddled clay embankment,

measures should be taken to prevent short-circuiting down the walls of the filter box, e.g. for a reinforced concrete box, the inner walls should be roughened over the filter bed depth.
Various types of filter bottoms are possible, having the objectives of supporting the filter media and draining off the filtered water. The openings should be fine enough to prevent escape of the filter media, but not too fine to resist passage of the filtered water and cause too much head loss. Figure 5.10 shows some typical types and sizes for the gravel support and under-drain system.

For systems using pre-fabricated concrete slabs, bricks or porous concrete, it is generally unnecessary to calculate the hydraulic characteristics, since the area of free flow is relatively large (i.e. resistance is small). Detailed calculations for under-drain systems are given in Annex F. For systems using perforated pipes or gravel/broken stones, the following criteria may be used:

**Perforated pipes:**
- max. velocity in laterals and manifold 0.3 m/s
- spacing of laterals 1.5 m (1 - 2 m)
- size of holes in laterals 3 mm (2 - 4 mm)
- spacing of holes in laterals 0.15 m (0.1 - 0.3 m)

**Gravel or broken stones:**
- height of layer 0.15 m
- size of gravel 25 - 50 mm

Typical inlet and outlet arrangements are shown in Figure 5.20.

Some aspects of design which are often overlooked are as follows:
- inappropriate or missing flow rate control devices, filter overloaded or operated at frequent flow rate changes.
- water level in effluent line lower than top level of sand bed - possibility of negative pressures in bed, air release and additional filter resistance.
- no provision to fill the sand bed from bottom to top, causing air binding in bed resulting in high filter resistance initially.
- inappropriate sand size or bed depth, leading to poor effluent quality (coarse sand, small depth) or short filter runs requiring frequent filter cleaning (sand too fine).
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*Figure 5.20*
e) **Pre-treatment Methods**

As previously noted, pre-treatment of the raw water is often required to prevent rapid clogging and frequent cleaning (i.e., more often than once every 2 – 3 months). Various methods are as follows:

- Infiltration gallery or trench;
- Sedimentation tank/lagoon;
- Horizontal-flow roughing filtration; and
- Aeration (for standing waters).

The objective should be to reduce the turbidity of the raw water to an average value of 5 TU or less, and/or to remove high algae populations.

f) **Operation and Maintenance**

A SSF may be operated continuously for 24 hours/day or in declining rate mode for a period of say, 8 or at most, 16 hours per day. Intermittent operation is NOT recommended and the water level should never be drawn down below the media surface, except for cleaning.

Initial charging of the filter bed (and also subsequent refilling) must be done from bottom to top, preferably with clean water to drive out air bubbles. When the water level is far enough above the sand bed to avoid scouring, raw water may be allowed to enter through the inlet. When the design water level is reached, the drain valve is opened and water run to waste, regulated to about ¼ normal filtration rate, for a period of a week or two, to allow formation of the "smutzdecke." During this period, water quality testing is done periodically, and the flow rate gradually increased to design rate, until such time as the testing confirms that the filter is operating satisfactorily. The drain valve is then closed, and water passed to the clear water tank.

After a period of operation, as the filter resistance increases it is necessary to gradually open the regulating valve to maintain the flow rate, as measured by the discharge V-notch weir or flowmeter. When the valve is fully open, and the required flow rate cannot be maintained, cleaning of the bed is necessary and the filter must be taken out of service, temporarily. The raw water inlet valve is closed, and filtration continued until the water level has dropped nearly to the sand surface; then the treated water outlet valve is closed, and the water level drained down to about 20 cm below the sand surface, to permit walking in the sand.
Cleaning consists of scraping off, with flat shovels, the top layer of filter surface, about 1.0 - 2.5 cm thick, of dirty sand. The scraped-off material is piled in ridges or heaps, and carted away in wheelbarrows/ hand carts wheeled over wooden planks. The dirty sand may be cleaned by washing soon after removal or discarded. The filter is then recharged from bottom to top, and allowed to run to waste for 1 - 2 days while the "smutzdecke" regains its biological activity.

When many such cleanings have been done and the minimum bed thickness (0.7 m) has been reached, the sand bed must be raised to its original thickness by bringing in new sand. However, the top 0.3 - 0.5 m of old sand should be removed, and replaced on top of the new sand, to accelerate the re-ripening process.

When one filter is temporarily out of operation for cleaning or resanding the filtration rate of the other filter units should be increased to take the additional loading, although the maximum rate should not exceed 0.3 m/h.

g) Experience in Sri Lanka

Common operational problems are as follows:

- Turbidity and suspended solids concentration in the raw water too high for SSF application. Pre-treatment is necessary in such cases.
- Missing maintenance equipment such as shovels, wheel-barrows, tools and sand washing installations. Failing to clean and replace the sand will lead to exhaustion and failure.
- Untrained caretakers who do not understand the SSF process and are not motivated to operate the plant properly, leading to inadequate conditioning, clogging, uncontrolled filtration rate, ineffective bacteria removal etc.
- Problems due to algae - in minor cases this can be dealt with by pre-treatment.
- Insufficient use of comparative physical and bacteriological testing of raw and filtered water to evaluate filter performance.

h) Monitoring/Evaluation

Provision should be made for flow measurement at each filter, and for discharge of filtered water from the whole plant. Regular water quality testing, physical, chemical and bacteriological, of raw water and filtered water, must be carried out to ensure that the filter is operating satisfactorily. Complete records should be kept of flow rates and cleaning/resanding operations on each filter unit, so the long-term evaluation can be carried out. -86-
Introduction

This type of filter has been developed and promoted in the past few years by Martin Wegelein, a Swiss sanitary engineer, as a pre-treatment to slow sand filtration (SSF), being an alternative to conventional flocculation/sedimentation. One of the problems encountered with SSF is that rapid clogging can occur when raw water turbidity increases substantially for short periods during heavy rains. In other cases, a SSF may clog frequently when the turbidity and suspended solids concentration in the raw water is too high for SSF application. For SSF operation, influent turbidity/SSF should preferably be lower than 10 turbidity units/2-5 mg/L, and in most cases some form of pre-treatment will be required to achieve this. Laboratory and field testing in Switzerland, Tanzania and Thailand has shown that HRF provides a simple pre-treatment solution, appropriate for rural and small urban schemes.

In Sri Lanka, the process is currently being evaluated in the Ukuwela plant in Matale District (the first HRF/SSF plant in Sri Lanka), designed by Kampsax-Kruger and completed in 1987; design engineers should refer to the monitoring/evaluating report on this plant prepared by Kampsax-Kruger in 1988, in addition to the references cited.

The technology of HRF is still under development and filter efficiency for different raw water characteristics has yet to be established. Experience to date indicates that the filter is effective with raw water turbidities normally between 50 and 200 turbidity units, although indications are that much higher turbidities, up to 1,000 turbidity units, can be effectively handled for short periods, corresponding to short-term high turbidity periods experienced in many small streams in Sri Lanka.

If the bacteriological quality of the raw water is satisfactory, it is feasible for HRF to be used on its own, without SSF.

Filtration Mechanisms

The main process is sedimentation, with horizontal flow and gravitational downward drift, causing particles of silt to collect on the upper surfaces of the media. As heaps of particles accumulate, they slip down and drift towards the filter bottom, and over a period of time the filter will fill from bottom to top with separated solids.
c) **Features**

The filter box comprises 2 to 4 compartments filled with gravel media of different sizes, coarsest in the first compartment where the bulk of solids will be retained, to finest in the last compartment which acts as as polisher, removing the last traces of solids.

The raw water enters via an inlet channel across the filter width and falls over a weir into an inlet chamber which allows for settlement of coarse solids and separation of floating material. Water passes into the first and subsequent filter compartments through openings in the separation walls. Treated water is collected in an outlet chamber and discharged over a weir (for flow measurement) to an outlet channel and then to the SSF.

The length of the filter box depends on raw water quality, hydraulic loading and media size. Height should be limited to about 1.5 m to allow for easy removal of media for manual cleaning. The width depends on the required filter capacity. A minimum of 2 HRF units should be provided, to allow for one being out of service for cleaning, and an adjacent area for gravel cleaning should be provided.

A layout of a typical HRF is shown in Figure 5.21.

d) **Design**

Input requirements:

- characteristics of the raw water quality in the dry and rainy seasons;
  - turbidity;
  - suspended solids or filtrability;
  - E-Coli;

- daily water demand (present & future);

- raw water intake site location, elevation, high/low flows and water levels;

- treatment plant site, elevation, foundation conditions;

- hours of operation of intake, HRF, SSF and distribution;

- availability of raw materials - gravel, sand, rock;

- requirements for pre-sedimentation with or without combined raw water balancing tank.
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Design criteria:

Filtration rate (m/h) based on X-sectional area of filter:

Filter box:
- length 9–12 m
- height 1–1.5 m
- width 2–5 m per unit

Media size:
- coarsest 35–40 mm
- finest 4 mm

Length of compartments (see Table 5.2)

Required effluent quality for SSF:
- 10 turbidity units
- 2–5 mg/L SS

Openings in compartment walls: 10–20% of X-sectional area, evenly distributed.

Other criteria, such as expected efficiency of SS removal, length of filter runs, required maximum head loss, etc., may be estimated by means of a nomogram (Reference 17), if necessary.

To allow for head loss across the filter, which builds up as the length of filter run increases and the filter loads up with silt, the outlet weir level should be 30–40 cm below the top level of filter material (see Figure 5.22).

Filter media may be an inert material such as:
- gravel;
- broken stones/rocks;
- broken burnt bricks;
- burnt charcoal; (prior testing at pilot plant level is necessary)
Table 5.2
Tentative Design Guidelines for HRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum suspended solids concentration in presettled water (mg/L)</th>
<th>&gt; 300 (high)</th>
<th>300 - 100 (medium)</th>
<th>100 (low)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtration rate m/h</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75 - 1</td>
<td>1 - 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter length (m) for medium size (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 mm</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mm</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum suspended solids concentration in HRF effluent (mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* this gravel size can possibly be omitted.
INLET AND OUTLET STRUCTURES OF HRF

Fig. 5.22
Typical inlet/outlet arrangements are shown in Figure 5.22 and drainage arrangements in Figure 5.23. Adequate provision of drainage is necessary for filter regeneration (by rapid drawdown at 60–90 m/h, and for emptying for cleaning purposes. Discharge should be to an open drain and arrangements for safe disposal should be provided to avoid erosion and scour. Typical mechanical devices to ensure a constant outflow rate are shown in Figure 5.24.

Owing to the fact that a HRF requires continuous operation, the HRS should also be operated in continuous mode, although the physical nature of the process does not require it. When a SSF is operated, say, for 8 hours overnight in declining rate mode (due, for example, to raw water pumping for 16 h/day in 2 shifts) then the HRF is required to work intermittently. Consideration should be given to providing raw water balancing storage to allow 24-hour plant operation, and therefore smaller HRF and SSF units (see Figure 5.25).

Adequate arrangements should be provided in the design for monitoring/evaluation of flow and water quality (see Section 5.7 (f)).

e) Operation

Each gravel compartment gradually becomes loaded with deposits, until filter efficiency is exhausted, which, under ideal HRF design should occur in each compartment at the same time.

Occasional lowering of the water table by drainage will increase efficiency and prolong filter runs. During drainage, particle accumulations on the top of the filter media grains tend to collapse and get flushed to the filter bottom. Rapid drainage, if possible, should also flush some accumulated matter from the filter.

Drainage should start at the drain nearest the inlet side, to prevent the bulk of deposited material being drawn into the finer media, thereby clogging it. When the washwater is still turbid after drainage, the same drain should be operated again, after slow filling until the washwater is relatively clear. Then the next drain from the inlet should be operated, and so on. It is important that refilling, after drainage, is slow so as to avoid stirring up settled material.

A HRF should not be kept dry, unless the media is thoroughly cleaned.
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Fig. 5.24
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sed. Tank</th>
<th>HRF</th>
<th>SSF</th>
<th>Res.(clear)</th>
<th>Total Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GRAVITY SCHEME

Continuous operation 24hrs/d

| 8 | 42 | 52 | 50 | 152 m³ |

### PUMPED SCHEME WITHOUT RAW WATER TANK

Intermittent operation

| 25 | 125 | 109 | 50 | 309 m³ |

### PUMPED SCHEME WITH RAW WATER TANK

Continuous operation 24hrs/day

| 67 | 42 | 52 | 50 | 211 m³ |

Assumptions:
- Sed. Tank: $T_d = 2$ hrs.
- HRF: $V_F = 1$ m/h
- SSF: $V_F = 0.2$ m/h
- $L_{tot} = 10$ m
- $H_{tot} = 2.5$ m

**EFFECT OF INTERMITTENT OPERATION ON SIZE OF FILTERS.**

FIG. 5.25
Filter runs may last 6 months or more, depending on the operating conditions and design, and when the efficiency can no longer be restored by drainage, cleaning must be done. The media must be excavated, washed, and returned to the filter box. This may be done by hand, using locally hired labour, and a media cleaning area should be provided adjacent to the filter. A typical gravel cleaning unit is shown in Figure 5.26. Equipment used in the construction stage, such as wheel-barrows, shovels, etc., should be retained at the plant for media washing purposes during operation.

f) Monitoring/Evaluation

Any new HRFs designed by or for NWSDB should be equipped with adequate flow measuring devices for each filter and a comprehensive program to monitor/evaluate performance over the first year of operation should be carried out.

This should involve the following measurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>Twice/day</td>
<td>Once/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended Solids</td>
<td>Twice/month</td>
<td>Once/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal Coliforms</td>
<td>Twice/month</td>
<td>Once/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliforms</td>
<td>Twice/month</td>
<td>Once/month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

at the following collection points (see Figure 5.27)

1. Inlet : Turbidity; SS, FC, TC;
2. Outlet (each filter) : Turbidity, FC, TC,
3. Combined outlet : Turbidity, SS; and
4. SSF outlet : Turbidity, SS, FC, TC.

Arrangements should be made to enable this sampling/monitoring to be done by the plant OIC with FC/RSC Chemist assistance.
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WATER SAMPLING POINTS

1/4" pipe, stop cock, flexible tube & clamp.

FIG. 5.27
5.6 DISINFECTION

Chlorine is usually the most satisfactory disinfectant for water supplies, although in situations where cheap electricity is available, ozone treatment may be competitive particularly if colour removal is also required.

Chlorine is a gas at normal temperatures and pressures but is normally supplied as a liquid under pressure of 330-1000 kN/m² in cylinders or drums. The rate of withdrawal of chlorine gas is limited by the heat available for evaporation of the liquid.

Solubility of chlorine gas in water varies with temperature:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Chlorine Solubility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14 600 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>5 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The physiological effects of chlorine gas are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration by Volume in Air mg/L</th>
<th>Physiological Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Just detectable by odour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Produces coughing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>Dangerous for 30 min exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Rapidly fatal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypochlorite solutions may be useful for small installations since it avoids the use of toxic gas. It is available as:

- High test hypochlorite \( \text{Ca(OCl)}_2 \)
- Bleaching powder \( \text{CaOCl}_2 \)

Hypochlorite tablets may be used for emergency disinfection in times of disaster when normal water treatment is not operating.

The main purpose of disinfection by chlorine is to protect health by destroying pathogens in the water and to maintain a minimum chlorine residual in the distribution system to provide some additional protection against subsequent contamination. The following minimum free chlorine residuals are recommended at different locations in the water system:

- Clear water/chlorine contact tank - 0.5 to 0.8 mg/L
- Standposts - 0.2 mg/L
- Distribution dead-ends - 0.1 mg/L
Other uses of chlorine are shown in Table 5.3 and include oxidation of iron, manganese and hydrogen sulphide, destruction of taste and odour causing compounds, and control of algae and slime growth at treatment plants.

Chlorine capacity, dosage and contact time: The required capacity of the chlorinator will vary with the chlorine dosage needed for disinfection and the amount of residual to be maintained in the distribution system. Dosage will vary with the chemical and physical characteristics of the water (i.e. temperature, pH, turbidity, and mineral constituents such as iron and manganese). It also varies with the nature and concentration of pathogens in the water and the length of contact time. For design purposes, a chlorine dosage of 2 mg/L may be used for clear water (i.e. turbidity < 10 TU containing little organic and suspended matter, and with iron and manganese concentrations of less than 0.3 mg/L. The total chlorine requirements, recognizing all the above variables, can and should be determined by performing a chlorine demand test as a part of the predesign investigations. A minimum contact time of 20 minutes should be provided either in the pump sump, reservoir and/or in the pipeline before reaching the first consumer.

Mixing: Rapid mixing of chlorine at the point of application is important. Chlorine should be added with a large amount of dilution water through a full channel or pipe diameter diffuser submerged to the maximum depth available.

Chlorine applied prior to a weir will only be driven off by the aeration effect of the hydraulic jump. Chlorine added to the suction side of a pump or the upstream side of a valve can cause severe corrosion problems to brass unless applied a sufficient distance upstream to obtain full dissolution.

Gas Feeders: Chlorination with gas feeders may be by direct-feed pressure injection of gas metered under a constant positive pressure or by solution feed in which the gas is metered through an orifice or rotameter under vacuum by an ejector drawing gas through the feeder into the solution line.

The water supply to operate the injector should have adequate pressure and flow. The chlorinator manufacturer's injection curves should be consulted. Gas chlorinators have practical limitations as to minimum feed rates. Consistent with good accuracy of metering and minimum maintenance, the minimum feed rate is about 0.25 kg/day. However, chlorinators with rotameters can be obtained with as low a capacity as 0.05 kg/day. Normally for chlorinators up to 4.5 kg/day capacity, injectors require water at a minimum pressure of 0.8-1.33 kg/cm² above the pressure at the point of application with a minimum flow rate of 9 - 22.5 L/m. Water from the pumping main or from a storage tank can usually be used to operate injectors. Booster pumps for injector supply are required when chlorine solution has to be injected into a pressure main.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Contact Time</th>
<th>pH Range</th>
<th>Chlorine Residual Recommended</th>
<th>Amount of Alkalinity Consumed as Ca\textsubscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. AMMONIA REMOVAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Monochloramine Formation</td>
<td>5 times NH\textsubscript{3} (as mg/L)</td>
<td>&gt; 20 min</td>
<td>&gt; 6.5</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>1.22 per mg/L Cl\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Dichloramine Formation</td>
<td>10 times NH\textsubscript{3} (as mg/L)</td>
<td>&gt; 20 min</td>
<td>&gt; 4.4-5.0</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. WASTE &amp; ODOUR CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 times NH\textsubscript{3} (as mg/L) plus 1 to 5mg/L</td>
<td>&gt; 20 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>1.22 per mg/L Cl\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. HYDROGEN SULPHIDE REMOVAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Oxidation to sulphur</td>
<td>2.2 times H\textsubscript{2}S content</td>
<td>Instant</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>2.6 per mg/L H\textsubscript{2}S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Oxidation to sulphate</td>
<td>8.5 times H\textsubscript{2}S content</td>
<td>Instant</td>
<td>6.5-9</td>
<td>Free or combined</td>
<td>10 per mg/L H\textsubscript{2}S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. MANGANESE REMOVAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 times Mn content</td>
<td>up to 3 hr</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>3.4 per mg/L Mn oxidized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(variable)</td>
<td>(10 optimum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. IRON REMOVAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.64 times Fe content</td>
<td>Instant</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>Free or combined</td>
<td>0.9 per mg/L Fe oxidized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(&gt; 7.7 optimum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. ALGAE CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>&gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. SLIME CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1-10</td>
<td>Maintain residual throughout distribution system</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. SULPHUR BACTERIA CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. COAGULANT AID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Chlorinated Copperas</td>
<td>1 part per 7.8 parts of ferrous sulphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source - “Water Treatment Plant Design” AWWA pages 158-159*
The following points should be considered when designing gas chlorination systems:

- In order to save space and for ease of maintenance, wall mounted chlorinators are recommended. Two units should be installed to provide standby capacity, and to maintain flow during changeover of cylinders or drums.

- A weighing balance should be provided in the chlorinator room for checking the quantity of gas used.

- The chlorinator room should be separate with no interconnecting doors to any adjacent room. The floor level should be at or below the other floors of the plant to minimize the effects of gas leaks.

- Air outlets from the room shall be near the floor and air inlets near the ceiling. Where this is not possible, mechanical ventilation with a capacity of one complete air change per minute should be installed. Fan and light switches should be located outside the room. Doors should open to the exterior of the building. Careful attention should be paid to location of windows and doors to keep cylinders out of the sun.

- The chlorination room should contain only the connected cylinders. Separate cylinder storage facilities should be provided. All cylinders, connected or stored, should be protected from direct sunlight, rain or other water and be properly restrained to prevent tipping, falling, or any excessive movement, especially the connected cylinders. A guide to cylinder requirements and storage is given in Table 5.4.

- Chlorine gas and chlorine solutions are highly corrosive and should be conveyed in plastic pipelines.

- Gas masks must be provided adjacent to the chlorinator room door.

- A neutralizing chemical for chlorine absorption should be stored at the plant. Table 5.5 shows possible chemicals which could be used with the amount of water required to make a neutralizing solution. A pool for the disposal of leaking cylinders should be located adjacent to the chlorination room.

**Hypochlorite Feeders**

For small installations a drip feed from a constant head bottle may be satisfactory but for larger supplies, more accurate metering must be provided either by an orifice with a gravity feed tank or by a positive displacement proportioning pump.
### Chlorine Cylinder Inventory

(Minimum Supply for 30 days for 2 mg/L Dosage for 8 to 20 hours daily operation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipated Daily Chlorine Use (kg/day)</th>
<th>Volume of Water Treated Daily (m³)</th>
<th>No. of chlorine cylinders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 kg size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1(2*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1(2*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1(3*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1(3*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) For 8 hrs/day operation

Table 5.5

Chemicals and Water Requirements for Chlorine Absorption in Alkaline Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylinder Capacity</th>
<th>100% Caustic Soda (kg)</th>
<th>Water (L)</th>
<th>Soda Ash (kg)</th>
<th>Water (L)</th>
<th>Hydrated Lime (kg)</th>
<th>Water (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 kg</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 kg</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although bleaching powder (chloride of lime) normally contains 25-33% available chlorine, for design purposes the lower figure is recommended. Solutions up to 5% may be prepared but a 1% solution is recommended to minimize problems caused by sediment and clogging of the delivery system. A separate mixing tank should be provided with only the supernatant transferred to the feed tank. Feeding equipment, generally of the constant head gravity drip feed type, should be readily dismantlable for cleaning purposes. In general, it can be considered that the practical limit for hypochlorination is up to about 900 L/m of gravity or pumped supply. For greater flows, gas chlorination is appropriate. Sufficient shelf space should be provided to store bleaching powder containers.

A practical guide for disinfection by bleaching powder produced by CEA, is given in Annex G. Table 5.6 gives bleaching powder requirements for disinfection of mains and storage tanks.

Contact Tank

To provide sufficient time for disinfection, a chlorine contact tank (which may be the filter clear well) must be provided to give at least 20 min detention. The tank should be baffled to prevent short circuiting.

Chlorine solution should be added through a diffuser at the inlet preferably in turbulent conditions.

Prechlorination

The purposes are as follows:
- to reduce taste and odour producing substances;
- to prevent algal growth in raw water;
- for oxidation of iron, manganese and hydrogen sulphide; and
- to aid coagulation when high algal counts exists.

To minimise post chlorination dosage, the total dosage (usually 2 to 5 mg/L depending on the degree of pollution) is applied to leave 0.2 to 0.5 mg/L free residual chlorine in the final delivered water.

For further information, see Reference 19.
Table 5.6

Bleaching Powder Requirements for Disinfection of Mains and Storage Tanks
(Based on a Dose of 50 mg/L available Cl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount of Bleaching Powder Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pipes</strong></td>
<td>kg/1000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Storage Tanks</strong></td>
<td>2.4 kg/10m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6. CHEMICAL FEEDING

The chemicals commonly used in water treatment are for:

- Coagulation;
- Disinfection and algal control;
- pH adjustment; and
- Special chemical treatment e.g. softening, fluoridation.

The types of feeding devices are:

- Dry feed; or
- Solution feed

The strength of solution used for manual feed generally is less than 5% and for mechanical feed it is not greater than 10%.

Alum, usually in lump form, is the most common coagulant. The dosage is in the range of 20-100mg/L. Lime is added when pH and alkalinity are low. The required dosages are determined through jar tests. The density of alum and lime are 980 kg/m³ and 670 kg/m³ respectively.

6.1 CHEMICAL PREPARATION

It is essential to know the strength of the chemical solution in order to know the dosage being applied. All chemical preparation tanks therefore should be carefully measured and volumes calculated. A measuring stick can be used to give the volume at any depth.

For the preparation of coagulant solution, for example, the exact amount of dry alum cake or ferric chloride and the exact amount of water should be known. In most plants a 10% solution in the day tanks will be satisfactory. Day tanks are those which supply the originally mixed chemical and water. A 10% solution requires 100gm of chemical and 900 gm of water (10% of the total is chemical). The strength then is 100,000 mg of coagulant in each litre of solution, which is a relatively strong solution and very stable. The material is weighed at the desired rate into a solution tank where it is dissolved by the stirring action of propeller type mixing. The alum solution is slightly acidic.

It is important that the dilution water have the lowest pH possible so if lime is being added, this water should be pumped from the filter outlet before the addition of lime. Water from the clear well after addition of lime has a higher pH.

Ferric chloride is a highly acidic compound and must be shipped, stored and dosed in corrosion-resistant equipment.
It is convenient to have each tank supply enough chemical for 24 hours. This makes the operation simpler each day a tank of chemical is prepared.

6.2 **CHEMICAL DOSING**

Once the chemical solution has been prepared it is necessary to pipe it to the point of application, such as a weir or a Parshall flume.

Dilution of the stock solution from the day tanks should be done as near as possible to the diffuser. The strength of the solution being applied to the raw water should be about 1% or as low as 0.5%. To reduce the 10% solution to 1% requires 100 mL of the stock solution and 900 mL of water to make up one litre.

The dosage may be based on the amount of the 10% strength stock solution. If the raw water flow is 10 m³/min and the required dose is 10 mg/L (or 10 ppm) it is necessary to apply 100 g of chemical to the water each minute. In a 10% strength solution this means one litre of stock solution per minute.

The total solution dosed, however, is much more. If for example, 100 mL of stock coagulant is diluted with 900 mL of water just before application then the amount applied is 10 L/min at a strength of 1% which is satisfactory for good coagulation.

The system at each plant should be to mix stock solution of 2 to 10% strength and dilute to 1% or less at the diffuser. This will give good coagulation and economize on coagulant.

A frequent problem is that of getting a known amount of stock solution into the raw water. Most existing plants have some kind of dosing pumps which are not usually working properly. It is strongly recommended that if possible the chemicals be applied by gravity through a constant head tank, controlled by a float valve. The system should be reasonably accurate and it should be easy to set a constant dosing rate.

Such dosing systems exist on the market, but it would be preferable for NWSDB to fabricate its own with valves and small tanks purchased specifically for the purpose.

The chemical transport from the day tanks to the point of application should provide a constant dosing flow, preferably by a gravity feed system.
Iron and manganese are both undesirable constituents of water, causing the following effects:

- staining of clothes, plumbing fixtures;
- causing objectionable metallic tastes;
- imparting colour and turbidity; and
- leaving deposits in pipelines.

The problems of iron and manganese are usually confined to ground waters, though they are sometimes present in anaerobic surface waters or where industrial wastes are discharged.

Iron and manganese are natural constituents of soil and rocks. Normally present in highly insoluble forms, they are brought into solution when anaerobic conditions prevail and carbon dioxide is present, such as in a deep well or the bottom of a reservoir. Troublesome conditions are likely to occur when the following concentrations are exceeded:

- 0.3 mg/L iron
- 0.1 mg/L manganese

Iron exists in solution in the ferrous state, usually as ferrous bicarbonate. It can only remain in solution in the absence of oxygen, and generally where the pH is below 6.5. Exposure of the water to air results in the oxidation of soluble ferrous bicarbonate to insoluble ferric hydroxide. Thus, for example, a deep well sample, on exposure to the atmosphere, may become opalescent and discoloured due to oxidation of the soluble salt to insoluble form, and a deposit formed.

Even small traces of iron and manganese can lead to accumulation of deposits in wells, distribution mains and reservoirs, which may be troublesome and objectionable. Under such conditions, particularly where organic matter is present, iron bacteria may develop which will accentuate the problem and lead to complaints of discoloured, turbid and unpalatable water. Sometimes, sulphides are produced, causing an offensive odour.
If iron is not present in the source water, it is usually acquired to some extent from contact with iron pipes or fittings. To establish whether a deep well water, for example, is acquiring iron through the pump, or casing, it is necessary to obtain a series of samples over several hours of pumping at maximum capacity. Should the iron content decrease significantly as pumping proceeds, it is an indication that iron is being acquired.

Manganese occurs in a similar way to iron, and they may both be present at the same time. Deposits and discolouration due to manganese are black rather than rust-coloured as for iron, and the deposits are of higher density and are less easily flushed out. Manganese oxidises less readily than iron and therefore is more difficult to remove.

Problems due to iron and manganese may be minimised by:

- Treatment for removal;
- Protection of iron/steel mains with bituminous linings, or use of non-corrosive materials;
- Avoiding dead end mains;
- Avoiding disturbances in water flow;
- Periodic flushing.

When iron removal treatment is considered, it is necessary to decide what other treatment is required, since this may have a bearing on the method of iron removal. For example:

- Iron is usually accompanied by considerable free carbon dioxide — it is inadvisable to remove the iron, yet leave the free CO₂ to cause corrosion of pipes and mains.
- Lime-softening, if required to remove hardness, will also be effective for removal of iron and carbon dioxide.
- If organic/bacterial purification is necessary, chlorination may be required, which will also assist with removal of iron and manganese.

Methods for iron/manganese removal are as follows:

a) Aeration

As noted in Section 5.1, aeration assists in dissipation of free carbon dioxide, and oxidation and precipitation of iron. Free CO₂ should be reduced to less than 10 mg/L to remove corrosive properties. Hydrogen sulphide, if present, should also be dissipated. Generally, if only iron is present (with minimal amounts of manganese), simple aeration followed by filtration should be effective. Oxidation is rapid with a pH of over 7.5. pH adjustment may be necessary to increase the removal efficiency.
Aeration followed by sedimentation and rapid filtration is required when the amount of iron is high or when manganese is present. Sometimes the addition of chemicals is necessary for removal of high carbon dioxide levels, or if larger amounts of soluble components of iron or manganese are present.

Slow sand filters are effective in removing small concentrations of iron, but will clog rapidly in the presence of large amounts.

b) Chemical treatment with lime

This is the most efficient and economical chemical for removal of free carbon dioxide, iron and manganese, particularly after aeration. The dose should result in a final pH of 7.5 to 8.5 after settlement and filtration. The lime must be thoroughly mixed with the water. Aluminium sulphate (alum) may be used to assist flocculation and sedimentation. For removal of manganese, the pH should be higher, above 9.

c) Other Methods

Potassium permanganate is an effective oxidising agent, particularly for manganese which will precipitate when the pH is above 6.5. Application should be followed by filtration by sand, anthracite or a catalytic filter containing iron or manganese oxides. Pilot plant testing is advised.

Prechlorination is useful for removing growths of iron bacteria, and may be used for oxidation of iron and manganese instead of aeration, but aeration is preferred. Prechlorination ahead of conventional treatment (coagulation, sedimentation, filtration) at pH values of 6.7 - 8.4 should provide adequate removal of iron.

Contact beds are useful for smaller quantities of water, with pumice as a medium.

7.2 FLUORIDE REMOVAL AND FLUORIDATION

Fluoride in water has been found to be beneficial in the prevention of tooth decay in children, and is commonly added to water supplies in Europe, North America and many other countries. About 1-1.5 mg/L is the optimum dose. Fluoridation is not commonly practised in developing counties since dental decay is not a widespread problem. In concentrations above 3-5 mg/L, however, mottling of teeth occurs, and a reduction in the level of fluorides is desirable.

Fluorides can be removed by various granular insoluble media which remove fluoride as the water percolates through them and which are periodically regenerated by chemical treatment. Suitable media are:
tricalcium phosphate;

- bone char;

- Activated alumina: probably best for large scale removal, water percolates through a bed of granular media of grain size 0.3–0.5 mm. Bed regeneration is necessary with weak caustic soda, followed by neutralization.

Since these processes are difficult and expensive, the best solution, where alternatives exist, would be to abandon the source.

7.3 REMOVAL OF COLOURS, TASTES AND ODOURS

These characteristics are often the cause of a majority of consumer complaints about water quality.

Colour in water is usually due to:

- organic matter;

- biological growths and algae;

- colloidal iron/manganese;

True colour is that imparted to water by soluble matter. It is measured against standard solutions of a platinum salt in distilled water. Apparent colour is due to suspended matter such as ferric hydroxide (red or yellow) or algae (green).

Tastes and odours may be caused by:

- hydrogen sulphide in the water (mostly odour);

- contact with bituminous surfaces of mains or tanks;

- contamination by organic matter;

- contamination by chemicals;

- growths of algae, etc.

- treatment processes, e.g. chlorination, which may accentuate some tastes and odours, particularly in the presence of phenols.

Odour is measured by threshold odour number (TON) which indicates the intensity of the odour in water. It is the dilution, with odour-free water, required to dilute the odourous water to the point where the odour is just detectable.
Prevention of tastes and odours occurring is the best solution:

- Prevent pollution of source by sewage, trade wastes, etc;
- Control biological growths in reservoirs, tanks and filters, e.g. algae, fungi;
- Careful chlorination, and use of ammonia or dechlorination agents if necessary;
- Cover distribution reservoirs;
- Avoid dead-ends in distribution, flush mains regularly;
- Use material linings and protective paints of good quality.

Methods of removal are as follows:

a) **Aeration**

Not particularly effective in removing tastes and odours due to organic matter, biological growths or chlorination since substances causing such problems usually have low volatility. Aeration is effective in reducing free carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulphide.

b) **Activated Carbon**

This is a specially processed form of charcoal which may be either in powder or granular form, and is relatively pure carbon which has a highly porous structure with an extensive surface area in relation to its volume — it therefore is effective in removing taste and odour producing substances by adsorption; ordinary charcoal may be used but is not as efficient as activated carbon.

Powdered activated carbon is more effective and efficient for removing tastes and odours than the granular form. It is mixed into a slurry and fed at a constant rate to the water. Contact time should be a minimum of 15 minutes to 1 hour. Removal is usually on the bed of rapid or slow sand filters. In rapid filters, the carbon is washed to waste in the backwashing process; in slow sand filters, the material accumulates on the bed, and should not effect the length of filter run. Maximum efficiency is obtained when the carbon is applied to clarified water, normal doses being in the range of 5–20 mg/L. Efficiency of removal of tastes and odours is much better at lower pH values.

For slow sand filters, a larger initial dose of 40–50 mg/L could be applied to build up an effective concentration throughout the water on the filter, and then reduced to a nominal amount of say 5 mg/L.
Tastes and odours are normally a seasonal phenomenon, and therefore the required dose is likely to be intermittent and variable.

The application point may be at the plant inlet, or after clarification, or both. Sufficient contact time must be allowed prior to coagulation, when the efficiency of adsorption will reduce due to sealing of the carbon surface, as it binds with the coagulant.

Granular activated carbon is usually used for removal of free chlorine, by passing water through a bed of the substance, at a similar flow rate to that of rapid sand filtration - the units are therefore of similar size. In this case the carbon requires periodic regeneration.

Powdered activated carbon requires special care in handling, storage and feeding due to its highly corrosive nature in the presence of water; it is also combustible and should be stored separately from other chemicals, and equipment for handling the dry material should be sealed to confine the dust. See Ref.6 for additional information on this subject.

c) Oxidising agents

These are often effective against tastes and odours. Chlorine is the most common, but has the disadvantage, along with potassium permanganate, that excess oxidant must be removed. Chlorine dioxide is also very effective, being a more powerful oxidant than chlorine, and should only be considered in severe cases of tastes and odours, or when phenolic compounds are a problem.

It should be noted that when using chlorine for this purpose, there is a danger of forming new taste and odour causing compounds which may aggravate the problem. Sufficient oxidant must be used, therefore, to eliminate the original taste and odour causing compounds.

Ozone is probably the most effective taste and odour oxidant available but suffers from high cost and is therefore seldom used.
7.4 ALGAE CONTROL

Algae are a common problem in the surface water sources of Sri Lanka, where they affect the dissolved oxygen balance, and cause clogging of filters and taste and odour problems.

The preferred solution is to control the algae at source, by use of chemical or biological methods to eliminate the algae in the body of water. Copper sulphate is the most commonly used algicide. Reported dosage varies from 0.5 mg/L to 2.0 mg/L, depending on the type of algae concerned, the application being made by towing a sack of copper sulphate crystals behind a boat. It may also be added by solution feed at the entry to a reservoir. Tests should be made to ensure that the proposed dose is not toxic to any fish in the body of water.

Powdered activated carbon has also been used at a dosage of 5-35 kg per 100 m$^3$. Utilizing the fact that sunlight is essential for growth of algae (as for most other plants), the powdered activated carbon acts as a blackout to reduce the penetration of light into the water. It may be suitable in shallow areas of limited extent.

For spring and borehole supplies algae may usually be prevented by the exclusion of light. In surface waters, this is not usually possible; waters with higher turbidity are less susceptible to algae growths than clear water, due to light penetration.

Unit processes for removal of algae include prechlorination, microstraining, sedimentation and filtration, and the success of these various methods depends on the types and sizes of algae to be removed, and other less well defined variables.

Microstraining with a fabric aperture of 23 micrometres may be suitable if the algae are large, but some are too small to be retained.

Prechlorination with a free chlorine dose of 0.25-2.0 mg/L should be effective in reducing algae. Care must be taken not to apply chlorinated water to slow sand filters — improved initial performance may be followed by clogging due to an impermeable layer induced by the effect of chlorine on algae.

7.5 DESALINATION

Desalination is a very expensive process which should only be considered as a last resort, if no other source is available. Methods are multi-stage flash distillation, reverse osmosis and electrodialysis.

The multistage flash distillation process has remained for sometime the most popular and economic system for the production of large quantities of low salinity water. Preheated water is fed into a series of chambers each of which is held at a slightly lower pressure than the preceding one.
Reverse osmosis works on the principle that certain membranes will permit the passage of fresh water whilst preventing or restricting the transport of salts. Thus if a semi-permeable membrane is used as a barrier between a salt solution and fresh water the solvent (i.e. the water) will flow through the membrane to equalize the salt concentrations on either side. The pressure exerted by dissolved salts is known as the osmotic pressure and the action of osmosis is to balance the osmotic pressures on either side of the membrane. If the salt solution is subjected to a pressure greater than its osmotic pressure, water will pass through the membrane into the other compartment.

Recently, with developments in membrane technology, the process is becoming more viable for small desalination systems. Membranes are reported to have a useful life of 5 years.

In the process of electrodialysis, in which electrical potentials are used to transport ions through selectively permeable membranes, it is possible to reduce dissolved solids in water quite effectively. Many plants using this electrodialysis principle are in use in various parts of the world with capacities up to 0.12 m³/s. The process is suitable for industrial applications, such as for soft drinks.

For several up-to-date articles on desalination, see Ref.8 pages 159-189.

7.6 SOFTENING

In certain cases treatment may be required for the removal of hardness. Since hardness is not a health hazard, this form of treatment may only be necessary in Sri Lanka for specialised industrial uses where scaling may be a problem (i.e. in high pressure boilers). Hardness may be removed either by chemical precipitation or by ion exchange. Generally, ion exchange is the more suitable method, being simpler in operation and maintenance.

Certain natural materials, such as zeolites (complex sodium alumino-silicates) and greensands have the property of exchanging one ion in their structure for another ion in solution. Thus natural zeolites will exchange their sodium ions with calcium and magnesium ions in water, thus softening the water completely. The finished water is high in sodium, but unless it was originally a very hard water, the sodium level is not harmful.

The ion exchange material can be regenerated with a strong solution of sodium ions, such as common salt solution.
Since ion exchange treatment produces effluent with zero hardness it is usually sufficient for domestic supply purposes to treat a portion of the water only which can then be blended with unsoftened water to produce a final hardness of 20-50 mg/L.

To allow production of satisfactory softened water by sodium cycle treatment the water should have a composition such that after treatment the water does not contain more than 900 mg/L sodium. To prevent damage to the ion exchange material the raw water should not contain more than 1.5 mg/L iron and manganese, nor more than 5 units turbidity unless suitable pretreatment can be provided.

Ion exchange beds may be gravity or pressure type and are operated in much the same way as a rapid filter.

Common design criteria are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of flow</td>
<td>120-480 m³/m²d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of bed of resin</td>
<td>At least 0.75 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of supporting gravel</td>
<td>At least 0.3 m (graded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backwash rate</td>
<td>350-600 m³/m²d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdrain area</td>
<td>0.16% of bed area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeboard above bed</td>
<td>50% of bed depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of run</td>
<td>Depends on hardness of raw water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further details of design and operation of ion exchange plants are given in Reference 6.
8. CORROSION CONTROL

8.1 EFFECTS OF CORROSION

Corrosion of metals due to the presence of aggressive waters and soils is a continuing cause of breakdowns, problems and expense in water schemes. Affecting pipelines, plant and equipment, it can be responsible for the following effects:

- widespread deterioration of mains due to aggressive water and/or soils, causing weakening and subsequent pipe bursts;
- localised deterioration of pipes resulting in leakage;
- complete or partial blockage of pipes by corrosion products;
- dissolution of metals causing possible toxicity, or other water quality problems;
- discolouration of water due to corrosion products;
- failure of equipment due to weakening or corrosion products.

To understand these effects and to design suitable protective measures, some understanding of the processes of corrosion is necessary.

8.2 PROCESSES OF CORROSION

Corrosion of iron is an oxidation process requiring the presence of water and oxygen. Two reactions take place as corrosion proceeds, the first being reduction of dissolved oxygen (the cathodic reaction). The second involves electrons being removed from the metal surface and replaced by the anodic reaction which results in the oxidation of metallic iron to ferrous ions. The two reactions proceed together and are often termed a corrosion cell.

The overall rate of reaction is sensitive to the rate of transfer of oxygen to the metal surface in the cathodic region. In the absence of oxygen, corrosion is normally inhibited completely, but in the presence of oxygen corrosion products limit the rate of reaction by reducing the rate of transfer of oxygen to the metal surface. In non-aggressive soils a completely unprotected pipe would suffer from general corrosion which would start at a high rate but would eventually decline. The rate of internal corrosion would be expected to be high as the flowing water maintains a high concentration of oxygen. Fortunately the corrosion products inhibit the transfer of oxygen to the pipe surface and so tend to reduce the rate of dilapidation.
Other factors could also help to inhibit corrosion. For example, during the corrosion process local increases in pH can cause precipitation of calcium carbonate from water saturated with calcium carbonate; under suitable conditions this will form a scale on the pipe surface and inhibit oxygen transfer. However, serious problems usually occur when localised corrosion proceeds under special conditions. More often than not such problems are usually associated with damage to the oxide film which is naturally produced on the pipe wall at the manufacturing stage. Damage at a particular point leads to the establishment of a localised corrosion cell and is accompanied by a concentrated attack on the small anodic site, which leads to a localised corrosion pit.

Corrosion cells can also be established under a variety of special conditions involving galvanic action, differential cells, stress corrosion, microbial corrosion and stray electric currents.

Galvanic or bimetallic corrosion arises from the contact of metals with very different electrochemical potentials. The electropositive metal is cathodic to the electronegative metal and promotes its corrosion. For example, graphite is cathodic to cast iron and is present as inclusions in cast iron pipe. Galvanic corrosion promotes the dissolution of the iron leaving the graphite as the only structural material. Table 8.1 shows the electrochemical series for metals in sea water. The more noble metals and alloys more resistant to corrosion are at the top of the list. Generally, if two metals widely separated on this list are used in contact with one another the less noble may suffer accelerated corrosion, and reduce the rate of corrosion of the more noble.

Different permeabilities of soils in trenches or where debris is present inside pipelines can cause differences in oxygen concentration. Localised differences in dissolved oxygen near the metal surface can then establish corrosion cells and lead to localised corrosion.

Anodic reaction can arise in the presence of sulphate reducing bacteria. This type of corrosion is common in water-logged soils where oxygen diffusion is poor. Black ferrous sulphide is precipitated and is an indication of microbial corrosion. Similar reactions can occur on the internal surfaces of pipes but require anaerobic conditions for sulphate reducing bacteria to survive. This is unlikely under reasonable water quality and flow conditions but can arise in certain circumstances. Bacterial slimes or other growths on the interior surface can also cause these conditions.

Induced direct currents can cause external pipe corrosion at the point where the current leaves the pipes. These should only cause concern in special limited circumstances but can be aggravated when metal service pipes are used to earth domestic electrical appliances.
### Electrochemical Series for Common Metals and Alloys in Sea Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>More Resistant to Corrosion</th>
<th>Platinum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Graphite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austenitic stainless steel (passive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ferritic stainless steel (passive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nickel (passive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silver solder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copper—nickel alloys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bronzes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>brasses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nickel (active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lead—tin solders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austenitic stainless steel (active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ferritic stainless steel (active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cast iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Resistant to Corrosion</td>
<td>aluminium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnesium alloys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnesium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The more noble metals and alloys, more resistant to corrosion, are at the head of the list. In general, if two metals widely separated in this list are used in contact with one another the less noble may suffer accelerated corrosion and reduce the rate of corrosion of the more noble.
**8.3 ASSESSING CORROSIVITY OF WATER**

The main characteristics which affect the corrosive tendency of water are pH, hardness and alkalinity. Chlorides, by increasing conductivity, facilitate the corrosion process; dissolved oxygen is necessary for the cathodic process. Chlorination, practised normally, should not contribute to corrosion. Manganese, in excess of 0.03 mg/L can stimulate corrosion of copper pipes in hot water systems.

Waters with pH below 7 will dissolve most metals to an appreciable extent, and are generally unlikely to deposit protective scales of calcium carbonate on the metal. The ability of water to dissolve or to deposit calcium carbonate is used as a guide to the water's corrosive tendency and is measured by the Langelier Saturation Index (L)

\[
L = \text{pH} - \text{pHs}
\]

Where pHs is the pH of the water at the same temperature when saturated with CaCO₃.

pHs may be obtained from the formula:

\[
\text{pHs} = (9.3+A+B) - (C+D),
\]

using the values in Table 8.2

A negative Langelier Index indicates that the water will tend to dissolve calcium carbonate and a positive Index indicates that it will tend to deposit calcium carbonate.

**8.4 ASSESSING CORROSIVITY OF SOIL**

The resistivity of a soil is dependent on the dissolved chemicals, type of soil, moisture content, etc. and therefore is an excellent indicator of the amount of corrosion to be expected in any given situation. Thus metals buried in high resistivity soils will suffer relatively little corrosion as compared to metals in low resistivity soils where the electrochemical action may progress at fast rates.

In addition to the corrosivity at any one location as indicated by the soil resistivity, much corrosion is due to change in soil along the pipe line. The greater and more abrupt the change, the greater the corrosivity in the low resistance soil immediately adjacent to the high resistivity soil.

A relatively simple soil resistivity survey over the route of any pipeline will indicate all areas likely to be corrosive in accordance with the following guide:
### Values for Determination of Langelier Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Solids (mg/L)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Calcium Hardness (mg/L CaCO₃)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Methyl Orange Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃)</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-300</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400-1000</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12-13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12-13</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14-17</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14-17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18-22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23-27</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>23-27</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28-34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28-35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35-43</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>36-44</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44-55</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>45-55</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>56-69</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>70-87</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>88-110</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-13</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>111-138</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14-17</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>139-174</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18-21</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>175-220</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22-27</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>230-270</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-31</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>280-340</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32-37</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>350-430</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38-43</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>440-550</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44-50</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>560-690</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51-56</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>700-870</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57-63</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>880-1000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64-71</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72-81</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Obtain values of A, B, C and D from above table
2. \( \text{pH}_S = (9.3 + A + B) - (C + D) \)
3. Saturation index = \( \text{pH} - \text{pH}_S \)
Values below 2000 ohm-cm are usually corrosive except when they lie between areas of lower value, or when they comprise the highest values in a long section of line. Values below 1000 are sure to be corrosive; below 500 severe and rapid corrosion is almost inevitable.

Values above 12,000 ohm-cm are almost never corrosive, except occasionally when a short section lies between much higher resistivity soil, but even then the corrosion is usually mild.

Values between 2000 and 12,000 ohm-cm must be assessed for corrosivity by comparison with adjacent sections; relatively low areas will tend to be corrosive as will areas of rapid and large change in soil resistivity; again, the areas of low resistivity will be the corrosive areas.

Further details on assessing soil conditions are given in Reference 9.

8.5 PREVENTION OF CORROSION

Where tests and observations indicate that corrosion is or may be a problem, steps must be taken to reduce or eliminate its effects. Corrosion control systems commonly used for pipelines and steel structures are coatings, cathodic protection, polyethylene sleeving, and use of corrosion-resistant materials. At the treatment stage a corrosion inhibitor, such as sodium silicate, could be applied.

Coatings must be properly applied to dry, corrosion free metal, and must be free from pinholes or damage to be effective. They should bond with the metal and give insulation against electrical current. Common materials available are:

- Cement mortar — suitable for internal lining of ductile, cast iron and galvanised steel pipe;
- Epoxy — highly resistant, durable and suitable for internal and external use;
- Polyethylene sleeving — required external protection for ductile pipe in the US;
- Zine coating (galvanising) — commonly applied as protection for steel and ductile pipe at manufacturing stage.
Bitumen and coal tar — commonly used for internal and external coatings, protective paints and sheathing; care must be taken to ensure that internal use will not result in objectionable tastes.

Wrapping tapes — these are available in a variety of materials and forms for factory or site application. Care must be taken to ensure that any damage to protective coatings or linings during transport or installation is thoroughly repaired to the specified standard. Particular attention should be paid to pipe joints.

Steel pipes tend to be of large diameter and are usually of strategic importance and bitumen sheathed. It is essential for the sheathing to be thick and continuous in order to afford adequate protection but where soils are corrosive an added safeguard is introduced in the form of cathodic protection which would continue to give shielding in the event of the sheathing becoming damaged. This will inevitably set up a galvanic cell with the bare metal forming an anode at which localised pitting would develop.

"Long-line" corrosion cells can also occur where sections of the pipeline run through aggressive low-resistivity soils which form anodic areas relative to parts of the pipeline passing through soils of higher resistivity.

There are two methods of applying cathodic protection, namely by installing sacrificial anodes or by introducing an impressed current to the pipeline. The sacrificial anode is made of a metal with greater negative potential than that of the pipeline. It is submerged in electrolyte and connected externally to the pipelines to form a galvanic cell under which the protective or 'sacrificial" anode corrodes in preference to the cathodic pipeline. Specially formulated alloys of magnesium, zinc or aluminium are used for making sacrificial anodes.

In the case of the impressed current system, the protective current is not derived from the potential difference between dissimilar metals. Instead, protective anodes are energised by DC power, usually fed from rectified AC power supply, and current flows from these anodes through the electrolyte to the pipeline and thence through an external connection to the negative terminal of the DC power source.

Deciding which type of protective system to adopt depends on many factors. Size and complexity of structure, nature of the electrolyte and the environment, availability of power supply, proximity of "foreign" structures which may affect or be affected by the flow of current etc. all figure in the decision. A detailed technical evaluation should be carried out.
Further detailed information on cathodic protection is given in Reference 9.

Corrosivity, as measured by negative values of Langelier Index, is common in groundwaters in Sri Lanka and it may be necessary in some cases to stabilise these waters by the adjustment of pH and alkalinity to achieve calcium carbonate equilibrium. Treatment could comprise aeration to remove excess CO₂ and exposure to a bed of calcium carbonate. Normally, for minor schemes, such treatment would not be cost-effective, but pilot studies could be initiated in special cases.
9. PLANT DESIGN AND LAYOUTS

9.1 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Water treatment plants should in general be low in capital cost and easy and economical to operate. Maximum use of gravity and hydraulic energy in the treatment process and use of labour instead of equipment in plant operation result in the most effective and economical treatment plant.

Fundamental design principles to be adopted are:

- maximise use of gravity (e.g. for chemical feed);
- conserve head loss throughout the plant and system;
- utilise staged construction in 5-10 year stages to minimise capital costs;
- allow for losses through plant, e.g. raw water pump capacity should be 5-10% greater than treated water output of plant.
- adopt design innovations such as declining-rate filtration control, higher filter rates, direct filtration, inter-filter backwashing, high-rate settling in plates and tubes;
- define required treated water quality, and design for specific characteristics of available raw water after thorough sampling and testing programme;
- where the design is for an extension to an existing works the need to maintain the operational status of the works during construction should be addressed.
- maximise use of hydraulic means for mixing, flocculation and filter rate controls;
- minimise use of equipment such as pumps, motors, and chemical feed equipment;
- provide adequate facilities for parallel operation (i.e. duplication of tanks) and standby (including emergency generators);
- maximise use of hydraulic means for mixing, flocculation and filter rate controls;
- provide adequate facilities for chemical handling, storage, mixing, including day tanks, flushing/dilution water connections and dosing. Provide storage for 6 months chemical requirements.
provide 2 hours storage in clear well.

An example of typical design criteria for a full treatment works is given in Table 9.1

9.2 SITE LAYOUT

Careful selection of site and plant layout can make a big difference in capital costs and ease of O&M. Take into consideration:

- elevation;
- flood levels;
- area required for future extensions;
- cost of clearing, earthworks, embankments;
- site drainage and wastewater disposal;
- foundation conditions;
- security of site;
- access and roads, particularly access to intake site which should preferably be close by to allow ease of monitoring and supervision.

In particular:

- utilise variations in site topography to match the required hydraulic profile of plant;
- site chemical building high enough so that coagulants and lime can be fed by gravity from day tanks on upper level, as close as possible to points of application;
- use ramps or elevators to raise chemicals to required storage level;
- avoid excessive differences in head between units, e.g. locate clear water tank alongside filters rather than below them; head loss through the plant should not exceed 2 m, without aeration. Aeration, if necessary, will add 1.5 - 2.0 m.
- minimise head losses in pipes and channels, e.g. avoid 90° channel turns;
- carry out adequate soil investigations for foundation design, e.g. trial pits, boreholes.
- administration facilities should be provided as required for offices/laboratory, reception area, scheme level stores, staff room, toilet and a small workshop. A control room may be required for larger works. Provide adequate lighting and ventilation.

Some typical plant profiles and flow schematics are given in Figs. 9.1 - 9.7.
### TABLE 9.1

**TYPICAL DESIGN CRITERIA FOR A 46 ML/d WATER TREATMENT WORKS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake Capacity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design flow for treatment</td>
<td>555 L/s (48000 m³/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grit Removal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of channels</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (each)</td>
<td>3.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>22.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River level</td>
<td>3.0 m above MSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (horizontal @555 L/s)</td>
<td>0.16 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (setting @ 555 L/s)</td>
<td>7.2 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time (@555 L/s)</td>
<td>2.3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travelling Screens</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>2.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Level</td>
<td>3.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>0.45 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plant Capacity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design flow, raw water in</td>
<td>555 L/s (4.7% allowance for loss through works)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design flow, treated water out</td>
<td>530 L/s (46000 m³/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wet Well</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>69.5 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River level</td>
<td>3.0 m above MSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time</td>
<td>2.09 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw Water Pumps</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>3 (1 standby)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Split case centrifugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity (each)</td>
<td>278 L/s at 20 m TDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>1460 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>93 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw Water Metering</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>500 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Venturi tube*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>220 to 800 L/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Mixing Basin</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time</td>
<td>20 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>$11.2 \text{ m}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixer</td>
<td>15 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>$1000 \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flocculation Basins</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (each)</td>
<td>3.962 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (each)</td>
<td>81.65 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (each)</td>
<td>$333.6 \text{ m}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time</td>
<td>23 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Settling Basins</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (each)</td>
<td>13.9 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (each)</td>
<td>45.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side water depth (each)</td>
<td>2.438 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up flow rate</td>
<td>1.6 m/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time</td>
<td>1.5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weir overflow rate</td>
<td>2.89 L/s/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weir length (each basin)</td>
<td>96 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Gravity sand downflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow (each)</td>
<td>69.3 L/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtration rate</td>
<td>7.3 m/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (each)</td>
<td>$34.4 \text{ m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (each)</td>
<td>4.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (each)</td>
<td>6.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headloss</td>
<td>1.8 to 2.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backwash rate</td>
<td>35 to 50 m/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface wash rate</td>
<td>5 to 10 m/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated Water Reservoir</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>4600 $\text{ m}^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>25 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>40 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side water depth</td>
<td>4.6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention time</td>
<td>2.41 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated Water Pumps</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>3 (1 standby)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Vertical turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity (each)</td>
<td>265 L/s at 146 m TDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>1460 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>634 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Systems:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chlorine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>900 kg cylinders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage range</td>
<td>1-5 mg/L prechlorination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum feed rate</td>
<td>1-2 mg/L postchlorination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorinators (1 duty, (1 standby)</td>
<td>225 kg/d prechlorination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine storage (average use)</td>
<td>90 kg/d postchlorination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Not recommended, included as example only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alum</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Granular in bags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage range</td>
<td>10-60 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day tanks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (each)</td>
<td>12.96 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>4.32 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side water depth</td>
<td>3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixers per tank</td>
<td>1 vertical paddle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed pumps (positive displacement duplex)*</td>
<td>2 (1 standby) prelime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed pumps (positive displacement simplex*)</td>
<td>2 (1 standby) postlime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity (5% solution)</td>
<td>1135 L/h prelime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime storage (average use)</td>
<td>90 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not recommended, included as example only.
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9.3 **RAW WATER INTAKE**

Address the following points when siting and designing the raw water intake:

- normal, high and low water levels; calculate wet well volume at low water level.
- intrusion of salinity in tidal reaches;
- protection of raw water pumps from abrasion — provision of grit channels, gates and screens;
- provision for cleaning intake channel;
- access for maintenance and removal of intake pumps and motors, including overhead crane if necessary.
- allow for minimum of 2 duty pumps and 1 standby, and room for expansion if required.
- in dry wells, provide a sump and pump for drainage.

9.4 **FLOW MEASUREMENT**

There are many examples in existing plants of non-functioning differential pressure type flow meters, such as Dall or Venturi tubes. In some cases breakdown is due to damaged electronics, probably caused by voltage fluctuations and surges, and in other cases is simply due to lack of maintenance.

For this reason it is recommended that Parshall flumes or weirs be used in place of Dall/Venturi tubes on raw water lines from rivers. Parshall flumes or weirs have the advantage that they are self-cleaning, need minimal maintenance and are sufficiently accurate for Board requirements. They also provide ideal locations for efficient coagulant mixing.

Where use of differential pressure meters cannot be avoided, the electrical interface should be eliminated and a direct pressure recording instrument installed, preferably including a counter read-out of total flow.

On existing plants where the meters are not working or suspect, flow is often incorrectly assessed by using the pumping rate of the installed pumps from the nameplate details. Incorrect assessment of raw water flow obviously leads to a lack of control of dosing rates of chemicals. Measurement of the pumping rate in plants may be made using the following procedure:
1. Stop raw water pumping.

2. Continue filtration until clarifier level is down to a point where it is easy to measure the rise in water level in the basin.

3. Close the outlet valve from clarifier to filters.

4. Close valves on all filters. At this point the plant is completely out of service with the clarifier at a relatively low level, say 1.0 to 1.5 m below normal.

5. Start one raw water pump.

6. With a stop watch record the time required to raise the level 0.5 or 1.0 m. Carefully mark these levels prior to starting the pump.

7. If there are two or more pumps run a test for each.

8. Calculate the pumping rate based on the time and known volume.

9. If two or more pumps are operated together, run a test for each combination of pumps used.

9.5 INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL

Instrumentation required for control of the process is as follows:

a) Measurement of rate of flow and total flow of water.

b) Measurement of filter head loss.

c) Measurement of chlorine dosage.

Details are given below:

a) Parshall flume or similar device with float operated flow rate indicator and totaliser, but not a chart recorder, for measuring the inflow. Units should be cubic metres per hour, and cubic metres.

b) Propeller meters with flow rate indicators and totaliser for measuring wash water and for measuring outflow, either before or after the clear water reservoir as (a):

c) Staff gauges for measuring the water level in each filter. Units should be metres.

d) Manometer tubes tapped through the filter wall for measuring the head loss in each filter. Units should be metres.
e) Chlorinators, either Wallace & Tiernan or Fisher Porter, for post chlorination and also pre-chlorination if applicable in the process, with duplicate chlorinators in each instance, for standby. Units should be kg/h.

See also Design Manual 5, Mechanical, Electrical and Instrumentation Aspects of Design, Section 4, Instrumentation.

9.6 FACILITY OF OPERATION

Consideration must be given to making the operator's work easier by properly designing all necessary access to all parts of the plant which require it, such as chemical application points, flocculation, settling basin overflow, filters during washing, recorders and gauges. Provide walkways, handrails, ladders and manholes.

If operators encounter difficulties of access the inevitable result will be poor plant operation and maintenance.

As a check, have experienced O&M staff review the design drawings with this in mind, prior to finalization.

In addition, the design and specification should provide for a plant which will be straightforward to construct and erect, with robust equipment, simple to operate, requiring a minimum of skilled workers for operation and maintenance.

9.7 LABORATORY

A small laboratory will normally be required at the treatment works to monitor the treatment process. The physical and chemical determinations performed in the laboratory will present evidence whether:

- the water has been properly pre-conditioned for each operation of the treatment process;
- each unit process is functioning as it should;
- the finished product is clear, free from objectionable taste and odour, chemically stable, and bacteriologically safe for human consumption.

The design of the laboratory should be based upon the quality assurance testing programme recommended for the treatment works and will include at least the following determinations:

Daily pumping — hourly, daily rates. Hours of operation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Testing Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw water turbidity</td>
<td>Daily if the raw water is stable, every 3 hours if the turbidity is changing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settled water turbidity</td>
<td>3 times daily at the same hours, 8, 16, 24 hours for example.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtered water turbidity</td>
<td>Each filter 3 times per day, (same as above).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH - raw water</td>
<td>Once per day if raw water is stable, every 3 hours if raw water is changing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH - finished water</td>
<td>After post lime addition 3 times each day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Where colour is a problem - raw water once per day when stable or 3 times per day when colour is changing. Finished water once per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>Twice per month when raw water is stable. Each day when raw water is changing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron &amp; Manganese</td>
<td>Where known to be low - raw water content once per month. Where it is a problem - once per week when water is stable - raw and finished water. Once per day when changing - raw and finished water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulant dose</td>
<td>Rate of application in mg/L. Total coagulant consumed per day. Record rate changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime dose</td>
<td>Rate of application in mg/L. Total used per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water stability</td>
<td>There is no direct test except the Langelier Index which is a good indicator. Once per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>Once per month.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The laboratory should be designed with the various functions allocated to different sections of the room. Bacteriological examination (which includes glassware washing, media preparation, sterilisation, inoculation and incubation), general chemistry, and special analyses will be performed in their specified areas. A fume hood should be provided for work involving obnoxious fumes. Distillation apparatus may also be required.
The furnishings should provide storage and bench space for instruments, equipment, and work area. Utilities necessary are hot and cold water; compressed air, vacuum, propane, and electrical outlets. The laboratory requirements should be checked with the O&M Department and process control section early in the design stage.

9.8 LESSONS_FROM_EXISTING_PLANTS

In planning and designing a water treatment plant, it can be advantageous to be aware of the various operating problems which are currently occurring at existing plants. The following is a summary of comments made by Wagner, UNDP advisor, who surveyed a number of plants in 1983: (Ref.2)

- The raw water during most of the year in most of the localities is low in both turbidity and colour.

- Basic information on water quality is generally not available due to lack of laboratory equipment and supplies. Trained personnel are needed (Note that this aspect is being improved under the USAID Project)

- Although most plants were producing a satisfactory water, plant control is precarious and undoubtedly there are times when the quality standards are not being met.

- All but two plants visited have vertical flow, contact sludge blanket type clarifiers. All the operators reported relatively frequent problems of floc clouds rising to the surface and flowing to the filters; relatively rapid filter clogging results.

- Most of the vertical flow clarifiers were loaded to the maximum or overloaded both for flocculation and settling. When flows and turbidities were relatively constant these basins performed satisfactorily.

- Filters in all plants were underloaded with two exceptions where they were operating in the conventional range of filtration rate; all will take a much higher load: the constraints will be hydraulic. Low dosage, relatively high rate direct filtration is possible in most plants during a good part of the year. (This was confirmed by pilot filter testing).

- The locally produced charcoal with a specific gravity of 1.42 proved to be a good filter media. Many of the plant filters can be changed to dual media without great structural complications. When required, therefore, filter rates can normally be substantially increased.
More pilot filter work needs to be done to refine the media specifications both in particle size and depth. Pilot filters should be constructed to operate at all problem plants and at other selected plants.

Mediocre to very poor coagulant dosing and dispersion (rapid mixing) was encountered in all but one plant. Because of the unusual feature of all plants having aeration it was relatively easy to correct this problem (by constructing a weir in the channel from aerator to clarifier, and installing a coagulant diffuser at this point).

Future designs should incorporate maximum use of hydraulic means for mixing, flocculation and filter rate control. Also the flocculation and settling basins should be horizontal flow to provide good process control.

On the basis of his surveys, Wagner made the following specific recommendations relating to plant design:

- Equip all plants with basic laboratory instruments and supplies which will make possible the collection of basic data on raw and treated water quality as well as the treatment process.

- Provide day tanks for the preparation of stock solutions of coagulants and gravity systems for dosing;

- Provide hydraulic mixing of the coagulant solutions with the raw water at weirs or flumes. These provide excellent mixing;

- Provide hydraulic flocculation in well designed baffled basins. These include easily cleaned units and a design based on experience with the raw water to be treated. These basins are separate from settling to provide independent control of the flocculation process;

- Provide horizontal flow settling basins with a properly designed entrance baffle to assure plug flow. The settled water take-off system should cover a large portion at the outlet end of the basin to reduce upflow currents to a minimum;

- Utilize dual or single media filters designed with declining rate control and a box depth to accommodate a relatively deep media bed. The hydraulic design would allow for high rate filtration without future piping changes. It should have a backwash capacity to assure complete washing in 5 to 8 minutes. Install simple filter headloss gauges.
Utilize inter-filter backwashing.

Utilize direct filtration wherever possible.

9.9 SPECIFICATIONS

The specification for a water treatment works may be either:

a) A detailed specification closely defining the process and equipment which the Board believes will produce the required performance.

b) A performance specification which leaves the choice of process and equipment to the tenderer.

It is recommended that type (a) be used on normal NWSDB schemes. However, for larger schemes or in particular circumstances type (b) may be preferred.

Annex H lists information which needs to be included in a detailed specification, whereas Reference 11 goes thoroughly into the subject of performance specifications.

Various aspects of commissioning need to be addressed at this stage:

- Testing of equipment.
- Responsibility for plant operations and decisions during commissioning — usually the contractor will be responsible but this should be stated and it should also be specified that Board operators will be closely involved.
- Responsibility for supply and payment of chemicals during commissioning.
- Supply of water for testing purposes.
- Simulation of alarm conditions to test full operational efficiency and familiarize staff with procedures.
- Provision for training, manuals, and the nature of acceptance trials.

See also Reference 11 and Procedure Manual P3, Commissioning Guidelines.
Once the works is in operation, its performance should be monitored, to ensure that it is operating in the most efficient manner, according to the original design. If defects in design go unreported, they will continue to be built into future works and the quality of works will not improve. It is recommended that a post project appraisal report be prepared by DGM (O&M) after the scheme has been in operation for 1 year, for providing feedback to Planning, Design and Construction.
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Design Example - Cascade Aerator

Design for removal of iron from groundwater pumped at rate of 4000 m$^3$/d. (2.78 m$^3$/min).

Assume cascade area = 2.0 m$^2$/m$^3$/min of flow
Area required = 2 x 2.78 = 5.56 m$^2$

Circular design

Diam. of lower step

\[ d_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(5.56 \times 4)}{\pi}} = 2.7 \text{ m} \]

and say \( d_2 = 1.9 \text{ m} \)
\( d_3 = 1.1 \text{ m} \)

(Step width should not be less than 400 mm to make sure that water does jump the step).

Provide 3 concrete steps, each of height 50 cm. Total height of drop, including inlet pipe = 2.0 m

Length of lower step, \( = \pi D \)

Overflow rate = 2.78
\[ \frac{60 \times 8.48}{2.78} = 0.005, \text{ therefore OK} \]

(Recommended maximum overflow rate 0.1 m$^3$/m.min)

For inlet pipe, assume \( v = 1.5 \text{ m/s} \)

Required area = \( Q / V \)
\[ = 2.78 \]
\[ 60 \times 1.5 \]

therefore \( d = 200 \text{ mm} \)

Rise of jet \( h = \frac{V^2}{2g} \)
\[ = \frac{1.5^2}{2 \times (9.81)} = 0.115 \text{ m} \]
**DESIGN EXAMPLE - HYDRAULIC FLOCCULATOR**

**Flow** = 28 L/sec  
**Total basin length** = 16.8 m  
**Water temperature** = 25 °C  
**Depth of water in basin** = 1.4 m  
**Viscosity of water** $\mu = 0.90 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$  
**Basin width** = 0.84 m  

**Assume 0.15 m minimum baffle spacing at basin entrance.**

**Velocity between baffles**, $V = \frac{Q}{A} = \frac{0.028}{(0.15)(0.84)} = 0.222 \text{ m/sec}$

**Headloss around baffle**, $H = 3.3 \frac{V^2}{2g} = \frac{3.3(0.222)^2}{2 	imes 9.81} = 0.0083 \text{ m}$

**Detention time around baffle**, $T = \frac{L \cdot \text{length of travel}}{V \cdot \text{velocity}} = \frac{2.8}{0.222} = 12.6 \text{ sec}$

**Velocity gradient**, $G = \sqrt{\frac{gH}{\mu T}} = \sqrt{\frac{1(9.81)(0.0083)}{0.90 \times 10^{-6} \times 12.6}} = 84.7 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ (OK)

**Assume 0.46 m maximum baffle spacing at basin exit**

Therefore, $V = \frac{0.028}{(0.46)(0.84)} = 0.0725 \text{ m/sec}$

$T = \frac{2.8}{0.0725} = 38.6 \text{ sec}$

$H = \frac{(3.3)(0.0725)^2}{2(9.81)} = 0.0009 \text{ m}$

$G = \sqrt{\frac{1(9.81)(0.0009)}{(0.90 \times 10^{-6})(38.6)}} = 15.9 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ (OK)

**Total detention time in flocculator basin** = $\frac{\text{Basin volume}}{\text{flow rate}}$

Total $T = (1.4)(0.84)(16.8) = 706 \text{ sec} = 11.8 \text{ min}$ (A bit short)

$L = \frac{(D-W) + W + (D-W)}{2}$ Where $D = \text{depth of water}$

$W = \text{baffle spacing}$

$L = 2D$
Arrange baffle spacing to vary from 0.15 to 0.45 m in 0.075 m increments leaving the last 1.2 m as a transition section into the sedimentation basin (see Figure B.1). Baffles should be removable in order to be able to adjust the spacing for best flocculation. Wood file boards 12 mm thick about 100 to 150 mm wide could be installed into grooves made in the concrete wall. After final adjustment, the use of the baffles could be filleted with grout to prevent solid deposits (a gap should be left in the grouting for drainage poses). Basins should be designed to drain easily.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number &amp; Spacing of Baffles (m)</th>
<th>Velocity in Baffle (m/sec)</th>
<th>Headloss per Baffle (m)</th>
<th>Total Headloss (m)</th>
<th>G*(sec⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 @ 0.15</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 @ 0.23</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 @ 0.30</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 @ 0.38</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 @ 0.46</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total headloss = 0.241 m

Velocity gradient, G at the start of each section.
An orifice plate control is to be designed for a rapid sand filter with the following information:

- Sand media: depth = 0.6 m; E.S. = 0.55 mm; U.C. = 1.5 (see Fig.C.1)
- Gravel underdrain section: 100 mm layer 25-50 mm gravel
  50 mm layer 12-25 mm gravel
  50 mm layer 6-12 mm gravel
  100 mm layer 3-6 mm gravel
  50 mm layer 6-12 mm gravel
  50 mm layer 12-25 mm gravel
  125 mm layer 25-50 mm gravel
- 50 mm P.V.C. laterals with 10 No.12 mm holes/per lateral.
- Average filtration rate = 100 m³/m²d
- Maximum filtration rate required = 150 m³/m²d.
- Area of each filter = 26.8 m²

Calculate Headloss During Filtration

Headloss equation for sand or gravel layers:

\[
\frac{h}{l} = k \frac{V}{g} \frac{(1-E)}{E^3} \left( \frac{6}{\psi} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{(d_i)}
\]

Where \( k = 5 \)

- \( \psi = 0.75 \) (shape factor for angular material)
- \( l \) = depth of sand media or gravel layer
- \( E \) = porosity of sand media or gravel layer = 0.40
- \( \eta = \text{viscosity at } 20^\circ\text{C} = 1.009 \text{ centistoke} \)
- \( V = \text{filtration rate at } 150\% \text{ of design} = 1.74 \text{ mm/sec.} \)
### Sand and Gravel Sieve Analysis Calculations

#### Sand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d (Passing) (mm)</th>
<th>d (Retained) (mm)</th>
<th>Geometric Mean Size</th>
<th>Fraction Between Sieves</th>
<th>$X_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$d_i = \sqrt{d_1 \cdot d_2}$</td>
<td>$X_i$</td>
<td>$\frac{X_i}{d_i}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.680</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\sqrt{1.60} = 1.982
\]

#### Gravel

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Headloss in Sand Media** (depth $l = 0.6$ m)

\[
h/l = \frac{(5)(1.099) \cdot (1.74) \cdot (1-0.40) \cdot (6-0.75)^2}{9810 \cdot (0.40)^3} = 0.638
\]

\[
h = (0.638) \cdot 0.6 = 0.383 \text{ m}
\]

2. **Headloss in Gravel Underdrain**

\[
h/l = \frac{(5)(1.099) \cdot (1.74) \cdot (1-0.40) \cdot (6-0.75)^2}{9810 \cdot (0.40)^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{(d_i)^2}
\]

\[
= (0.322) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{(d_i)^2}
\]
For 3-6 mm gravel layer 100 mm thick
\[ h = 0.1 \times (0.322 \times 0.50) = 0.0161 \text{ m} \]

For 6-12 mm gravel layer 100 mm thick
\[ h = 0.1 \times (0.322 \times 0.012) = 0.0004 \text{ m} \]

For other layers head loss is obviously negligible

Total head loss in sand and gravel layer = 0.383 + 0.017 = 0.40 m

3. Headloss in laterals

No. of holes per lateral = 10 x area of hole = 1.131 cm²

No. of laterals per filter = 64

Total No. of holes = 640

No. of holes per m² = \( \frac{640}{26.8 \text{ m}²} \) = 23.9 holes per m²

q/hole = \( \frac{1.736}{23.9} \) = 0.0726 L/s per hole

Entrance loss @ hole = \[ h = \left( \frac{q}{CA} \right)^{0.6(1.131) \times 10} \]

\[ h = \left( \frac{72.6 \times 10}{0.6(1.131) \times 10} \right)^{0.6} \approx 0.58 \text{ m} \]

Losses in laterals

q/lateral = 0.0726 x 10 = 0.726 = L/s

A, area of 50 mm lateral = 0.00196 m²

V, velocity in lateral = \( \frac{0.726 \times 10^{3}}{0.00196} \) = 0.37 m/s

Headloss in lateral = \( \frac{1}{3} \text{ total headloss over lateral} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ SL} \)

For 50 mm PVC pipe, C = 140, V = 0.37 m/s, S = 3.7/1000

L = 1.83 m

headloss in lateral = \( \frac{1}{3}(0.0037)(1.83) \approx 0.0023 \text{ m} \)

(negligible)
Entrance into manifold:

Entrance loss @ tee @ manifold (see sketch) = \( 0.6 \frac{V^2}{2g} \)

Exit loss into manifold = \( 1.0 \frac{V^2}{2g} \)

Total loss = \( 1.6 \frac{V^2}{2g} \) say \( \frac{2V^2}{2g} \)

\( V = \frac{2g}{A} = 2(0.726 \times 10^{-3}) \cdot 0.00196 \)

= 0.74 m/s @ Tee

Manifold entrance & exit losses = \( \frac{2V^2}{2g} = \frac{(0.74)^2}{9.81} = 0.056 \) m

Headloss along manifold channel is negligible since it is designed to carry backwash flow.

Total headloss in laterals & manifold = 0.056 + 0.056 = 0.114 m

5. Effluent Pipe Losses

\( Q = 150 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \cdot 24 = 1.736 \text{ L/s} \times 26.8 = 46.53 \text{ L/s} \)

Velocity in 225 mm dia pipe = 1.17 m/s \( \frac{V}{2g} = 0.0698 \) m

Velocity in 380 mm dia pipe = 0.41 m/s \( \frac{V}{2g} = 0.0086 \) m

Headloss thru pipe fittings (see sketch):

- 380 mm dia entrance = \( (0.5)(0.0086) = 0.0043 \)
- 380 mm run of tee's (2) = \( (2)(0.6)(0.0086) = 0.0103 \)
- 380 mm to 225mm reducer = \( (0.25)(0.0698) = 0.0175 \)
- 225 mm gate valve = \( (0.20)(0.0698) = 0.0140 \)
- 225 mm medium elbows (2) = \( (2)(0.3)(0.0698) = 0.0419 \)

Total headloss = 0.0043 + 0.0103 + 0.0175 + 0.0140 + 0.0419 = 0.088 m

Piping Schematic

- Headloss in piping (for 225mm dia pipe only; 380 mm dia losses negligible)

\( \theta C = 110, \quad h_F = \frac{8.4 \text{ (7.32 m)}}{1000} = 0.061 \) m

Total piping headloss = 0.088 + 0.061 = 0.149 m

\( C-4 \)
Assume low water level in filter is set at 1.22 m above outlet of pipe at clear water tank (design setting)

Required orifice meter loss = 1.22 m - losses in filter and outlet piping

= 1.22 - (0.40 + 0.14) - 0.149

= 0.557 m say 0.56 m

Overall orifice plate loss 60% of meter headloss (see "Applied Hydraulics", H. Addison P.643)

Total meter loss = 0.56 = 0.93

Area of orifice meter required, \( A = \frac{Q}{C\sqrt{2gh}} = \frac{46.53 \times 10^{-3}}{0.6 \sqrt{2(9.81)(0.93)}} \)

Where \( Q = 46.53 \text{ L/s}, h = 0.93, \ C = 0.6 \)

\( A = 161.5 \text{ cm}^2 \)

Orifice diameter, \( D = \sqrt{4(A/161.5)} = 152 \text{ mm dia.} \)

\( \frac{d \text{ orifice}}{d \text{ pipe}} < 0.8 \)

\( \frac{152}{225} = 0.68 < 0.8 \) therefore OK

Orifice plate thickness = \( \frac{d \text{ pipe}}{50} = \frac{225}{50} = 4.5 \text{ mm} \)

See Fig.C.2 for orifice plate details
7. Calculate Losses under Minimum Flow Condition

Min. flow = 50 m³/m²d = 15.51 L/s

Loss in filter bed & gravel, \( h \propto Q = \frac{15.51}{46.53} \times 0.40 = 0.133 \text{m} \)

Loss in lateral & manifold, \( h \propto Q^2 = \left(\frac{15.51}{46.53}\right)^2 \times 0.114 = 0.013 \text{m} \)

Total loss, filter & underdrain = 0.146 m

Headloss in 225 mm piping (neglect losses in 380 mm dia. pipe): 

\[ V = 0.39 \text{ m/s} \quad \frac{V^2}{2g} = 0.0078 \text{ m} \]

Losses in fittings, \( h = k \frac{V^2}{2g} = 1.05 \times 0.0078 = 0.0082 \text{ m} \)

Losses in pipe = 0.112 × (7.32) = 0.0082 m

Losses in fittings & pipe = 0.0082 + 0.0082 = 0.0164 m

Orifice plate loss @ 15.51 L/s \( (Q/CA \left(\frac{2g}{2g}\right)^3 = \left(\frac{15.51 \times 10^3}{0.6 \times 0.01815 \times 4.43}\right)^3 \times 0.103 = 0.103 \text{ m} \)

Overall headloss over orifice plate = 0.062

Total losses in filter, piping & orifice = 0.146 + 0.016 + 0.062 = 0.224 m

Fig.C.3 is a plot of the above calculated data. It is seen that without orifice plate control, the filtration rate when the filter is clean (at minimum headloss in the media) could reach 370 m³/m²d. By inducing additional headloss, the orifice plate reduces the maximum filtration rate to any desired lower rate.
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FILTRATION RATE VS HEAD

Figure. C3
FILTER PAPER TEST

The filter paper test is to determine the filterability of raw water treated with various doses of metallic coagulant or cationic polymer. This is quite different from the standard jar test to determine clarification.

The filterability depends on the characteristics of the turbidity in the raw water and the coagulant added. In Sri Lanka the coagulants which may be used are locally produced ferric chloride and imported aluminium sulphate. Polymers, while very effective, are also imported and are not very practical for this country because of their high cost.

The first step in this test is to filter the raw water with no coagulant added. This gives a good indication of the size of the turbidity particles. If the turbidity is substantially reduced with filter paper only, then the water is a good candidate for direct filtration. The paper used is Whatman No.40. The results obtained from this paper are comparable to that of a plant filter.

The second step is to dose a series of one litre jars with various dosages of coagulant beginning with very low dosages and working up to higher ones. Mix these with the jar test mixing machine. Add the dose with the machine at maximum rotation. Good, quick coagulant dispersion is essential. Apply the coagulant at a dilution of 1mg/L. For example in a four-jar series the first trial might be 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0mg/L respectively in the jars. After 20 or 30 seconds of maximum rotation it is reduced to about 40 rpm for 2 or 3 more minutes. A sample at each jar then is filtered through the No.40 paper and turbidity read.

An example of a test on Ambalantota water is shown in Fig. D.1. The raw water had a turbidity of 25 NTU but when filtered without coagulant the turbidity was reduced to 5. This indicated that the water was an excellent candidate for direct filtration. Testing was continued with polymer, FeCl₃ and Al₂(SO₄)₃. The results are clear: the polymer is most effective but FeCl₃ is also very good. Alum was the least effective.

Fig. D.2 shows the results of a test at Matara. The results are quite different than those at Ambalantota. In this case FeCl₃ was not very effective while 5 to 6 mg/L of alum gave a satisfactory result. Again the polymer was most effective. Here alum is the preferred coagulant.

It is important that the coagulant dose be relatively low for direct filtration. High dosages of alum or iron coagulant produce large amounts of floc which quickly clog the filter. If more than 10 to 12mg/L is required to obtain destabilisation then it is doubtful that direct filtration is possible.

Once the filter paper test is completed and the results analysed, the next step is to further test with a pilot filter. This would be those cases where there is a good possibility of success with direct filtration. Galle, Matara, Ambalantota, Kandy, Kegalle and Kurunegala are all good candidates.
FILTER PAPER TEST - AMBALANTOTA WATER SUPPLY

Raw Water Turbidity 25 NTU.

- Raw Water
- Filtered through Wharman 40 Paper
- Polymer
- CaF2 Floc T
- FeCl3
- Al (SO)2

Coagulant Dose, mg/L

Turbidity, NTU

Figure D.1
FILTER PAPER TEST
(MATARA WATER SUPPLY)

Figure D.2
ANNEX 3

PREPARATION OF SAND FOR FILTERS

1. Basic Principles:
Natural sands and crushed materials normally used as filter media differ in size and size distribution, in shape, shape variation and in density and chemical composition. Properties of flow through filtering materials and resulting purification are related to the parameters of grain size and size distribution.

2. Grain Size and Size Distribution:
Grain size is commonly determined by sieve separation of a representative sample through calibrated sieves. The sample is shaken through a vertical stack of successively finer sieves, the weights of sand held between sieves are added in sequence and cumulative weights are obtained. After conversion into percentage by weight, a summation curve can be plotted. Plotting of the values on logarithmic probability paper traces an almost straight line (Fig. E.1, E.2). By interpolation, the geometric mean size and variance may then be determined to define the sample. Commonly used parameters are the effective size \( ES = d_{10} \) and uniformity coefficient \( UC = d_{60}/d_{10} \).

3. Preparation of Sand:
Natural sand may be too coarse or too fine for filtration. If filter sand is specified in ES and UC and a sieve analysis of the stock sand has been made, the "too coarse" and "too fine" portions to be wasted from the stock can be determined.

The percentage of usable sand, \( P_u = 2 \left( P_{60} - P_{10} \right) \) where \( P_{60} \) and \( P_{10} \) are the percentages corresponding to \( d_{60} \) and \( d_{10} \).

The percentage of sand below which the stock sand is too fine to use is:
\[
P_f = P_{10} - 0.1 P_u = P_{10} - 0.2 \left( P_{60} - P_{10} \right) = 1.2 P_{10} - 0.2 P_{60}
\]

The percentage of sand above which the stock sand is too coarse to use is:
\[
P_c = P_{60} + 0.4 P_u = P_{60} + 0.4 \times 2 \left( P_{60} - P_{10} \right) = 1.8 P_{60} - 0.8 P_{10}
\]
From the cumulative size frequency curve, the sizes of stock sand corresponding to $P_f$ and $P_c$ are determined ($d_f$ and $d_c$). The sizes below $d_f$ and above $d_c$ will have to be separated out from the stock sand to bring it to the desired specification.

The percentage of sand which is too coarse is screened out and wasted. In practice, it seldom pays to remove or waste more than 10% of fine sand or more than 50% of coarse sand (nor to use sand coarser than 2mm). The percentage of sand which is too fine can be washed out through a grit or sand washer (similar to an upflow settling tank). An example is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Sand</th>
<th>Stock Sand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desired (mm)</td>
<td>Available (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{10}$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{60}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC ($d_{60}/d_{10}$)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of usable sand $P_u = 2(P_{60} - P_{10})$

= 2(48 - 12)

= 72%

% of too fine sand $P_f = 1.2 P_{10} - 0.2 P_{60}$

( below which should be wasted, $d_f$)

= 1.2 x 12 - 0.2 x 48

= 4.8% ($d_f = 0.20$ mm)

% of too coarse sand $P_c = 1.8 P_{60} - 0.8 P_{10}$

( size above which should be wasted $d_c$)

= 1.8 x 48 - 0.8 x 12

= 76.8% ($d_c = 1.40$ mm)

The following formula (by Hazen) for 75% removal of particles by washing relates the washing rate to particle size removed:

$$D (mm) = 0.318 \cdot f \cdot \frac{S}{A}$$

where, $f$ is 3.0 for ordinary boxes.

$S$ = overflow rate (spill) in L/min.

$A$ = box area in m²

or

$$D (mm) = 0.0065 \cdot f \cdot \frac{S}{A}$$

where $S$ in gal/min

$A$ in ft²
4. **Specifications for Filter Sand**

**Characteristics**

- avoid too great a uniformity of small size.
- sand to be hardened resistant quartz or quartzite, free of clay, fine or soft particles.
- ignition loss not greater than 0.7% by weight.
- soluble portion in HCL not greater than 5% by weight.
- silica content not less than 99.8%
- specific gravity - 2.55 to 2.65

As a thumb rule, the limits of size of filter sand may be assumed as:

**Rapid Sand Filter**

- ES = 0.35 - 0.5 mm
- UC = 1.3 - 1.7

Standard British Sieve numbers and sizes between 16 (1.003 mm) and 52 (0.295 mm)

**Slow Sand Filter**

- Preferred
- ES = 0.25 - 0.35 mm
- UC = 2 - 3

- Possible
- (0.15 - 0.35 mm)
- (2 - 5)

Standard British Sieve numbers and sizes between 14 (1.204 mm) and 100 (0.152 mm)
FILTER SAND ANALYSIS

FIGURE E
The underdrain system of gravel layers, laterals and manifold should be designed to ensure even filtration over the entire filter bed. This is achieved by keeping the total resistance in the underdrain system, \( H_u \), to about 25% of the resistance through a clean filter bed, \( H_b \), at its minimum depth of 0.7 m during the design filtration rate \( V \) (filtration rate with one filter out of operation).

\[
H_b = Vd, \quad \text{where} \quad k = \text{permeability coefficient (m/h)} \quad \text{(Darcy's Law)}
\]

The above requirement is that:
\[ H_u < 0.25 H_b \]

The following table shows the allowable under-drain resistances for sands having different values of ES & UC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ES</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>( k^* ) (m/h)</th>
<th>( H_b ) (mm)</th>
<th>( V ) = 0.2 m/h</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( k^* \) values @ 20°C

In other words, the coarser the media and the more non-uniform its size, the larger the laterals and manifold and the smaller the lateral spacing has to be to ensure uniform filtration over the entire bed.

The total under-drain resistance, \( H_u \), is the sum of resistance in gravel layers, \( H_g \), resistance in laterals, \( H_l \), and resistance in manifold, \( H_m \).

a) Resistance in gravel layers (typical)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of layer (mm)</th>
<th>Passing (mm)</th>
<th>Retained (mm)</th>
<th>( k ) (m/h)</th>
<th>0.2 m/h</th>
<th>0.5 m/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>7.700</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>18.800</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Say</td>
<td>1.0 mm</td>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Resistance in laterals \( H_l \)

Pipe friction loss \( = \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) L_{l} \cdot S_{l} \)

Where: \( S_{l} = \text{slope of hydraulic gradient of laterals} \)

\( = 10.2 \cdot Q^{2} \cdot n^{2} \cdot d^{5.33} \) (See Table F.1 for \( 10.2 \cdot n^{2} \cdot d^{5.33} \) factor)

\( L_{l} = \text{length of lateral (m)} \)

\( d = \text{diameter of lateral (m)} \)

\( Q = \text{flow in lateral (m}^3/\text{h}) \)

\( n = \text{roughness coefficient. (0.01 for PVC)} \)
Velocity head = \(2 \left( \frac{V_m^2}{2g} \right) = \frac{V_1^2}{g} \)
where \(V_m\) = velocity in lateral (m/s)
\(g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2\)

Total resistance in lateral, \(H_1 = \frac{1}{3} L_1 S_1 + \frac{V_1^2}{g}\)

c) Resistance in manifold, \(H_m\)

Manifold conduit friction loss = \(\frac{1}{3} L_m S_m\)

Where \(S_m = \) slope of hydraulic gradient of manifold
\(= V_m^2 (n / R_m^{2/3})^2\) (see Table F.2 for \(n / R_m^{2/3}\) factor)
\(V_m = \) velocity manifold (m/s)
\(L_m = \) length of manifold (m)

\(R = \) hydraulic radius of manifold
\(= \frac{\text{area of manifold X-section}}{\text{wetted perimeter}}\)

\(n = \) roughness coefficient, \((n = 0.013 \text{ for concrete})\)

Velocity head = \(2 \left( \frac{V_m^2}{2g} \right) = \frac{V_m^2}{g}\)

Total resistance in manifold \(H_m = \frac{1}{3} L_m S_m + \frac{V_m^2}{g}\)

For preliminary layout of laterals and manifold system, if \(L = \) length of filter bed, use lateral length \(L_1 = \frac{1}{4} L\) and manifold length \(L_m = L\), and check under-drain resistance as shown above.

Example

Assume : \(Q = 336 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} = 14 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 0.0039 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}\)
\(V = 0.1 \text{ m/h (normal)} \quad 0.2 \text{ m/h (maximum)}\)
Filter media ES = 0.25 mm UC = 2

Calculate: length, width and underdrain lateral and manifold sizes

Solution: Total area of filter \(\frac{14}{0.1} = 140 \text{ m}^2\)

No. of filters, \(N = 2\)
Area of each filter, \(A = 70 \text{ m}^2\)
if \(L = \) length of filter
\(W = \frac{L}{2} \frac{N + 1}{N} = \frac{L}{2} \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{4} L\)

\(A = L \cdot \frac{3}{4} L\)
\(70 = \frac{3}{4} L^2\)
\(L = 10 \text{m} \therefore W = \frac{3}{4} (10) = 7 \text{m}\)
a) Check lateral size:

Size of lateral used = 50 mm (PVC Pipe)
Length of lateral = 1/2 W = 3.5 m
Width of lateral drainage = 1.5 m (spacing)
Total no. of laterals = \( \frac{2L}{1.5} = \frac{2(10)}{1.5} = 13.3 \) say 14

\[
Q_1 = \frac{Q}{\text{no. of laterals}} = \frac{0.0039}{14} = 0.0003 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}
\]

\[
V_1 = \frac{Q_1}{\text{Area of 50 mm lateral}} = \frac{0.0003}{0.002} = 0.14 \text{ m/s}
\]

\[
V_1^2/2g = 0.002 \text{ m} = 2 \text{ mm (vel. head loss)}
\]

\[
s_1 = 10.2 \frac{Q_1^2}{n^2/d^5} = 5.33
\]

Using Table F.1

\[
S_1 = (0.0003)^2 (8772) = 0.0008
\]

\[
H_1 = \frac{1}{(3.5)} (0.0008) + 0.002 m = 0.0009 + 0.002
\]

\[
= 0.0029 \text{ say 3 mm}
\]

b) Check manifold size:

Size of manifold used = 150 x 150 mm
Length of manifold = 10 m

\[
\frac{Q_1}{\text{Area of manifold}} = \frac{0.0039}{0.0225} = 0.17 \text{ m/s}
\]

\[
\frac{V_1}{d} = \frac{0.0029}{3} = 3 \text{ mm}
\]

Using Table F.2

\[
S_m = 10.17 + (0.013) = 0.0004
\]

\[
H_m = \frac{1}{(10)} (0.0004) = 0.0029
\]

\[
\text{velocity} = \frac{0.0029}{2.2 \text{ mm}}
\]

c) Total allowable resistance for E S = 0.25 mm and U C. = 2

\[
H_b = 40 \text{ m}
\]

\[
H_u = H_b - H_m = 40 - 0.0029 = 39.9971 \text{ m}
\]

\[
H_u = 40 \text{ m} = 251 \text{ of } 40 \text{ mm, therefore OK}
\]

Hence, 50 mm laterals @ 1.5 m spacing with manifold at centre is good.
Check with longer laterals & side manifold

\[ Q_1 = \frac{0.0039}{7} = 0.0006 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \]

\[ V_1 = 0.28 \text{ m/s} \quad (0.3 \text{ m/s is OK}) \]

\[ V_1^2/8 = 0.008 \text{ m} = 8 \text{ mm} \quad \text{(vel. head loss)} \]

\[ S_1 = (0.0006)^2 \times 8772 = 0.0032 \]

\[ H_1 = (1/3) \times 7 \times (0.0032) + 0.008 \]

\[ = 0.0075 + 0.008 \]

\[ = 0.0155 = 15.5 \text{ mm} \]

\[ H_u = 1.0 + 15.5 + 4.2 \text{ mm} \]

\[ = 19.7 \text{ say } 20 \text{ mm} \geq 25\% \text{ of } 40 \text{ mm} \]

Therefore, 50 mm lateral 7 m long is not good \( \Theta \) 1.5 m spacing.

Calculation Tables

Table - F.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral Diameter, d ( (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>Cross Sectional Area ( (\text{m}^2) )</th>
<th>( 10.2h^2/d^{5.33} )</th>
<th>Value of ( Q_{\text{max}}^* ) ( (\text{m}^3/\text{s}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8.772</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on velocity in lateral \( = 0.3 \text{ m/s} \quad (n = 0.01 \text{ for PVC}) \)

Table - F.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Size (height &amp; width) ( (\text{mm} \times \text{mm}) )</th>
<th>Cross Sectional Area ( (\text{m}^2) )</th>
<th>Hydraulic Radius ( (R) )</th>
<th>( R^{2/3} )</th>
<th>( \left( \frac{n}{R^{2/3}} \right)^2 )</th>
<th>( Q_{\text{max}}^* ) ( (\text{m}^3/\text{s}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 \times 150</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 \times 200</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 \times 250</td>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 \times 300</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 \times 200</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 \times 250</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 \times 300</td>
<td>0.0600</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 \times 250</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 \times 300</td>
<td>0.0750</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 \times 300</td>
<td>0.0900</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 \times 350</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 \times 400</td>
<td>0.1200</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on velocity in manifold \( = 0.3 \text{ m/s} \quad (n = 0.013 \text{ for Concrete}) \)
PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR DOSAGE OF BLEACHING POWDER IN DISINFECTION OF PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES

1. QUANTITY OF BLEACHING POWDER NECESSARY

The Table below gives the Unit Dosage Rate and Unit Quantities of Water so that the user can work out multiples of these quantities to meet individual needs.

A minimum of 0.3 to 1.0 ppm* Residual Chlorine after a contact period of 30 minutes of more, should be the basic criterion an individual water supply scheme should aim at. If a contact period of 30 minutes is not physically feasible under existing situations, a higher rate of dosage may be desirable to safeguard those concerned. Consumers should also be educated to be able to accept a higher chlorine content in their water and to use it for consumption only after a sufficient contact period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Quantity (Flow Rate)</th>
<th>Bleaching Powder** To make a 1% Standard Strength Solution, a dosage of 2 ppm dissolve in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000 gallons</td>
<td>0.08 lb or 36 to 40 gms. (about 2 heaped tablespoonsful)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 gallon or 0.9 litre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 ppm = one part per million or 1 lb Cl₂ per million lb of water

** Quantities calculated on the basis of 25% available chlorine.

Note:

(i) Always use fresh bleaching powder. Preferably within a week from the date the sealed drum is opened. The chlorine content in bleaching powder (strength) drops very rapidly with time. (Fresh bleaching powder contains 25 to 37% chlorine content)

(ii) Quantity of bleaching powder and/or dosage rate of solution will need to be increased according to its
life and strength, controlled by the test results of residual chlorine after 30 minute contact period.

(iii) If there is no equipment to measure the residual chlorine readily available, the dosage rate should be such that there is a slight but distinctly detectable smell of chlorine in the water after the 30 minute contact period.

Equivalents & Conversions

1 heaped tablespoonfull of bleaching powder weighs about 25 gms.
1 heaped cupfull (standard S.L. teacup) weighs about 160 gms.
If a coconut shell scoop is used, standardize its capacity.

1 pound weight = 453.6 gms
1 gallon (Imp.) = 4.546 litres and weighs 10 lbs or 4.546 Kg.
1 cubic metre = 1000 litres
1000 gallons (Imp.) = 4.546 cubic metres

2. HOW BLEACHING POWDER IS ADDED TO WATER SUPPLIES

(i) Drip-feeders are usually used to ensure a regulated dose of chlorine (bleaching powder solution) into water supplies.

(ii) Usually 1-2% solution of available chlorine is used for drip-feeding purposes. Some of the NWS & OB schemes use up to 5% strength, depending on the size of the scheme.

(iii) Estimate the daily requirement of bleaching powder at about 2 ppm dosage rate or more to begin with and dissolve this quantity in water to get a concentrated solution of about 1% in a convenient container.

(For every 0.08 lb. or 40 gm. or 2 heaped tablespoonsfull of bleaching powder, use one fifth of a gallon of water. See Table.) Make sure that the bleaching powder is properly dissolved in water without leaving any lumps of the powder. The initial requirement of chlorine for a system that has not been using chlorine may reach up to 10 times the normal dose but the daily
(iv) Allow this solution to stand for about one hour to let the white lime particles settle down. Decant the slightly milky solution gently into another container or it may be used directly in the drip feeder. If the solution is used after less than an hour of settling further settlement of fine powders can accumulate in the feeder in the form of sludge. To prevent clogging of the feeder, frequent cleaning is advisable.

(v) The choice of the type of feeder will depend on the availability, suitability and convenience of operation for each system (see the examples attached).

(vi) The point of application of the chlorine solution should be such that it will have a chance of getting thoroughly mixed into the water supply and also have a contact time of 30 minutes before it reaches the first consumer. If such a situation is not possible, the consumers concerned should be warned to consume water only after a storage of 30 minutes or more and they should be ready to accept the use of water with higher doses of chlorine.

(vii) The amount of the 1% chlorine solution used should be adjusted to such a rate that there is at least 0.3 ppm residual chlorine at the end of the distribution system. Make sure that there is a slight but distinctly noticeable smell of chlorine at that point if there is no means of measuring the ppm content.

(viii) At the initial stages it may not be possible to achieve this situation even with 10 times increased dosage rates. But this situation will improve if the maximum tolerable rate of dosage is kept up.

(ix) Constant monitoring of effective chlorination by checking the presence of chlorine at the end as well as in all parts of the distribution system is important, because the quality of the water received at the source changes with weather and season. Regular monitoring will also provide information on possible sources of contamination. Adjust the dosage to meet the chlorine demand.

**Warning**

Handle bleaching powder and the 1% chlorine solution with great care.
It irritates sensitive areas of skin. Use rubber gloves where necessary. It is highly corrosive to metals. It will bleach coloured clothing white. Rinse thoroughly with water if affected.

Store bleaching powder in a cool and dry place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate of supply (gallons)</th>
<th>Amount of bleaching powder required in lb or gms.</th>
<th>Amt. of water in gallons to make 1% chlorine solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The construction of this simple type of chlorinator is shown above. The "floating bowl" can be turned out of any suitable non-corrodible material. Satisfactory results can be obtained with:

1) a large wide-mouthed plastic bottle with the bottom removed,
2) a six inch-diameter PVC pipe with one end cemented or welded, or
3) any available bowl with a hole cut for a rubber bung.

The central 3 mm-O.D. tube with a nylon string passing through it serves to position the bowl in the centre of the tank and to avoid the risk of its tipping over. Placing stones in the bowl helps to keep it upright.

While glass tubing, 3 mm and 6 mm outer diameter (O.D.), can be obtained from dealers in medical and laboratory supplies, ball-point pen casings could also serve the purpose.

The two tubes on either side of the central guide-tube can be moved by sliding to a desired height above the rubber bung. The rate of
drip-fed is controlled by the difference in height \(^h\) between the outlet of the 3mm OD tube and the level of the liquid in the tank. The outlet of this tube may be narrowed down, if necessary, to reduce the rate of flow of the solution to convenient levels.

**B. Simple arrangement for feeding chlorine solution**

![Diagram of the chlorine solution feeding arrangement]

The above arrangement is a simple and useful method for dispensing chlorine solution for water supplies. However, the addition of a pinch-cock arrangement or a drip-control device of a type similar to those used in medical transfusions is necessary to control the rate of flow of the solution.

**Construction**

Any suitable container, can or bucket made of plastic or non-corrodible material is satisfactory. Other components should also be of non-corrodible material. While the tube inside the container needs to be very flexible, the outlet tube may be of a sturdier material. Styrofoam, certain packing materials or even certain types of soft wood can be used for the float (certain materials may, however, decompose in a short time).
General

The principal requirement must be a spacious and convenient layout. The structure should represent a pleasing appearance with aesthetic features forming a balance between function and form. The interiors of the structures shall be eye appealing and in keeping with the objectives of the plant viz., production of pure and wholesome water.

While the mode of design and construction could be a matter of individual choice, it should ensure that all materials, construction and fabrication details for different units including doors and relevant windows conform to the specifications and codes of practice wherever available and in their absence, to the established standards.

Adequate provision shall be made in the civil engineering works for laboratory, office buildings, administration area, sanitary facilities, water supply, etc. The area requirement of these ancillary facilities shall be stipulated. Roadways with adequate lighting shall be provided. Adequate ladders or steps and handrails shall be provided where required for easy access to each unit of the treatment plant and wherever necessary, walkways shall be provided. Interconnecting facilities shall be provided to enable the operator to move freely for maintenance and operation of the plant.

All water retaining structures shall be designed in conformity with BS 5337, 1976 or BS 6007, 1987 while the other structures shall be designed according to CP 110, 1972 or BS 6110, 1985.

The tender specifications should include all process requirements and equipment.

A. Process Requirements

1. The following data shall be furnished to the tenderers:
   a) Raw water analyses comprising monthly average figures preferably for a full year period covering seasonal variations in respect of at least the following. If the full year data is not available, the worst seasonal values may be given:
      - pH
      - Turbidity
      - Total alkalinity
      - Total hardness
      - Chlorides
      - Coliform organisms (MPN)
b) Any other additional data, if the water is known to contain constituents or contaminants which are required to be removed.

- Phenols
- Tastes and odours
- Colour
- Carbon dioxide
- Algal content
- Iron
- Manganese
- Hardness (Carbonate and non-carbonate along with magnesium content of water)
- Fluoride content, and
- Chlorine demand.

c) Hydraulic data such as the relevant raw water inlet and filtrate outlet levels.

2. The following requirements shall be furnished:

a) The flow requirements of all plant in terms of the net output expected of the plant for a given period of time, say 23 ½ hours a day (allowing for washing of the filters, etc. and also overload capacity.)

b) The quality of the treated water in terms of pH, turbidity, coliform organisms (MPN) and E. coli; and where needed iron, manganese, hardness (carbonate and non-carbonate along with magnesium content of water) fluoride content and colour.

c) Design parameters for various treatment units such as chemical dosing, rapid mixing, slow mixing, sedimentation, filtration and chlorination as well as special processes like aeration, microstraining, iron and manganese removal, fluoride removal, taste and odour control as per specific local requirements and in accordance with the details furnished in the Manual.

d) A suggested layout of a Water Treatment Works including the following details, to the extent possible.

- Unit sizes and location structures;
- Schematic flow diagram showing flow through various units;
- Piping arrangement including bypasses, showing the material and size of pipes as well as direction of flow;
- Hydraulic profile of the units showing the flow of water;
- Contour map of the area including provision for future expansion;
- Approach roads and water supply facilities for construction purposes.
Other information about the site such as proneness to flooding, groundwater table fluctuations, type and nature of soils met up to maximum anticipated depths, soil characteristics like bearing capacity and corrosivity, intensity and duration of rainfall and total annual rainfall, locations of areas for disposal of excavated spoils and of borrow pits if required for filling purposes.

e) The contract should establish where guarantees apply and clearly define their requirements. Performance guarantees must be demonstrated by a test run of specified length or over an agreed period of operation.

B Mechanical Equipment

1. The following data may be given while inviting tenders for pumping plant:

   a) Number of units required to work in parallel.

   b) Nature of liquid to be pumped:

      - Fresh or salt water
      - Temperature of liquid
      - Specific gravity
      - Amount of suspended matter present.

   c) Required capacity as well as minimum and maximum amount of liquid the pump must deliver.

   d) Suction conditions:

      - Suction lift or suction head
      - Constant or variable suction conditions.

   e) Discharge conditions:

      - Maximum/minimum discharge pressures against which pump has to delivery liquid.

      - Static head description: constant or variable.

      - Friction head description and how estimated.

   f) Type of service: continuous or intermittent.

   g) Pump installation: horizontal or vertical position (if vertical type of pit, wet or dry).

   h) Power available to drive the pump.

   i) Space, weight or transportation limitations.

   j) Location of installation
k) Special requirements with respect to pump design, construction or performance.

2. The following requirements may be indicated:

a) The equipment as well as the components shall conform to the relevant standards and in their absence, to any other accepted international or national standards.

b) Any special duty conditions such as temperature, humidity, corrosive atmosphere should be specified.

c) Submerged structural parts except hot rolled sections shall not be less than 6 mm thick under normal atmospheres and 8 mm in aggressive atmospheres.

d) Prime movers and allied components such as electrical motors, starters, switches, reduction gear, drive mechanisms, bearings, plunger blocks, etc., shall be of approved make.

e) All rotating machinery, particularly gears, shall be designed with adequate safety margins and service factors.

f) An itemwise price list of spare part shall be furnished by the tenderer. At least two years' requirement of fast moving spares should be supplied along with the equipment.

g) The supplier of special equipment like softeners, recording gauges, rate controllers, chlorinators, proportioning chemical feeders, meters, etc., shall furnish the services of a competent representative for a specified number of days during a specified period to instruct the plant operating personnel in the maintenance and care of the equipment and to conduct tests and make recommendations for producing the most efficient results.

h) Equipment selection with respect to specifications, spare units, spare parts and servicing can affect maintenance, operating and investment costs. It is the Board's responsibility to incorporate into the contract all requirements and limitations which affect cost. Equipment performance is usually guaranteed by the manufacturer.

The contractor shall furnish bonds covering items of work like mechanical equipment, piping, etc. for a specified period as a guarantee of satisfactory operation and correction of any defects in the work, material or equipment furnished by them.

On special equipment extended guarantees, maintenance over a period of time and supervision of or complete installation may be provided by the manufacturer. On most large equipment, the manufacturer provides field service with respect to installation.
1) All water-submerged parts, rotating mechanical parts, and steel pipes under water shall be adequately protected after surface preparation. Oil, grease, dirt, soil and all surface contaminants from structural and fabricated steel parts shall be removed by cleaning with solvent, vapour, alkali emulsion or steam. Loose rust or paint, weld pattern, etc., shall be removed by hand chipping, scraping, sanding, wire brushing and grinding, the bare finished shafting, finished flanges and other mechanical surfaces protected by grease line or rust-protection measures. Structural mechanism supports and superstructures, walkways, handrails, fabricated shafts, etc., shall be protected with at least one coat of primer and two coats of paint.