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## INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

At its 67 th (special) session, held November 6 through 11, 1974, in Vientiane, the Mekong Committee approved an integrated approach to schistosomiasis control activities in the Lower Mekong Basin which included three aspects: (1) Technician training and epidemiological surveillance to obtain base-line data; (2) Control measures for early implementation including improvements in the town water supply and latrines, health education, and environmental snail control by engineering improvements to the town shore line; and (3) Testing for molluscicide sensitivity and other studies relating to possible additional control measures including snail control by molluscicides, snail life history studies, and academic training for riparian personnel.

The Committee suggested that part (3) could be performed under a contract with the University of Lowell (formerly, Lowell Technological Institute) with a subcontract to the Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Following established procedures, the relevant contracts were developed. The Work Plan, annexed to the prime Lowell Contract, was approved by the Committee at its 69 th session in New Delhi, February 26 to March 7, 1975. It was the original intent, subject to availability of funds, to continue the contracts on an annual basis up to a total of three years. Events intervened, however, and in December, 1975, an amendment was signed by both contracting parties agreeing to limit the period of the project to a total of 18 months with a termination date of June 27 , 1976. All items in the Work Plan which originally were projected for a three-year period were to be pursued by the contractor, but with a reduction in scope in line with reduced work time.

Under the terms of the Work Plan, the University of Lowell agreed, in part, to undertake the following studies:

I Population Dynamics. Additional base-line data would be collected on year-round aspects of natural snail biology including, if possible, data on the fate of the Mekong hydrobiid snail faunat difficult collecting times (e.g. during periods of high water).

II Life Cycle Studies of L. aperta. An attempt would
be made to complete the life cycle of this snail under controlled laboratory conditions with the aim of providing perennial supplies of the snail for cyclical maintenance of the Mekong Schistosoma.

III Molluscicide Testing. Tests would be carried out on the sensitivity of lithoglyphopsis aperta toward conventional and novel molluscicides. Among the former were included copper sulphate, sodium pentachlorophenate, Bayluscide (Niclosamide), N-tritylmorpholine (Frescon), and yurimin. The latter included the organotin compound tributyltinoxide (TBTO) dispersed in slow-release rubber pellets.

IV Incrimination of Other Mekong Snail Species in the Transmission of Schistosomiasis. Snails sympatric with L, aperta would be tested as potential transmitters.

The present final report incorporates the results of field studies made during the latter part of the dry season of 1975 (May) and the entire collecting season of 1976 (March through May), as well as laboratory studies conducted at the Faculty of Tropical Medicing, Bangkok, Thailand, and the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U. S. A.

The conclusions drawn are based on necessarily incomplete data and must be viewed conservatively.

## FINDINGS

## Population Dynamics of Lithoglyphopsis Aperta

1. L. aperta snails were found and collected without difficulty in Thai areas of the Mekong and Mun Rivers.
2. Two sites near the town of Khemmarat (Ubon Ratchathani Province) supplied all the alpha and gamma snails used in the present study.
3. These sites differed physically only in minor respects, yet produced significantly different numbers of snails.
4. Variation in physical parameters, with the exception of water velocity, may not play an important role in population dynamics.
5. Some fluctuation in chemical components of the water occurred during the period of the study but there is a question whether this was enough to affect distribution of snails.
6. The sudden appearance of large numbers of baby snails in the early part of the year, where a few days before there had been none, suggested that the high water period may be survived in the egg stage.
7. Major elements of the mollusk population sympatric with $\underline{L}$, aperta at the two Khemmarat sites $A$ and $B$ included species of Lacunopsis, Jullienia, Hydrorissoia and Hubendickia. The genera Manningiella and Paraprososthenia, although known to occur in this section of the river, were not represented in the present collections.
8. Minor elements of the mollusk population, as represented by the small numbers collected, included Pachydrobia (Hydrobiidae), Stenothyra (Stenothyridae), Clea (Buccinidae) and small bivalves, chiefly the fresh water mussel, Limnoperna.
9. Female L. aperta were approximately twice as numerous as males during the middle and late periods of the dry season, suggestive of a rapidly maturing population with early male die-off.
10. Site $A$ was more productive of alpha snails and site B was more productive of gamma snails.
11. Site $B$ supported larger absolute numbers of snails than did site $A$.
12. Beta snails, collected only at Ban $H$ in Laht, were found in large numbers at new localities on the Mun River in Thailand.
13. Beta snails were three times more susceptible to infection with miracidia than were gamma, and about 20 times more susceptible than alpha snails.
14. The presence of beta L. aperta in the Mun River may constitute a health threat in Thailand within the framework of future water management schemes (cf., the Pak Mun Dam) where the snails may be associated with an increase in riverine human populations. The "egg" hypothesis was strengthened by the finding of large numbers of eggs of beta L. aperta in the Mun River a week in advance of finding many baby snails, but no adults of this race in the same area.

II Maintenance of Lithoglyphopsis Aperta in the Laboratory
15. The three races were successfully maintained in petri dishes of 9 centimeter diameter, in the presence of silt or sand, fixed diatoms for food, and artificial (F1uorescent) light.
16. An initial high mortality of snails in Petri dishes in June and July coincided with capture and transfer. A second peak of high mortality occurred after the egg laying period in January and February.
17. In Petri dishes, eggs of alpha were laid between September and April, but eggs laid after November failed to hatch. Eggs of beta snails were laid between September and April, but few hatched from eggs laid after December. Gamma snails did not lay eggs in Petri dishes.
18. F alpha snails reached mature size in about 18 weeks, compared with about 20 weeks for beta snails.
19. When snails were reared in round bottles, they were more active and growth was accelerated. However, observations of mortality, egg laying and development were more difficult to make.
20. In nature, alpha and gamma $L$. aperta reached sexual maturity at 6 weeks and full growth at 10 weeks. Under laboratory conditions, the snails needed about twice as much time to reach the same degree of development.

## II Molluscicide Testing

21. Five conventional molluscicides (Bayluscide, copper sulphate, Frescon, sodium pentachlorophenate and Yurimin) and
one slow-release molluscicide (tributyltinoxide) were tested against wild caught alpha and gamma snails in the field laboratory at Khemmarat. LC50 and LC90 values, $95 \%$ confidence limits, and slope functions were calculated.
22. Among the conventional molluscicides, Frescon (Ntritylmorpholine) and Bayluscide (Niclosamide) seemed to be most effective against L. aperta, although Yurimin, NaPCP and copper sulphate also showed reasonable effectiveness.
23. LC values for L. aperta and Oncomelania nosophora (hydrobiid vector of schistosomiasis in Japan) did not differ greatly with Bayluscide, Frescon, or NaPCP, but the LC 50 with Yurimin was much lower for $\underline{L}$. aperta than for o. nosophora.
24. Lethal concentrations of Bayluscide for the aquatic pulmonate vectors (Biomphalaria glabrata and B. pfeifferi were lower than for $\underline{L}$. aperta and $\underline{0}$. nosophora.
25. Lethal concentrations of Frescon for L aperta and B. glabrata were similar.
26. Lethal concentrations of TBTO for alpha and gamma snails decreased as the soaking time of the rubber pellets increased. LC50 and LC90 were reached at day 1 and 3 respectively for alpha snails, and at day 2 for gamma snails.
27. The gamma race snails were slightly more susceptible than alpha snails to the highest concentration of TBTO tested ( 5.12 ppm ). At the lowest concentration tested ( 0.01 ppm ), gamma snails were killed in one half to one third of the time needed to kill alpha snails.

IV Incrimination of Other Snails as Hosts of the Mekong Schistosome
28. Six genera and possibly 12 species of snails sympatric with L. aperta at the Khemmarat sites failed to release cercariae after being suitably exposed to miracidia of the Mekong Schistosoma. These species were thus considered unable to transmit schistosomiasis.
29. Although the attempted incrimination of other hydrobiid species merits continued effort (the above numbers represent only about $13 \%$ of all the hydrobiid species reported from the Lower Mekong Basin) it now appears unlikely that species other than $L$. aperta will prove to be efficient carriers of schistosomiasis.
30. With present information, control efforts at Khong Island should continue to focus on control of L aperta and other snails can probably be ignored.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Security conditions on the Mekong River prevented the exploration of many areas that could eventually prove to be proauctive of schistosome bearing snails. At present, such snails have been studied principally at Khemmarat, Khong Chiam (Ban Dan), and Khong Island in the Mekong River, and at Phibun Mangsahan and Kaeng Ta Tai in the Mun River. In the future, under more normal conditions, expanded efforts to locate and characterize all other L. aperta sites in the Mekong and Mun Rivers should be made.
2. The original plan to study the natural life cycle of L. aperta around the calendar year should eventually be completed, possibly utilizing the help and facilities of the Royal Thai Naval Station at Khemmarat, both as a work site and for protection of equipment.
3. Strong evidence now supports the "egg hypothesis," i.e., that the transmitting $L$, aperta snails survive periods of high water primarily in the egg stage. The evidence is as yet indirect. Direct evidence could be derived from stone trapping throughout the year.
4. Beta $L$. aperta have been found in two sites on the Mun River: Phibun Mangsahan and Kaeng Ta Tai. Predictably, other localities along this large tributary will be found. In view of plans to develop the Mun River at its confluence with the Mekong (Pak Mun Dam), the need to expand the search for this potentially dangerous snail species becomes evident.
5. According to available evidence, l. aperta is found only in the Mekong and Mun Rivers. It is not found in lakes or ponds and could not survive in such locations even if deliberately introduced. This point is, however, based on field observations, not experimental evidence. Since beta L . aperta have now been collected close to the confluence of the Mun and Lam Dom Noi (and by extension, the Sirindhorn Dam) a field experiment should be devised to test the ability of $\underline{\text {. }}$ aperta (of all three races) to survive in enclosed or impounded waters on the Korat Plateau. Such an experiment could be carried out in waters adjacent to the Mun or Mekong Rivers so as to minimize the danger of accidental spread to new areas.
6. Future field work should be designed to make as much use as possible of such non-endemic areas as Khemmarat and Ban Dan in order to minimize risk. At the same time, it is necessary to note that there is no proof that schistosomiasis does not occur in these areas but, only that cases
have not come to light. In view of this gap, it is urged that in depth epidemiological surveys be conducted and that case finding studies be pursued in all areas where biological studies are planned.
7. With regard to use of molluscicides to control snail populations, the sensitivity of $\underline{L}$. aperta to conventional as well as novel molluscicides tends to resemble that for classical transmitters of schistosomiasis (Oncomelania, Biomphalaria, Bulinus). Thus, costs of conventional mollusciciding operations in the Mekong River may not differ from those in similar localities elsewhere in the world.
8. In the river, where there is detectable current, L. aperta will probably be best controlled by the use of TBTO in elastomeric formulations, in the forms of strings or sheets. Rubber pellets maintained adequate levels of chemical under experimental conditions but are likely to be covered by sand or silt in nature. Another possibility may be to paint rocks and embankments with a rubber-base paint containing tributyltinfluoride (TBTF), an approach that has proved successful in marine antifouling operations for barnacles but, field trials will be required in advance of application.
9. Where L. aperta occurs in pockets of quiet water with little current, such as can be found in the main transmission site at Khong Island, conventional molluscicides could be used with appropriate field testing. The chemical of choice would be Bayluscide which is little affected by the high ph and other physico-chemical conditions of the Mekong. Cheaper chemicals may lose effectiveness too quickly; copper sulphate is adversely affected by high pH; sodium pentachlorophenate is quickly destroyed in the presence of direct sunlight. Of course, such considerations could be offset by repeated application of chemical.
10. With regard to other molluscan hosts of the Mekong Schistosoma, none tested has shown signs of being any sort of threat. This includes primarily the sympatric hydrobiids. It would be useful to test the susceptibility of unrelated snails such as the pond pulmonates but, such an experiment would not have a high priority in any list of research projects still to be done.
11. If eradication is envisaged, eggs as well as adults must be killed. There is no evidence that molluscicides are toxic to eggs of $L$. aperta but, such evidence has not been systematically sought (Bayluscide is effective against eggs of Oncomelania, Biomphalaria, and Bulinus). Since egg laying may be a fairly continuous process, reaching a peak at the end of the dry season (there is a suggestion of two generations during each low water period), applications of chemical would
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have to begin early and continue late. It will not be possible to achieve quick eradication of $L$. aperta in any focus. Criteria of effectiveness will have to be sought by snail surveys in the following season.
12. With regard to host parasite relations, it is recommended to initiate a study on the effects of miracidial numbers at the time of infection on cercarial output of the snail. This should be done varying such parameters as salinity, pH, and turbidity.

Population Dynamics of Lithoglyphopsis Aperta
A temporary snail laboratory was established in March, 1975 in a rented house in Khemmarat, Ubon Ratchathani Province, Thailand, on the right bank of the Mekong River. Good collecting sites for transmitting and other types of snails were to be found at short distances to the east (Thai side) and the west (Lao side). Field staff took up residence in the house in April, 1975 and rented a boat and the services of its boatman on a full-time basis.

Collections of alpha race $L$. aperta were made from islands in the middle of the river, 4 km . east of Khemmarat, at aite called Bang Koey, (also named site A in this report).

In previous years, large collections of gamma race $\underline{L}$. aperta had been made close to the left bank shore (Lao side) of the Mekong about 5 km . west of Khemmarat. In 1975 , visits to this side were risky and in 1976 , the area could not be visited at all. An alternative gamma site was sought and eventually found near the town of ban Khi Lek on the Thai side of the river, about 5 km . east of Khemmarat. This site, called Bung Kong, is also named site $B$ in the present report. Sites A and $B$ are identified in the map, Figure 1 .

Collections were brought to the field laboratory and established in aerated aquariums. Only the L aperta sites were sampled and only species sympatric with L. aperta are considered in this report. For example, the sand dwelling Stenothyra which predominate in most areas in the Mekong River are not truly sympatric with $L$. aperta and the small numbers recovered in this study must have been accidental. The genus Manningiella, found elsewhere near Khemmarat, was not collected at either of the two sites mentioned above.

## 1. Description of the Collecting Sites

Site A. A series of temporary islands in the Mekong River, east of Khemmarat, called Bang Koey (see map, Figure l). Snails were found on stones in $60-130 \mathrm{~cm} d e p t h$. Fluctuations in water level are shown in Table l. Stones measuring between approximately 400 and 1,000 square centimeters in surface area were brought up and all snails collected. Early in March (March 4) the stones bore clusters of snail eggs and filamentous green algae as well as snails. All surfaces of the stones were found to support snail populations but, Lithoglyphopsis aperta were found only on the under surfaces. Snail eggs were also deposited on all surfaces. The eggs were of different sizes and were deposited singly in the clusters that are typical of aquatic Hydrobiidae. Each egg was protected by a capsule composed of silt particles. Colors of the various types of eggs ranged from black and gray


Fig. 1.--Collecting sites $A$ and $B$ in the Mekong River between Khemmarat and Ban Khi Lek.
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Table 1 Fluctuations in water depth between March 4
and May 13, 1976, at collecting sites A
(Bang Koey) and $B$ (Bung Kong) in the Mekong
River (in centimeters).

| Week | SiteA | Site B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $60-70$ |  |
| 2 |  | $70-90$ |
| 3 | $60-70$ | $60-80$ |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 | $60-70$ | $60-70$ |
| 7 | $70-90$ | $80-80$ |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 | $70-130$ |  |

to reddish brown and yellow. Laboratory observations later determined which of these various types of eggs had been laid by L. aperta (see below) but no attempt was made to determine the specific origin of all egg types.

During the 5 th week (April 1), the water level at collecting site A subsided by half a foot. During the 7 th week (April 15), the level rose again by about 4 inches. At this time, there seemed to be a reduction in the quantity of filamentous green algae at the collecting site. Sand appeared at the edges of the small islands. The stones then appeared clean, although a few still bore traces of algae. Most snails were found clustering beneath the stones at this time.

Site B. Near the right bank of the Mekong River at Ban Khi lek, a series of islets emergent during low water periods and connecting obliquely with the shore, called Bung Kong (see map, Figure l). Stones under water supported a luxuriant growth of filamentous green algae. During the 2nd week (March 11), snail eggs of various sizes and colors were discovered deposited on the undersurfaces of stones. By the 4 th week (March 25), the water level had come down 3 inches. Stones thus exposed were then covered with thick mats of dried algae. Underwater, snails were found crawling on stone surfaces beneath the layers of green algae. As the water level fell with the advancing dry season, more and more stones near the edge of the water were left dry with drying mats of algae covering them. During the 8 th week (April 22), the water level rose 4 inches, carrying away much of the dead algae. At this time, most snails were found on the sides and upper surfaces of still submerged stones; the undersurfaces of the stones were black and muddy.

Differences between sites $A$ and $B$. In spite of their relative proximity, separated by only about 1 kilometer on the same river, sites $A$ and $B$ differed from each other with respect to water depth (Table 1, Figure 2), water velocity (Table 2), total mollusk populations (Tables 3, 4 and Figure 3), and relative abundance of alpha and gamma race $\underline{\text { L }}$. aperta.

Site $B$ was generally more productive of mollusks, particularly hydrobiids, than site $A$, although initial collections at site $B$ were somewhat smaller than site A. Given the method of collecting with its capacity for human error, the fact that the sizes of the weekly collections remained well with a degree of magnitude of each other attests to the stability of the populations sampled and suggests that no catastrophe occurred to these snails during the periods of field study that might have influenced the interpretation of results.


Fig. 2.--Fluctuations in water depth from March 4 to May 13 , 1976 , at collecting sites $A$ and $B$ in the Mekong River (in centimeters).
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Table 2 Water velocity in the Mekong River at sites A (Bang Koey) and B (Bung Kong) in 1976, in feet per second.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } A^{(1)} \\ & \text { Surface } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } B^{(2)} \\ & \text { Surface } \end{aligned}$ | Bottom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-11 | - | 4.57 | 0.91 |
| 3-18 | 2.00 | - | - |
| 3-25 | 2.08 | 4.39 | 0.73 |
| 4-1 | 2.08 | - | - |
| 4-8 | - | 1.30 | 0.71 |
| 4-15 | 2.50 | - | - |
| 4-22 | - | 1.41 | 0.61 |
| 4-29 | 2.56 | - | - |
| 5-6 | - | 1.46 | 1.06 |
| 5-13 | 2.78 | - | - |

(1)

Measured by calculating the time necessary for a plastic float to move 10 feet downstream.
(2)

Measured by a Price type AA Current Meter (Arline Precision Instruments, Inc., Baltimore) calibrated by the U. S. Bureau of Standards according to the formula: $V=2.218 N+0.022$, where $N=$ revolutions of wheel per second and $V=$ velocity in feet per second.

| Groups | Date: <br> No. of Weeks: <br> Snails | $\begin{gathered} 3-4-76 \\ \text { 1st week } \end{gathered}$ |  | $3-18-76$3rd week |  | $\begin{gathered} 4-1-76 \\ 5 \text { th week } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4-15-76 \\ 7 \mathrm{th} \text { week } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4-29-76 \\ 9 \mathrm{th} \text { week } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5-13-76 \\ \text { IIth week } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| A. Predominant hydrobiids | Hydrorissoia <br> Hubendickia <br> Lacunopsis <br> L.aperta-alpha <br> L.aperta-gamma | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 276 \\ 1,382 \\ 647 \\ 640 \\ 5 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.58 \\ 42.96 \\ 20.11 \\ 19.89 \\ 0.16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ 41 \\ 440 \\ 640 \\ 107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.90 \\ 2.78 \\ 29.87 \\ 43.45 \\ 7.26 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 228 \\ 315 \\ 1,331 \\ 86 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.78 \\ 10.59 \\ 14.63 \\ 61.82 \\ 4.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 278 \\ 419 \\ 1,776 \\ 165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.43 \\ 10.08 \\ 15.19 \\ 64.37 \\ 5.98 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 649 \\ 236 \\ 2,073 \\ 312 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.18 \\ 19.20 \\ 6.98 \\ 61.33 \\ 9.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1,935 \\ 71 \\ 568 \\ 237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ 67.28 \\ 2.47 \\ 19.75 \\ 8.24 \end{array}$ |
| B. Other hydrobiids | Jullienia <br> Pachydrobia | $\begin{array}{r} 216 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.72 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 22 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \\ & 0.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 1.11 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Other mollusks | Stenothyra <br> clea <br> Bivalves | 47 0 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 2 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 1.36 \end{aligned}$ | 61 1 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.83 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 2 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.25 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.36 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | totals | 3,217 | 100.00 | 1,473 | 100.00 | 2,153 | 100.00 | 2,759 | 100.00 | 3,380 | 100.002 | 2,876 | 100.00 |


| Groups | Date: <br> No. of Weeks : <br> Snails | $3-11-76$ <br> 2nd week |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3-25-76 \\ 4 \text { th week } \end{array}$ |  | $4-8-76$ <br> 6 th week |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4-22-76 \\ 8 \mathrm{th} \text { week } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5-6-76 \\ 10 \text { th week } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| A. Predominant hydrobiids | Hydrorissoia <br> Hubendickia <br> Lacunopsis <br> L. aperta-alpha <br> L. aperta-gamma | $1,289$ <br> 793 <br> 242 <br> 166 | $\begin{array}{r} 48.97 \\ 0.04 \\ 30.13 \\ 9.20 \\ 6.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 785 \\ & 261 \\ & 710 \\ & 338 \\ & 411 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 732 \\ 226 \\ 1,122 \\ 237 \\ 639 \end{array}$ | 22.00 <br> 6.79 <br> 33.71 <br> 7.12 <br> 19.20 | $\begin{array}{r} 212 \\ 11 \\ 1,498 \\ 93 \\ 1,381 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.27 \\ 0.33 \\ 44.33 \\ 2.75 \\ 40.87 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.70 \\ 1.40 \\ 14.23 \\ 1.51 \\ 80.21 \end{array}$ |
| B. Other hydrobiids | Jullienia Pachydrobia | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | 5 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 306 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 9.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | 0 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 1.59 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Other mollusks | Stenothyra <br> Clea <br> Bivalves | 38 0 93 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.44 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 3.53 \end{aligned}$ | 4 0 57 | 0.16 0.00 2.21 | 26 1 39 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.78 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 1.17 \end{aligned}$ | 24 0 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | 11 0 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | TOTALS | 2,632 | 100.00 | 2,577 | 100.00 | 3,328 | 100.00 | 3,379 | 100.00 | 5,017 | 100.00 |



Fig. 3.--Total numbers of mollusks collected at sites $A$ and $B$ in the Mekong River from March 4 to May 13, 1976.

## 2. Time of Collections and Field Work

Field work commenced in April, 1975 when funds became available and continued for 4 weeks to the beginning of June. At this time, the work was forcibly interrupted by high water and bad collecting conditions.

In 1976, the field laboratory at Khemmarat was reestablished in February, and collections began again the first week in March when water levels had subsided sufficiently to permit hand collecting. At this time, problems of security dictated a change in collecting methods. Fishermen were hired to collect stones from the Bang Koey site, replacing the two technicians who had done this work in 1975. Each rock was placed in a separate bucket and was brought to the temporary laboratory in Khemmarat for separation and identification. Molluscicide studies were done in the laboratory. Work continued for a period of 12 weeks and was suspended after the third week of May because of the early onset of the wet season and the rising water.

## 3. Physical and Chemical Parameters of the Water

Physical and chemical parameters were observed and recorded regularly during the period of study. Normally, these were conducted weekly when the field work was in progress. At other times they were done monthly.

## Physical Conditions

1. Temperature. Air and water temperatures were recorded at 10 A.M. on the days that water analyses were conducted (Table 5). The air temperature usually was between $26^{\circ}-34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, in summer the air temperature often reached $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In 1976 , there was an abnormal cold spell in March when the cemperature dropped to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unfortunately, records of air and water temperature during the past few years were not available for comparison but, in general, air temperatures in this region rarely drop below $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The water temperatures were measured at 5 cm . below the surface. During the study period, water temperatures ranged between $24^{\circ}-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature dropped to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ one week in March, 1976 (Table 5).
2. Turbidity. In dry season the water in the river was low and clear. It gradually became turbid as the rain started to fall. From June, in association with the heavy rains, the current was fast and the turbidity rose to $300-400$ F.T.U. (Table 5). At this time, the water was rising rapidly and, despite many attempts, snails could no longer be collected.

| Location | Date | Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | pH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Turbidity } \\ \text { (F.T.U.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Dis } 0 \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}\right\}$ | mg/liter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Hardness (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Water | Air |  |  |  | Mg | Ca | $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Ca}$ | Cu | NaCl | Cl | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ |  |
| Khemmarat | 12-3-75 | - | - | 8.40 | 10 | 7.0 | 20 | 70 | 1:3.5 | 0.00 | 23.10 | 14.00 | 13 | 19.74 | 90 |
| Khemmarat | 11-4-75 | 32.0 | 36.0 | 8.36 | 18 | 7.0 | 25 | 75 | $1: 3.0$ | 0.02 | 18.15 | 11.00 | 15 | 15.51 | 100 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 12-4-75 | 36.8 | 38.0 | 8.37 | 8 | 7.5 | 25 | 73 | 1:2.9 | 0.00 | 20.63 | 12.50 | 9 | 17.63 | 98 |
| Bang Koey | 14-4-75 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 8.20 | 5 | 6.0 | 25 | 80 | 1:3.2 | 0.00 | 21.45 | 13.00 | 13 | 18.33 | 105 |
| Bang Koey | 21-4-75 | 31.0 | 34.8 | 8.39 | 5 | 7.0 | 25 | 75 | 1:3.0 | 0.00 | 18.15 | 11.00 | 8 | 15.51 | 100 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 22-4-75 | 30.5 | 33.0 | 8.48 | 6 | 7.5 | 22 | 80 | 1:3.1 | 0.00 | 18.98 | 11.50 | 15 | 16.22 | 102 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 7-5-75 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 8.30 | 105 | 6.5 | 23 | 75 | 1:3.3 | 0.00 | 18.15 | 11.00 | 6 | 15.51 | 98 |
| Bang Koey | 5-5-75 | 34.5 | 34.0 | 8.48 | 2 | 7.0 | 21 | 80 | 1:3.8 | 0.00 | 20.63 | 12.50 | 4 | 17.63 | 101 |
| Bang Koey | 19-5-75 | 29.8 | 30.5 | 8.35 | 25 | 7.0 | 25 | 70 | 1:2.8 | 0.00 | 18.98 | 11.50 | 12 | 16.22 | 95 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 21-5-75 | 30.0 | 31.5 | 8.30 | 42 | 7.0 | 25 | 65 | 1:2.6 | 0.00 | 16.50 | 10.00 | 9 | 14.10 | 90 |
| Hauy Na Wang | 2-6-75 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 8.00 | 59 | 4.0 | 15 | 55 | 1:3.6 | 0.00 | 17.33 | 10.50 | 10 | 14.81 | 70 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 2-6-75 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 8.50 | 15 | 7.5 | 7 | 28 | 1:4.0 | 0.00 | 3.30 | 2.00 | 3 | 2.80 | 35 |
| Khemmarat | 12-6-75 | 28.2 | 26.0 | 8.00 | 130 | 6.0 | 12 | 48 | 1:4.0 | 0.00 | 9.08 | 5.50 | 17 | 7.76 | 60 |
| Khemmarat | 29-7-75 | 26.8 | 26.6 | 8.40 | 300 | 6.0 | 15 | 55 | 1:3.7 | 0.00 | 6.60 | 4.00 | 2 | 5.64 | 70 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 29-7-75 | 28.0 | 28.5 | 9.55 | 430 | 6.0 | 18 | 50 | $1: 2.8$ | 0.00 | 7.43 | 4.50 | 0 | 6.34 | 68 |
| Ban Khi Lek | 2-9-75 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 8.10 | 130 | 5.5 | 15 | 35 | 1:2.4 | 0.00 | 6.60 | 4.00 | 0 | 5.64 | 50 |
| Bung Kong | 3-9-75 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 8.00 | 128 | 4.5 | 12 | 38 | 1:3.1 | 0.00 | 6.27 | 3.75 | 0 | 5.36 | 50 |
| Khemmarat | 3-9-75 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 8.20 | 135 | 4.0 | 14 | 36 | 1:2.3 | 0.00 | 4.95 | 3.00 | 2 | 4.23 | 50 |
| Khemmarat | 1-10-75 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 8.50 | 200 | 6.0 | 13 | 40 | $1: 3.1$ | 0.00 | 4.95 | 3.00 | 2.5 | 4.23 | 53 |

Table 5 (Continued)

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ |  |
|  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllll} \infty & m & n & n & 0 & 0 & n & \infty & M & 0 & 0 & n \\ \sim \end{array}\right)$ |
|  | 000000000000 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | $n n 0 n n n n 00 n 0000$ <br>  |
|  |  |
| 증 |  |
| 0. | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} \mathfrak{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n \\ \dot{N} & \dot{N} & \dot{N} & \dot{\sim} & \dot{\sim} & \dot{N} & \dot{\sim} & \dot{N} & \dot{N} & \dot{\sim} & \dot{N} & \dot{0} & \dot{N} \\ \sim \end{array}$ |
|  |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |

Diurnal changes in temperature and water turbidity were measured from May to October 1975 (Table 6). There was little outstanding variation. The temperature at night was a few degrees lower than during the day.

The data collected are only suggestive since the time of study was short. However, it appears that variation in physical parameters with the exception of water velocity and level may not play an important role in snail population dynamics.

## Chemical Factors

Determination of chemical components of the water in the L. aperta sites was conducted by a Hach Portable Water Kit (Hach Chemical Company, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.). Analysis was made of the chemical components of ecological significance including magnesium, calcium, copper, sodium chloride, sulphate, calcium carbonate, $p H$ and total hardness (Table 5).

Analyses with the Hach Kit were not highly accurate because the kit is only for rough field determinations. The data are presented with this reservation.

Water analysis during the study months showed some seasonal fluctuation in the chemical components especially during the low and high water periods (Table 5). However, the fluctuation apparently was not so great as to play a role in the ecology of the snails. For example, the salinity in the dry season was around $18-25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{litre} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and around 3-7 mg/litre NaCl in the rainy season. Though the fluctuation of salinity seems to coincide with the hatching and development of baby snails, it is doubtful that these small changes in salinity could be a significant factor in snail population dynamics.

Analysis of the mineral ions at sites $A$ and $B$ had shown that river water contained sufficient amounts of such minerals as are generally recognized as necessary components of snail growth. Calcium, for example, normally required for the formation of shell, was present at the level of $28-80$ mg/litre.

Observations of pH and total hardness of the river water showed that the river water was regularly alkaline and soft. At all times of the year the $p H$ was never below 7 and the total hardness was never above 110 ppm (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ).

Other studies have shown that $p H$ and water hardness influence the performance of molluscicides or other pesticides (Harrison, 1960; WHO, 1965). For example, hard water with

Table 6 Diurnal variation of temperature, turbidity and phof water in Mekong River during May through October, 1975.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time of } \\ \text { Determination } \end{gathered}$ | Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Turbidity(F.T.U.) | pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Air | Water |  |  |
| May 21, 1975 | 6 A.M. | 26.0 | 29.0 | N. D. | N. D . |
|  | 10 A.M. | 31.1 | 30.0 | 42 | 8.3 |
|  | 12 P.M. | 27.0 | 30.0 | N. D. | N. D. |
| June 4, 1975 | 6 A.M. | 24.8 | 28.5 | N. D. | N. D . |
|  | 10 A.M. | 28.8 | 28.0 | 15 | 8.5 |
|  | 12 P.M. | 26.0 | 29.0 | N. D. | N. D . |
| June 11, 1975 | 6 A.M. | 25.8 | 28.2 | N. D. | 8.5 |
|  | $10 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. | 26.0 | 28.2 | 130 | 8.4 |
|  | 12 P.M. | 26.2 | 28.5 | N. D. | 8.0 |
| September 2, 1975 | 6 A.M. | 24.8 | 26.0 | 130 | 8.2 |
|  | 10 A.M. | 31.0 | 28.5 | 130 | 8.1 |
|  | 12 P.M. | 24.5 | 26.0 | 160 | 8.15 |
| October 1, 1975 | 6 A.M. | 24.0 | 28.0 | 200 | 8.5 |
|  | 10 A.M. | 25.5 | 25.5 | 200 | 8.5 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{M}$. | 24.5 | 28.8 | 190 | 8.4 |

F.T.U. = Formazin Turbidity Units.
a pH above 7 decreases the solubility of copper sulphate. In contrast, the solubility of Bayluscide (niclosamide) increases in alkaline water and sharply falls off if the pH is below 7. The requirement of hard water for Bayluscide is considered to be a serious disadvantage in snail control in Africa (Meyling et al., 1962). Since longitudinal observations on water chemistry of the $\underline{\text { L }}$. aperta habitats revealed no great difference in the pH and total hardness, these data obtained could be used as a guide line for snail control in future.

## 4. Fate of the Snails During High Water Periods

During the period of high water, no hydrobiids and few mollusks of any kind could be collected in the Mekong River because of dangerous conditions. In order to complete a picture of the natural life cycle of the schistosome transmitting snails, some explanation of their fate during the high water period is needed. In this connection the following facts are pertinent:

In the early part of the dry seasons of 1975 and 1976 (in January or February), rocks collected at known snail sites were covered with typical hydrobiid eggs but, adults, with the exception of Hubendickia and a few Lacunopsis, were rare or absent. This was true in all parts of the Mekong and Mun Rivers that were explored. A week or so later (in early March), rocks in the same areas, increasingly exposed to the surface by dropping water levels, were found to be teeming with snails. These sudden, large populations could not have been "missed" by collectors during the previous weeks. The snails were newcomers and were, moreover, always all juveniles.

During the previous season, it had been difficult to collect adult snails in late May or early June, a few weeks after the water level began to rise, although many eggs were present. It could be postulated that rising water killed snails which could not move vertically in order to stay in their preferred niche. We found, however, that Lithoglyphopsis aperta could be collected at Khong Island in 2 or 3 meters in mid-June (1973, 1974) and the specimens seemed to be healthy and survived transfer to the laboratory. seemingly, these snails would have been swept away by increasingly rapid current and this was undoubtedly the fate of some. On the other hand, experimental studies showed that snails underwent a significant die-off after laying eggs in the laboratory, where the environmental stress was conspicuously absent. It is assumed that the annual egg-laying and the annual die-off were associated phenomena and there is no reason to thing that this was not as true under natural conditions as in the laboratory.
5. Species of Mollusks Collected During the Study

Species sympatric with Lithoglyphopsis aperta at sites A and B included species of Lacunopsis, Jullienia, Hydrorissoia and Hubendickia. There were also small numbers of Pachydrobia, Stenothyra (Stenothyridae), Clea (Buccinidae) and small bivalves, chiefly Corbicula and Limnoperna.

The largest absolute numbers of mollusks found at any one collecting period occurred at site $B$. The bulk of these (during the last two collecting weeks) were L. aperta, gamma race ( $40.87 \%$ of the entire collection during the $8 t h$ week and $80.21 \%$ during the 10 th week).

The various snails are discussed by genus below:
Hydrorissoia. Very large numbers of primarily H. elongata were collected at site $B$ in week 2 (Figure 4). Populations dwindled steadily during the entire collecting period at both sites. Hydrorissoia regularly formed a larger percentage of the total bulk of mollusks collected at site $B$ (where they were dominant early in the season) than at site $A$ (where they did not appear to be dominant). This genus is attractive to miracidia of the Mekong Schistosoma, according to the Smithsonian Institution (1974).

Hubendickia. The collections included $\underline{H}$. siamensis and $H$. tuberculata. Large numbers were taken at site A early and late in the collecting season, suggesting that two generations had occurred, with relatively rapid maturation of intervening stages (Figure 5). Relatively reduced numbers were found at site $B$. According to Brandt (1974), species of this genus were not accepted by miracidia of the Mekong Schistosoma; however, the Smithsonian report (1974) states that infection of Hubendickia was experimentally observed although no cercariae were produced.

Lacunopsis. Collections at the Khemmarat sites included L . conica, L. coronata, L. Fischerpiettei, L. globosa, $\bar{L}$. massiei and L. sphaerica. Numbers remained elevated and relatively constant at site $A$, with the appearance of a small peak during week 4, (Figure 6). At site B, smaller absolute numbers were collected, dwindiing notably by week ll. Lacunopsis formed a major protion of the hydrobiid populations at both sites throughout most of the 11 week collecting period. They cannot transmit the schistosome cycle (see below).

Lithoglyphopsis aperta, alpha race. This is the large, globose form originally described by Temcharoen (1971) as L. aperta. In March, this snail comprised approximately


Fig. 4.--Numbers of Hydrorissoia collected at
two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.


Fig. 5.--Numbers of Hubendickia collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976 .


Fig. 6.--Numbers of Lacunopsis collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.
$20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of total collections at site A. By the 7 th week (April 15), they constituted $64.37 \%$ of all snails at site A but, thereafter, declined in numbers (Figure 7). The data was not sufficient to know if there were two generations of snails during the collecting season. At site B only small numbers of alpha were collected. They reached a small peak in the 4 th week (March 25), whereas at site A, a distinct peak was not reached until the $9 t h$ week (April 29).

The alpha race is moderately susceptible to the Mekong Schistosoma (see below) and is capable of carrying the cycle through to completion.

Lithoglyphopsis aperta, gamma race. Site A supported a relatively reduced population. Two distinct, but small, peaks were seen in numbers, the first in the 3rd week (March 18) and the second in the $9 t h$ week (April 29), (Figure 8). It is believed that this was evidence of two generations during the one dry season. At site B, numbers of gamma increased steadily until they comprised more than $80 \%$ of the total collection in the 11 th week (May 13). Gamma race L. aperta are the actual transmitters of human schistosomiasis at Khong Island, about 200 km further south. Growth of gamma was slow compared with alpha; throughout the collecting period, the ratio of young (smaller than 2 mm ) to old (larger than 2 mm ) shells remained in the vicinity of 2:1. Site $B$ is considered a "gamma" site, supporting very large numbers of gamma by the middle of May, both with relation to the numbers of sympatric alpha (Table 7) and to the total mollusk population (Tables $3 \& 4$ ).

Jullienia. Members of this genus were collected at site A in large numbers only during the list week (March 4), (Figure 9). Thereafter, numbers declined markedly and may have represented accidental introduction of individuals from other nearby niches. Only occasional specimens were collected at site $B$, and none after the 6 th week (April 8). Brandt (1974) reported that three species of Jullienia (J. harmandi, J. nucula, and J. rolfbrandti) were not attractive to miracidia of the Mekong schistosome. This genus, then, does not appear to have any biomedical importance in the Mekong River.

Pachydrobia. Only insignificant numbers of Pachydrobia were collected at site A during 1976. At site $B$, a small increase in population was noted during the 6 th and $8 t h$ weeks (April 8 to 22), (Figure 10). This genus is attractive to miracidia of the Mekong Schistosoma. Lo et al. (1971) reported that sporocysts developed beyond 24 hours in $\frac{p}{}$. bavayi from Khong Island, although cercariae were not produced. Pachydrobia appears to be a good decoy snail for miracidia.


Fig. 7.--Numbers of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, alpha race, collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.


Fig. 8. - - Numbers of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, gamma
race, collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.

Table 7 Ratio of alpha and gamma snails of all sizes collected at sites $A \& B$ on the Mekong River during 11 weeks in 1976.

Site A (considered an "alpha" site)

| Date | Alpha | \% | Gamma | \% | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-4 | 640 | 99.2 | 5 | 0.3 | 645 |
| 3-18 | 640 | 85.7 | 107 | 14.3 | 747 |
| 4-1 | 1,338 | 94.0 | 86 | 6.0 | 1,424 |
| 4-15 | 1,776 | 91.5 | 165 | 8.5 | 1,941 |
| 4-29 | 2,073 | 86.6 | 321 | 13.4 | 2,394 |
| 5-13 | 568 | 70.6 | 237 | 29.4 | 805 |

Site B (considered a "gamma" site)

| Date | Alpha | $\%$ |  | Gamma |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3-11$ | 242 | 59.3 |  | 166 |  | 40.7 |
| $3-25$ | 338 | 45.1 |  | 411 | 54.9 | 408 |
| $4-8$ | 237 | 27.1 |  | 639 | 72.9 | 749 |
| $4-22$ | 93 | 6.3 | 1,381 | 93.7 | 1,474 |  |
| $5-6$ | 76 | 1.9 | 4,024 | 98.1 | 4,100 |  |



Fig. 9.--Numbers of Jullienia collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.


Fig. 10.--Numbers of Pachydrobia collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.

Stenothyra. These ubiquitous snails appear to be accidental in the $\underline{\text { L }}$ aperta habitat, although they are dominant in sandy areas, (Figure ll). They are not attractive to miracidia and do not seem to have any biomedical importance.

Clea. C. jullieni is most commonly found on muddy sand. It was rarely encountered at sites $A$ and $B$ which were characterized by large numbers of stones. This is a carnivorous snail which possibly hunts for hydrobiids away from its natural areas. It has no known biomedical importance.

Bivalves. The Asiatic clam, Corbicula, and the freshwater mussel, Limnoperna, were encountered at both sites but, more frequently at site B, (Figure 12). Neither is known to have any biomedical importance.

## 6. Sex Ratio of L. Aperta

Females of alpha and gamma L. aperta tended to be somewhat more numerous than males (Tables $8,9,10 \& 11$ ), although the ratio was reversed for alpha L aperta at site B during three successive days in June, 1975 (Table 10 ). In general, an even sex ratio is characteristic of a young mollusk population; females become more numerous as the population ages, males tending to die early (Fretter and Graham, 1964). Another possibility, that of sex reversal in older l. aperta populations with males absorbing the verge and developing functional ovaries, has not been observed in culture.
7. Ratio of "alpha" to "gamma" L. Aperta

Site A was considered to be an "alpha" locality and site B a "gamma" locality for making field collections.

In the case of alpha snails, site A produced only "small" snails early in March (week 1) and eventually (by week 9) large numbers of predominately "large" snails (Table 12, Figures $13 \& 15$. Gamma snails, on the other hand, were collected at site $A$ in relatively reduced numbers throughout the collecting period and "large" specimens were taken (in very small numbers) only during and after the 7 th week (Table 12 , Figures 14 \& 15).

Site $B$ was initially productive of a few alpha snails but, numbers fell off rapidly during the 8 th and loth weeks when the few recovered were mostly "large" (Table 12, Figures $13 \& 16$ ). Gamma snails, however, were steadily present at site B, although all were "small" specimens during the $2 n d$ and $4 t h$ weeks, and the percentage of "small" snails remained high throughout the season, the population showing an explosive increase in absolute numbers during the $8 t h$ and 10 th weeks (Table 12, Figures $14 \& 16$ ).

```
a = A (BANG KOEY)
\odot = B (BUNG KONG)
WEEK / SEMAINE I = MARCH / MAR 4
WEEK SEMAINE|=MAY/MAI 13
```



Fig. 11.--Numbers of Stenothyra collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.

```
\square= A(BANG KOEY)
\odot = B (BUNG KONG)
WEEK/SEMAINE I = MARCH / MARS 4
WEEK SEMAINE II = MAY/MAI 13
```



Fig. 12.--Numbers of bivalves collected at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.

Table $8 \quad$ Sex ratio of alpha $L$ aperta from site $A$ (Bang Koey)
in 1975.

| Date | Total | Males | Females | Ratio <br> Male:Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3-5-75$ | 47 | 18 | 29 | $1: 1.61$ |
| $4-5-75$ | 53 | 15 | 38 | $1: 2.53$ |
| $7-5-75$ | 104 | 42 | 62 | $1: 1.48$ |
| $17-5-75$ | 132 | 61 | 71 | $1: 1.16$ |
| $25-5-75$ | 9 | 3 | 6 | $1: 2.00$ |
| $26-5-75$ | 151 | 83 | 68 | $1: 0.82$ |
|  |  |  | 222 | 274 |
|  |  |  |  | $1: 1.23$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Range Male:Female $=1: 0.82-$ - 1:2.53

Table 9 Sex ratio of gamma L. aperta from site A (Bang Koey) in 1975 .

| Date | Total | Males | Females | Ratio <br> Male:Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $17-5-75$ | 49 | 16 | 33 | $1: 2.06$ |
| $26-5-75$ | 10 | 5 | 5 | $1: 1.00$ |
|  | 59 | 21 | 38 | $1: 1.80$ |

-40-

Table 10 Sex ratio of alpha L aperta from site B (Bung Kong) in 1975.

| Date | Total | Males | Females | Male:Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-5-75$ | 53 | 25 | 28 | $1: 1.12$ |
| $1-6-75$ | 266 | 119 | 147 | $1: 1.24$ |
| $2-6-75$ | 257 | 119 | 138 | $1: 1.16$ |
| $3-6-75$ | 30 | 12 | 18 | $1: 1.50$ |
| $4-6-75$ | 255 | 131 | 124 | $1: 0.95$ |
| $5-6-75$ | 146 | 88 | 58 | $1: 0.66$ |
| $6-6-75$ | 340 | 174 | 166 | $1: 0.95$ |
| $7-6-75$ | 442 | 201 | 241 | $1: 1.20$ |
| $8-6-75$ | 341 | 137 | 204 | $1: 1.49$ |
| $9-6-75$ | 592 | 273 | 319 | $1: 1.17$ |
| $10-6-75$ | 594 | 300 | 294 | $1: 0.98$ |
| $11-6-75$ | 174 | 74 | 100 | $1: 1.35$ |
| $12-6-75$ | 152 | 76 | 76 | $1: 1.00$ |
| $13-6-75$ | 448 | 206 | 242 | $1: 1.17$ |
| $14-6-75$ | 1,228 | 603 | 625 | $1: 1.04$ |
|  | 5,318 | 2,538 | 2,780 | $1: 1.10$ |

Range Male:Female $=1: 0.66--1: 1.35$

Table 11 Sex ratio of alpha L. aperta from sites $A$ and $B$ in 1976 , based on 100 snails.

| Date | Site | Males | Females | Ratio <br> Male:Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-4-76$ | A | 46 | 54 | $1: 1.17$ |
| $22-4-76$ | B | 41 | 59 | $1: 1.44$ |
| $29-4-76$ | A | 42 | 58 | $1: 1.38$ |
| $6-5-76$ | B | 40 | 60 | $1: 1.5$ |
| $13-5-76$ | A | 45 | 55 | $1: 1.22$ |

Table 12 Ratio of large ( 72 mm ) to small ( $<2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) L aperta, alpha and gamma races, collected at two sites ( $A \& B$ ) in the Mekong River from March 4 to May 13, 1976.

ALPHA: Site A (Bang Koey)

| Date | Large | \% | Smal1 | \% | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-4 | 0 | 0.0 | 640 | 100.0 | 640 |
| 3-18 | 78 | 12.2 | 562 | 87.8 | 640 |
| 4-1 | 497 | 37.1 | 841 | 62.9 | 1,338 |
| 4-15 | 1,478 | 83.2 | 298 | 16.8 | 1,776 |
| 4-29 | 1,992 | 96.1 | 81 | 3.9 | 2,073 |
| 5-13 | 512 | 90.1 | 56 | 9.9 | 568 |

ALPHA: Site B (Bung Kong)

| Date | Large | \% | Smal1 | \% | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-11 | 0 | 0.0 | 242 | 100.0 | 242 |
| 3-25 | 100 | 29.6 | 238 | 70.4 | 338 |
| 4-8 | 120 | 50.6 | 117 | 49.4 | 237 |
| 4-22 | 86 | 92.5 | 7 | 7.5 | 93 |
| 5-6 | 73 | 96.1 | 3 | 3.9 | 76 |

GAMMA: Site A (Bang Koey)

| Date | Large | \% | Sma 11 | \% | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 5 |
| 3-18 | 0 | 0.0 | 107 | 100.0 | 107 |
| 4-1 | 0 | 0.0 | 86 | 100.0 | 86 |
| 4-15 | 53 | 32.1 | 112 | 67.9 | 165 |
| 4-29 | 98 | 30.5 | 223 | 69.5 | 321 |
| 5-13 | 86 | 36.3 | 151 | 63.7 | 237 |
| GAMMA: | Site B (Bung Kong) |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Large | \% | Sma 11 | \% | Total |
| 3-11 | 0 | 0.0 | 166 | 100.0 | 166 |
| 3-25 | 0 | 0.0 | 411 | 100.0 | 411 |
| 4-8 | 203 | 31.8 | 436 | 68.2 | 639 |
| 4-22 | 485 | 35.1 | 896 | 64.9 | 1,381 |
| 5-6 | 182 | 4.5 | 3,842 | 95.5 | 4,024 |



Fig. 13.--Comparison of numbers of large and small
alpha race L. aperta at two sites on the Mekong River,
1976.


Fig. 14.--Comparison of numbers of large and small
gamma race L. aperta at two sites on the Mekong River, 1976.


Fig. 15.--Comparison of numbers of alpha and
gamma race L. aperta at site A (Bang Koey) on the Mekong River, 1976 .


Fig. 16.--Comparison of numbers of alpha and gamma race $L$. aperta at site $B$ (Bung Khong) on the Mekong Rive $\bar{r}, \overline{1976 .}$

No explanation for these differences was evident from the available information. The two sites, so markedly different with regard to the kind of $\underline{L}$. aperta in residence, were separated physically by only about 5 km of direct distance on an otherwise apparently homogeneous river.

One point should be emphasized. It was assumed that the reduction in numbers of "small" snails and increase in numbers of "large" snails would coincide with maturation of snails. "Large" was defined as greater than 2 mm and "small" was less than 2 mm . But it is remembered that alpha race L. aperta are, by definition, intrinsically larger than gamma race (Davis et al., 1976). Thus, the figures may be misleading to the extent that only size was used as an indicator of sexual maturity. A repetition of the measurements, using a better criterion for maturity, might reveal a larger percentage of mature gamma individuals at both sites, but particularly at site $B$ where gamma predominated. It is difficult to believe that the population was composed primarily of immature gamma snails toward the end of the growing season, when environmental dictates would demand mature, egg-laying females in abundance.

## 8. Population Densities of L. Aperta

Regardless of race or age, site $B$ supported larger populations of $\underline{L}$. aperta than did site A.

Population density was expressed as the area on a stone (in square centimeters) available to each individual snail, counting all snails on 10 stones selected at random (Table 13 , Figure 17).

Populations at site $A$ of both races of $\underline{L}$. aperta were approximately as dense at week 11 as at week 1 , although there was a noteworthy increase in numbers (and consequent decrease in available crawling space) during weeks 5 through 9.

Populations of $L$. aperta at site $B$, on the other hand, showed a progressive increase in total numbers of both races with the greatest number of snails being observed at the time of the last field collection (week 10).

Thus, there was a distinct difference in the two sites as regards their suitability as niches for L. aperta. This observation supports the conclusion of the previous paragraphs, but provides no explanation. So far as is known, the number of stones was not greater at site B.

## 9. Beta Race L. Aperta

Three races of Lithoglyphopsis aperta bearing the

Table 13 Population densities of L aperta at sites $A \& B$, based on an average of $1 \overline{0}$ stones (in $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{snail}$ ).

| Week | Date | Site A (Bang Koey) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site B } \\ \text { (Bung Kong) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3-4 | 10.7 | - |
| 2 | 3-11 | - | 17.0 |
| 3 | 3-18 | 9.8 | -- |
| 4 | 3-25 | - | 10.2 |
| 5 | 4-1 | 4.6 | - |
| 6 | 4-8 | - | 9.6 |
| 7 | 4-15 | 3.8 | - |
| 8 | 4-22 | - | 5.5 |
| 9 | 4-29 | 3.1 | - |
| 10 | 5-6 | - | 1.9 |
| 11 | 5-13 | 9.1 | - |



Fig. 17.--Population density of $L$. aperta (mixed races) at sites $A$ and $B$ on the Mekong River, 1976.
identifying tags alpha, beta and gamma have been described (Smithsonian Institution, 1974; Davis et al., 1976). Alpha and gamma, which occurred abundantly in the Mekong River at the sites near the Khemmarat field laboratory, are the principal subjects of the present report. However, some advances were recorded with regard to beta.

In the Smithsonian Report (1974), beta snails were reported as occurring in only one detected location in the Mun River of Thailand. They were found under stones and on sticks near the town of Ban Sai Mun, east of Phibun Mangsahan during a few days of late February to early March, 1974. The locality was just upstream from a stretch of white water rapids. Snails were collected in the main river at this site, as well as in rock pools left by splashing and by receding water. Their water was typically cool, well aerated and free of obvious gross impurities. Beta snails were not collected in early February, 1974 at this site, although, other genera (Hydrorissoia, Pachydrobia, Paraprososthenia) were fairly abundant. The fact that beta snails could be found here in large numbers, a week or so after none at all were present, lends strength to the hypotheses that these snails survive the high water period as a population in the egg stage, possibly in a state of suspended development. It is easy to conceive that such a state could be sustained by low temperatures and/or relative anoxia.

Laboratory studies have confirmed that beta snails are relatively more susceptible to infection by miracidia of the Mekong Schistosoma than either alpha or gamma. Under similar exposure conditions, $58.5 \%$ of adult beta snails could be infected, compared with $2.7 \%$ alpha and approximately $20 \%$ gamma (Sornmani, 1976). If efficiency is equated only with susceptibility (ignoring questions of age, viability or concomitant disease in the snails), this means that beta snails were 3 times more efficient than gamma snails (the natural transmitters), and about 20 times more efficient than alpha snails.

In early March, 1976, a new locality for beta snails was discovered in the lower Mun River east of its confluence with the Lam Dom Noi. This new locality lies within a few kilometers of the mouth of the Mun. The discovery suggests that other places in the Mun will be found to harbor this snail.

Thus, the possibility exists that beta snails could threaten public health along the lower Mun River where water development schemes may coincide with future population increases. At present, the river east of Ubon Ratchathani is sparsely settled. The few communities are small and tend to stretch out along the shore. Although there is a
suspicion that schistosomiasis may already have been introduced into this area (Desowitz et al., 1967), case finding has yet to be done here on a systematic basis. Nevertheless, it must be assumed that: (1) development schemes may bring increased numbers of people to this riverine habitation, and (2) the Pak Mun Dam will alter ecological conditions in the lower Mun in such a way as to affect the seasonal numbers of beta L. aperta. If the effect proves favorable to the snail, then a major health problem due to schistosomiasis could develop in this part of Thailand. It seems unlikely, however, that the snail will be transferred to other Thai waters associated with the Mun River, although the possibility of introducing beta snails into the Mekong River or into its other large tributaries, such as the Xe Banghiang or Xe Don in Laos, or the Tonle Kong, Tonle Son or Tonle Srepok in Cambodia (or the fact of their present establishment) needs exploration.

## 10. The "Egg" Hypothesis

The rainy season coincides with a rapid change in conditions in the river. Within a week or two, the river changes from a gentle stream to a raging torrent. A flow rate of less than $2,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the last week of May can increase to 10,000 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ by the first week of June, can double in July and, at peak flow in September, can exceed $30,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$. At this time, the water is filled with detritus of every kind and size, including whole tree trunks, to venture into the current is extremely dangerous and is avoided by all.

Under the circumstances it is understandable that few investigations of the river bottom sites of alpha and gama were made during the high water months. From past experience, however, we know that hydrobiid snail populations dwindle rapidly to zero as the water comes up. The advent of the rains then terminates the life cycle of the snails in nature. That the snails are intrinsically capable of a longer life, is shown by their survival in the laboratory for many months after the onset of the rains.

The annual water cycle peaks in September and then reverses, by December one can often find a snail or two in the river, possibly survivors from the previous May. The preferred collecting sites, i.e., those suitable for hand collecting, do not become fully exposed until February but, by this time, hydrobiids of certain species have already completely colonized the stones. The rapidity with which they do this is remarkable and sustains the following hypothesis regarding snail survival.

Prior to the onset of the rains, the last act of the female snail is to lay large numbers of eggs. The presence
of eggs on the stones at this time has been confirmed by direct observation. According to the hypothesis, eggs are able to persist in a more or less unchanged state, protected as they are on the undersurface of their stones, throughout the period of high water. Possibly, the slowing down of development is mediated by environmental changes in such parameters as light intensity, temperature or oxygen tension. Hypothetically, the trigger to resume development would be the reversal of these physical parameters with lowering water levels in November and December.

Strengthening the egg hypothesis is the fact that, as early in the collecting season as the middle of February, large numbers of hydrobiid eggs can be found on stones, at known collecting sites, although no adult snails are in evidence. A week later. the same stones support enormous populations of immature snails which had clearly hatched from the eggs.

## II Maintenance of L. Aperta in the Laboratory

L. aperta of three races are maintained in the laboratory. Four techniques for breeding were tried:

```
1. Petri dish (9 cm. dia.)
2. Glass bottle aquarium
3. Glass bowl
4. Stainless steel tray
```

Snails were kept with sex ratio in the same proportion as in nature (see below). Each culture was regularly fed diatoms. Records were kept on egg deposition and mortality.

1. Petri Dish Cultures

Nine cm. Petri dishes were thoroughly cleaned with conditioned water and autoclaved (15 lbs. for 15 min .). They were then filled with diatom suspension and left under the fluorescent light for 5 to 7 days to allow maximal growth of diatoms.

Snails of all three races were grown in the Petri dishes. Each dish contained 6 females and 4 males in 30 cc . of conditioned water (Figure l8). Cultures were observed regularly for the activity of the snail, growth, mortality, egg laying, pH and temperature. During the first few months of culture, according to the availability of field snails, dead snails were replaced with living ones. If rotifers, culiates or algae appeared in the cultures, the snails were transferred to new cultures immediately. Otherwise, the water in the Petri dishes was changed once a week.

Diatoms (Navicula spp.), isolated from the natural


Fig. 18.--Snail Rearing in Petri dishes.
habitat, were cultured (Figure 19), and used as snail food. Two months after placing the snails in the Petri dishes, about 0.4 gm . of a sterile (autoclaved) mixture of silt and sand was added to the culture dishes to promote growth. In the presence of silt and sand, the snails were more active and produced more fecal pellets, suggesting an improved metabolism.

The presence of rotifers and ciliates in the cultures may be considered one factor in the high mortality of snails. These microscopic pests were seen to irritate the snails, making them withdraw into their shells. Populations of rotifers and ciliates were controlled by leaving snails in $1: 10,000 \mathrm{Tc}$ merthiolate for 24 hours and then transfering them to new dishes.

Overgrowth with blue-green algae was inhibited by partially reducing direct sunlight in the culture room.
a. Appearance. Petri dish cultures with not more than 10 snails per dish seem to be favorable for rearing adult $L$. aperta when close observation is required. It is easy to observe activity, mortality, egg laying and development of eggs under the dissecting microscope. However, it was tedious work; the culture dishes had to be replaced almost every week, sometimes sooner, if the rotifers and ciliates increased. Under normal conditions, after one week, there were many snail fecal pellets and only a few rotifers in each plate. If the light was too strong, green algae would appear, sometimes trapping the snails with their hyphae. The appearance of rotifers, ciliates, algae and too many fecal pellets were used as indicators of when to change the culture plate. They were also changed when and if diatoms became greatly reduced.

The cultures were observed every week and dead snails were replaced by wild caught ones, if available. Routinely, pH and temperature of cultures were measured every week. During the rearing period, pH was between 7.4 and 8.1, and the water and room temperatures were between $25.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $26.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.
b. Mortality. The mortality rates appear in Table 14. They are not cumulative. There were two peaks of high mortality, the initial one during June and July, and the second during January and February.

Since the high initial mortality coincided with snail capture and transfer to culture, it is not clear whether it was also synchronized with the season. The second peak of high mortality was observed in January to March, that is, after the egg laying period (October to December). In nature, a large die-off occurs after egg
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the laboratory.

Table 14 Monthlymortality rates of $L$. aperta cultured in 9 cm . Petri dishes (from May 1975 to May 1976).


INS = initial no. of snails.
No. = number of dead snails.

* $=$ high rates of mortality due to adding merthiolate in dishes to kill ostracods.
pH range: 7.4-8.1
Temperature range: water $25.4-29.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
room 26.0-30.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Each Petri dish contained 4 male and 6 female snails.
laying due to obvious extrinsic factors (sudden rise in water level and thus disappearance of food) and possible to intrinsic factors.
c. Egg Production. In September, i.e., 4 months after placing the snails in Petri dishes, eggs were found attached to the bottom of the dishes. Only eggs of alpha and beta races were initially found in the cultures.

In dishes without silt or sand, eggs were all transparent (Figure 20). Contrariwise, they were covered with thick yellowish-brown granules if laid in the presence of silt and sand (Figures $21 \& 22$ ). The outer wall was soft and spongy with clear, colorless fluid and a yellowish embryo inside. The embryo darkened and became actively mobile as development progressed.

Eggs laid in the natural habitat were always found covered with a yellowish-brown coat, similar in appearance to eggs laid in the laboratory in the presence of sand and silt.

Transparent eggs were never found in nature. It is postulated that normally, , aperta produces transparent eggs encased in a soft transparent membrane, to which minute silt particles attach, if present, to make a protective coat.

In Petri dish cultures, the beta race produced more eggs than the other two races (Table 15, Figures 23 \& 24). The high egg production periods were from October to March for the beta race and, from October to November for the alpha race. Based on the number of females, beta snails laid as many as 9.59 eggs/female per month, while alpha snails produced only 2.5 eggs/female per month (Table 16, Figure 25). A large percentage of eggs ceased development a few days after being laid. The few which survived the first few weeks continued development until a baby snail became visible inside the shell (Figure 26).

Those eggs which were arrested in development during the first few weeks failed to develop further, even when kept for many months.

No attempt was made to study the relationship
between egg production, the longevity of eggs and the hatchability of the baby snails, because the objective of the present study was to culture snails under laboratory conditions. The results of the laboratory work did not coincide with the natural breeding season since most of the eggs in nature hatched out during the dry season (March and April).


Fig. 20.--Egg of L. aperta, beta race, one day old.

Note: the transparency of the newly laid egg.


Fig. 21.--Eggs of $L$. aperta as seen in nature.


Fig. 22.--A cluster of eggs of $L$. aperta detached from rock.

Table 15 Monthly production of eggs and percentages of hatching of 3 races of $L$. aperta maintained in 9 cm . Petri dishes from May 1975 to May 1976


E = Number of eggs produced.
No. = Number of baby snails hatched.

* $=$ Adult snails started to produce eggs on September 9, 1975.


Fig. $24,--R e l a t i o n s h i p$ of egg production to hatching of
beta race laperta, September 1975 to April 1976.

Table 16 Monthly production of eggs and percentage of hatching of alpha and beta $L$, aperta during September 1975 to March 1976.




Fig. 26.--Egg of alpha L. aperta on the $13 t h$ day showing developing embryo.
d. Egg Size. L. aperta eggs, alpha and beta race, were measured in the transparent stage (using a wild compound microscope and ocular micrometer). They were spherical and measured $0.576-0.704 \mathrm{~mm}$. (average 0.627 mm .) and 0.448 0.544 mm . (average 0.500 mm .) respectively, (Table 17).
e. Fl Generation Snails. Eggs were harvested each month from the rearing dishes. They were transferred into other Petri dishes containing conditioned water and were regularly observed for development under the dissecting microscope. Almost 4 weeks were needed for an egg to mature. Only a few eggs covered with their silt coat were able to hatch by themselves; most.were helped out by cracking open the egg coat with a dissecting needle. On hatching, newborn snails had shells with $1 / 2$ - 2 whorls. They moved and fed actively. These baby snails were kept in Petri dishes containing conditioned water, sand and silt and fed with diatoms. The growth of baby snails was observed under the dissecting microscope. Measurements of the length and width of the body and aperture and counts of the number of whorls were done weekly for 24 weeks (Tables $18 \& 19$ and Figures $27 \& 28$ ).

In the first week of life, the baby snails had 1/2-
2 whorls; the average length and width of alpha and beta snails were $0.61 \times 0.49 \mathrm{~mm}$. and $0.49 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}$., respectively. The average dimensions of the aperture were $0.43 \times 0.28 \mathrm{~mm}$. for alpha snails and 0.35 x 0.22 mm . for beta snails. Under laboratory conditions, both races required 19 to 20 weeks to grow to 6 whorls (Table 20). After 6 whrols, further development of whorls or in their size were not apparent. The full grown alpha and beta snails were 4.34 x 2.86 mm . ( L x W) , 3.01 x 2.26 mm . (aperture) and 3.79 x 2.18 mm . (L x W) , 2.40 x 1.47 mm . (aperture), respectively.

Apparently snails were not happy in the Petri dishes after becoming adult, as their activities, such as movement and defecation decreased.

Further attempts to provide a better culture technique by transferring the adult ( $F_{1}$ ) snails into larger containers, such as, glass bowls and bottles is now in progress.
f. Mortality of $F 1$ Generation Snails (baby snails).

Observations were made for 25 weeks after the baby snails hatched out. Mortality of baby snails was highest during the first week of life in both alpha and beta races (Table 21). It should be noted that, in addition to natural causes, the deaths of the baby snails might have arisen from the mechanical effort of measuring their sizes. In the later weeks, the mortality was much lower as the snails grew bigger and easier to handle.

Table 17 Size of transparent $\underline{L}$ aperta eggs laid in Petri dish cultures.

| No. of eggs | Size of egg (mm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpharace | beta race |
| 2 | 0.704 | 0.544 |
| 3 | 0.608 | 0.544 |
| 4 | 0.640 | 0.512 |
| 5 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| 6 | 0.640 | 0.480 |
| 7 | 0.608 | 0.544 |
| 8 | 0.640 | 0.512 |
| 10 | 0.608 | 0.544 |
| 11 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| 12 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| 13 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| 14 | 0.576 | 0.448 |
| 15 | 0.640 | 0.480 |
| 16 | 0.640 | 0.512 |
| 17 | 0.704 | 0.480 |
| 18 | 0.672 | 0.480 |
| 19 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| 17 | 0.608 | 0.480 |
| average | 0.608 | 0.512 |
| range | 0.608 | 0.544 |

Table 18 . Mean measurements (in mm) of alpha laperta for 24 weeks after hatching.

| ```Measurement time (in weeks)``` | No.ofsnailmeasurement | Mean measurements (in mm) of L. aperta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Body |  | Aperture |  |
|  |  | length | width | length | width |
| 1 | 25 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.28 |
| 2 | 21 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.28 |
| 3 | 20 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.36 |
| 4 | 20 | 1.17 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.43 |
| 5 | 19 | 1.38 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.50 |
| 6 | 18 | 1.62 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.56 |
| 7 | 18 | 1.83 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.64 |
| 8 | 18 | 2.11 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 0.65 |
| 9 | 18 | 2.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.73 |
| 10 | 17 | 2.45 | 1.33 | 1.41 | 0.82 |
| 11 | 15 | 2.59 | 1.41 | 1.43 | 0.84 |
| 12 | 15 | 2.87 | 1.48 | 1.60 | 0.90 |
| 13 | 13 | 3.02 | 1.63 | 1.72 | 1.01 |
| 14 | 13 | 3.38 | 1.89 | 2.06 | 1.28 |
| 15 | 10 | 3.62 | 2.05 | 2.34 | 1.42 |
| 16 | 10 | 3.82 | 2.25 | 2.61 | 1.55 |
| 17 | 10 | 3.95 | 2.31 | 2.70 | 1.70 |
| 18 | 10 | 4.11 | 2.41 | 2.80 | 1.92 |
| 19 | 9 | 4.20 | 2.43 | 2.88 | 1.95 |
| 20 | 9 | 4.24 | 2.45 | 2.89 | 1.98 |
| 21 | 9 | 4.28 | 2.46 | 2.93 | 2.06 |
| 22 | 9 | 4.34 | 2.51 | 2.97. | 2.06 |
| 23 | 9 | 4.34 | 2.69 | 2.99 | 2.16 |
| 24 | 9 | 4.34 | 2.86 | 3.01 | 2.26 |

Table 19 Mean measurements (in mm) of beta Le aperta for 22 weeks after hatching.

| Measurement time <br> (in weeks) | No. ofsnailmeasurement | Mean measurements (in mm) of L. aperta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Body |  | Aperture |  |
|  |  | length | width | length | width |
| 1 | 25 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.22 |
| 2 | 25 | 0.68 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.28 |
| 3 | 25 | 0.81 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.37 |
| 4 | 25 | 1.07 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.39 |
| 5 | 25 | 1.27 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.44 |
| 6 | 25 | 1.45 | 0.91 | 0.99 | 0.51 |
| 7 | 25 | 1.70 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 0.58 |
| 8 | 25 | 1.84 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 0.68 |
| 9 | 25 | 1.95 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.68 |
| 10 | 25 | 2.19 | 1.31 | 1.43 | 0.74 |
| 11 | 25 | 2.44 | 1.46 | 1.62 | 0.81 |
| 12 | 25 | 2.58 | 1.55 | 1.68 | 0.87 |
| 13 | 25 | 2.75 | 1.65 | 1.78 | 1.02 |
| 14 | 25 | 2.97 | 1.74 | 1.94 | 1.02 |
| 15 | 25 | 3.06 | 1.78 | 1.98 | 1.06 |
| 16 | 25 | 3.18 | 1.84 | 2.02 | 1.10 |
| 17 | 25 | 3.30 | 1.95 | 2.11 | 1.17 |
| 18 | 25 | 3.47 | 2.03 | 2.18 | 1.31 |
| 19 | 25 | 3.65 | 2.11 | 2.32 | 1.36 |
| 20 | 25 | 3.79 | 2.18 | 2.40 | 1.47 |
| 21 | 25 | 3.79 | 2.18 | 2.40 | 1.47 |
| 22 | 25 | 3.79 | 2.18 | 2.40 | 1.47 |




[^0]Table 20 Development of whorls in $F_{1}$ baby snails.

| Alpha race |  |  | Beta race |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. <br> snails observed | range | majority | No. snails observed | range | majority |
| 25 | 2.00-2.50 | 2.0 (13) | 25 | 1.50-3.00 | 2.00 (12) |
| 21 | 2.00-2.50 | 2.5 (12) | 25 | 2.25-3.25 | 2.50 (11) |
| 20 | 2.25-3.50 | 3.0 (7) | 25 | 2.50-3.50 | 3.00 (12) |
| 20 | 2.50-3.50 | 3.5 (7) | 25 | 2.50-4.00 | 3.50 (10) |
| 19 | 2. 50-4.00 | 3.5 (8) | 2.5 | 3.00-4.00 | 3.50 (12) |
| 18 | 2.75-4.25 | 4.0 (5) | 25 | 3.00-4.50 | 4.00 (16) |
| 18 | 2.75-4.75 | 4.0 (6) | 25 | 3.50-5.00 | 4.00 (10) |
| 18 | 2.75-5.00 | 4.0 (9) | 25 | 4.00-5.00 | 4.50 (11) |
| 18 | 3.50-5.00 | 4.0 (10) | 25 | 4.00-5.00 | 4.50 (17) |
| 17 | 3.50-5.00 | 4.5 (8) | 25 | 4.50-5.50 | 5.00 (11) |
| 15 | 4.00-5.00 | 4.5 (11) | 25 | 4.50-5.50 | 5.00 (12) |
| 15 | 4.00-5.00 | 5.0 (8) | 25 | 4.50-5.75 | 5.00 (12) |
| 13 | 4.00-5.00 | 5.0 (11) | 25 | 5.00-6.00 | 5.50 (12) |
| 13 | 5.00-5.50 | 5.0 (6) | 25 | 5.00-6.00 | 5.75 (15) |
| 10 | 5.00-5.50 | 5, 5.5(4) | 25 | 5.00-6.00 | 5.75 (15) |
| 10 | 5.00-5.50 | 5.5 (9) | 25 | 5.00-6.00 | 5.75 (18) |
| 10 | 5.50 | 5.5 (10) | 25 | 5.50-6.00 | 6.00 (14) |
| 10 | 5.50-6.00 | 6.0 (6) | 25 | 5.75-6.00 | 6.00 (18) |
| 10 | 5.50-6.00 | 6.0 (6) | 25 | 6.00 | 6.00 (25) |
| 9 | 6.00 | 6.0 (9) | 25 | 6.00 | 6.00 (25) |
| 9 | 6.00 | 6.0 (9) | 25 | 6.00 | 6.00 (25) |
| 9 | 6.00 | 6.0 (9) | 25 | 6.00 | 6.00 (25) |
| 9 | 6.00 | 6.0 (9) |  |  |  |
| 9 | 6.00 | 6.0 (9) |  |  |  |

() = No. of snails in the majority group.


Table 21 Weekly mortality of $F_{1}$ baby alpha and beta $\underline{L}$ aperta cultured in Petri dishes for 25 weeks.

| Week | Mortality of L aperta |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alpha race |  |  | Beta race |  |  |
|  | INS | No. | \% | INS | No. | \% |
| 1 | 37 | 14 | 37.83 | 104 | 21 | 20.19 |
| 2 | 23 | 1 | 4.34 | 83 | 12 | 14.45 |
| 3 | 22 | 0 | 0.00 | 71 | 6 | 8.45 |
| 4 | 22 | 2 | 9.09 | 65 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 20 | 1 | 5.00 | 65 | 11 | 16.92 |
| 6 | 19 | 0 | 0.00 | 54 | 2 | 3.70 |
| 7 | 19 | 0 | 0.00 | 52 | 1 | 1.92 |
| 8 | 19 | 0 | 0.00 | 51 | 3 | 5.88 |
| 9 | 19 | 1 | 0.00 | 48 | 1 | 2.08 |
| 10 | 18 | 2 | 11.11 | 47 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 16 | 0 | 0.00 | 47 | 1 | 2.17 |
| 12 | 16 | 2 | 12.50 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 13 | 14 | 0 | 0.00 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 14 | 14 | 3 | 21.42 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 11 | 0 | 0.00 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 16 | 11 | 0 | 0.00 | 46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 17 | 11 | 0 | 0.00 | 45 | 1 | 2.22 |
| 18 | 11 | 2 | 18.18 | 45 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 19 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 45 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 45 | 6 | 15.38 |
| 21 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 39 | 4 | 11.42 |
| 22 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 35 | 2 | 6.06 |
| 23 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 24 | 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 33 | 2 | 6.45 |
| 25 | 8 | 1 | 11.11 | 31 | 3 | 9.67 |

INS = initial number of snails.
No. = number of dead snails.

A correlation could not be established between the time of high mortality and the races of the snail, since there was only one lot available for study.

## 2. Bottle Cultures

Two types of bottles were employed: small, round bottles (3 1/2" x $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ ) and large, round bottles (4 1/2" x $8^{\prime \prime}$ ), (Figures 29 \& 30).

Each bottle contained small rocks or stones from the Mekong River and was preconditioned with diatoms before the snails were introduced. Water levels were maintained at $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ in the small bottles, and $6^{\prime \prime}$ in the large ones. Twenty snails (12 females and 6 males) were placed in each small bottle and 40 snails ( 24 females and 16 males) in each large one. Diatoms were regularly added as food. All cultures were exposea to 8 hours of natural light daily, however, since the growth of green algae under these conditions was rapid, we were forced to change the cultures often. Light exposure, and thus, unwanted algae, could be reduced by blocking the direct daylight with sheets of black paper.

All three races of $L$. aperta were maintained under these conditions. Water levcls, $p H$, and temperature were maintained and recorded regularly. Egg laying and snail mortality were recorded.
a. Appearance of the Culture. Conditions in the bottles seemed to be more favorable than those in Petri dishes; snails were more active, grew faster and changes of culture were required less often. Unless there were algae, ciliates or rotifers, the cultures remained unchanged. However, observations of mortality, egg laying, development of snails and populations of ciliates or rotifers were more difficult to make.

Attempts to study the growth rate of snails by microscopic measurement failed because many snails died after the first few trials.
b. Mortality Rates (Table 22). As an indicator of the success of rearing, observations on mortality rates were made on a monthly basis except during the egg laying period (September to October), when snails could not be taken out for counting. Manipulation always disturbed the females about to lay eggs and arrested development of the eggs already laid.

During the first 10 months, the mortality rate was seen to increase from October onward, i.e., after the egg laying period. This phenomenon was also seen in Petri


Fig. 29.--Snail rearing in large bottles.


Fig. 30.--Snail rearing in small bottles.

|  | May |  |  | June |  |  | Ju1y |  |  | August |  |  | September |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | M | \% | I | M | \% | I | M | \% | I | M | \% | I | M | \% |
| Large bottle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha | 400 | 0 |  | 408 | 45 | 11.07 | 364 | 50 | 13.73 | 398 | ND |  | ND | ND |  |
| beta | 200 | 0 |  | 200 | 29 | 14.50 | 175 | 61 | 34.86 | 200 | 25 | 12.50 | 171 | 29 | 16.96 |
| gamma | 120 | 0 |  | 120 | 23 | 19.17 | 99 | 23 | 23.23 | 120 | 19 | 15.83 | 96 | 15 | 15.63 |
| Smal1 bottle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha | 200 | 4 | 2.00 | 200 | 26 | 13.00 | 200 | 37 | 18.50 | 197 | ND |  | ND | ND |  |
| beta | 200 | 0 |  | 200 | 52 | 26.00 | 184 | 45 | 24.46 | 200 | ND |  | 180 | 53 | 29.44 |
| gamma | 200 | 0 |  | 60 | 2 | 3.33 | 58 | 5 | 8.62 | 60 | 8 | 13.33 | 56 | 13 | 23.21 |


|  | October |  |  | November |  |  | December |  |  | January |  |  | February |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | M | \% | I | M | \% | I | M | \% | I. | M | \% | $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ | M | \% |
| Large bottle | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ 144 \\ 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \text { ND } \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.94 \\ & 14.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247 \\ \mathrm{ND} \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.05 \\ & 17.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163 \\ \text { ND } \\ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & \mathrm{ND} \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $40.49$$21.31$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ \text { ND } \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ N D \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $49.59$$4.17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & \text { ND } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ \mathrm{ND} \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 37.93 |
| alpha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| beta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| gamma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.26 |
| Small bottle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha | 207 | 70 | 33.82 | 111 | 31 | 27.93 | 85 | 48 | 56.47 | 35 | 18 | 51.43 | 16 | 5 | 31.25 |
| beta | ND | ND |  | ND | ND |  | 102 | 22 | 21.57 | 9 | 1 | 11.11. | 7 | 1 | 14.29 |
| gamma | 42 | ND |  | ND | ND |  | 31 | 15 | 48.39 | 12 | 6 | 50.00 | 8 | 2 | 25.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]bottles Mortality of 3 races of L. aperta
during May 1975 to Februar
dish cultures, suggesting that it may be their nature for snails to die after laying eggs.
c. Egg Production. Eggs were first observed in bottle cultures in September 1975. Eggs were laid on stones and on the sides of the bottle. Morphology was the same as described above. These eggs were left alone and observed for development and hatching. No attempt was made to measure them or detach them from their sites. Only eggs of the alpha race were observed in bottle cultures.
d. F1 Snails. Six weeks after their first appearance, young $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ snails with 2 to $21 / 2$ shell whorls hatched out. Among 26 eggs, 9 snails hatched by themselves and the others were assisted by breaking the shell coat with a dissecting needle.

It was evident from the previous year that the snails preferred larger and more open spaces. New culture methods were tested at the beginning of the new breeding season (1976). Glass bowls, ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter) (Figure 3l) and stainless steel trays (l5 x $101 / 2 \times 21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ ) (Figure 32), were used together with the Petri dishes and large bottles.

Rearing was started in March and April 1976. A11 containers were implanted with diatoms and filled with conditioned water, silt and small stones except the petri dishes. Snails were observed for activity, mortality, egg laying and young snails using the same procedure as the previous year. Preliminary results appear in Tables $23,24,25 \& 26$.

## 3. The Development of L. Aperta in Nature

Attempts to study the development of $L$ aperta in nature were made. Studies were conducted at two localities on the Mekong River near Khemmarat where large populations of alpha and gamma races occurred (see above). The beta race, which is limited to the Mun River, could not be studied because of small numbers.

The study was caried out as follows:
a. The Growth of $L$. Aperta in Nature. The growth of $L$. aperta, alpha and gamma races, was studied at Khemmarat in the same area where the population dynamic study was conducted (see above).

The snails were collected every two weeks. From each collection, 100 snails were sexed and measured with an ocular micrometer under a dissecting microscope. The length and width of the body and the aperture were recorded (Table 27, Figures $33 \& 34$ ).


Fig. 31.--Snail rearing in glass bowls.


Fig. 32.--Snail rearing in stainless steel trays.
Table 23 Weekly mortality rates for L. aperta cultured in glass bowls
(diameter 8"; water level, $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) from March 22, 1976 to May 31, 1976.

INS $=$ initial number of snails.
$M$
$* \quad=$ number of dead snails.
$* *$
$* \quad=$ started on 22 March 1976.
$* * * \quad=$ started on 276 March 1976.
Table 24 Weekly mortality rate of $\underline{\text { L }}$. aperta cultured in stainless steel trays from April 5 to May $31,1976$.


> INS = initial number of snails.
> number of dead snails.
> started on 5 April 1976.
> started on 16 April 1976.
> | 11 | 11
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { * } \\ & \star * \\ & * * *\end{aligned}$
Table 25 Weekly mortality rate of L. aperta cultured in 9 cm. Petri dishes from March 22 to May 31, 1976.


Table 26 Monthly mortality rates for $L$. aperta reared in large bottles from March 22 to May $31,1976$.

| Races | Mortality of snails per month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March |  |  | April |  |  | May |  |  |
|  | INS | M | \% | INS | M | \% | INS | M | \% |
| Alpha* | 400 | 0 | 0.00 | 400 | 167 | 41.75 | 400 | 94 | 23.50 |
| Beta** | 400 | 10 | 3.20 | 400 | 176 | 44.00 | 224 | 181 | 80.80 |
| Gamma*** | - | - | - | 400 | 6 | 1.50 | 400 | 114 | 28.50 |

> INS $=$ initial number of snails.
> $* \quad=$ started on 22 March 1976.
> $* * \quad=$ started on 26 March 1976.
> $* * * \quad$ started on 16 April 1976.

Table 27 Mean measurement of 100 alpha and gamma L aperta collected during March to May 1976.

| Week | Mean measurements |  |  | (mm) of | f L. aperta (100 snails) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpha race |  |  |  | gamma race |  |  |  |
|  | Body |  | Aperture |  | Body |  | Aperture |  |
|  | length | width | length | width | length | width | length | width |
| 0 | 1.09 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.34 |
| 2 | 1.37 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.58 | 1.29 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.48 |
| 4 | 1.94 | 1.18 | 1.28 | 0.82 | 1.62 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 0.60 |
| 6 | 2.78 | 1.68 | 1.88 | 1.09 | 2.37 | 1.40 | 1.49 | 0.86 |
| 8 | 3.55 | 2.09 | 2.46 | 1.59 | 2.76 | 1.62 | 1.69 | 0.99 |
| 10 | 3.92 | 2.19 | 2.73 | 1.98 | - | - | - | - |

UI = unidentifiable
(12)

Taking the development of distinguishable sex organs as the criterion of snail maturity, the alpha race in nature became adult when they were 2.78 mm . in length and 1.68 mm . in width, the corresponding size of aperture being 1.88 x 1.09 mm .

The gamma race was similar.
From consecutive studies of size, it was assumed that in nature, L. aperta matured within 6 weeks of hatching.

Unfortunately, observations on the development of sex organs was not done with baby snails in the laboratory but, it is presumed that under laboratory conditions, the snafl needed at least 12 weeks to become mature.

Then the growth rates of snails in nature were compared with those in the laboratory, it was apparent that laboratory snails needed almost twice as much time as snails in nature to reach the same size (Figures $35 \& 36$ ).
b. Morphology of L. Aperta Eggs in Nature. In the dry season, rocks in the Mekong River were generally covered with a deposit of many varieties of snail eggs. Some were covered with blackish particles, others with yellow or red. No eggs with transparent gelatinous membranes, as seen in the laboratory, were found in nature. Most, if not all, of the eggs were not newly laid, since in the very early period of low level of water (late February), adult snails were hardly seen but, numerous baby $L$. aperta snails were found crawling on the same rocks.

The identification of alpha race $\underline{L}$. aperta eggs in nature was done by collecting rocks with eggs on which many baby L. aperta snails were also to be found. All snafls were washed off and the rocks were kept in aquariums with aerated river water. The eggs on the rocks were regularly observed until the baby snails hatched out. These snails were maintained in the aquarium until they could be identified.

The $L$. aperta eggs were hemispherical in shape and fixed to the under surface of the rock (Figures 37 \& 38). They were covered with a thick, fine granular envelope of reddish-yellow silt or sand. The size and color of L. aperta eggs varied. Measurements were made of 20 eggs (Table 28).

Eggs of $L$. aperta in nature had an average length and width of 0.752 and 0.569 mm . (range: $0.504-0.90 \times 0.482$ 0.684 mm .).

Upon breaking the silty coat, a clear membranous egg with a yellow or dark embryo was seen. This appeared

Fig. $35 .-$ Comparison of the growth of alpha $\frac{L}{}$ aperta
in nature and in the laboratory; body measurements.



Fig. 37.--Rock from the Mekong River near Khemmarat bearing $L$, aperta and eggs.


Fig. 38.--Eggs of L. aperta on rock from the Mekong River.

Table 28 Size of alpha L. aperta eggs in nature, measuring eggs deposited on rock surfaces.

| Number | Length (mm.) | Width (mm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.756 | 0.504 |
| 2 | 0.576 | 0.432 |
| 3 | 0.684 | 0.684 |
| 4 | 0.900 | 0.648 |
| 5 | 0.612 | 0.504 |
| 6 | 0.684 | 0.540 |
| 7 | 0.720 | 0.576 |
| 8 | 0.864 | 0.576 |
| 9 | 0.504 | 0.504 |
| 10 | 0.612 | 0.612 |
| 11 | 0.756 | 0.576 |
| 12 | 0.648 | 0.648 |
| 13 | 0.720 | 0.648 |
| 14 | 0.792 | 0.720 |
| 15 | 0.684 | 0.504 |
| 16 | 0.900 | 0.504 |
| 17 | 0.684 | 0.504 |
| 18 | 0.756 | 0.540 |
| 19 | 0.756 | 0.540 |
| 20 | 0.684 |  |
|  |  | 0.569 |
| Average |  | $0.432-0.684$ |
| Range | $0.504-0.900$ |  |

similar to the eggs produced in the laboratory. The embryo inside was in constant motion if the egg was viable.

## II Molluscicide Testing

## 1. Materials and Methods

A field laboratory was established in Khemmarat, Ubonratchatani Province. At Khemmarat, large numbers of alpha and gamma race Lithoglyphopsis aperta were taken from stones on islands situated along the bank or in the center of the Mekong River. Snails were maintained in river water aquaria in the field laboratory for no longer than 48 hours before testing with certain molluscicides. The snail collecting sites were not endemic for Schistosoma japonicum and the snails collected from these sites were also shown to be free from any other schistosome infection. Attempts were made to test young and adult $\underline{L}$. aperta of both races with each molluscicide; however, all tests could not be completed owing to limitations of time and availability of snalls. In particular, L. aperta snails could be collected during only 4 months of the year, the low water period, from February to May.

A protocol to study the effects of certain molluscicides under controlled laboratory conditions. was established as follows:
A. Conventional Molluscicides

24 - Hour Exposure Lethal Concentration
Molluscicide + snails
Molluscicide + silt + snails
Time Concentration Relationships
Molluscicide + snails
Exposure time: 1 hour Exposure time: 6 hours Exposure time: 12 hours Exposure time: 24 hours (repeat 1. a.)

Recovery period for snails was 48 hours.
Slow Release Molluscicide
Soaking Time Intervals of Molluscicide
Tributyltinoxide (TBTO) pellets were weighed to give the required concentrations. Prior to snail exposures, the weighed pellets were soaked in water simultaneously for

1, $2,3,4,8,16$ and 32 days. Snails were exposed to TBTO solutions (after pellets were removed) for 24 hours, and were then maintained in a recovery chamber for 72 hours.

## Continuous Exposures of Snails

TBTO pellets were weighed to give the required concentrations, and were placed in water. Snails were then exposed to these TBTO concentrations. Snail mortality was checked and recorded every 24 hours starting at day one and terminating at day thirty-three.

The apparatus for testing molluscicides consisted of wooden frames, nylon tubes and screws assembled together as shown in figure 39. Snails were exposed to selected molluscicides in 1.5 liter plastic bags. Before conducting an experiment, plastic bags were placed into nylon tubes, with the open ends of the former folding over the open tops of the latter. The open ends of plastic bags were held tightly to the open tops of nylon tubes with rubber bands. The height of each plastic bag was adjusted to hold about liter of tested solution. To prevent snails from crawling out, the top of each plastic bag was covered with a glass sheet (Figures $40 \& 41$ ).

For each molluscicide, a few trials with a wide range of concentrations were performed in order to observe the range of snail mortality. Subsequently, two trials in a narrower range were performed in order to obtain lethal concentrations for $50 \%\left(\mathrm{LC}_{50}\right)$ and $90 \%\left(\mathrm{LC}_{90}\right)$ of snails. Thirty snails were used for testing each concentration. In some experiments, 100 grams of silt were added to each of the test solutions.

To calculate the $L C_{50}$ and $L C_{90}$, $95 \%$ confidence limits, and slope functions, the methods of Litchfield and Wilcoxan (1949) were used.
2. Results
a. Bayluscide (Niclosamide, 25\% Emulsifiable Concentrate, 6076). A summary of $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ and LC 90 , $95 \%$ confidence limits, and slope functions is given in Table 29 and Figures $42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52 \& 53$. Raw data are given in Appendix II.

There was a decrease in lethal concentrations (LC50 and $L C_{90}$ ) as the exposure time increased.

The lethal concentrations for young snalls were slightly higher than for adult snails.


Fig. 39.--Diagram of apparatus for testing molluscicides.


Fig. 40.--Molluscicide testing apparatus in place in the field laboratory.


Fig. 41.--Close-up view of molluscicide testing apparatus, showing units with filled plastic bags and glass plates in position.
Table 29 Lethal concentrations ( $L C$ C 50 and $L C$ ) in parts per million (ppm) with $95 \%$ 6,12 and 24 hours.

## be rable

confidence limits and slope functibns of two races of l. aperta exposed to Niclosamide, emulsified concentrate, $25 \%$

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Race of } \\ \text { L. aperta } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposure } \\ \text { time } \\ \text { (in hours) } \end{gathered}$ | LC 50 ( $95 \%$ Mean mortality of L. aperta (in Ppm) |  |  |  | Slope function |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ ( $95 \%$ confidence 1 imit) |  | LC90 ( $95 \%$ confidence limit) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Young | Adult | Young | Adult |  | Adult |
| Alpha | 6 | ND | 0.200(0.170-0.240) | ND | 0.320(0.260-0.390) | ND | 1.46 |
|  | 12 | ND | $0.102(0.080-0.130)$ | ND | $0.230(0.170-0.300)$ | ND | 1.93 |
|  | 24 | 0.136(0.120-0.160) | $0.096(0.080-0.110)$ | 0.250(0.220-0.290) | 0.125(0.110-0.140) | 1.60 | 1.28 |
|  | 24 S | ND | $0.080(0.070-0.090)$ | ND | 0.116(0.100-0.140) | ND | 1.36 |
| Gamma | 6 | 0.159(0.130-0.200) | 0.260(0.240-0.290) | 0.420(0.320-0.550) | 0.400 (0.350-0.460) | 2.10 | 1.41 |
|  | 12 | 0.102(0.080-0.130) | 0.128(0.110-0.150) | 0.222(0.180-0.280) | $0.232(0.180-0.300)$ | 1.77 | 1.62 |
|  | 24 | 0.077(0.060-0.100) | 0.083(0.070-0.100) | 0.136(0.100-0.180) | $0.122(0.100-0.150)$ | 1.60 | 1.42 |
|  | 24 S | 0.079(0.060-0.100) | ND | $0.141(0.110-0.180)$ | ND | 1.58 | ND |

$24 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{silt}$ was added in experimental solutions and exposure time was 24 hours.
ND $=$ not done.



Fig. 44.--Mortality of adult alpha l. aperta exposed to
Niclosamide for 12 hours.

Mollusk Species..... ALPHA, ADULT
Molluscicide NICLOSAMIDE, 24 HOURS + SILT




Molluscicide NICLOSAMIDE, 24 HOURS + SILT





The lethal concentrations of snails exposed to molluscicide plus silt did not differ from those of snails exposed to molluscicide alone.

The lethal concentrations for alpha and gamma
races did not differ significantly.
b. Copper Sulphate. A summary of LC50 and LC90, 95\% confidence limits, and slope functions is given in Table 30 and Figures $54,55,56,57,58,59 \& 60$. Raw data are given in Appendix III.

There was a decrease in lethal concentrations as the exposure time increased.

The lethal concentrations for young snails were slightly lower than for adult snails.

The lethal concentrations for snails exposed to molluscicide plus silt were much higher than for snalls exposed to the molluscicide alone. This indicated that silt absorbed copper sulphate.

The lethal concentrations for alpha and gamma races did not differ significantly.
c. Frescon (Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ Active

Ingredient). A summary of LC50 and LC90, $95 \%$
confidence limits, and slope functions is given in Table 31 and Figures 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74. Raw data are given in Appendix IV.

There was a decrease in lethal concentrations as the exposure time increased.

The lethal concentrations for young snails were higher than adult snails.

The lethal concentrations for snails exposed in molluscicide plus silt did not differ much from those of snails exposed to the molluscicide alone.

The lethal concentrations for alpha and gamma races did not differ significantly.
d. Sodium Pentachlorophenate (NaPCP, 90\% Active Ingredient Granules) A summary of LC50 and LC 90 , $95 \%$ confidence limits, and a slope function is given in Table 32 and Figure 75. Raw data are given in Appendix V.

| Race of <br> L. aperta | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposure } \\ \text { time } \\ \text { (in hours) } \end{gathered}$ | Mean mortality of L. aperta (in ppm) |  |  |  | Slope function |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $L^{2} C_{50}$ (95\% | confidence limit) | LC90 (95\% | onfidence limit) |  |  |
|  |  | Young | Adult | Young | Adult | Young | Adult |
| Alpha | 6 | ND | 4.680(3.490-6.270) | ND | 13.200 (9.360-18.610) | ND | 2.26 |
|  | 12 | ND | 3.400(2.520-4.590) | ND | 7.300(5.250-10.150) | ND | 1.79 |
|  | 24 | $0.630(0.500-0.790)$ | 0.730(0.570-0.940) | 1.420(1.060-1.900) | $2.830(2.020-3.960)$ | 1.92 | 2.42 |
|  | 24 S | ND | 4.100(3.110-5.410) | ND | 10.900(7.900-15.040) | ND | 2.15 |
| Gạma | 6 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
|  | 12 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
|  | 24 | 0.800(0.620-1.040) | ND | $1.850(1.390-2.460)$ | ND | 1.67 | ND |
|  | 24 S | 3.190(2.280-4.470) | ND | $10.500(6.560-16.800)$ | ND | 2.56 | ND |

$24 \mathrm{~S}=$ silt was added in experimental solutions and exposure time was 24 hours.

Molluscicide COPPER SULPHATE, 24 HOURS
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Fig. 57.--Mortality of adult alpha L. aperta exposed to
copper sulphate for 24 hours.




Fig. 60.--Mortality of young gamma L. aperta exposed to
copper sulphate plus silt for 24 hours.
Lethal concentrations (LC50 and LC90) in parts per million (ppm) win

Table 31

| Race of L. aperta | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Exposure } \\ \text { time } \\ \text { (in hours) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}(95 \%$ confidence 1 imit) |  | - LC 90 ( $95 \%$ confidence limit) |  | Slope <br> Eunction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Young | Adult | Young | Adult | Young Adul |
| Alpha | 1 | ND | 0.076(0.070-0.080) | ND | 0.120(0.110-0.130) | ND 1.42 |
|  | 6 | ND | $0.068(0.050-0.090)$ | ND | $0.195(0.130-0.280) i$ | ND : 2.24 |
|  | 12 | ND | p.062(0.050-0.080) | ND | 0.139(0.110-0.180) | ND 1.84 |
|  | 24 | p.077(0.060-0.110) | 0.057(0.050-0.070) | $0.380(0.240-0.600)$ | $0.085(0.070-0.100)$ | 3.5011 .35 |
|  | 24 S | 0.085(0.060-0.120) | 10.043(0.040-0.050) | 0.415(0.260-0.660) | 0.066(0.060-0.080) | $3.39: 1.37$ |
| Gamma | 1 | D.122(0.110-0.140) | ND | 0.218(0.180-0.260) | ND | $1.57{ }^{\text {\% }}$ ND |
|  | 6 | 0.034 (0.030-0.040) | ND | $0.056(0.050-0.070)$ | ND | 1.48 ND |
|  | 12 | p.031 (0.030-0.040) | ND | 0.055 (0.050-0.060) | ND | 1.55 ND |
|  | 24 | p.057(0.040-0.080) | 0.039 (0.030-0.050) | 0.151(0.100-0.230) | 0.068(0.050-0.090) | 2.461 .55 |
|  | 24 S | 0.053(0.040-0.070) | -0.052(0.040-0.070) | 1).113(0.080-0.160) | $0.115(0.090-0.150)$ | 1.821 .90 |

$24 \mathrm{~S}=$ silt was added in experimental solutions and exposure time was 24 hours.

Fig. 6l.-Mortality of young alpha $\underline{\text { L. }}$ aperta exposed to
Frescon for 24 hours.
MOLLUSCICIDE FRESSCON, 24. HOURS. + SILT

Fig. 62.-Mortality of young alpha 1 . aperta exposed to
Frescon plus silt for 24 hours.











Fig. 71 - - Mortality of young gamma $\underline{\text { L. }}$ aperta exposed to
Frescon for 24 hours.
Molluscicide FRESCON, 24 HOURS + SILT

Fig. 72.--Mortality of young gamma L. aperta exposed to
Frescon plus silt for 24 hours.



| $\begin{gathered} \text { Race of } \\ \text { L. aperta } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Exposure } \\ \text { time } \\ \text { (in hours) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean mortality of L. aperta (in ppm) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \text { Function } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ ( $95 \%$ confidence 1 imfe ) | $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ ( $95 \%$ confidence limit) |  |
| Alpha | 24 | $0.725(0.650-0.810)$ | 1.120(0.940-1.330) | 1. 38 |

SODIUM PENTACHLOROPHENATE, Molluscicide ${ }^{24}$ HOURS

Fig. 75.--Mortality of adult alpha L. aperta exposed to
sodium pentachlorophenate for 24 hours.

For this particular molluscicide, only the alpha race was tested. The LC50 and LC90 were 0.725 ppm and 1.120 ppm, respectively.
e. Yurimin P-99 (5\% Active Ingredient Granules). A summary of LC 50 and LC90, $95 \%$ confidence limits, and slope functions is given in Table 33 and Figures $76,77,78 \& 79$. Raw data are given in Appendix VI.

There was a decrease in lethal concentrations as the exposure time increased.

For this molluscicide, only young gamma race and adult alpha race were tested. Therefore, a comparison in lethal concentrations between young and adult within the same race could not be made.

## B. Slow Release Molluscicide

Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), 5.8\% Active Ingredient Pellets.

## Experiment I: Soaking Time Intervals

A summary of $L_{50}$ and LC $90,95 \%$ confidence limits, and slope functions is given in Table 34 and Figures 80, $81,82,83,84 \& 85$. Raw data are given in Appendix VII.

There was a decrease in lethal concentrations as the soaking time increased.

LC 50 and LC90 values were reached at day 1 and 3, respectively, for alpha race snails, and at day 2 for gamma race sanils.

## Experiment II: Continuous Exposures of L. Aperta

Summaries of data are given in Tables 35, 36 \& 37 for young alpha race, adult alpha race, and adult gamma race, respectively. The raw data appear in Appendix VIII.

At 5.12 ppm (the highest concentration tested) LC50 and LC 100 were less than 24 hours and 1 to 3 days respectively, for alpha race snalls, and were less than 24 hours and 2 days, respectively, for gamma race snails. At 0.01 ppm (the lowest concentration tested) the LC50 and LC 100 were 8 to 12 and more than 33 days, respectively, for alpha race snails, and 5 and 10 days, respectively, for gamma race snails.

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Race of } \\ \text { L. aperta } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exposure } \\ & \text { time } \\ & (1 \text { n hours) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | SlopefunctionYoung Adu1t |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Young | Adult | Young | Adult |  |  |
| Alpha | 24 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.111(0.080-0.150) \\ & 0.084(0.070-0.100) \end{aligned}$ | ND ND | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.227(0.140-0.370) \\ 0.125(0.100-0.160) \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gamma | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.075(0.070-0.080) \\ & 0.0625(0.060-0.070) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0.099(0.090-0.110) \\ 0.0825(0.080-0.090) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \\ & 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ |

ND $=$ not done.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fig. } 76 .- \text { Mortality of adult alpha L. aperta exposed to } \\
& \text { Yurimin for } 24 \text { hours. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Fig. 80.--Mortality of alpha 1. aperta exposed to
TBTO for 2 and 3 days.

MOLLUSCICIDE TRIRUTYLTTINOXIDE. . .


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fig. 82.--Mortality of alpha l. aperta exposed to } \\
& \text { TBTO for } 16 \text { and } 32 \text { days. }
\end{aligned}
$$

molluscicide．tributylitinaxlae．．．

|  |  | HTIT |  |  | $\# 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111 111 |  | W1Til |  |  |  |  |  |  | Til |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | IT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －$+1+$ |  | $\cdots$ | $111$ |  | 1，+1 | $19$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \therefore \\ & \hline: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $+1$ | $1,1+1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| 99.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋＋＋ | $+$ | ， |  |  | ＋+ | － |  | $\bigcirc$ | ＋+ | L， | 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1－1 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 3 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ！！ 11 |  |  |  |  | H 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i： | i！ | $1+7+$ | － | －Ti | $4 \cdot$ | 1 | $1 \cdot \mathrm{H}+$ |  |  | ＋ | 4：1－1 | ＋17＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | 士才品 | － | －L－t |  | 1 | $\square$ |  |  | 1 | － 2 day | days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＃ |  | － |  | F－7 | － | 1 | － | H． |  | 孚， | dta | $\pm+1$ | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＃ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 二 | \＃ | 齊者 | \％ | － 7 |  | － |  |  | － |  | － | － | Fif |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 80$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | －1， |  |  |  |  | －1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $1+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋ |
| O 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $4 H$ |  | －1＋ |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ： |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{40}{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 运 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  | －$\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － | － | － | 排 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3125 |  |  | $0625$ |  |  | $0.125^{\circ}$ | 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 5 |  | $0.5^{0.44}$ |  | $1.28$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.56 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |  | $\int_{5}$ | 6.25 |  | $\int_{0} 12.5$ | ． 5 | － | 25 |  |  | 50 | － |  | 10 | 0 | 2 | 200 |

[^2]
Fig. 84.--Mortality of gamma $\underline{L}$. aperta exposed to
$$
\text { TBTO for } 4 \text { and } 8 \text { days. }
$$


Table 35 Lethal concentrations $\left(L C_{50}\right.$ and $\mathrm{LC}_{100}$ ), in days, of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (BioMet SRM) for young, alpha $\underline{L}$. aperta after exposure times from day 1 to day 33.


Table 36 Lethal concentrations ( $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ and $L C_{100}$ ) in days, of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Biomet SRM) for adult alpha L. aperta after exposure times from day 1 to day 33 .


Table 37 Lethal concentrations (LC50 and LC 100), in days, of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Biomet SRM) for adult gamma L. aperta after exposure times from day 1 to day 10 .


## 3. Comparison of the Effectiveness of Various Conventional Molluscicides on L. Aperta and Other Human Schistosome Transmitting Snails

Among the conventional molluscicides, N-tritylmorpholine and Niclosamide seem to be most effective against lithoglyphopsis snails. Yurimin, sodium pentachlorophenate, and copper sulphate also show reasonable effectiveness against Lithoglyphopsis snails (Table 38). Yasuraoka (1968) reported that the LC50 for Oncomelania nosophora was 6.3 ppm with Yurimin $\mathrm{P}-99$ and 0.24 0.59 ppm with sodium pentachlorophenate. With sodium pentachlorophenate, the $L_{50}$ for $\underline{L}$. aperta and $\underline{0}$. nosophora did not differ greatly; but with Yurimin p-99, it did differ significantly: the $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ of L . aperta was lower (Table 39). With Niclosamide, the LC 50 for $\underline{L}$. aperta and 0 . nosophora also did not differ significantly. The data in Table 39 indicate that the $L_{50}$ and LC90 of Niclosamide for Biomphalaria glabrata and Biomphalaria pfeiferi were lower than those for $L$. aperta and 0 . nosophora. With regard to Tritylmorpholine (FX 28), the LC 50 and LC90 for L. aperta and Biomphalaria glabrata were in the same ranges.

## 4. Recommendations for Use of Molluscicides

Field trials should be carried out at Khong Island using Bayluscide and slow release Tributyltinoxide (TBTO).

Bayluscide is effective not only against snails but also against snail eggs (Oncomelania, Biomphalaria, Bulinus). Its molluscicidal efficacy is not affected by light or pH. Unfortunately, Bayluscide kills fish and other aquatic fauna of the same concentrations required to kill snails. Due to the large dilution factor in the Mekong River, it would not seem practical or economical to control snails with Bayluscide or any conventional molluscicides. Nevertheless, Bayluscide could still be of use in treating small pockets of water along the bank of, or on islands, in the river.

Slow release TBTO may be the most practical molluscicide for controlling snails at Khong Island. It has been incorporated into rubber and manufactured in different formulations such as rubber pellets, rubber sheets, rubber strings, and paints. These formulations will release the molluscicide slowly at a concentration calculated to kill only the target snails. Therefore, slow release formulations may offer an approach to schistosomiasis control without the hazards of environmental contamination.

Slow release TBTO has been tested in the field against schistosome transmitting snails in Rhodesia (Shiff, 1975), in Brazil (Castleton, 1974), and in St. Lucia (Upatham, 1975).

Table 38 Comparison of lethal concentrations (LC 50 and $L C$ g in ppm for $\underline{\text { L }}$. aperta exposed to various conventional molluscicides for 24 hours.

| Molluscicide | Race of L. aperta | Age of <br> L. aperta | Mean mortality of le aperta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LC}_{50} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{ppm} \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{LC}_{90} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{ppm} \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Niclosamide | alpha | young | 0.136 | 0.250 |
|  |  | adult | 0.096 | 0.125 |
|  | gamma | young | 0.077 | 0.136 |
|  |  | adult | 0.083 | 0.122 |
| Copper sulphate | alpha | young | 0.630 | 1.420 |
|  |  | adult | 0.730 | 2.830 |
|  | gamma | young | 0.800 | 1.850 |
| N-tritylmorpholine | a1pha | young | 0.077 | 0.380 |
|  |  | adult | 0.057 | 0.085 |
|  | gamma | young | 0.057 | 0.151 |
|  |  | adult | 0.039 | 0.068 |
| NaPCP | a 1 pha | adult | 0.725 | 1.120 |
| Yurimin | alpha | adult | 0.111 | 0.227 |
|  | gamma | young | 0.063 | 0.083 |

Table 39 Comparison of lethal concentrations (LC50 and LC90) in ppm for some human

| Molluscicide | Lithoglyphopsis aperta ${ }^{(a)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oncomelania } \\ \text { nosophora } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomphalaria } \\ \text { glabrata }(\mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Biomphalaria$\text { pfeifferi }{ }^{(d)}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Bulinus } \\ \text { (Physopsis) } \\ \text { globosus } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | ${ }^{L C}{ }_{90}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{LC}} 50$ | $\mathrm{LC}_{90}$ |
| Niclosamide | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0.083- \\ 0.096 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.122- \\ & 0.125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07- \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}\left(b_{1}\right)$ |  | 0.045 | $0.055{ }^{\left(c_{1}\right)}$ | 0.055 | 0.061 | 0.071 | 0.110 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tritylmorpholine } \\ (\text { FX } 28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.039- \\ & 0.057 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.068- \\ & 0.085 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.042 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $0.070^{\left(c_{1}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Yurimin | 0.111 | 0.227 | $6.3{ }^{\left(b_{2}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NaPCP | 0.725 | 1.120 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24^{\left(b_{2}\right)} \\ & 0.59 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.400 | $1.200^{\left(c_{2}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a)=V e c t o r \text { of the Mekong Schistosoma japonicum (the present study). } \\
& \text { (b) = Vector of S. japonicum in Japan (b) Hosaka et al, 1969; b Yasuraoka } \\
& \text { (c) = Vector of S. mansoni in St. Lucia and Puerto Rico (c, : Research and } \\
& \text { and Control Department Fourth Report 1970-1971; } c_{2} \text { : Ritchie et al, 1963). } \\
& \text { Vector of S. mansoni in Rhodesia (Schiffet al, 1970). } \\
& \text { (e) = Vector of S. haematobium in Rhodesia (Schiff et al, 1970) }
\end{aligned}
$$


#### Abstract

At Khong Island, it is recommended that field trials be carried out using rubber strings and/or sheets and paint formulations. Rubber strings and/or sheets and painted rocks, and/or other objects could be set up along the transmission site and at the human water contact points. Rubber pellets could not be used at Khong Island because they would soon be buried underneath, the mud and lose their molluscicidal efficacy.


## IV Incrimination of other Snails as Intermediate Hosts of the Mekong Schistosome

Apart from L. aperta, no other snails are yet known to serve as intermediate hosts. Attempts to implicate others were made by collecting snails from the Mekong River and exposing them to miracidia of the Mekong schistosome.

1. Methods and Materials

Snails. Insofar as time and mollusk populations were available, approrimately 6 genera and 12 species were tested. Snails of the same size of each species were collected from the same habitat. In each collection, one hundred snalls were selected at random, crushed and examined under the microscope for naturally occurring larval stages of schistosomes. The remainder of each collection was used in the ensuing experiments. No "wild" sporocysts or other stages of any schistosome were found in the crushed snails.

Exposure to Miracidia. Miracidia used in the tests were obtained from infected dog feces. Only freshly hatched, active miracidia were used. Snails were individually exposed to 5 miracidia in a plastic cup ( $1^{\prime \prime}$ diam.). They were left exposed for 3 hours before they were transferred to the aquarium.

The first experiment was done in 1975 in which all the snails were kept in the laboratory and fed with cultured diatoms. The second lot was exposed in 1976 and the snails were kept in earthenware dishes at the field station and fed diatoms from river rocks.

Snails were regularly checked for mortality; those which were weak or died were crushed and examined for sporocysts.

## 2. Results

Owing to difficulty in maintaining the snails in the laboratory, only 5 species in the first lot of Mekong snails could be tested (Table 40). However, many, including the control group, died before crushing. The rest were crushed

| Species of snail ${ }^{(a)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { snails } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Area of origin | Date of exposure | No. dead snails before crushing | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { snails } \\ \text { crushed } \end{gathered}$ | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrorissoia elongata <br> (= H. hospitalis) | 100 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-18-75 | 69 | 31 | negative |
| Lacunopsis coronata $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ <br> L. globosa (mixed) | 191 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-19-75 | 180 | 11 | negative |
| Lacunopsis jullieni ${ }^{(d)}$ | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-4-75 | 152 | 48 | negative |
| Lacunopsis coronata | 100 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-4-75 | 91 | 9 | negative |
| (a) each snail was exposed individually to 5 miracidia of the Mekong schistosome <br> (b) snails were examined 80 days after the exposure. <br> (c) included some immature Lacunopsis, possibly $\underline{L}$. sphaerica. <br> (d) included some immature, possibly $L$. massiei. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

80 days after exposure and none were found to be positive.
The second lot was exposed at the field station using river water and rocks for maintaining the snails in earthenware dishes. By this technique the mortality rate was low in every group. About 10 species of Mekong snails were tested (Table 4l). All were crushed and examined 50 days after exposure except the weak and dead snails. No sporocysts or other parasitic stages were found in any of these snails.

On the basis of these data, it seems improbable that. any of the common, predominant hydrobiid snails sympatric with L aperta can serve as intermediate hosts of the Mekong schistosome. However, there are still other untested species of snails in the Mekong River. Moreover, different species predominate at different times of the year, and in different habitats. The attempted incrimination of other snails as intermediate hosts of the Mekong schistosome merits continued investigation.

Table 41 Exposure of Mekong snails other than L. aperta to miracidia of Mekong schistosome, 1976, in the field laboratory, Khemmarat.

| Species of snail ${ }^{(a)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { snails } \end{gathered}$ | Area of origin | Date of exposure | No. dead snails before crushing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { snails (b) } \\ & \text { crushed } \end{aligned}$ | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hubendickia siamensis | 100 | Mun River (Phibun) | 3-8-76 | 8 | 92 | negative |
| Hubendickia stamensis | 100 | Mun River Phibun | 3-10-76 | 2 | 98 | negative |
| Hubendickia coronata <br> H. tuberculata (mixed) | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-16-76 | 26 | 174 | negative |
| Manningiella polita | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-17-76 | 14 | 186 | negative |
| Jullienia harmandi | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-23-76 | 32 | 168 | negative |
| Lacunopsis conica | 100 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 3-24-76 | 17 | 83 | negative |
| Lacunopsis sp. ${ }^{(c)}$ | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-4-76 | 25 | 175 | negative |
| Stenothyra hybocystoides | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-5-76 | 32 | 168 | negative |
| Lacunopsis conica | 100 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-7-76 | 24 | 76 | negative |
| Manningiella expansa | 200 | Mekong River (Khemmarat) | 4-9-76 | 31 | 169 | negative |

(a) each snail was exposed individually to 5 miracidia of the mekong schistosome. (b) snails were examined 50 days after the exposure. (c) young snails, probably L. fischerpiettei.
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APPENDIX I

DENSITY OF L. APERTA ON STONES

Table l. Density of $\underline{\text { L. aperta }}$ on stones.
Site A.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Stone } \end{aligned}$ | No. of L. aperta |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surface } \\ & \text { area (cm } 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \\ \text { Snail } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpha | gamma | Total |  |  |
| Bang Koey, Ist week (4 March) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 450 | 40.9 |
| 2 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 576 | 36.0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 980 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 726 | 51.9 |
| 5 | 357 | 0 | 357 | 962 | 2.7 |
| 6 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 609 | 46.9 |
| 7 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 825 | 103.1 |
| 8 | 113 | 0 | 113 | 450 | 4.0 |
| 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 704 | 88.0 |
| 10 | 100 | 5 | 105 | 638 | 6.1 |
| Totall Mean | - 640 | 5 | 645 | 6,290 | 10.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bang Koey 3rd week (18 March) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 17 | 5 | 22 | 768 | 34.9 |
| 2 | 86 | 9 | 95 | 1,044 | 11.0 |
| 3 | 36 | 6 | 42 | 713 | 17.0 |
| 4 | 66 | 39 | 105 | 936 | 8.9 |
| 5 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 672 | 29.2 |
| 6 | 88 | 11 | 99 | 432 | 4.4 |
| 7 | 66 | 4 | 70 | 780 | 11.1 |
| 8 | 46 | 3 | 49 | 572 | 11.7 |
| 9 | 150 | 22 | 172 | 910 | 5.3 |
| 10 | 64 | 6 | 70 | 522 | 7.5 |
| Total/ Mean | 640 | 107 | 747 | 7,349 | 9.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bang Koey, 5th week (1 Apri1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 70 | 1 | 71 | 432 | 6.1 |
| 2 | 156 | 5 | 161 | 999 | 6.2 |
| 3 | 248 | 12 | 260 | 682 | 2.6 |
| 4 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 918 | 28.7 |
| 5 | 78 | 12 | 90 | 624 | 6.9 |
| 6 | 274 | 35 | 309 | 580 | 1.9 |
| 7 | 123 | 2 | 125 | 572 | 4.6 |
| 8 | 140 | 12 | 152 | 736 | 4.8 |
| 9 | 139 | 2 | 141 | 567 | 4.0 |
| 10 | 74 | 2 | 76 | 456 | 6.0 |
| Total/ Mean | 1,331 | 86 | 1,417 | 6,566 | 4.6 |

Table 1 (Cont'd)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Stone } \end{aligned}$ | No. of L. aperta |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { area }\left(c^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cm}^{2 / 1} \\ & \text { Snail } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpha | gamma | Total |  |  |
| Bang Koey, 7th week (15 April) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 170 | 25 | 195 | 972 | 5.0 |
| 2 | 98 | 2 | 100 | 1,015 | 10.2 |
| 3 | 223 | 1 | 224 | 713 | 3.2 |
| 4 | 258 | 33 | 291 | 988 | 3.4 |
| 5 | 341 | 33 | 374 | 696 | 1.9 |
| 6 | 121 | 1 | 122 | 580 | 4.8 |
| 7 | 159 | 14 | 173 | 696 | 4.0 |
| 8 | 75 | 36 | 111 | 520 | 4.7 |
| 9 | 178 | 8 | 186 | 621 | 3.3 |
| 10 | 153 | 12 | 165 | 616 | 3.7 |
| Total/ Mean | 1,776 | 165 | 1,941 | 7,417 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bang Koey 9 th week (29 Apxi1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 41 | 24 | 65 | 644 | 9.9 |
| 2 | 59 | 34 | 93 | 825 | 8.9 |
| 3 | 63 | 4 | 67 | 598 | 8.9 |
| 4 | 245 | 7 | 252 | 770 | 3.1 |
| 5 | 111 | 15 | 126 | 667 | 5.3 |
| 6 | 167 | 5 | 172 | 713 | 4.1 |
| 7 | 454 | 0 | 454 | 720 | 1.6 |
| 8 | 124 | 10 | 134 | 682 | 5.1 |
| 9 10 | 388 421 | 56 157 | 144 578 | 1,073 672 | 2.4 1.2 |
| Totall | 2,073 | 312 | 2,385 | 7,364 | 3.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bang Koey, 11th week (13 May) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 108 | 4 | 112 | 560 | 5.0 |
| 2 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 640 | 8.8 |
| 3 | 9 | - 19 | 28 | 792 | 28.3 |
| 4 | 21 | 66 | 87 | 748 | 8.6 |
| 5 | 74 | 39 | 113 | 925 | 8.2 |
| 6 | 7 | 16 | 23 | - 851 | 37.0 |
| 7 | 134 | 7 | 141 | 567 | 4.0 |
| 8 | 129 | 43 | 172 | 792 | 4.6 |
| 9 | 29 | 38 | 67 | 504 | 7.5 |
| 10 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 972 | 34.7 |
| Tota1/ Mean | 568 | 237 | 805 | 7,351 | 9.1 |

Table 1 (Cont'd)
Site B.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Stone } \end{aligned}$ | No. of L. aperta |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { area }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \\ & \text { Snail } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | alpha | gamma | Total |  |  |
| Bung Kong, week 2 (11 March) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14 | 17 | 31 | 450 | 14.5 |
| 2 | 37 | 30 | 67 | 576 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 43 | 18 | 61 | 980 | 16.1 |
| 4 | 25 | 6 | 31 | 726 | 23.4 |
| 5 | 16 | 15 | 31 | 962 | 31.0 |
| 6 | 31 | 11 | 42 | 609 | 14.5 |
| 7 | 32 | 16 | 48 | 825 | 17.2 |
| 8 | 22 | 38 | 60 | 450 | 7.5 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 704 | 39.1 |
| 10 | 13 | 6 | 19 | 638 | 33.6 |
| Total/ Mean | 242 | 166 | 408 | 6,920 | 17.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bung Kong, week 4 ( 25 March) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 32 | 62 | 94 | 828 | 8.8 |
| 2 | 25 | 78 | 103 | 609 | 5.9 |
| 3 | 56 | 65 | 121 | 432 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 47 | 19 | 66. | 986 | 14.9 |
| 5 | 79 | 52 | 131 | 635 | 4.8 |
| 6 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 990 | 34.1 |
| 7 | 19 | 20 | 39 | 682 | 17.5 |
| 8 | 35 | 21 | 56 | 936 | 16.7 |
| 9 | 19 | 50 | 69 | 1,080 | 15.7 |
| 10 | 13 | 28 | 41 | 494 | 12.1 |
| Total/ Mean | 338 | 411 | 749 | 7,672 | 10.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bung Kong, week 6 (8 Apri1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25 | 40 | 65 | 759 | 11.7 |
| 2 | 46 | 42 | 88 | 1,160 | 13.2 |
| 3 | 19 | 121 | 140 | 805 | 5.8 |
| 4 | 75 | 105 | 180 | 986 | 5.5 |
| 5 | 1 | 26 | 27 | 972 | 36.0 |
| 6 | 4 | 55 | 59 | 630 | 10.7 |
| 7 | 12 | 82 | 94 | 888 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 6 | 103 | 109 | 850 | 7.8 |
| 9 | 35 | 41 | 76 | 525 | 6.9 |
| 10 | 14 | 24 | 38 | 850 | 22.4 |
| Total/ Mean | 237 | 639 | 876 | 8,425 | 9.6 |

Table 1 (Cont'd)
Site B.

| No. of Stane | No. of L. aperta |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { area_(cm²) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \\ & \text { Snail } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1p | gamm | Total |  |  |
| Bung Kong, week 8 (22 Apri1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 78 | 80 | 851 | 10.6 |
| 2 | 21 | 128 | 149 | 598 | 4.0 |
| 3 | 2 | 200 | 202 | 693 | 3.4 |
| 4 | 1 | 90 | 91 | 980 | 10.8 |
| 5 | 27 | 132 | 159 | 962 | 6.1 |
| 6 | 18 | 223 | 241 | 900 | 3.7 |
| 7 | 3 | 70 | 73 | 696 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 13 | 168 | 181 | 864 | 4.8 |
| 9 | 2 | 209 | 211 | 651 | 3.1 |
| 10 | 4 | 83 | 87 | 891 | 10.2 |
| Total/ Mean | 93 | 1,381 | 1,474 | 8,086 | 5.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bung Kong, week 10 (6 May) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 1,050 | 1,061 | 912 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 7 | 293 | 300 | 504 | 1.7 |
| 3 | 2 | 221 | 223 | 992 | 4.4 |
| 4 | 8 | 675 | 683 | 783 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 7 | 241 | 248 | 644 | 2.6 |
| 6 | 0 | 250 | 250 | 910 | 3.6 |
| 7 | 3 | 304 | 307 | 744 | 2.4 |
| 8 | 4 | 413 | 417 | 651 | 1.6 |
| 9 | 29 | 308 | 337 | 891 | 2.6 |
| 10 | 5 | 269 | 274 | 667 | 2.4 |
| Total/ Mean | 76 | 4,024 | 4,100 | 7,698 | 1.9 |

Table 2. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concentration } \\ & (\text { in ppm) } \end{aligned}$ | No. of dead snails No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep_I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep, II |  |
| 0.05 | 0/30 | 1/30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| 0.10 | 1/30 | $8 / 30$ | 3.3 | 26.7 | 15.0 |
| 0.15 | 21/30 | 22/30 | 70.0 | 73.3 | 71.6 |
| 0.20 | 22/30 | 25/30 | 73.3 | 83.3 | 78.3 |
| 0.25 | 24/30 | 25/30 | 80.0 | 83.3 | 81.6 |
| 0.30 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.35 | $30 / 30$ | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 3. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.


Table 4. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm ) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 2/30 | 2/30 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 0.08 | 8/30 | 13/30 | 26.7 | 43.3 | 35.0 |
| 0.16 | 25/30 | 20/30 | 83.3 | 66.7 | 75.0 |
| 0.32 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 5. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration(in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No, of snails expesied |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.05 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.10 | 19/30 | 15/30 | 63.3 | 50.0 | 56.6 |
| 0.15 | 29/30 | 29/30 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 96.7 |
| 0.20 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.25 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.30 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 6. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposure in water and silt 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.05 | 3/30 | 0/30 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 |
| 0.10 | 21/30 | 26/30 | 70.0 | 86.7 | 78.3 |
| 0.15 | 30/30 | 29/30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 0.20 | $30 / 30$ | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.25 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 7. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $1 / 30$ | $1 / 30$ | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.08 | $5 / 30$ | $5 / 30$ | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| 0.16 | $15 / 30$ | $18 / 30$ | 50.0 | 60.0 | 55.0 |
| 0.32 | $25 / 30$ | $25 / 30$ | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| 0.64 | $29 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 96.7 | 100.0 | 98.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to. young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.


Table 9. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.


Table 10. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $2 / 30$ | $2 / 30$ | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 0.08 | $19 / 30$ | $19 / 30$ | 63.3 | 63.3 | 63.3 |
| 0.16 | $28 / 30$ | $28 / 30$ | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.3 |
| 0.32 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table ll. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.10 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.15 | 5/30 | 1/30 | 16.7 | 3.3 | 10.0 |
| 0.20 | 12/30 | 11/30 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 38.3 |
| 0.25 | 15/30 | 14/30 | 50.0 | 46.7 | 48.3 |
| 0.30 | 18/30 | 19/30 | 60.0 | 63.3 | 61.6 |
| 0.35 | 26/30 | 27/30 | 86.7 | 90.0 | 88.3 |
| 0.40 | 27/30 | 27/30 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| 0.45 | 29/30 | 30/30 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 98.3 |
| 0.50 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.55 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 12. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., $25 \%$ a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.


Table 13. Effect of various concentrations of Niclosamide, E.c., 25\% a.i. (Bayluscide) to adult, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I Rep. II |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $1 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.08 | $11 / 30$ | $16 / 30$ | 36.7 | 53.3 | 45.0 |
| 0.16 | $30 / 30$ | $29 / 30$ | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 0.32 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate ( $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \mathrm{SH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | $0 / 30$ | $2 / 30$ | 0.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 |
| 0.4 | $6 / 30$ | $11 / 30$ | 20.0 | 36.7 | 28.3 |
| 0.8 | $13 / 30$ | $20 / 30$ | 43.3 | 66.7 | 55.0 |
| 1.6 | $28 / 30$ | $27 / 30$ | 93.3 | 90.0 | 91.6 |
| 3.2 | $30 / 30$ | $29 / 30$ | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 6.4 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 12.8 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate ( $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.1 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.4 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.8 | $0 / 30$ | 1/30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| 1.6 | 5/30 | 4/30 | 16.7 | 13.3 | 15.0 |
| 3.2 | 10/30 | 10/30 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| 6.4 | 21/30 | 18/30 | 70.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 |
| 12.8 | 28/30 | 24/30 | 93.3 | 90.0 | 86.6 |
| 25.6 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 17. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate (CuSO ${ }_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.1 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.4 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.8 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 1.6 | 2/30 | 4/30 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 10.0 |
| 3.2 | 14/30 | 14/30 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| 6.4 | 28/30 | 23/30 | 93.3 | 76.7 | 85.0 |
| 12.8 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25.6 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 18. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate (CuSO $4{ }_{4} \mathrm{SH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of dead snafls |  | Percentage mortality |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { percentage } \\ \text { mortality } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. IL |  |
| 0.1 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.2 | 3/30 | 1/30 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 6.6 |
| 0.4 | 11/30 | 13/30 | 36.7 | 43.3 | 40.0 |
| 0.8 | 12/30 | 20/30 | 40.0 | 66.7 | 53.3 |
| 1.6 | 21/30 | 25/30 | 70.0 | 83.3 | 76.6 |
| 3.2 | 28/30 | 29/30 | 93.3 | 96.7 | 95.0 |
| 6.4 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 12.8 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 19. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate (CuSO $4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.1 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.4 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.8 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 1.6 | 4/30 | 1/30 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 8.3 |
| 3.2 | 13/30 | 12/30 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 41.6 |
| 6.4 | 22/30 | 21/30 | 73.3 | 70.0 | 71.6 |
| 12.8 | 28/30 | 29/30 | 93.3 | 96.7 | 95.0 |
| 25.6 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 20. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate (CuSO $4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.1 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.4 | 2/30 | 3/30 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 8.3 |
| 0.8 | 15/30 | 15/30 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 1.6 | 27/30 | 24/30 | 90.0 | 80.0 | 85.0 |
| 3.2 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 6.4 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 12.8 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25.6 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 21. Effect of various concentrations of Copper sulphate (CuSO $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Meanpercentagemortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. LI |  |
| 0.1 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.4 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.8 | 3/30 | 1/30 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 6.6 |
| 1.6 | 5/30 | 4/30 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 15.0 |
| 3.2 | 20/30 | 15/30 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 58.3 |
| 6.4 | 24/30 | 22/30 | 80.0 | 73.3 | 76.6 |
| 12.8 | 26/30 | 28/30 | 86.7 | 93.3 | 90.0 |
| 25.6 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 23. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescon) to young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Concentration } \\ (\text { in } p p m) \end{gathered}$ | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { percentage } \\ \text { mortality } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 5/30 | 4/30 | 16.7 | 13.3 | 15.0 |
| 0.04 | 8/30 | 9/30 | 26.7 | 30.0 | 28.3 |
| 0.08 | 18/30 | 17/30 | 60.0 | 56.7 | 58.3 |
| 0.16 | 23/30 | 20/30 | 76.7 | 66.7 | 71.7 |
| 0.32 | 25/30 | 24/30 | 83.3 | 80.0 | 81.6 |
| 0.64 | 28/30 | 28/30 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.3 |
| 1.28 | 30/30 | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2.56 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 24. Effect of various concentration of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescon) to young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { percentage } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.04 | 7/30 | 9/30 | 23.3 | 30.0 | 26.6 |
| 0.08 | 16/30 | 18/30 | 53.3 | 60.0 | 56.6 |
| 0.16 | 20/30 | 22/30 | 66.7 | 73.3 | 70.0 |
| 0.32 | 26/30 | 25/30 | 86.7 | 83.3 | 85.0 |
| 0.64 | 30/30 | 29/30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 1.28 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2.56 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 25. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28 , $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of one hour.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.05 | 3/30 | 3/30 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 0.06 | 6/30 | 9/30 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 |
| 0.07 | 8/30 | 15/30 | 26.7 | 50.0 | 38.3 |
| 0.08 | 14/30 | 20/30 | 46.7 | 66.7 | 56.5 |
| 0.09 | 16/30 | 23/30 | 53.3 | 76.7 | 65.0 |
| 0.10 | 24/30 | 26/30 | 80.0 | 86.7 | 83.3 |
| 0.20 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.40 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.80 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | $\begin{aligned} & 0 / 30 \\ & 0 / 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 30 \\ & 0 / 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1.7$ |

Table 26. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28 , $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.


Table 27. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescon) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.


Table 28. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28 , $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $4 / 30$ | $3 / 30$ | 13.3 | 10.0 | 11.6 |
| 0.08 | $27 / 30$ | $25 / 30$ | 90.0 | 83.3 | 86.6 |
| 0.16 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.32 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 29. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescor) to adult, alphai race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.


Table 30. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of one hour.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.05 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.06 | $2 / 30$ | $2 / 30$ | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 0.07 | $3 / 30$ | $3 / 30$ | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 0.08 | $6 / 30$ | $5 / 30$ | 20.0 | 16.7 | 18.3 |
| 0.09 | $5 / 30$ | $10 / 30$ | 16.7 | 33.3 | 25.0 |
| 0.10 | $10 / 30$ | $14 / 30$ | 33.3 | 46.7 | 40.0 |
| 0.20 | $26 / 30$ | $24 / 30$ | 86.7 | 83.3 | 85.0 |
| 0.40 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3l. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescon) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 6 hours.


Table 32. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, 16.5\% a.i. (Frescon) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 12 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $5 / 30$ | $5 / 30$ | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| 0.04 | $24 / 30$ | $19 / 30$ | 80.0 | 63.3 | 71.6 |
| 0.08 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.16 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.32 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 33. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX $28,16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 3/30 | 2/30 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
| 0.04 | 11/30 | 8/30 | 36.7 | 26.7 | 31.7 |
| 0.08 | 18/30 | 22/30 | 60.0 | 73.3 | 66.6 |
| 0.16 | 27/30 | 25/30 | 90.0 | 83.3 | 86.6 |
| 0.32 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1.28 | $30 / 30$ | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 34. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX $28,16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.


Table 35. Effects of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to adult, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.


Table 36. Effect of various concentrations of Tritylmorpholine, FX 28, $16.5 \%$ a.i. (Frescon) to adult gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta, exposed in water and silt for 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> no. of snails exposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $3 / 30$ | $1 / 30$ | 10.0 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| 0.04 | $14 / 30$ | $10 / 30$ | 46.7 | 33.3 | 40.0 |
| 0.08 | $22 / 30$ | $23 / 30$ | 73.3 | 76.7 | 75.0 |
| 0.16 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.32 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.64 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX V

Table 38. Effect of various concentrations of Sodium pentachlorophenate (NaPCP), $90 \%$ a.i. granules, to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.6 | $5 / 30$ | $12 / 30$ | 16.7 | 40.0 | 28.4 |
| 0.8 | $15 / 30$ | $22 / 30$ | 50.0 | 74.0 | 63.3 |
| 1.0 | $25 / 30$ | $26 / 30$ | 83.3 | 86.7 | 85.0 |
| 1.2 | $30 / 30$ | $29 / 30$ | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 1.4 | $29 / 30$ | $29 / 30$ | 96.7 | 96.7 | 96.7 |
| 1.6 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1.8 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2.0 | $30 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 40. Effect of various concentrations of Yurimin, P. 99, granules, $5 \%$ a.i. to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | $\frac{\text { No. of }}{\text { No. of }}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{snails}}{\mathrm{s} \text { expos }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perc } \\ & \text { mort } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { itage } \\ & \text { inty } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean percentage mortadity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep IL | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.05 | $2 / 30$ | 3/30 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 8.3 |
| 0.10 | 16/30 | 10/30 | 53.3 | 33.3 | 43.3 |
| 0.15 | 25/30 | 27/30 | 83.3 | 90.0 | 86.6 |
| 0.20 | 28/30 | 27/30 | 93.3 | 90.0 | 91.6 |
| 0.25 | 26/30 | 29/30 | 86.7 | 96.7 | 91.7 |
| 0.30 | 27/30 | 30/30 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 |
| 0.35 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.40 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 41. Effect of various concentrations of Yurimin, P. 99, granules, $5 \%$ a.i. to adult, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 48 hours.


Table 42. Effect of various concentrations of Yurimin, P. 99, granules, $5 \%$ a.i. to young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.05 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.06 | 5/30 | $7 / 30$ | 16.7 | 23.3 | 20.0 |
| 0.07 | 14/30 | 19/30 | 46.7 | 63.3 | 55.0 |
| 0.08 | 17/30 | 20/30 | 56.7 | 66.7 | 61.7 |
| 0.09 | 22/30 | 25/30 | 73.3 | 83.3 | 78.3 |
| 0.10 | 28/30 | 30/30 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
| 0.11 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.12 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0.13 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 43. Effect of various concentrations of Yurimin, P. 99, granules, $5 \%$ a.1. to young, gamma race of Ifthoglyphopsis aperta at the exposure time of 48 hours.


Table 45. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 1-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.16 | 2/30 | 0/30 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| 0.32 | 0/30 | 1/30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| 0.64 | 11/30 | $9 / 30$ | 36.7 | 30.0 | 33.3 |
| 1.28 | 13/30 | 12/30 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 41.6 |
| 2.56 | 14/30 | 13/30 | 46.7 | 43.3 | 45.0 |
| 5.12 | 20/30 | 22/30 | 66.7 | 73.3 | 70.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 46. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 2-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.32 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.64 | 14/30 | 12/30 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 43.3 |
| 1.28 | 15/30 | 15/30 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 2.56 | 23/30 | 21/30 | 76.7 | 70.0 | 73.3 |
| 5.12 | 27/30 | 20/30 | 90.0 | 66.7 | 78.3 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 47. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 3-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep.I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 1/30 | $0 / 30$ | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | $2 / 30$ | 0/30 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| 0.32 | $6 / 30$ | 6/30 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| 0.64 | 14/30 | 14/30 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 |
| 1.28 | 14/30 | 18/30 | 46.7 | 60.0 | 53.3 |
| 2.56 | 17/30 | 20/30 | 56.7 | 66.7 | 61.7 |
| 5.12 | 27/30 | 27/30 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| Control | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 48. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellts, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 4-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Concentration } \\ (\text { in } p p m) \end{gathered}$ | No. of of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.32 | 3/30 | 1/30 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 6.6 |
| 0.64 | 11/30 | 14/30 | 36.7 | 46.7 | 41.7 |
| 1.28 | 13/30 | 17/30 | 43.3 | 56.7 | 50.0 |
| 2.56 | 18/30 | 24/30 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 70.0 |
| 5.12 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 49. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 8-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | 1/30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| 0.16 | 0/30 | 2/30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 |
| 0.32 | $2 / 30$ | 2/30 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 0.64 | 21/30 | 8/30 | 70.0 | 26.7 | 48.3 |
| 1.28 | 18/30 | 13/30 | 60.0 | 43.3 | 51.6 |
| 2.56 | $22 / 30$ | 21/30 | 73.3 | 70.0 | 71.6 |
| 5.12 | 27/30 | $30 / 30$ | 90/0 | 100.0 | 95.0 |
| Control | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 50. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met $S R M$ ), at 16 -day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. IT | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.32 | 8/30 | $4 / 30$ | 26.7 | 13.3 | 20.0 |
| 0.64 | 14/30 | 7/30 | 46.7 | 23.3 | 35.0 |
| 1.28 | 18/30 | 20/30 | 60.0 | 66.7 | 63.3 |
| 2.56 | 24/30 | 28/30 | 80.0 | 93.3 | 86.6 |
| 5.12 | 25/30 | 29/30 | 83.3 | 96.7 | 90.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 51. Response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 32 -day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $1 / 30$ | $2 / 30$ | 3.3 | 6.7 | 5.0 |
| 0.16 | 4/30 | 6/30 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 16.6 |
| 0.32 | 10/30 | 8/30 | 33.3 | 26.7 | 30.0 |
| 0.64 | 15/30 | 12/30 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 |
| 1.28 | 21/30 | 18/30 | 70.0 | 60.0 | 65.0 |
| 2.56 | 28/30 | 28/30 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.3 |
| 5.12 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 52. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at l-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.32 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.64 | $2 / 30$ | $4 / 30$ | 6.7 | 13.3 | 10.0 |
| 1.28 | $6 / 30$ | $5 / 30$ | 20.0 | 16.7 | 18.3 |
| 2.56 | $9 / 30$ | $7 / 30$ | 30.0 | 23.3 | 26.6 |
| 5.12 | $10 / 30$ | $10 / 30$ | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |

Table 53. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 2-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of dead snails } \\ & \text { of snails exposed } \end{aligned}$ |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.32 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.64 | 5/30 | 11/30 | 16.7 | 36.7 | 26.7 |
| 1.28 | 5/30 | 2/30 | 16.7 | 6.7 | 11.7 |
| 2.56 | 15/30 | 18/30 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 55.0 |
| 5.12 | 27/30 | 25/30 | 90.0 | 83.3 | 86.6 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 54. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributlytinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 3-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| Concentration <br> (in ppm) | No. of dead snails <br> No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage <br> mortality |  | Mean <br> percentage <br> mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | $1 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.32 | $5 / 30$ | $5 / 30$ | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| 0.64 | $5 / 30$ | $11 / 30$ | 16.7 | 36.7 | 26.7 |
| 1.28 | $11 / 30$ | $22 / 30$ | 36.7 | 73.3 | 55.0 |
| 2.56 | $21 / 30$ | $24 / 30$ | 70.0 | 80.0 | 75.0 |
| 5.12 | $28 / 30$ | $30 / 30$ | 93.3 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contro1 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 55. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 4-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of dead snails No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 1/30 | 1/30 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 0.32 | 2/30 | 3/30 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 8.3 |
| 0.64 | $8 / 30$ | 13/30 | 26.7 | 43.3 | 35.0 |
| 1.28 | 8/30 | 23/30 | 26.7 | 76.7 | 51.7 |
| 2.56 | 27/30 | 28/30 | 90.0 | 93.3 | 91.6 |
| 5.12 | 29/30 | 29/30 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 96.7 |
| Control | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 56. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of
Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at 8-day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | 0/30 | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 8/30 | 0/30 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 13.3 |
| 0.16 | 12/30 | 13/30 | 40.0 | 43.3 | 41.6 |
| 0.32 | 21/30 | 21/30 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 |
| 0.64 | 26/30 | 27/30 | 86.7 | 90.0 | 88.3 |
| 1.28 | 28/30 | 27/30 | 93.3 | 90.0 | 91.6 |
| 2.56 | 29/30 | 27/30 | 96.7 | 90.0 | 93.3 |
| 5.12 | 30/30 | 29/30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 57. Response of young, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met $S$ RM), at 16 -day soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| ```Concentration (in ppm)``` | No. of dead snails |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. $\bar{I}$ | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.16 | 2/30 | 3/30 | 6.7 | 10.0 | 8.3 |
| 0.32 | 5/30 | 6/30 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 18.3 |
| 0.64 | 20/30 | 18/30 | 66.7 | 60.0 | 63.3 |
| 1.28 | 26/30 | 24/30 | 86.7 | 80.0 | 83.3 |
| 2.56 | 30/30 | 29/30 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 98.3 |
| 5.12 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 58. Response of young, famma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations (in ppm) of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM), at $32-\mathrm{day}$ soaking time. The exposure time was 24 hours.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Concentration } \\ (\text { in } p p m) \end{gathered}$ | No. of snails exposed |  | Percentage mortality |  | Mean percentage mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep. I | Rep. II | Rep. I | Rep. II |  |
| 0.01 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.02 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.04 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.08 | $0 / 30$ | $0 / 30$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.16 | 1/30 | 0/30 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 0.32 | $4 / 30$ | 5/30 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 15.0 |
| 0.64 | 12/30 | 9/30 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 35.0 |
| 1. 28 | 27/30 | 24/30 | 90.0 | 80.0 | 85.0 |
| 2.56 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 5.12 | 30/30 | 30/30 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Control | 0/30 | 0/30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 60. Showing response of young, alpha race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations of Tributyltinoxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i., (Bio Met SRM) after exposure times from day 1 to day 33.

| Exposure time (in days) | Control | Concentration of TBTO (in ppm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Day 1 | 0/30 0/30 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 1/30 0/30 | 2/30 0/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 0.00 .0 | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 3.30 .0 | $6.7 \quad 0.0$ |
|  | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.3 |
| Day 2 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 1/30 0/30 | 2/30 0/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.00^{0.0} 0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.00^{0.0}$ | 3.31.6 | $6.7 \quad 0.0$ |
|  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 3.3 |
| Day 3 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 1/30 0/30 | 2/30 0/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.00^{0.0}$ | 3.31.6 | $6.7 \quad 0.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 3.3 |
| Day 4 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 5/30 8/30 | 2/30 5/30 | 1/30 2/30 | 3/30 4/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 0.00 .0 | 16.726 .7 | 6.716 .7 | 3.36 .7 | $10.0 \quad 13.3$ |
|  | 0.0 |  | 21.7 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 11.6 |
| Day 5 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 5/30 10/30 | 4/30 7/30 | 9/30 14/30 | 17/30 14/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 16.733 .3 | 13.323 .3 | $30.0 \quad 46.7$ | 56.7 46.7 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 18.3 | 38.3 | 51.7 |
| Day 6 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 5/30 10/30 | 7/30 7/30 | 10/30 17/30 | 26/30 17/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 16.733 .3 | 23.323 .3 | 33.356 .7 | 86.756 .7 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 45.0 | 71.7 |
| Day 7 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 5/30 | 9/30 12/30 | 11/30 23/30 | 18/30 25/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | 0.00 .0 | 0.016 .7 | $30.0 \quad 40.0$ | 36.7 76.7 | 60.083 .3 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 8.3 | 35.0 | 56.7 | 71.6 | 100.0 |
| ```Exposuretime (in days)``` | Control | Concentration of TBTO (in ppm) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.32 | 0.64 | 1.28 | 2,56 | 5.12 |
| Day 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30^{a} \\ 0.0 & 0.0^{b} \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 0/30 2/30 | 1/30 3/30 | 13/30 6/30 | $4 / 30 \quad 13 / 30$ | 14/30 19/30 |
|  |  | $0.0 \quad 6.7$ | 3.310 .0 | $43.3{ }_{31.7}^{20.0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & 28.3 \end{aligned}$ | $46.7{ }^{63.3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 2 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 2 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 6.7 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 / 30 & 3 / 30 \\ 3.3 & 10.0 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 17 / 30 & 6 / 30 \\ 56.7 & 20.0 \\ 38.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 4 / 30 & 21 / 30 \\ 13.3 & 70.0 \\ 41.7 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 14 / 30 & 19 / 30 \\ 46.7 & 63.3 \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 29 / 30 & 20 / 30 \\ 96.7 & 66.7 \\ 81.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 / 30 & 9 / 30 \\ 20.0 & 30.0 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 29 / 30 & 22 / 30 \\ 96.7 & 73.3 \\ 85.0 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 29 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 96.7 & 100.0 \\ 98.3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 5 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 29 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 96.7 \\ 98.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 29 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 96.7 & 100.0 \\ 98.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 6 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 7 | 0/30 0/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^3]Table 60 (cont'd)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposure time } \\ \text { (in days) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Control | Concentrations of IBTO (in pom) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Day 8 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 9/30 | 13/30 17/30 | 15/30 24/30 | 24/30 27/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | 0.00 .0 | 0.030 .0 | 43.356 .7 | 50.080 .0 | $80.0 \quad 90.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 15.0 | 50.0 | 65.0 | 85.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 9 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 11/30 | 13/30 18/30 | 22/30 26/30 | 24/30 29/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 0.018.3 | 43.360 .0 | 73.3 86.7 | $80.0 \quad 96.7$ | 100.0100 .0 |
|  | 0.0 |  | 51.6 | 80.0 | 88.3 | 100.0 |
| Day 10 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 12/30 | 15/30 19/30 | 22/30 29/30 | 30/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 40.0$ | $50.0 \quad 63.3$ | 73.3 96.7 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 20.0 | 56.6 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 11 | 0/30 0/30 | 10/30.18/30 | 18/30 28/30 | 27/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $33.3{ }^{\circ} 60.0$ | $60.0 \quad 93.3$ | $90.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 46.6 | 76.6 | 95.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 12 | 0/30 0/30 | 10/30 20/30 | 18/30 29/30 | 27/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.00^{0.0}$ | 33.366 .7 | $60.0 \quad 96.7$ | $90.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.0100 .0 |
|  |  | 50.0 | 78.3 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 13 | 0/30 $0 / 30$ | 10/30 20/30 | 18/30 29/30 | 28/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0_{0.0}^{0.0}$ | 33.366 .7 | $60.0 \quad 96.7$ | 93.3100 .0 | 100.0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  |  | 50.0 | 78.3 | 9.96 |  | 100.0 |
| Day 17 | 0/30 0/30 | 10/30 20/30 | 21/30 29/30 | 28/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 33.366 .7 | $70.0 \quad 96.7$ | 93.3100 .0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.0 100.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 50.0 | 83.3 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Exposure time <br> (in days) | Control | Concentrations of TBTO (in $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~Pa}$ |  |  |  | 5.12 |
|  |  | 0.32 |  | 1.28 | 2.56 |  |
| Day 8 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.0 100,0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |  |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Day 9 | 0/30 0/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1 Day 10 | 0/30 0/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 11 | 0/30 0/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | 0.0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.01100 .0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 12 | $0 / 30 \quad 0 / 30$ | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 13 | 0/30 0/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 100.0100 .0 | 100.0 100.0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 17 | 0/30 0/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 100.0100 .0 | 100.0 100.0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.0100 .0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 60 (Cont'd)

| Exposure time(in days) | Control | Concentrations of TBTP (in ppm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Day 18 | $\begin{array}{cr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 11 / 30 & 21 / 30 \\ 36.7 & 70.0 \\ 53.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 22 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 73.3 & 100.0 \\ 86.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \\ 96.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 19 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 12 / 30 & 23 / 30 \\ 40.0 & 76.7 \\ 58.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 22 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 73.3 & 100.0 \\ 86.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \\ 96.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 21 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 12 / 30 & 23 / 30 \\ 40.0 & 76.7 \\ 58.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 23 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 76.7 & 100.0 \\ 88.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \\ 96.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 26 | $\begin{array}{cr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 30 \\ 40.0 \\ 58.3 \\ 56.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{cc} 27 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 90.0 & 100.0 \\ 95.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \\ 96.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 31 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 15 / 30 & 24 / 30 \\ 50.0 & 80.0 \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 27 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 90.0 & 100.0 \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \\ 96.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 33 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 4 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 13.3 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 16.30 & 27 / 30 \\ 53.3 & 90.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 27 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 90.0 & 100.0 \\ 95.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 28 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 93.3 & 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Exposure time (in days) | Control | 0.32 | 0.64 | 1.28 | 2.56 | 5.12 |
| Day 18 | $\begin{array}{cr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ $100.0 \quad 100.0$ 100.0 | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 19 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 21 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 26 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 31 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
| Day 33 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 4 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 13.3 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |

Table 62. Showing response of adult, alpha race of lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations of Tributyl tin oxide (TBTO), pellets, $5.8 \%$ a.i., (Bio Met SRM) after exposure times from day 1 to day 33.


[^4]| Exposure time <br> (in days) | Control | Concentrations of TBTO (in Ppm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Day 8 | 0/30 0/30 | 13/30 17/30 | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 43.3 56.7 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 9 | 0/30 0/30 | 16/30 19/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 53.363 .3 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 58.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 10 | 0/30 0/30 | 20/30 19/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 66.763 .3 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 11 | 0/30 0/30 | 20/30. 24/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 66.780 .0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 12 | 0/30 0/30 | 22/30 24/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 73.380 .0 | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 | 76.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 13 | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \end{array}$ | 23/30 25/30 | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 76.783 .3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0 | 80.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Day 15 | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \end{array}$ | 24/30 25/30 | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 80.083 .3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0 | 81.6 |  |  |  |  |
| ixposure time (in days) | Control | 0.32 10.64 |  | 1.28 | 2.56 | 5.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 8 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 30 \\ & 30 / 30 \\ & 100.0100 .0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 9 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 10 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30$ <br> 100.0100 .0 <br> 100.0 | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 11 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 12 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 13 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $30 / 30$ $30 / 30$ <br> 100.0 100.0 <br> 100.0 100.0100 .0 <br>  100.0 |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 15 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $30 / 30$ $30 / 30$ $30 / 30$ <br> 100.0 100.0 100.0 <br> 1000 100.0  <br> 100.0 100.0  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 64. Showing response of adult, gamma race of Lithoglyphopsis aperta to various concentrations of rributyl tin oxide (TBTO), peliets, $5.8 \%$ a.i. (Bio Met SRM) after exposure times from day 1 to day 10 .

| Exposure rimes <br> (in days | Control | Concentrations of tBTO (in ppm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Day 1 | 0/30 0/30 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0 / 30 \quad 0 / 30$ | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 |
|  | $0.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 .0 | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ |
|  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Day 2 | 0/30 0/30 | 0/30 0/30 | 22/30 20/30 | 25/30 23/30 | 13/30 21/30 | 29/30 29/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ | 73.366 .7 | 83.376 .7 | $43.3 \quad 70.0$ | 96.796 .7 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 80.0 | 56.6 | 96.7 |
| Day 3 |  | $3 / 30 \quad 3 / 30$ | 26/30 28/30 | 27/30 28/30 | 21/30 27/30 | 30/30 20/30 |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{cc} 0.0 & 10.0 \\ 10.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.7 \quad 93.3 \\ 90.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\right\|_{91.6} ^{90.0} 9$ | $70.0 \quad 90.0$ | 100.096 .7 |
|  | 0.0 |  |  | $91.6$ | $80.0$ | 98.3 |
| Day 4 | 0/30 0/30 | $\begin{array}{ccc} 7 / 30 & 10 / 30 \\ 23.3 & 33.3 \\ 28.3 \end{array}$ | 26/30 29/30 | 30/30 29/30 | 28/30 30/30 | 30/30 30/30 |
|  | $0.0 \quad 0.0$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 86.7{ }^{96.7} \\ 91.7 \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \quad 96.7$ |  | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
|  | 0.0 |  |  | 98.3 | $96.6$ | 100.0 |
| i Day 5 | 0/30 0/30 | 17/30 19/30 | 27/30 30/30 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  | $0_{0.0}^{0.0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.763 .3 \\ & 60.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \quad 100.0 \\ & 95.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $100.0$ | $100.0$ | $100.0$ |
| Day 6 | $\begin{array}{ll}0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$ | 19/30 25/30 | 29/30 30/30 | $\begin{array}{ll}30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}30 / 30 & 30 / 30\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100\end{array}$ |
|  |  | $63.3{ }^{73.3} 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.7100 .0 \\ & 98.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.00^{0.0} 0$ |  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Day 7 | $\begin{array}{ll}0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$ | $\begin{cases}22 / 30 & 26 / 30 \\ 3.3 & 86.7 \\ 80.0\end{cases}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 29 / 30 \\ 96.710 / 30 \\ 98.3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ 100.0100 .0 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0 |  |  |  | $100.0$ | $100.0$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposure time } \\ \text { (in days) } \end{gathered}$ | Control | Concentrations of TBTO (in ppm) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.32 | 0.64 | - 1.28 | 2.56 | 5.12 |
| Day 1 | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30^{a} \\ 0.0 & 0.00^{\mathrm{b}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 9 / 30 & 11 / 30 \\ 30.0 & 36.7 \\ 33.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 21 / 30 & 12 / 30 \\ 70.0 & 40.0 \\ 55.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 25 / 30 & 21 / 30 \\ 83.3 & 70.0 \\ 76.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 27 / 30 & 22 / 30 \\ 90.0 & 73.3 \\ 81.6 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 2 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0 / 30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 23 / 30 & 23 / 30 \\ 76.7 & 76.7 \\ 76.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 30 \\ 93.3 \\ 97 / 30 \\ 91.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0.30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 30 \quad 29 / 30 \\ 93.3 \quad 96.7 \\ 95.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 29 / 30 & 29 / 30 \\ 96.7 & 96.7 \\ 96.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0.30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ & 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 5 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 / 30 & 0.30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 90 \\ & 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 6 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0.30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ & 100.0100 .0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100^{\circ} .0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 7 | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.30 & 0.30 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 30 \quad 30 / 30 \\ & 100.0 \quad 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 30 / 30 & 30 / 30 \\ 100.0 & 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table 64. (Cont'd)


Table 64. (Cont'd)



[^0]:    Fig. $28 .--M e a n$ measurements of beta $\underline{\text { L }}$ aperta from time
    of hatching to age of 22 weeks.

[^1]:    ND $=$ Not done.

[^2]:    

[^3]:    $a=$ number of dead snails/number of snails tested
    $b \Rightarrow$ percentage mortality
    $c=m e a n ~ p e r c e n t a g e ~ m o r t a l i t y ~$

[^4]:    a $=$ number of dead snails/number of snails tested
    $b=p e r c e n t a g e$ mortality
    $c=$ mean percentage mortality

[^5]:    $a=$ number of dead snails/number of snails tested
    $b=p e r c e n t a g e$ mortality
    $c=m e a n$ percentage mortality

