PRICING OF URBAN WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES

WATER SUPPLY & SANITATION COLLABORATIVE COUNCIL WORKING GROUP ON URBANISATION

(AUGUST 92)

S. S. PATWARDHAN
M.E. (P.H.) M.I.E. M.I.P.H.E. D.B.M.
F.I.W.W.A., M.I.W.S.A. (OND)
MEMBER SECRETARY
MAHARASHTRA WATER SUPPLY & SEWERAGE BOARD,
EXPRESS TOWER, NARIMAN POINT,
BOMBAY - 400 021, INDIA.

PRICING OF URBAN WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES

INDEX

Sr.No.	PARTICULARS	PAGE NO.
1.	INTRODUCTION	1 - 1
II.	PRESENT POLICY OF FINANCING WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES	1 - 2
III.	LOANS FOR WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES	3 - 5
IV.	LOANS FROM OPEN MARKET BORROWINGS	5 - 5
v.	LOANS FROM HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION [HUDCO] NEW DELHI	5 - 6
vi.	LOANS FROM WORLD BANK	6 - 6
vII.	LOANS FROM STATE GOVERNMENT	6 - 6
VIII.	NON-PLAN LOAN FROM GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA.	6 - 7
IX.	GUARANTEE OF LOANS FROM . GOVERNMENT.	7 - 7
х.	RATE OF INTEREST AND METHOD OF REPAYMENT	7 - 9
xI.	IMPACT ON COST OF WATER	9 - 10
a l	Direct Charges	9 - 9
b 1	.Indirect Charges	9 - 10
XII.	CASE STUDIES	10 - 17
Α.	Dhule Water Supply Scheme	10 - 10
в.	Water Demand	10 - 11
c.	Source	11 - 11
D.	Financial Pattern of the Scheme	11 - 11
Е.	Operational Charges [Direct charges]	11 - 12
F.	Indirect Charges	12 - 12
a.	Interest on LIC Loan	12 - 12
b .	Interest charges on OMB Loans	12 - 12

Sr.No.	PARTICULARS	PAGE NO.
c.	Repayment of principal of LIC Loan	13 - 13
d.	Repayment of OMB Loan	13 - 13
G.	Effect of Indirect Charges on Production Rate.	13 - 13
н.	Present Price Levels in Major Towns.	14 - 15
I.	Analysis of Indirect Charges	15 - 16
J.	Present Taxation Level and Proposal of Taxation for Water Supply.	16 - 17
XIII.	RESTRUCTURING OF THE FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS.	17 - 17
xiv.	ALTERNATIVES OF RENDERING ASSISTANCE TO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL FOR THE FIRST 15 YEARS.	18 - 21
a.	No subsidy for direct charges	18 - 18
b.	Grant-In-Aid for capital works	18 - 18
C.	Source of Water Supply Scheme	18 - 19
d.	Co-relation of Grant-In-Aid with reference to distance from the source.	20 - 20
e.	Increase in the Grant-In-Aid Components with reference to the distance from the source.	20 - 21
xv.	WATER RATES IN RELATION TO THE DISTANCE FROM SOURCE	22 - 22
XVI.	ANALYSIS OF THE RATES	22 - 23
a.	Direct Charges	22 - 22
b .	Indirect Charges	22 - 23
XVII.	SUBSIDY FOR REPAYMENT OF LOANS AND INTERESTS	23 - 24
xvIII.	ASSISTANCE RENDERED BY GOVERNMENT FOR 'C' CLASS MUNICIPAL COUNCILS.	24 - 25

Sr.No.	PARTICULARS	PAGE NO.
XIX.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE	25 - 28
a.	Loan for payment of interest for the first five years and then 50% for the next five years.	25 - 27
b.	Proposed terms and repayment of Government Loan.	27 - 28
XX.	PROPOSED WATER RATE FOR TAXATION.	28 - 29
XXI.	PROPOSALS TO MEET THE DEFICIT IN THE YEAR 2003.	29 - 31
xxII.	COMPARISON OF POOLED RATES OF RATES OF MAHARASHTRA WATER SUPPLY & SEWERAGE BOARD	31 - 32
xxIII.	SOURCE DEVELOPMENT - SHARING OF COST WITH IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT.	32 - 32
xxiv.	RECOVERIES OF LOANS AND WATER SUPPLY BILLS FROM THE LOCAL BODIES.	33 - 33
xxv.	POPULAR CONTRIBUTION OF 10% BY MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & COUNCILS	34 - 34
. IVXX	PRICE ESCALATION	34 - 34
XXVII	CONCLUSION	35 - 36
	LIST OF STATEMENTS	37 - 38
,	ANNEXTURES I	54 - 55
	ANNEXTURE II	56 - 59

PRICING OF URBAN WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES

LIST OF TABLE

Table No.	Particulars	Page No.
1	2	3
I	Financing of urban water supply scheme	02
II	LIC Loan pattern sliding scale	03
111	Eligibility of LIC Loan	04
IV	Rate of interest and Method of Repayment	08
v	Present Price Level in Major Towns	14
VI	Production Rate	16
VII	Year-wise Losses For Varing Water Rate	17
VIII	Cost Of Water Supply Scheme Of Some OF The Town & The Rising Main Required For.	19
IX	Cost Details of Dhule Water Supply Scheme	21
X	Cost Details of Dhule Water Supply Scheme For Varing Length of Rising Main	21
XI	Production Rate Due To Indirect Charges	22
XIa	Final Production Rate	23
XII	Production Rate When 100 % Subsidy For First 5 year & 50 % There After For Next Five Year	26
XIII	Comparison of Above Production Rate With Water Rate due to Present Pattern	27
XIV	Surplus Deficit Due To Proposed Water rate	28
xv	Accumulated Surplus If Invested @ 13 %	30
xvi	Dues With Various Municipal Council	33

PRICING OF URBAN WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES

I Introduction :-

With the rapid urbanisation in the Country in the recent years, the demand for water supply and other services has increased The augmentation of the facilities in this regard, many-fold. however, could not keep upto the required pace. The launching of Water Supply Development Decade Programme 1981-90 is a It has given new impetus and helped to promote a wider event. appreciation of the growth needs of water supply sectors. major hurdle is the inadequate flow of capital funds for the sector. The percentage share of investment of urban water supply of the Country was 1.77% during the Fourth Five Year Plan, decreased to 1.40% in Fifth Plan and again marginally increased to 1.81% and 1.65% in Sixth and Seventh Plans respectively. corresponding figures of Maharashtra are -

al Fifth FYP 4.36%

bl Sixth FYP 6.06%

cl Seventh FYP 9.37%

II. Present Policy of Financing Water Supply Schemes :-

Eventhough provisions are made for water supply schemes in the Govt. budget, it is necessary to take into account the various other sources [including plan and non-plan] for financing the water supply systems. An understanding of the present financing of the project is very essential to arrive at the policy of pricing of the systems.

As per the Maharashtra Municipal Act, 1965 the responsibility of adequate supply of water is vested with the Municipal authorities. Since the local bodies are not in a position to undertake the high

SSK

expensive schemes of water supply, Govt. of Maharashtra is subsidising the cost of the schemes by way of grant in aid. The other sources of financing the schemes are loans from [i] Life Insurance Corporation [LIC], [ii] Housing and Urban Development Corporation [HUDCO], [iii] Open Market Borrowings [OMB] by Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board and Municipal Corporations.

The present financing pattern of urban water supply systems is shown in Table No.I.

TABLE I

Class of Council/ Corporation	Grant-In-Aid by State Govt	Loan from LIC & other sources	Popular Contribu- tion
Muni. Corporations. & A Class Municipal Towns [population more than 75000]	23.33%	66.67%	10%
B Class Municipal Towns [population more than 30000 to 75000]	40.00%	50.00%	10%
C Class Municipal Towns [population 15000 to 30000]	50.00%	50.00%	NIL
C Class Municipal Towns [population less than 15000]	100.00%	NIL	NIL

^{(%} relates to the cost
 of schemes)

No GIA is given by Government to Bombay Municipal Corporation.

To restrict the demands from all municipal councils and to create a sense of belonging and their participation, an element of 10% popular contribution has been maintained in the financial pattern for all councils except 'C' Class Councils (Adequate installments are allowed by Govt. to pay the popular contribution).

III. Loans for Water Supply Schemes :-

As the grant-in-aid is limited, LIC has shouldered the major responsibility of financing the water supply systems. However, it is important to note that LIC does not finance the full requirement of the loan component. The financing is on the sliding scale which is indicated in Table No. II.

TABLE II

Estimated c	ost of the scheme	Loan from LIC.
Upto Rs.	10 million	66.67%
Next Rs.	10 million	50.00%
Next Rs.	30 million	40.00%
Beyond Rs.	50 million	25.00%

From the pattern of financing of the schemes of LIC, it could be seen that LIC prefers to finance schemes of moderate costs by extending a greater share of the cost of the schemes. Thus if the scheme is estimated to cost Rs. 50 million, LIC will finance the scheme as follows:-

	TOTAL	- Rs.23.67 million
3]	For the balance 30 million	- Rs.12.00 million
2]	For the next 10 million	- Rs. 5.00 million
1]	For the first 10 million	- Rs. 6.67 million

Thus the % of loan component from LIC for a scheme costing Rs. 50 million works out to 47.35%. The Table No.III indicates the availability of LIC loans for the schemes with estimated cost from Rs.50.00 million to Rs.800.00 million. [The estimated cost of augmentation of water supply scheme of Solapur Municipal Corporation is about Rs.750.00 million]

TABLE III

Estimated cost of the scheme	Eligibility of LIC loan amount	% with reference to estimated cost
Rs. 50.00 million	Rs. 23.7 million	47.35%
Rs.100.00 million	Rs. 36.2 million	36.20%
Rs.200.00 million	Rs. 61.2 million	30.60%
Rs.300.00 million	Rs. 86.2 million	28.73%
Rs.400.00 million	Rs.111.2 million	27.80%
Rs.500.00 million	Rs.136.2 million	27.24%
Rs.600.00 million	Rs.161.2 million	26.86%
Rs.700.00 million	Rs.186.2 million	26.60%
Rs.800.00 million	Rs.211.2 million	26.40%

Thus it will be seen that the LIC loan has a limited role to play and LIC is not taking up the complete responsibility of financing the entire loan portion. For schemes costing Rs.300 million, the loan component shared is only 28.70% and the balance loan component i.e. 66.67 - 28.70 = 37.97% has to be met out from the open market borrowings.

LIC, as a special case, is rendering the finance from non-plan loan, where the financing is limited to 50% cost of the scheme. However, for such schemes plan loan is not eligible.

For schemes costing more than Rs.100.00 million, prior technical approval of Govt. of India is essential. For schemes estimated to cost more than Rs.50 million, LIC does not finance cost over runs. The price escalation component, if included in the scheme, is taken into account while granting the loan. LIC examines each scheme proposal & the loan is granted for specific schemewise in installments depending upon the progress of work, and taking into

account the matching provisions made by Government for Grant-In-Aid component.

LIC loans are not released directly to Municipal Councils but the loan is released to the respective State Boards, for spending on the execution of the specific schemes.

LIC does not finance the water supply and sewage disposal schemes if the same are to be executed by the municipal councils/corporations. LIC insists on execution of schemes by State Boards.

IV. Loans from Open Market Borrowings :-

To meet the deficit of the loan component, Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board is permitted by Govt. to raise the loans from open market borrowings in the form of bonds. This loan is guaranteed by Government and this loan also forms a part of the annual plan of Government of Maharashtra. The loan is raised with the permission of the Reserve Bank of India. The distribution of loan to the individual scheme depending upon the availability of LIC loan is decided by MWSSB.

V. Loans from Housing and Urban Development Corporation [HUDCO], New Delhi.

HUDCO has started financing to the water supply schemes. Each scheme to be financed is examined critically by HUDCO from both technical point of view and also from financial repayment point of view. This loan is outside the plan of the State Govt.

As per the present policy of HUDCO, the financing is limited to 70% of the cost of the scheme with the ceiling limits of Rs. 50 million for towns with population of half million, and Rs. 100

million for towns with population from half million to one million and Rs.200 million for population of towns from one to one and half million.

For special projects for which HUDCO is obtaining assistance from external Govt. [e.g. Japan], such limit on amount of financing the loan is not applicable. But the other terms, like rate of interest, repayment period, etc. remain the same for all loans financed by HUDCO.

VI. Loans from World Bank :-

World Bank renders assistance to Government of India for financing the water supply and sanitation schemes. However, such scheme is to be posed to the World Bank through the Govt. of India, and adequate plan provision in the State plan for Grant-In-Aid and also for the loan has to be assured. Even though this is a soft loan, the advantage mainly goes to Govt. of India, and for the municipal councils, it is treated as a Govt. loan purely on the lines of LIC particularly for rate of interest and repayment schedule.

VII. Loans from State Government :-

As stated above, the loan from World Bank to water supply and sanitation schemes is treated as loan from Government which is accounted for on the same terms and conditions as those of LIC prevailing during that year.

VIII. Non-Plan Loan from Government of Maharashtra :-

To assist the 'C' Class municipal councils in repayment of LIC loan, for the first five years, loan is given to the 'C' Class municipal council by State for the exact amount of installment of interest and capital as per the repayment schedule of L.I.C. For

'C' Class municipal councils with population of less than 15,000 [as per the 1971 census] the complete responsibility of repayment of LIC loan is taken by Government. [Presently, these schemes are financed with 100% Grant-In-Aid from Government of Maharashtra]

For 'C' Class municipal councils with population of more than 15,000 for the first five years from the drawal of LIC loan, the State Government sanctions the amount for the repayment of LIC loan with interest in full.

After completion of five years the municipal council has to pay each year only 50% of the LIC dues and 50% will be released by the State Government as Government loans for repayment to LIC.

The loan rendered by Government will be recovered from the municipal councils with effect from 20th year of drawal of LIC loan and the repayment will be completed in subsequent twenty years. [Ref Government (UD&PH) GR No. LON-1076/287/UD-22-5 dated 19th Sept., 1978]

As the L.I.C. loan is raised by MWSSB on behalf of municipal schemes, the respective amount of repayment thereof is released by Govt. to the MWSSB and the repayment to LIC is made by MWSSB.

IX. Guarantee of Loans from Government. :-

The financial institutions insist that loans to be given to the State Boards have to be guaranteed by the respective State Govt.

In Maharashtra State, Government charges 1% guarantee fee to be paid each year on the outstanding balance of the loans.

X. Rate of Interest and Method of Repayment :-

The various types of loans with their conditions of financing,

rate of interest & moratorium period is summarised in the table ${\tt No.IV}$ below.

TABLE IV

Name of Financing Institute	Limit of finance in relation to cost of the scheme	Mora- torium period	Rate of interest including govt. Guarantee fee of 1% & service charges if any.	Total Repayment period.	Remarks
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
L.I.C.	66.67% to 26.4% as per Table II & III	Three years	13%	25 years including moratorium [i.e. 22 yrs in equal annual installments]	Interest to be paid six monthly on the out- standing balance loan.
L.I.C. [Non- plan]	50%	Three years	15% for the first two years and 16% for the remaining	25 years including moratorium period [i.e. 22 yrs in equal annual installments]	Interest to be paid quarterly on outstanding balance.
HUDCO	70% cost of the scheme or maximum Rs. 50 million for population upto half million and maximum upto 100 million for population upto 1 mill and upto Rs.200 mill for populat upto 2 mill	ion ion ion	14%	15 years including moratorium period of 3 yrs for construction li.e. in 12 years in equal annual installments.}	Interest to be paid quarterly

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Open Market Borrowing	No specific limit. Mainly treated as a gap loan	Three years	14%	20 years including 3 years moratorium	Interest on the total amount of loan to be paid six monthly and full capital to be repaid at end of the loan period [i.e. 20 yrs]

World Bank

40 to 50% of the cost.

Other terms same as LIC, since the repayment is to be made by Muni. Council to Govt. and not to World Bank.

Govt. Loan

Available to only 'C' class municipal councils limited to LIC repayment amount including interest. For first five years to full cost and for remaining 20 years, 50% of the repayment instalments of LIC including interest. The repayment of Govt. loan starts from 20th year of drawal of LIC loan and will be completed in subsequent twenty years.

XI. Impact on Cost of Water :-

The expenditure on the running of water works is classified in two heads.

al Direct Charges

These include the energy charges to be paid to Electricity Board [MSEB] for running of pumps etc., the establishment charges of the staff employed on the scheme, the maintenance and repairs charges on the various components of the schemes, the charges for the various chemicals used such as Alum, Chlorine etc. On an average, the energy charges work out to about 50 to 75% of the direct charges.

bl <u>Indirect</u> Charges

The indirect charges mainly consist of repayment of various loans and interests, the depreciation for the scheme, the rate of return on the total investment etc.

It is a fact that the depreciation account is not maintained by the various municipal councils and corporations, and they again approach Government for assistance under the name of improvement/augmentation of the schemes etc. Further the rate of return of 4 to 6% on the investment which the World Bank recommends is hardly earned and separately reserved by the municipal councils.

It is specifically to point out that the <u>indirect charges</u> make the schemes inviable and therefore a serious consideration has to be given as to how this burden can be reduced to make the water supply schemes viable and self-supporting.

XII. Case Studies :-

To know the impact of direct and indirect charges on the pricing of water supply systems, the examples of few water supply schemes have been analysed in detail. These are schemes administratively approved by Government of Maharashtra and the responsibility of maintenance of the schemes including repayment of loan has been accepted by the respective municipal councils. These schemes have been executed by Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board.

[A] Dhule Water Supply Scheme :-

Dhule is 'A' class municipal town. The water supply scheme is estimated to cost Rs. 440 million and the scheme is in the advance stage of construction. Water supply is expected to be commissioned in April, 1993.

[B] Water Demand :-

The domestic water supply demand for the year 1993 has been worked out to 36.00 MLD and the same is expected to reach to 81.00 MLD in 2016 while the industrial demand expected is 2.00 MLD in 1993, and 20 MLD in 2016.

The town has an existing water supply scheme which yield 23 MLD. The statement showing the expected prospective population and the total expected demand for water supply is shown in Statement No.I.

[C] Source

The new scheme envisages of the source of water as Tapi river which is at a distance of 40.90 km [referred as 40 km in all The water is pumped by 2500 HP references! from the town. [working horse powers] raw water and 2000 HP [working horse powers] pure water pumps through mild steel rising mains of 1000 mm dia.

[D] Financial Pattern of the Scheme :-

Total estimated cost

Rs. 44.00 million

Rs.440.00 million.

- [a] 10% share to be borne by the municipal council from its own income [Popular Contribution]
- (b) Grant-In-Aid from Govt. at 23.33%
- Loan from LIC
- Non-plan. [d] Loan from O.M.B.

(c)

- Rs.102.65 million
- Rs.192.00 million
- Rs.101.35 million

Because of inadequate flow in the Tapi River, a proposal to build weir at Sulwade (i.e. near the drawal point) is under the consideration of Government. The estimated cost is about million, but Dhule Municipal Council has not accepted the financial responsibility of the project of construction of weir and hence this cost has not been considered in the present study.

[E] Operational Charges [Direct charges] :-

The operational charges which include the energy establishment charges, charges for raw water to be paid to the Irrigation Deptt., charges for chemicals etc. have been worked out for each year right from the year 1993 to 2016 vide Statement No.II.

It will be seen from the statement that the total direct charges work out to Rs.15.52 million in the year 1993 and Rs.68.79 million in the year 2016.

The production rate of water considering the only direct charges works out to Rs.2.83 per 1000 liters in the year 1993 and Rs.2.41 per 1000 liters in the year 2014. This is because as the demand increase the overhead charges tend to reduce.

The energy charges are about 70% to 82.5% of the total direct charges.

[F] Indirect Charges :-

The indirect charges (as worked out in Statement III consist of mainly -

al <u>Interest on LIC loan</u> -

The interest on LIC plan loan, is to be paid on 1st June and 1st December every year. This interest is to be paid on the outstanding loan amount. Since non-plan loan has been given by LIC to this scheme, the interest is to be paid quarterly. The interest in the year 1993 to be paid to LIC is Rs.33.70 million.

bl <u>Interest charges on OMB Loan</u> -

The interest on OMB loan is to be paid every six monthly from the date of drawal of loan [Normally in March and September]. Since the loan [capital] is repaid after 19 years, the interest charges remain constant i.e. [Rs.17.79 million/year] throughout 19 years.

cl Repayment of principal of LIC Loan -

The LIC loan is to be repaid in 22 years equal installments after the moratorium period of 3 years. The repayment starts from the year 1993 and will continue upto the year 2014. The amount to be paid to LIC each year for 1993 to 2014 is constant i.e. Rs.10.94 million.

dl Repayment of OMB Loan -

The repayment of OMB loan is to be made at the end of 19 years from the date of drawal of the loan. This is done by creating a sinking fund at the interest rate of 14% for a period of 19 years. For this purpose, an amount of Rs.1.98 million is to be deposited in the sinking fund account every year for the period of 19 years which earns 14% interest on amount deposited in the bank.

[G] Effect of Indirect Charges on Production Rate. :-

The Statement No. III indicates the details of production of water as per the demand each year from 1993, and the details of payments to be made each year of interest on LIC, installment of repayment of LIC loan, interest on OMB, and the sinking fund investment to be made for repayment of OMB loan.

THE PRODUCTION RATE OF WATER CONSIDERING ONLY THE INDIRECT CHARGES WORKS OUT TO RS.11.73 PER 1000 LITERS IN THE YEAR 1993 WHICH DIMINISHES TO RS.0.64 IN THE YEAR 2012 WHEN THE REPAYMENT OF OMB LOAN WOULD BE OVER AND FURTHER THE LOAN LIABILITY OF L.I.C LOAN WOULD BE OVER BY THE YEAR 2014 AND THE IMPACT OF LOAN ON THE PRODUCTION RATE ENDS AFTER THE REPAYMENT OF LIC LOAN.

The statement also shows the impact of LIC loan and OMB loan on the production rate.

[H] Present Price Levels in Major Towns :-

The table below shows the present pricing of water supply schemes in important towns.

TABLE V

			4	
Sr. No.	Name of Town	Rate per 1000 lits. for Domestic use [in Rs.]	Rate per 1000 lits. for non- Domestic use [in Rs.]	REMARKS
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1.	Bombay	0.60 to 1.00	6.00 to 10.00	
2.	Pune	1.30	6.00	
3.	MWSSB) Water Works) Aurangabad) Akola) Chandrapur) Amravati) Yeotmal) Satara)	2.10	10.20	MWSSB charges uniform rates for towns [pooled rate concept]
4.	MWSS Board Water Works CIDCO Area. [NSPT Water W	4.00 orks]	4.00	* MWSSB maintains separate account for NSPT Water works. There is
5.	Thane MC	0.90	6.50	no non-domestic supply directly
6.	Nasik MC	1.00	4.00	by MWSSB.
7.	Solapur MC	0.60 . to 0.85	1.80	
8.	Aurangabad M.	C.1.15	4.00	
9.	Nagpur MC	2.00	12.00	

The above figures have been specifically indicated so as to have a proper comparison of the pricing arrived for the new scheme Viz. Dhule Water Supply Scheme.

[I] Analysis of Indirect charges :-

Statement No. III specifies the details of the loan impact on the cost of production. It will be seen from the statement that the rate per 1000 liters <u>due to OMB loan and interest</u> is only 3.60 per 1000 liters and as the water consumption starts increasing, it reduces to Rs.1.66 per 1000 liters in the year 1998 and by the year 2012, the impact is zero since the complete OMB loan is repaid.

For LIC loan, the installment for repayment of principal is a fixed one i.e. Rs.10.94 million, but, the interest to be paid in the year 1993, is Rs.33.7 million which diminishes to Rs.26 million in the year 1998 and by the year 2015 it reduces to zero, since complete repayment of LIC loan would be over.

The water rates <u>due to impact of LIC loans only works</u> out to Rs.8.13 per 1000 liters in the year 1993, reduces to Rs.3.11 per 1000 liters in the year 1998 and to Rs.0.64 in the year 2012.

The Statement No. IV indicates the production rate of water year wise considering the direct and indirect charges. It will be seen from this statement that the total production rate in the year 1993 works out Rs.14.56 per 1000 liters, which reduces to Rs.7.33 per 1000 liters in 1998 and then reduces to Rs.4.00 per 1000 liters in the year 2011, Table No. VI below abstracts the production rate at different stages of operation of the scheme.

TABLE VI

Rate in Rs. per 1000 lits.

YEAR	Production rate due to indirect charges	Production rate due to direct charges	Final Production rate
1993	11.73	2.83	14.56
1998	4.78	2.56	7.33
2003	3.09	2.50	5.59
2008	2.08	2.46	4.54
2013	0.56	2.43	2.99
2016	0.00	2.41	2.41

[J] Present Taxation Level and Proposal of Taxation for water supply

The present rate of taxation of Dhule Municipal Council is approximately Rs.0.50 per 1000 liters for domestic use and Rs.4 per 1000 liters for non-domestic use. It is impracticable to increase the water rate to Rs.14.56 per 1000 liters in the year 1993. Moreover, if this level of taxation is maintained for 15 years or so, there will be too much of surplus which is useful only for academic discussions since the Dhule municipal council will not levy the water charges of Rs.14.56 per 1000 liters. The main difficulty is to generate resources in the first 7-8 years to enable to make the repayment of loans and payment of interest in time.

Various trials have been taken on computer for the domestic rate of Rs.3.00 and to Rs.4, Rs.5 or Rs.6, per 1000 liters. The non domestic rate has been assumed as four times the industrial rate. [Statement V to Statement No. VIII]

It will be seen from the statements that the scheme starts

yielding surplus revenue by the year 2007 for the domestic water rate of Rs.3 per 1000 liters and in the year 2001 with the rate of Rs.4 per 1000 liters and in the year 1999 with the rate of Rs.5 per 1000 liters and so on as indicated in Table No. VII.

TABLE VII

1000	ltrs.	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Dome- stic Rs.	Non- Dome- stic. Rs.	•							
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
3.00	12.00	56.90	54.99	53.07	51.41	38.86	35.07	33.30	31.32
4.00	16.00	49.22	46.77	44.34	42.13	24.67	17.71	15.31	12.22
5.00	20.00	41.54	38.56	35.58	32.85	10.48	0.35	_	-
6.00	24.00	33.85	30.34	26.84	23.58	_	-	_	_

The losses with such high rates are so heavy that the municipality will never be able to come out of its debt position which ultimately results in non payment of installments and interest of the various loans.

XIII. Restructuring of the financial arrangements. :-

With the above analysis, one has to come to the positive conclusion that the level of taxation proposed to make the scheme self-supporting right from the year of commissioning is practically impossible. However, the scheme can become viable if some assistance is rendered for the first 10 to 15 years or so. Therefore, the thinking has to be diverted as to, in what form this assistance can be rendered.

XIV. Alternatives of rendering assistance to Municipal Councils for the first 15 years.

[a] No subsidy for direct charges. :-

Before discussing this issue further, one should have a firm view that for direct charges there should not be any subsidy from Government including that for energy charges. Further there is an indirect subsidy of Dearness Allowance of grants to the staff employed by the Municipal Councils against the sanctioned posts. This has not been accounted for since it has a very marginal bearing on the cost of production.

[b] Grant-In-Aid for capital works :-

The present policy of Grant-In-Aid to be given by the State Govt. has been detailed out in Table No. I [Page 2]. It is interesting to note that the grant-in-aid has only a relation to the class of municipality. It does not take into account the financial position of the Municipal Councils or the cost of the scheme. For example, for Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation, which is known as the richest Corporation, the Grant-In-Aid is limited to 23.33% and the same percentage is applicable to Dhule Municipal Councils also the financial position of which is not so sound. It is experienced that outcome of pointing out such discrepancies results in reducing the Grant-In-Aid for financially sound municipal bodies such as Pimpri Chinchwad, but, it does not result in increasing the Grant-In-Aid portion to other deserving municipal councils.

[cl Source of Water Supply Scheme -

Because of the increase in the industrial activity, agricultural activity, no rivers and nallas in Maharashtra can be called as a dependable and perennial source of water. Every where the release of water has to be assured from the storage of Irrigation Dams.

In view of this, Irrigation Department is insisting for tapping the source directly from the dam. This has resulted in increasing the distance of pipe lines upto the town.

The Table No.VIII indicates the distance of sources from the towns in kilo-meters and pipe lines have to be laid from the source upto the towns for carrying the water. Recent shortage of water [i.e. Summer 1992] for towns of Nasik, Kopargaon, Yewala, have proved the necessity of laying direct pipelines from Dam. This is mainly required to avoid wastages of water in canal and also to control authorised and unauthorised lifting of water on way during releases of water particularly when done only for drinking purposes.

TABLE VIII

Sr. No.	Name of Town	Class of Municipal Council	pipe	th of line source own.	Approximate Cost in million
l.	2.	3.	4 .	•	5.
1.	Solapur	Corporation	103 1	<m< td=""><td>745.4</td></m<>	745.4
2.	Barshi-Kurduwadi	'B & C'	67 k	cm	236.7
3.	Jalna	' A '	60 k	cm	182.4
4.	Amravati	Corporation	55 k	cm	780.0
5.	Aurangabad	Corporation	50 k	cm	272.7
6.	Bhayandar	' B '	50 k	cm	140.0
7.	Dhule	' A '	40 H	cm	440.0
8.	Vasai-Virar [New Scheme]	'B & C'	40 k	cm	1500.0
9.	Akola	' A '	34 k	cm	310.0
0.	Sangola	'C-1'	33 k	c m	45.8

[d] <u>Co-relation of Grant in aid with reference to distance from the source</u>

As per the present financing pattern of the water supply schemes pointed out earlier, the Grant-In-Aid to be given by the Government is related only to the class of municipal council. It has no relation to the cost of the scheme which increases considerably due to long lengths of the pipelines to be laid from the source of water.

For instance, for Pune water supply scheme, the source of water is Khadakwasala Canal which flows through the city only, the pipeline to be laid from the source is only few meters. The Grant-In-Aid for the water supply scheme based on the source in the town is 23.33% only, while the Grant-In-Aid for Solapur Municipal Corporation which has to bring water through a pipe line from a distance over 100 km [Ujani Dam] is also limited to 23.33%.

[e] Increase in the Grant-In-Aid Components with reference to the distance from the source.

To know the exact impact of distance from the source of water to the main distribution point in the town, the cost of Dhule water supply scheme was worked out for various distances. At present the actual distance is 40.9 km.[say 40 km]. The cost of the scheme has been worked out for the distance of 30 km, 20 km and 10 km. The reduction in cost is mainly due to the reduction in cost of the pipe line. The diameters of the pipe lines remain the same. There is an impact of reduction of frictional losses in the pipeline, but since this is marginal, has not been taken into account while working out the cost. The cost of other structures like intake works, Filtration plants, elevated service reservoirs etc., have no bearing on the distance of source. The Table No. IX below gives the various costs with reference to the source.

proportional Grant-In-Aid and the loan component as admissible has also been indicated.

TABLE IX

DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

[All figures rupees in million]

Sr. No.	Distance from source	Estimated cost	G.I.A.	L.I.C. non- plan loan	O.M.B. Loan	P.C.	REMARKS
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	40.00 km	440.00	102.67	192.00	101.33	44.00	
2.	30.00 km	389.55	90.90	192.00	67.70	38.96	
3.	20.00 km	342.85	80.00	171.42	57.14	34.29	
4.	10.00 km	296.14	69.10	148.07	49.36	29.61	

If it is proposed to share the excess cost by Government, the amount to be shared by Govt. and % with reference to the cost of the scheme is detailed in Table No. X.

TABLE X
[All figures rupees in million]

Sr. No.	Distance from source	Total cost	Reduction in cost with ref. to sanc- tioned scheme	G.I.A. at 23.33% of esti- mated cost	Total amount to be shared by Govt.	% with ref.to the estimated cost of 40km scheme	REMARKS
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	40 km	440.00	NIL	102.67	102.67	23.33%	
2.	30 km	389.55	50.45	90.90	141.34	32.12%	
3.	20 km	342.85	97.15	80.00	177.15	40.26%	
4.	10 km	296.14	143.86	69.10	212.96	48.40%	

XV Water Rates in relation to the distance from source. :_

The Statement No.IX to XII indicate the various water rates due to direct and indirect charges with the pipeline length of $40\,\mathrm{km}$, $30\,\mathrm{km}$, $20\,\mathrm{km}$ and $10\,\mathrm{km}$.

XVI Analysis of the Rates :-

[a] <u>Direct Charges</u> :-

As stated above, since the horse powers of pumps are not much affected, the direct charges practically remain the same or in short the impact of expenditure on direct charges in relation to the length of the pipeline is negligible. The indirect charges indicate that production rate remain near about Rs. 2.83 in the year 1993 and Rs. 2.56 in the year 1998.

[b] Indirect Charges :-

The indirect charges for Dhule water supply scheme assuming the source at a distance of 30 km, 20 km, 10 km have been detailed out in Statement No. IX, X and XI.

The comparison of production rate due to indirect charges with the various alterations have been indicated in Table No. XI.

TABLE XI

Sr.	Distance	Production	rate/1000	lts.due to	Indirect charges
No.	from source	Year 1993	Year 1998	Year 2003	Year 2008
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1.	40 km	11.73	4.78	3.09	2.08
2.	30 km	10.54	4.22	2.67	1.75
3.	20 km	9.29	3.72	2.35	1.53
4.	10 km	8.02	3.21	2.03	1.32

22

It will be seen from the above Statement that the production rate due to indirect charges even considering only 10 km length works out Rs.8.02 per 1000 liters in the year 1993.

It is interesting to note that reduction in production rate due to indirect charges is about 40% in first five years for all the distances.

Considering the direct and indirect charges, the total production rate works out as follows :-

TABLE XI a

Sr. No.	Distance from	Final	Productio	n rate	
	source	Year 1993	Year 1998	Year 2003	Year 2008
		[Rate]	in Rs. per	1000 lite	rs]
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1.	40 km	14.56	7.33	5.59	4.54
2.	30 km	13.36	6.78	5.18	4.21
3.	20 km	12.12	6.27	4.85	3.99
4.	10 km	10.85	5.77	4.53	3.79

Thus it will be seen that the production rate is still quite high and can not be treated as affordable even by rendering increased Grant-In-Aid as worked in table X. This may not be true to all other schemes.

XVII Subsidy for Repayment of Loans and Interests

From the above various alternatives, it results in the conclusion that LIC and OMB loans, particularly, their rate of interest are more responsible for making the schemes inviable. It is no use

requesting the lending institutes to ask for reduction in the interest rates and other terms and conditions of repayment since such requests are not much entertained.

Therefore, the only alternative is to render assistance to the Municipal Councils in making the repayment of loans and interests so as to make the scheme viable.

XVIII <u>Assistance rendered by Government for 'C' Class Municipal</u> Councils.

al Govt. is quite aware the financial position of 'C' class municipal councils and therefore the GIA pattern has been modified to 100% for 'C' class municipal councils with population less than 15,000 and 50% for the 'C' class municipal towns with population more than 15,000.

bl Repayment of Interest & Loan by Government to LIC.

For 'C' class municipal councils, as per the Govt. of Maharashtra Urban Development & Public Health Dept. Resolution No.LON-1076/287/U-22 dated 19.9.1988, Govt. has taken the responsibility of repayment of LIC loan installments of principal amount and interest. For the first five years the full repayment of installments of LIC loan and the interest due, is reimbursed by Government to 'C' class municipal councils through Board. The Board in turn makes the repayment to LIC. For the next 20 years, 50% of the amount due to be paid to LIC for interest and repayment of capital is reimbursed to municipal councils through Board and the MWSSB makes the repayment on behalf of the municipal council to LIC.

However, this amount is not a complete subsidy, but it is treated as a loan. This repayment of loan will be started from 20 years

and the same is to be made on the lines of LIC. As the capacity of water works is fully utilized by that time, it is possible for the 'C' class municipal councils to make the repayment of loans to Government. Such repayment has been already started for schemes started prior to 1972 and number of municipal councils are paying their installments also.

XIX <u>Recommendations</u> for <u>Assistance</u> :-

It is not desirable to render the assistance in full on the pattern of 'C' class municipal councils for all other Municipal Councils/Corporations. This would increase the burden of Govt. to a very great extent. For Dhule water supply scheme which is estimated to cost Rs.440 million, if the assistance is rendered on the lines of 'C' class municipal councils, the total loan to be given by Govt. for repayment of LIC and OMB works out to Rs.339.3 million as compared to the cost of the scheme of Rs.440 million. [Statement No. XV]

a) Loan for payment of interest for the first five years and then 50% for the next five years.

The statement No. XIII gives the details of the proposals for loan to be granted to municipal council by Government for the first five years and then restricting the same to 50% for the next five years. The production rate including direct and indirect charges with this formula has been detailed in the Table No. XII.

TABLE XII

Rs. in million

YEAR		Charges Principal million)	Loan from Govt. for repayment of inst. 100% for 1st 5 yrs & 50% for next 5 yrs.	indirect charges	Direct charges	Production rate in Rs. per 1000 lits.
1.	2.a	2.b	3.	4.	5.	6.
			 			
1993	51.49	12.93	51.49	12.93	15.52	Rs. 5.18
1994	49.96	12.93	49.96	12.93	16.74	Rs. 4.93
1995	48.43	12.93	48.43	12.93	17.96	Rs. 4.71
1996	46.90	12.93	46.90	12.93	19.43	Rs. 4.56
1997	45.36	12.93	45.36	12.93	23.15	Rs. 4.14
1998	43.83	12.93	22.92	34.84	30.40	Rs. 5.49
1999	42.30	12.93	21.15	34.08	32.02	Rs. 5.28
2000	40.77	12.93	20.38	33.31	33.54	Rs. 5.09
2001	39.24	12.93	19.62	32.54	36.94	Rs. 4.74
2002	37.70	12.93	18.85	31.78	38.36	Rs. 4.59

Comparison of the production rate with this loan assistance with the production rate as worked out with the present [1992] pattern of financing the scheme is detailed out in Table No. XIII.

TABLE XIII

YEAR	Production rate per 1000 liters as per present pattern of	Production per 1000 liters with Govt. loan for interest repayment [100% for first 5 years	Remarks
	[in Rs.]	& 50% for next 5 years] [in Rs.]	
1993	14.56	5.18	100% interest subsidy by ` Govt. loan
1994	13.22	4.93	do
1995	12.10	4.71	do
1996	11.18	4.56	do
1997	9.34	4.14	do
1998	7.33	5.49	50% interest subsidy by Govt. loan
1999	6.98	5.28	do
2000	6.64	5.09	do
2001	6.08	4.74	do
2002	5.82	4.59	do

bl <u>Proposed terms and Repayment of Government Loan</u> :
The proposed terms of repayment of loan are as follows :-

^{1.} The loan is proposed to be repaid in 10 equal installments with a moratorium period of 10 years. i.e. the repayment of loan taken in the year 1993 will start in the year 2003 and will end in the year 2013. The drawal of the last installment of loan will be in the year 2002 and will start in the year 2012 and will end in the year 2022.

2. <u>Interest</u>:-

To make the scheme self supporting, it is proposed that the loan should be interest free for the first 10 years. The rate of interest to be charged for the next 10 years has been proposed as 14% (prevailing LIC interest rate) and the installment of loan and interest will be paid on annuity basis (i.e. annual equated instalments).

XX. Proposed Water Rate for Taxation. :-

It is proposed to charge at the rate of Rs.4.00 per 1000 liters for domestic supply and Rs.16 per 1000 liters for non-domestic supply.

Even, with this rate, which is considered as quite high, the scheme runs into deficit when the repayment of Govt. loan starts. This is indicated in the Table No. XIV below.

TABLE XIV

Rate proposed Rs.4.00 per 1000 liters for domestic and Rs.16 per 1000 liters for non domestic.

[All figures Rupees in million]

YEAR	Total expenditure after Govt. subsidy for interest.	Total Revenue	Surplus	Deficit	REMARKS
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1993	28.45	30.72	2.28	_	100% interest subsidy by loan.
1994	29.67	32.86	3.19	<u>-</u>	
1995	30.88	34.99	4.10	-	
1996	32.35	37.12	4.76	_	
1997	36.07	56.77	20.67	-	

[All figures rupees in million]

YEAR	Total subsidized expenditure with Govt. Loan.	Total Revenue	Surplus	Deficit	REMARKS
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1998	65.24	69.44	4.21	**	50%
1999	66.09	71.93	5.84	***	interest subsidy
2000	66.74	74.41	7.67	-	by Govt. loan.
2001	69.49	91.50	22.01	-	
2002	70.14	93.98	23.84		
2003	96.66	96.47	-	0.19	Repayment of
2004	104.49	98.95	-	5.14	Govt. loan started.
2005	111.29	101.44	-	9.85	
2006	120.35	118:52	-	1.82	
2007	127.08	121.01	-	6.08	
2008	130.38	123.49	**	6.89	
2009	133.47	125.98		7.49	
2010	136.43	128.46		7.97	
2011	143.46	160.15	16.69		
2012	126.42	162.63	36.21		

The deficit is Rs.0.19 million in the year 2003, when the repayment of Government loan with interest starts and the deficit is continued upto the year 2010 and then again surplus starts because of the increase in consumption of domestic and non-domestic supply.

XXI. Proposals to meet the deficit in the year 2003 :

Even with the assistance by way of soft loan from Govt., the scheme runs into deficit for a period of 8 years from the year

2003 when the repayment of Government loan with interest at 14% starts.

This difficulty can be overcome, if a good financial planning is observed by municipal council to invest the surplus amount. If the surplus amount is invested even at the rate of 13% per year, the total accumulated surplus works out as shown in the Table No.XV.

TABLE XV

				Rs. in million
YEAR	Deficit	Surplus	Value of surplus amount invested at 13% compound interest.	REMARKS
1	2 2	3	4	5
1993	-	2.28		
1994	_	3.19	2.57	
1995	_	4.10	6.51	
1996	_	4.76	11.99	
1997	-	20.69	18.93	
1998	_	4.21	44.77	
1999		5.84	50.59	
2000	·	7.67	57.17	
2001	-	23.84	86.61	
2002	_	23.84	121.71	
2003	0.19*	-	137.32	* Deficit is
2004	5.14*	-	149.36	compensated from surplus
2005	9.85*	. .	157.65	amount.

1	2	3	4	5	
2006	1.82*	-	176.08		
2007	6.08*	-	192.10		
2008	6.89*	· _	209.29		
2009	7.49*	· -	228.04		
2010	7.97*	-	248.68		
2011	· –	16.69	299.86		
2012		36.21	379.77		

Thus it could be seen that if the surplus amount gained in the first five years is well utilised, it is quite easy for the municipal councils to repay the Government loan with interest and at the same time create a substantial amount of surplus from this water works and can undertake the further improved schemes.

XXII <u>Comparison of pooled rates of Maharashtra Water</u> <u>Supply & Sewerage Board.</u>

MWSSB is running 53 water works out side the MWSS Project area. Most of these water works were owned by Government and the same were transferred to MWSSB in the year 1979 after the formation of the Board and after the transfer of the staff, MWSSB's expenditure on these water works is about Rs. 400 million. The total production of water is 404 ML per day and the production rate works out to Rs.2.71/per 1000 lit. on an average basis.

The production rate appears to be quite less in comparison to the rates considered in this case. The main reason is that since the assets of the water works were transferred to the Board free of cost and the previous Government schemes were constructed from the complete funding from Govt. Thus in short there was no loan

liability on most of these water works. Thus the rate worked out on the pooled rate basis represents the direct charges only with very minor component of indirect charges. These rates will shoot up after the commencement of repayment of loans of Amravati, Aurangabad, Ahmadnagar schemes which will be done after commissioning of the those schemes.

For NSPT [Nhava Sheva Port Trust] water works which supplies water to CIDCO area and Jawahar Nehru Port Trust authorities, the production rate for this water works for the year 1992-93 works out to Rs.4.25 and the water rate charged to CIDCO and other consumers from this water works is Rs.4.00. This water works is constructed with 66.67% loan raised through O.M.B.

Thus, in short the basis of pooled rate should not be taken into account while fixing the water rates of other municipal councils or even while proposing the subsidy for water supply schemes.

XXIII <u>Source development:- Sharing of cost with Irrigation Department:</u>

It would be seen from the analysis of Dhule Water Supply Scheme that the water supply schemes are very costly and economically inviable but at the same time the schemes cannot be avoided.

Many times if storages are created by construction of dams the schemes become completely inviable. Therefore creating storages at the cost of Government, or offering a very highly subsidised loan needs a special consideration.

Irrigation department is also insisting on sharing of cost of the dams if the raw water is drawn from the dam. Government should be requested to reconsider this issue,

XXIV Recoveries of loans and water supply bills from the local bodies

After the formation of the Board the responsibility of maintenance of water supply schemes owned by Government and the loan servicing liability have been transferred to MWSSB. The financial institutions are giving loans to MWSSB & MWSSB has to recover the loan from various municipal councils. Many of the municipal councils are not in a position to make the repayment of loans and some municipal councils do not pay even though their financial position is quite satisfactory. The total outstanding dues to MWSSB as on 31.3.1992 from various municipal councils are indicated in Table No.XXI.

Table No.XVI

Table	οf	dues	to	MWSSB	from	various	Municipal
	C	orporat:	ions/	Councils	as on	31.3.1992	

Particulars	Rs. in million
Sale of water	592.6
Loan installments	210.1
P.C.	70.7
Misc.	149.8
	,
Total	1023.2

If the interest payment responsibility is taken by Government then at least for the first five years the likely defaulting amount to the financial institutions will be substantially reduced.

XXV <u>Popular contribution of 10% by Municipal Corporations</u> and Councils.

As per the present pattern of financing, popular contribution (10% of the cost of the scheme) is to be paid by the Municipal Councils in three equal installments. The experience is that the first installment is paid by the Municipal Councils and the remaining installments are kept outstanding. It is worthwhile to consider converting part of the P.C. into loan so that other investments made by Government will be fully utilised.

XXVI Price escalation

The minimum construction period is about 4 to 5 years for major schemes. The financial constrains delay it further. There is substantial price increase in the cost of the scheme. As per the rules of Government in force, the contractors are eligible for payment of price escalation for materials and labour etc. as per the formula approved by Government. But however, price escalation is not taken into account while giving administrative approval to the schemes. Therefore the works are held up for revised administrative approvals which delays further construction work.

Another very important aspect is that for the schemes costing more than Rs.50 million, the cost over runs are not financed by LIC. Therefore in the original administrative approval if the cost of the scheme includes price escalation, LIC finances the schemes. But if the scheme is revised taking into consideration the price escalation in due course of time, Government loses the facility of loan assistance from LIC for the revised cost due to price escalation.

XXVII. Conclusion:

From the case study we may draw the following conclusions which are fairly applicable to all the schemes.

- (a) Unless assistance is given to the local bodies for the first five to 10 years for repayment of interest, of LIC, OMB, HUDCO etc., the local bodies would not be in a position to run the schemes on a sound financial footings.
- (b) It should be made compulsory to the municipal councils to charge the water rates worked out with revised assistance formula (i.e. loans for repayment of interests).
- (c) The principle of sharing of capital cost of the irrigation dam projects, when used for water supply should not be accepted.
- (d) Price escalation should be accounted for, while framing the water supply schemes and the administrative approval should include the price escalation component.
- (e) To reduce the direct charges the operation of the schemes may be vested on contract to reputed contracting firms.
- (f) A strict financial and technical audit of each water supply scheme should be carried out by employing outside consultants who are competent to carry out these works. After acceptance of the report and recommendations of the consultants by Government, it should be mandatory to Municipal Councils to implement the same.
- (g) In view of high price of cost of production, even with the grant-in-aid, privatisation of the complete sector as such does

not seem to be feasible at this stage. However, privatisation can be introduced in the operation of the systems, billing, collection of water charges etc.

- (h) The pooled water rate of MWSSB should not be related to the fixing of water rates of other municipal councils.
- (i) Part of the popular contribution may be allowed to be converted into loan component.

LIST OF STATEMENTS

STATEMENT	PARTICULARS	PAGI
Ι.	Statement showing the prospective population and water demand for the year 1993 onwards.	39
11.	Statement showing direct charges to be incurred by Dhule Municipal Municipal Council for the year 1993 to 2016 Price Base Level - 1992	40
III.	Statement showing indirect charges to be incurred by Dhule Municipal Council for the year 1993-2016 Price Base Level - 1992	41
IV.	Statement showing total production rate.	42
v.	Water rates and revenue deficit/ surplus for Dhule Municipal Council	43
	Domestic Rate - Rs.3.00/1000 lits. Non-domestic Rate - Rs.12.00/1000 lits.	
VI.	Water rates and revenue deficit/ surplus for Dhule Municipal Council	44
	Domestic Rate - Rs.4.00/1000 lits. Non-domestic Rate - Rs.16.00/1000 lits.	
VII.	Water rates and revenue deficit/ surplus for Dhule Municipal Council	45
	Domestic Rate - Rs.5.00/1000 lits. Non-domestic Rate - Rs.20.00/1000 lits.	
viii.	Water rates and revenue deficit/ surplus for Dhule Municipal Council	46
	Domestic Rate - Rs.6.00/1000 lits. Non-domestic Rate - Rs.24.00/1000 lits.	
IX.	Water Rate Calculation Distance from source - 40 km	47
x .	Water Rate Calculation Distance from source - 30 km	48

LIST OF STATEMENTS

STATEMENT	PARTICULARS	PAGE
XI.	Water Rate Calculation Distance from source - 20 km	49
XII.	Water Rate Calculation Distance from source - 10 km	50
(111.	Statement showing indirect charges to be incurred by Dhule Municipal Council for the year 1993 to 2016 and interest to be subsidised at 100% for first five years and 50% for next five years Price base level - 1992	51
(IV.	Water Rates and Revenue Deficit/ Surplus for Dhule Municipal Council considering interest as Govt. loan for 10 years as considered in Statement No. XIII. Domestic Rate Rs.4.00/1000 lits. Non-Domestic Rate Rs.16.00/1000 lits.	52
⟨v.	Statement showing indirect charges to be incurred by Dhule Municipal Council when Govt. loan is availed for repayment of LIC loan and interest 100% for first five years and 50% thereafter Price base level - 1992.	53

STATEMENT I

DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

STATEMENT SHOWING THE PROSPECTIVE POPULATION AND WATER DEMAND
FOR THE YEAR 1993 ONWARDS

Year	Population .	Requirement of water in	Indstrial Demand	Total demand in	from existing	Prom this	REMARKS
	souls	∍ld	∎ld			∎ld	
1993	300,368	36.04	2.00			15.04	
		37.50	2.00		23,00	16.50	
	324,684	38.96	2.00	40.96	23.00	17.96	
	336,842	40.42	2.00		23.00	19.42	
	349,000	41.88	5.00	46.88	23.00	23.88	
1998		50.56	5.00			32.56	
1999		52.26	5.00	57.26	23.00	34.26	
2000	385,474	53.97	5.00	58.97	23.00	35.97	
2001	397,632	55.67	7.50	63.17	23.00	40.17	
2002	409,790	57.37	7.50	64.87	23.00	41.87	
2003	421,948	59.07	7.50	66.57	23.00	43.57	
2004	434,106	60.77	7.50	68.27	23.00	45.27	
2005	446,264	62.48	7.50	69.98	23.00	46.98	
2006	458,422	64.18	10.00	74.18	23.00	51.18	
2007	470,580	65.88	10.00	75.88	23.00	52.88	
	482,738	67.58	10.00			54.58	
2009	494,896	69.29	10.00			56.29	
	507,054	70.99	10.00			57.99	,
	519,212	72.69		87.69			
2012	531,370	74.39	15.00	89.39	23.00	66.39	
		76.09				68.09	
	555,686	77.80		97.80			
	567,844	79.50	20.00		23.00		
2016	580,002	81.20	20.00	101.20	23.00	78.20	

Rs.in million

Batimated o	ost :-	440.00	Length of Rising Main :- 40.9 Rm.
G.I.A.	23.33 \$	102.65	(Mominal referance as 40 km.)
L.I.C.))	66.\$	192.00	
O.M.B.)		101.35	
P.C.	10 %	44.00	
		440.00	

STATEMENT II

DHULR WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

STATEMENT SHOWING DIRECT CHARGES TO BE INCURRED BY DRULE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL FOR THE YEAR 1993 TO 2016

PRICE BASE LEVEL :-1992

Rs. in million

Year		supply	_			•	Direct ch	arges					Production -rate in
	New So	made fro cheme		Annual Bsstt. -Charges	Perce- ntage	Annual M & R Charges	Perce- ntage with	Annual Energy Charges	Perce- ntage with	Annual Chem & Sundry	Perce- ntage with	Total Direct Charges	Rs. per 1000 ltrs. considering
	Domestic	Indus.	Total	r	total direct charges		total direct charges	·	total direct charges	Charges	total direct charges		only direct charges
	mld	mld	mld	Rs.	-	Rs.	•	Rs.	-	Rs.	•	Rs.	-
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	0.75	4.83	1 2.00	12.88	10.93	70.39	1.85	11.90	15.52	2.83
1994	14.50	2.00	16.50	0.75	4.48	1 2.00	11.95	11.98	71.59	2.01	11.99	16.74	2.78
1995	15.96	2.00							72.62	2.17	12.07	17.96	2.74
1996	17.42	2.00							72.581	2.33	11.98	19.43	2.74
1997	18.88	5.00											
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	1.00	3.29	2 .00	6.58	23.63	77.749	3.77	12.39	\$ 30.39	2.56
1999	29.26	5.00			3.75								
2000	30.97	5.00							78.051				
2001	32.67	7.50											
2002	34.37	7.50							79.19				
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	1.20	3.02	2.00	5.03	31.61	79.461	4.97	12.50	39.78	2.50
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	1.20	2.91	2.00	4.85	32.85	79.721	5.16	12.52	3 41.20	2.49
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	1.20					79.96				
2006	41.18	10.00			2.60				80.481				
2007	42.88	10.00			2.52				80.679				
2008	44.58	10.00	54.58	1.30	2.65	1 2.00	4.08	39.60	80.691	6.18	12.59	49.07	2.46
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29	1.30	2.57	1 2.00	3.969	40.83	80.861	6.36	12.60	\$ 50.49	2.46
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99	1.30	2.50	1 2.00	3.851	42.07	81.031	6.55	12.62	\$ 51.92	2.45
2011	49.69	15.00	64.69	1.30	2.26	1 2.00	3.48	46.93	81.601	7.28	12.67	\$ 57.51	2.44
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39	1.30	2.21	2.00	3.39	48.16	81.721	7.47	12.68	\$ 58.93	2.43
2013	53.09	15.00			2.15				81.859				
2014	54.80	20.00			1.97				82.27				
2015	56.50	20.00			1.93				82.371				
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	1.30	1.89	2.00	2.911	56.72	82.461	8.76	12.74	\$ 68.78	2.41
		Es timated	d cost :-		440.00		Length of	Rising Mai	in :- 40.9 K	.			
		G.I.A.	23.33%		102.67		(Nomina) r	referance a	as 40 km.)				
		L.I.C.)	66.674		192.00	•	٠						
		O.M.B.)			101.33								
		P.C.	10.00%		44.00				,				
					440.00	-			·				

STATEMENT IIa Deule water supply scheme

STATEMENT SHOWING REPOTRICAL ENERGY CHARGES

Year	Population	Requirement of water @ 120 lpcd @ 140 lpcd After 5 years	Indust- rial Demand	Water supply from existing scheme	Total water Supply Prom new scheme	Hours of Pumping	Power required in unit per year	Power Reguired for ligting	Total power Required	Total Power Cost in	
		mld	mld	a ld	nld		units	units	units	Rs. in million	
1993	300,368	36.04	2.00	23	15.04	6.02	7,272,467	12,000	7,284,467	10.93	
	312,526	37.50	2.00	23	16.50	6.60	7,977,740	12,000	7,989,740	11.98	\
1995	324,684	38.96	2.00	23	17.96	7.18	8,683,013	12,000	8,695,013	13.04	
1996	336,842	40.42	2.00	23	19.42	1.11	9,388,286	12,000	9,400,286	14.10	
1997	349,000	41.88	5.00	23	23.88	9.55	11,543,783	12,000	11,555,783	17.33	
1998	361,158	50.56	5.00	23	32.56	13.02	15,740,789	12,000	15,752,789	23.63	
1999	373,316	52.26	5.00	23		13.71	16,563,608	12,000	16,575,608	24.86	
2000	385,474	53.97	5.00				17,386,426	12,000	17,398,426	26.10	
2001	397,632	55.67	7.50	23	40.17	16.07	19,417,765	12,000	19,429,765	29.14	
2002	409,790	57.37	7.50	23	41.87	16.75	20,240,583	12,000	20,252,583	30.38	
2003	421,948	59.07	7.50	23	43.57	17.43	21,063,401	12,000	21,075,401	31.61	
2004		60.77	7.50	23		18.11	21,886,220		21,898,220	32.85	
2005	446,264	62.48	7.50	23		18.79	22,709,038	12,000	22,721,038	34.08	
2006	458,422	64.18	10.00	23	51.18	20.47	24,740,377	12,000	24,752,377	37.13	
2007	470,580	65.88	10.00	23		13.22	25,563,195	12,000	25,575,195	38.36	
2008	482,738	67.58	10.00	23	54.58	13.65	26,386,014	12,000	26,398,014	39.60	
2009	494,896	69.29	10.00	23	56.29	14.07	27,208,832	12,000	27,220,832	40.83	
2010	507,054	70.99	10.00	23	57.99	14.50	28,031,650	12,000	28,043,650	42.07	
2011	519,212	72.69	15.00	23	64.69	16.17	31,271,509	12,000	31,283,509	46.93	
2012	531,370	74.39	15.00	23	66,39	16.60	32,094,327	12,000	32,106,327	48.16	
2013	543,528	76.09	15.00	23	68.09	17.02	32,917,146	12,000	32,929,146	49.39	
2014	555,686	77.80	20.00	23		18.70	36,157,004	12,000	36,169,004	54.25	
2015	567,844	79.50	20.00	23	76.50	19.12	36,979,823	12,000	36,991,823	55.49	•
	580,002	81.20	20.00	23	78.20	19.55	37,802,641	12,000	37,814,641	56.72	

STATEMENT NO.11b DRULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

STATEMENT SHOWING CHEMICAL & SUNDRY CHARGES

ear	Water supply	Alum 025	Reguired kg./ ML	TCL Powder	6 5 PPM :	Sundry charges	Raw water charges @	Total charges
	in	Alum in	Alum charge @Rm.2800/MT	<pre>0 25 % Available chlorine</pre>	Amount @ Rs.4.00 per kg.		Raw water charges 0 Rs.1.5 per 10000 lts	
	m)d	Ton/year	Rs.in million	in kg.	Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million
À	В	С	D	В	P	G	H	I
		(B*9.125) (C*280)	(B*5*365)/ O	(B*4)/ 10^6		(B*1.5*0.365)/10	(D+F +G+H)
1993			0.38	109822.37	0.44	0.20	0.82	1.8
1994	16.50	150.59	0.42	120472.78	0.48	0.20	0.90	2.0
1995	17.96	163.90	0.46	131123.18	0.52	0.20	0.98	2.1
1996	19.42	177.22	0.50	141773.59	0.57	0.20	1.06	2.:
1997	23.88	217.91	0.61	141773.59 174324.00	0.70	0.20	1.31	2.6
1998		297.13		237703.48	0.95	0.20	1.78	3.
1999			0.88	250128.95	1.00	0.20	1.88	3.9
2000			0.92	262554.43	1.05	0.20	1.97	4.1
2001			1.03	293229.90	1.17	0.20	2.20	4.0
2002	41.87	382.07	1.07	305655.38	1.22	0.20	2.29	4.
2003			1.11	318080.86		0.20	2.39	4.9
2004			1.16	330506.33	1.32	0.20	2.48	5.1
2005			1.20	342931.81	1.37	0.20	2.57	5.3
2006			1.31	373607.28	1.49	0.20	2.80	5.8
2007	52.88	482.54	1.35	386032.76	1.54	0.20	2.90	5.9
2008			1.39	398458.24	1.59	0.20	2.99	6.1
2009			1.44	410883.71	1.64	0.20	3.08	6.3
2010	57.99	529.14	1.48	423309.19	1.69	0.20	3.17	6.
2011	64.69	590.29	1.65	472234.66	1.89	0.20	3.54	7.
2012	66.39	605.83	1.70	484660.14	1.94	0.20	3.63	7.4
2013	68.09	621.36			1.99	0.20	3.73	7.6
2014	74.80	682.51	1.91	546011.09	2.18	0.20	4.10	8.3
2015	76.50	698.05	1.95	558436.57		0.20	4.19	8.5
2016	78.20	713.58	2.00	570862.04	2.28	0.20	4.28	. 8.7

STATEMENT III

DHOLE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

STATEMENT SHOWING INDIRECT CHARGES TO BE INCORRED BY

DRULE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL FOR THE YEAR 1993 TO 2016

DRICK	RASR	PRART	1-	1992
FRILD	บกบอ	DBACH		1116

Rs.in million

							LWING DUS	P PPAPR !	-1774				V2+III MT	11108		
ear		supply made fr	·08				Indirect	charges								
i		cheme	.V=	Repaymen	t of LIG Lo	an	Repaymen	t of OMB	Loan		direct Cha	=		rate due		
ı	Domes- tic	Indus_ trial	Total	Interst	Principle Amount	Total	Interst	Princ- Tota ipal	Total	Interst	Princ- ipal	Total	Ra. p	e charges er 1000 it 	00 its.	
	CIC .	CETAI			Amount			Amount			Amount		O.M.B. LOAN	L.I.C. LOAN	TOTAL LOAN	
	∎ld	mld	#ld	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				
λ	В	C	D	В	P	P1	G	H	H1	I	J	ĸ	Ĺ	H	N	
						***			a.#	B+G	F+R	I+J		F1*1000/D	*365	
ı						B+P			G+H				H1*1000/	D*365	L+M	
1993									19.77						11.73	
994									19.77						10.4	
1995 1996									19.77 19.77						9.30 8.4	
1997									19.77				2.27	4.42	6.69	
998		5.00							19.77						4.7	
999									19.77					2.83	4.4	
2000									19.77				1.51	2.58	4.0	
2001	32.67								19.77				1.35	2.21	3.5	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	19.92	10.94	30.86	17.79	1.98	19.77	37.70	12.92	50.63	1.29	2.02	3.3	
2003									19.77				1.24	1.84	3.0	
1004	37.77								19.77				1.20	1.68	2.8	
2005									19.77				1.15	1.53	2.6	
2006									19.77				1.06	1.32	2.3	
2007	42.88	10.00	52.88	12.26	10.94	23.20	17.79	1.98	19.77	30.04	12.92	42.97	1.02	1.20	2.2	
2008	44.58								19.77				0.99	1.09	2.0	
009	46.29								19.77				0.96	0.98	1.9	
2009 2010	47.99						17.79		19.77				0.93		1.8	
011	47.07						17.79		19.77				0.84	0.72	1.5	
2012 2013	51.39	13.00	66.39	4.00	10.94	15.54	V.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	10.94	15.54	0.00	0.64	0.6	
							0.00		0.00				0.00	0.56	0.5	
2014	54.80						0.00		0.00				0.00	0.46	0.4	
2015					0.00		0.00		0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00	
2016 	58.20	20.00	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		Estimat	ed cost	:-	Rs.in will 440.00		Length o	f Rising F	lain :- 40	.9 Km.						
		G.I.A.			102.67		·	_	e as 40 km							
		L.I.C.			192.00					- ,						
		0 M D			101 77											

440.00

101.33

44.00

O.M.B.)

P.C. 10.00%

STATEMENT No.IIIa

DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

			Rs. in million		
ear			Payment of	Balance	Total Liablities
	out- standing	interest	Principal Amt.in inst.	Amt. of LIC	of LIC
	scanding	on LIC loan	22	Loan	Loan
		14	NO.	POSE	i POd i
		1,4			
990	64.00	8.96			
1991	136.96	19.17	TOTAL INTEREST P	OR THREE YEARS IS	CAPITALISED
1992	211.17	29.56			
	240.74		10.94	229.80	44.65
	229.80		10.94	218.85	43.11
1995		30.64	10.94	207.91	41.58
1996		29.11	10.94	196.97	40.05
997			10.94	186.03	38.52
998	186.03	26.04	10.94	175.08	36.99
1999		24.51	10.94	164.14	35.45
2000		22.98	10.94	153.20	33.92
2001	153.20	21.45	10.94	142.25	32.39
2002	142.25	19.92	10.94	131.31	30.86
2003		18.38	10.94	120.37	29.33
2004		16.85	10.94	109.43	27.79
2005		15.32	10.94	98.48	26.26
2006		13.79	10.94	87.54	24.73
2007	87.54	12.26	10.94	76.60	23.20
2008		10.72	10.94	65.66	21.67
2009		9.19	10.94	54.71	20.13
010	54.71	7.66 6.13	10.94	43.77	18.60
2011		6.13	10.94	32.83	17.07
2012	32.83	4.60	10.94	21.89	15.54
2013	21.89		10.94	10.94	14.01
2014	10.94	1.53	10.94	(0.00)	12.47

STATEMENT No.111b. DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

		STATEMENT SHOWING LI	ABILITIES DUE TO ONE	LOAN	Rs. in million
Year	OMB Loan	Yearly	Payment of	Balance	Total
	out-	interest	Principal	Amt. of	Liablities
	standing	on OMB	Amt.in inst.	OBM	of OMB
		loan at	No.	Loan	Loan
		14	19		
		Percent			
 1990	33.78	4.73	TOTAL INTEREST F	OR THREE YEAR	
1991	72.28	10.12	IS CAPITALISED		
1992	111.45	15.60			
1993	127.06	17.79	41.98		19.77
1994	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
1995	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
1996	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
1997	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
1998	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
1999	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2000	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2001	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2002	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2003	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2004	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2005	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2006	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2007	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2008	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2009	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2010	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77
2011	127.06	17.79	1.98		19.77

STATEMENT IV

DHULB WATER SUPPLY SCHEME
STATEMENT SHOWING TOTAL PRODUCTION RATE

YBAR	Dema	r Supply nd in		Production Rate due	Production Rate due	Total Production	Remarks
	Domestic	Indust	Total	charges		Rate in	
	mld	pld	∍ld	Rs. per 1000 liters	Rs. per 1000 liters		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	2.83	11.73	14.56	
1994		2.00	16.50	2.78 2.74	10.44	13.22	
1995		2.00	17.96	2.74	9.36	12.10	
1996		2.00	19.42	2.74	8.44	11.18	
1997	18.88	5.00	23.88	2.66	6.69	9.34	
1998		5.00	32.56		4.78	7.33	
1999		5.00	34.26		4.42	6.98	
2000		5.00	35.97		4.09	6.64	
2001		7.50	40.17		3.56	6.08	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	2.51	3.31	5.82	
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	2.50	3.09	5.59	
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	2.49	2.88	5.37	
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	2.49	2.68	5.17	
2006	41.18	10.00	51.18	2.47	2.38	4.85	
2007	42.88	10.00	52.88	2.46	2.23	4.69	
2008	44.58	10.00	54.58	2.46	2.08	4.54	
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29	2.46	1.94	4.40	
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99	2.45	1.81	4.27	
2011	49.69	15.00	64.69	2.44	1.56	4.00	
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39	2.43	0.64	3.07	
2013	53.09	15.00	68.09	2.43	0.56	2.99	
2014	54.80	20.00	74.80	2.42	0.46	2.87	
2015	56.50	20.00	76.50	2.41	0.00	2.41	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	2.41	0.00	2.41	
				Rs. in million			
	Estimated	cost :-		440.00	Length of Risin	g Main :- 40.9 Km.	
	G.I.A.	23.33	1	102.67	(Nominal refera	nce as 40 km.)	
	L.I.C.)	67		192.00 .		•	
	0.M.B. }			101.33			
	P.C.	10 9	1	440.00			
		•	•	426 00			
				836.00			

STATEMENT V.

WATER RATES AND REVENUE DEPICIT/SURPLUS

POR DHULB MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

ASSUME i) DOMESTIC RATE Rs 3.00 PER 1000 lits

ii) NON-DOMESTIC RATE Re	12 per 1000 lits	Rs.in milli
--------------------------	------------------	-------------

Year	Matar	Water supply			Expendicur	e 		Kevenue		Delicit	Surplus	Kemarks
TCOL	to be made from New Scheme			Charges	ct Indirect ges Charges	Charges	anbb j A	estic	supply	1		
	Domestic	Indus.	Total		Rs.					Rs.	Rs.	
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	15.52	64.42	79.94	14.28	8.76	23.04	-56.90	0.00	
1994	14.50	2.00	16.50	16.74	62.88	79.63	15.88	8.76	24.64	-54.98	0.00	
1995	15.96	2.00	17.96	17.96	61.35	79.31	17.48	8.76	26.24	-53.07	0.00	
1996	17.42	2.00	19.42	19.43	59.82	79.25	19.08	8.76	27.84	-51.41	0.00	
1997	18.88	5.00	23.88	23.15	58.29	81.44	20.67	21.90	42.57	-38.86	0.00	
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	30.39	56.76	87.15	30.18	21.90	52.08	-35.07	0.00	
1999	29.26	5.00	34.26	32.02	55.22	87.24	32.04	21.90	53.94	-33.30	0.00	
2000	30.97	5.00	35.97	33.44	53.69	87.13	33.91	21.90	55.81	-31.32	0.00	
2001	32.67	7.58	40.17	36.94	52.16	89.10	35.77	32.85	68.62	-20.48	0.00	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	38.36	50.63	88.99	37.64	32.85	70.49	-18.51	0.00	
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	39.78	49.10	88.88	39.50	32.85	72.35	-16.53	0.00	
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	41.20	47.56	88.77	41.36	32.85	74.21	-14.56	0.00	
005	39.48	7.50	46.98	42.63	46.03	88.66	43.23	32.85	76.08	-12.58	0.00	
006	41.18	10.00	51.18	46.13	44.50	90.63	45.09	43.80	88.89	-1.74	0.00	
007	42.88	10.00	52.88	47.55	42.97	90.52	46.95	43.80	90.75	0.00	0.23	
800	44.58	10.00	54.58	49.07	41.44	90.51	48.82	43.80	92.62	0.00	2.11	
009	46.29	10.00	56.29	50.49	39.90	90.40	50.68	43.80	94.48	0.00	4.08	
010	47.99	10.00	57.99	51.92	38.37	90.29	52.55	43.80	96.35	0.00	6.06	
011	49.69	15.00	64.69	57.51	36.84	94.35	54.41	65.70	120.11	0.00	25.76	
012	51.39	15.00	66.39	58.93	15.54	74.47	56.27	65.70	121.97	0.00	47.51	
013	53.09	15.00	68.09	60.35	14.01	74.36	58.14	65.70	123.84	0.00	49.48	
014	54.80	20.00	74.80	65.94	12.47	78.42	60.00	87.60	147.60	0.00	69.18	
015	56.50	20.00	76.50	67.36	0.00	67.36	61.87	87.60	149.47	0.00	82.10	
016	58.20	20.00	78.20	68.78	0.00	68.78	63.73	87.60	151.33	0.00	82.54	

Estimated cost :-	Rs. in million 440.00	Length of Rising Main :- 40.9 Km.
G.I.A. 23.33%	102.67	(Mominal referance as 40 km.)
L.I.C.) 66.67%	192.00	
0.M.B. }	101.33	
P.C. 10.00%	44.00	

	440.00	

STATEMENT VI

WATER RATES AND REVENUE DEFICIT/SURPLUS

FOR DHULB MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

ASSUME i) DOMESTIC RATE Rs 4.00 PER 1000 lits

ii) NON-DOMESTIC RATE Rs 16 per 1000 lits

Rs.in million

Year	Water supply to be made from New Scheme			Expenditure						Deficit	Surplus	Remarks
iear				Direct Charges	Charges	Total Charges	Domestic supply	ly estic				
	Domestic	Indus.	Total		Rs.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	15.52	64.42	79.94	19.04	11.68	30.72	-49.22	0.00	
1994	14.50	2.00	16.50	16.74	62.88	79.63	21.17	11.68	32.85			
1995	15.96	2.00	17.96	17.96		79.31	23.30	11.68	34.98			
1996	17.42	2.00	19.42	19.43	59.82				37.11		0.00	
1997	18.88	5.00	23.88	23.15	58.29			29.20	56.76	-24.67	0.00	
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	30.39	56.76	87.15	40.24	29.20	69.44	-17.71	0.00	
1999	29.26	5.00	34.26	32.02	55.22				71.93		0.00	
2000	30.97	.5.00	35.97	33.44	53.69	87.13	45.21	29.20	74.41	-12.72	0.00	
2001	32.67	7.50	40.17	36.94	52.16	89.10	47.70	43.80	91.50	0.00	2.39	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	38.36	50.63	88.99	50.18	43.80	93.98	0.00	4.99	
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	39.78	49.10	88.88	52.67	43.80	96.47	0.00	7.59	
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	41.20	47.56	88.77	55.15	43.80	98.95	0.00	10.18	
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	42.63	46.03	88.66	57.64	43.80	101.44	0.00	12.78	
2006		10.00	51.18	46.13	44.50	90.63	60.12	58.40	118.52	0.00	27.89	
2007		10.00	52.88	47.55	42.97	90.52	62.61	58.40	121.01	0.00	30.48	
2008	44.58	10.00	54.58	49.07	41.44	90.51	65.09	58.40	123.49	0.00	32.98	
2009		10.00	56.29	50.49	39.90	90.40	67.58	58.40	125.98		35.58	
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99			90.29		58.40	128.46		38.17	
2011	49.69	15.00	64.69	57.51	36.84	94.35	72.55	87.60	160.15		65.80	
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39	58.93	15.54	74.47		87.60	162.63	0.00	88.16	
2013	53.09	15.00	68.09	60.35	14.01	74.36	77.52	87.60	165.12	0.00	90.76	
2014	54.80	20.00	74.80	65.94	12.47	78.42			196.80		118.38	
2015	56.50	20.00	76.50	67.36	0.00	67.36	82.49	116.80	199.29	0.00	131.92	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	68.78	0.00				201.77	0.00	132.99	

Estimated cost :-	Rs. in million 440.00	Length of Rising Main :- 40.9 Km
G.I.A. 23.33%	102.67	(Mominal referance as 40 km.)
L.I.C.) 66.67%	192.00	
O.H.B.)	101.33	
P.C. 10.00%	44.00	

	440.00	

STATEMENT VII

WATER RATES AND REVENUE DEFICIT/SURPLUS

FOR DHOLE MONICIPAL COUNCIL

ASSUME i) DOMESTIC RATE Rs 5.00 PER 1000 lits

ii) NON-DOMESTIC RATE Rs 20 per 1000 lits

Rs.in million

	Water supply		Expenditure				Revenue		Deficit	Surplus	Remarks	
Year	to be made from New Scheme			Direct Charges	Direct Indirect Charges Charges	Total Charges	Domestic supply	estic	Total	,		
	Domestic in mld	Indus.	Total						Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	15.52	64.42	79.94	23.81	14.60	38.41	-41.53	0.00	
1994		2.00	16.50	16.74					41.07	-38.56	0.00	
1995	15.96	2.00	17.96	17.96	61.35	79.31	29.13	14.60	43.73	-35.58	0.00	
1996		2.00	19.42		59.82			14.60	46.39	-32.85	0.00	
1997		5.00	23.88		58.29				70.96	-10.48	0.00	
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	30.39	56.76	87.15	50.30	36.50	86.80	-0.35	0.00	
1999	29.26	5.00	34.26	32.02	55.22	87.24	53.41	36.50	89.91	0.00	2.67	
2000	30.97	5.00	35.97	33.44	53.69	87.13	56.51	36.50	93.01	0.00	5.89	
2001	32.67	7.50	40.17	36.94	52.16	89.10	59.62		114.37	0.00	25.27	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	38.36	50.63	88.99	62.73	54.75	117.48	0.00	28.48	
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	39.78	49.10	88.88	65.83	54.75	120.58	0.00	31.70	
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	41.20	47.56	88.77	68.94	54.75	123.69	0.00	34.92	
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	42.63	46.03	88.66	72.05	54.75	126.80	0.00	38.14	
2006	41.18	10.00	51.18	46.13	44.50	90.63	75.15	73.00	148.15	0.00	57.52	
2007	42.88	10.00	52.88	47.55	42.97	90.52	78.26	73.00	151.26	0.00	60.74	•
2008	44.58	10.00	54.58	49.07	41.44	90.51	81.36	73.00	154.36	0.00	63.85	
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29	50.49	39.90	90.40	84.47	73.00	157.47	0.00	67.07	
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99	51.92	38.37	90.29	87.58	73.00	160.58	0.00	70.29	
2011	49.69	15.00	64.69	57.51	36.84	94.35	90.68	109.50	200.18	0.00	105.83	
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39	58.93	15.54	74.47	93.79	109.50	203.29	0.00	128.82	
2013	53.09	15.00	68.09	60.35	14.01	74.36	96.90	109.50	206.40		132.04	
2014	54.80	20.00	74.80	65.94	12.47	78.42	100.00	146.00	246.00		167.58	
2015	56.50	20.00	76.50	67.36	0.00	67.36	103.11	146.00	249.11		181.74	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	68.78	0.00	68.78	106.22	146.00	252.22	0.00	183.43	

Batimated cost :-	Rs. in million 440.00	Length of Riging Main :- 40.9 Km.
G.I.A. 23.33%	102.67	(Nominal referance as 40 km.)
L.I.C.) 66.67%	192.00	
O.M.B.)	101.33	
P.C. 10.00%	44.00	•

440.00

STATEMENT VIII

WATER RATES AND REVENUE DEFICIT/SURPLUS

POR DHOLB MONICIPAL COUNCIL

ASSUME i) DOMESTIC RATE Rs 6.00 PER 1000 lits

ii) NON-DOMESTIC RATE Rs 24 per 1000 lits

Rs.in million

Year	Nater supply to be made from New Scheme				Expenditur	e	Revenue		Deficit	Surplus Rema	Remarks	
				Direct Charges	Indirect Charges	Total Charges	Domestic supply	Non-dom- estic	Total supply			
	Domestic in mld	Indus.	Total		Rs.					Rs.	Rs.	
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	15.52	64.42	79.94	28.57	17.52	46.09	-33.85	0.00	
1994		2.00	16.50						49.28	-30.34	0.00	
1995		2.00	17.96			79.31			52.48	-26.83	0.00	
1996		2.00	19.42						55.67	-23.58	0.00	
1997		5.00	23.88		58.29				85.15	0.00	3.71	
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	30.39	56.76	87.15	60.36	43.80	104.16	0.00	17.01	
1999		5.00	34.26	32.02	55.22			43.80	107.89	0.00	20.65	
2000	30.97	5.00	35.97	33.44	53.69	87.13	67.82	43.80	111.62	0.00	24.49	
2001		7.50	40.17	36.94	52.16	89.10	71.54	65.70	137.24	0.00	48.14	
2002	34.37	7.50	41.87	38.36	50.63	88.99	75.27	65.70	140.97	0.00	51.98	
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	39.78	49.10	88.88	79.00	65.70	144.70	0.00	55.82	
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	41.20	47.56	88.77	82.73	65.70	148.43	0.00	59.66	
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	42.63	46.03	88.66	86.45	65.70	152.15	0.00	63.50	
2006	41.18	10.00	51.18	46.13	44.50	90.63	90.18	87.60	177.78	0.00	87.15	
2007	42.88	10.00	52.88	47.55	42.97	90.52	93.91	87.60	181.51	0.00	90.99	
2008	44.58	10.00	54.58	49.07	41.44	90.51	97.64	87.60	185.24	0.00	94.73	
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29	50.49	39.90	90.40	101.37	87.60	188.97	0.00	98.57	•
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99	51.92	38.37	90.29	105.09	87.60	192.69	0.00	102.41	
2011	49.69	15.00	64.69	57.51	36.84	94.35	108.82	131.40	240.22	0.00	145.87	
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39	58.93	15.54	74.47	112.55	131.40	243.95	0.00	169.48	
2013	53.09	15.00	68.09	60.35	14.01	74.36			247.68	0.00	173.32	
2014	54.80	20.00	74.80	65.94	12.47				295.20	0.00	216.79	
2015		20.00	76.50	67.36	0.00	67.36			298.93		231.57	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	68.78	0.00	68.78	127.46	175.20	302.66	0.00	233.87	

Ratimated cost :-	Rs. in million 440.00	Length of Rising Main :- 40.9 Km.
G.I.A. 23.33%	102.67	(Nominal referance as 40 km.)
L.I.C.) 66.67%	192.00	
0.M.B.)	101.33	
P.C. 10.00%	44.00	
	440.00	

STATEMENT No. IX DRULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

						WATER RATE	CALCULATI	ON		Rs.in mil Distance		c 40	k.	
Year	Water supply		t Charge		Direct		Annual Bnergy	Chemical & sundry		Total Yearly	Wate	er rate in)	
	from new water	Repay- ment of LIC	ment	Charges	Annual Estt. Charges	Annual M & R Charges	Charges	Charges & water Charges	Charges	Running Charges		per 1000	lts	
	works t	orks with		with	•	cherges	Charges		CHAIGES			Due to Direct Charges	Due to Indirect Charges	Total
	in MLD	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				
1993	15.04	44.65	19.77	64.42	0.75	2.00	10.93	1.85	15.52	79.94	2.83	11.73	14.56	
1994	16.50	43.11	19.77	62.88	0.75	2.00	11.98	2.01	16.74	79.63	2.78	10.44	13.22	
1995	17.96	41.58					13.04	2.17	17.96		2.74			
1996	19.42	40.05					14.10	2.33	19.43	79.25	2.74			
1997	23.88	38.52	19.77	58.29	1.00	2.00	17.33	2.81	23.15	81.44	2.66	6.69	9.34	
1998	32.56	36.99	19.77	56.76	1.00	2.00	23.63	3.77	30.39	87.15	2.56	4.78	7.33	
1999	34.26	35.45					24.86	3.95	32.02	87.24	2.56			
2000	35.97	33.92					26.10	4.14	33,44	87.13	2.55			
2001	40.17	32.39					29.14	4.60	36.94	89.10	2.52			
2002	41.87	30.86	19.77				30.38	4.78	38.36	88.99	2.51			
2003	43.57	29.33	19.77	49.10	1.20	2.00	31.61	4.97	39.78	88.88	2.50	3.09	5.59	
2004	45.27	27.79	19.77		1.20		32.85	5.16	41.20	88.77	2.49			
2005	46.98	26.26	19.77	46.03	1.20		34.08	5.34	42.63	88.66	2.49			
2006	51.18	24.73	19.77	44.50	1.20	2.00	37.13	5.80	46.13	90.63	2.47	2.38	4.85	
2007	52.88	23.20	19.77	42.97	1.20	2.00	38.36	5.99	47.55	90.52	2.46	2.23	4.69	
2008	54.58	21.67	19.77	41.44	1.30	2.00	39.60	6.18	49.07	90.51	2.46	2.08	4.54	
2009	56.29	20.13	19.77	39.90	1.30	2.00	40.83	6.36	50.49	90.40	2.46			
2010	57.99	18.60	19.77	38.37	1.30		42.07	6.55	51.92		2.45			
2011	64.69	17.07	19.77	36.84	1.30		46.93	7.28	57.51	94.35	2.44			
2012	66.39	15.54	0.00	15.54	1.30		48.16	7.47	58.93	74.47	2.43			
2013	68.09	14.01	0.00	14.01	1.30	2.00	49.39	7.66	60.35	74.36	2.43	0.56	2.99	
2014	74.80	12.47	0.00	12.47	1.30	2.00	54.25	8.39	65.94	78.42	2.42			
2015	76.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	55.49	8.58	67.36	67.36	2.41	0.00		
2016	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	56.72	8.76	68.78	68.78	2.41	0.00	2.41	
	Parameter	8	Percent	Rs. in wi	illion									
	Potal Cos in lakhs	t		440.00		Loan Refun Period	d	Rate of Interest		LIC Loan		Percent	Rs.in millio	
	P.C.		10.00	44.00		, .,		-11001.000		First 10	million	66.67 \$	6.67	
	GIA		23.33			LIC .	22	14		Next 10		50.00 %	5.00	
	LIC Loan			192.00		After 2 ye		14		Next 30		40.00 %	12.00	
	OMB Loan			101.33		OWB	19	14		For Remai		25.00 %	97.50	
				440.00	•					Total			121.17	
										Actual Lo Non- Plan			192.00	

STATEMENT NO. X DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

WATER RATE CALCULATION

Rs. in million Distance from sourc

30 km.

						WALLK MALL	CAPCAPATT	VII		nistance	Trom Sour	C JV	KD.
Year	Water	Indirec	t Charge	sTotal	Direct	Charges			Total	Total Yearly		r rate in	
	supply from new water	ment	Repay- ment of OMB		Bstt.	Annual M & R Charges	Bnergy	& sundry		Running Charges	ı Rs.		lts
	works	with Interes	with tInteres		-	•	-	& water Charges			Due to Direct Charges	Due to Indirect Charges	
	∎ld	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
993	15.04	44.65	13.21	57.85	0.75	2.00	10.93	1.85	15.52	73.38	2.83	10.54	13.36
994							11.98				2.78		
995							13.04				2.74		
996				53.26			14.10				2.74		
997	23.88	38.52	13.21	51.73	1.00		17.33				2.66	5.93	8.59
998	32.56	36.99	13.21	50.19	1.00	2.00	23.63	3.77	30.39	80.59	2.56	4.22	6.78
999	34.26	35.45		48.66	1.20	2.00	24.86	3.95	32.02	80.68	2.56	3.89	6.45
000	35.97	33.92	13.21	47.13	1.20	2.00	26.10	4.14	33.44	80.57	2.55	3.59	6.14
001	40.17	32.39	13.21	45.60	1.20	2.00	29.14	4.60	36.94	82.54	2.52	3.11	5.63
002	41.87	30.86	13.21	44.07	1.20	2.00	30.38	4.78	38.36	82.43	2.51	2.88	5.39
003	43.57	29.33		42.53	1.20	2.00	31.61	4.97	39.78	82.32	2.50	2.67	5.18
004	45.27	27.79		41.00	1.20	2.00	32.85	5.16	41.20	82.21	2.49	2.48	4.97
005	46.98	26.26	13.21	39.47	1.20		34.08	5.34	42.63	82.10	2.49		4.79
006	51.18			37.94	1.20		37.13		46.13		2.47		4.50
007	52.88	23.20	13.21	36.41	1.20	2.00	38.36	5.99	47.55	83.96	2.46	1.89	4.35
800	54.58	21.67	13.21	34.88	1.30	2.00	39.60	6.18	49.07	83.95	2.46	1.75	4.21
009	56.29	20.13	13.21	33.34	1.30	2.00	40.83	6.36	50.49	83.84	2.46	1.62	4.08
010	57.99	18.60	13.21	31.81	1.30		42.07	6.55	51.92	83.73	2.45	1.50	3.96
011	64.69	17.07	13.21	30.28	1.30		46.93	7.28	57.51	87.79	2.44		3.72
012	66.39	15.54	0.00	15.54	1.30	2.00	48.16	7.47	58.93	74.47	2.43	0.64	3.07
013		13.12			1.30								
014	74.80	11.59	0.00	11.59	1.30	2.00	54.25	8.39	65.94	77.53	2.42	0.42	2.84
015	76.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	55.49	8.58	67.36	67.36	2.41	0.00	2.41
016 	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	56.72	8.76	68.78	68.78	2.41	0.00	2.41
	٠				Rs. in mill	lion							
	Cost with	out R.M.			249.44			Raw water	rising ma	in		•	20.62 Km.
ļ	R/W Risio	ng main			70.97			18	1	BTP	· ·	Rs. Rs.	81.01 millio 95.18 millio
	P/W Risin				69.14	•		With Bsca	lation			Rs.	97.56 millio
	B.A.1				200 55								15 66 =

Cost without	R.M.	249.44	Raw water rising main		20.62 Km.
				Rs.	81.01 million
R/W Rising ma	ain	70.97	18 \$ BTP	Rs.	95.18 million
P/W Rising ma	ain	69.14	With Escalation	Rs.	97.56 million
			• •		45.44
Total cost		389.55	* Assume length		15.00 Km.
	Percent		Cost for 15.00 km. R/M	Rs.	70.97 million
P.C.	10.00	38.96			
GIA	23.33	90.90			
LIC Loan		192.00			
OMB Loan		67.70	Pure water rising main		20.28 Km.
			•	Rs.	77.62 million
		389.55	18 % BTP	Rs.	91.20 million
			With Escalation	Rs.	93.48 million
			Assume length	,	15.00 Km.
			Cost for 15.00 km R/M	Rs.	69.14 million

STATEMENT No.Xa Drule water supply scheme

	Statement	showing	liabilities due to LIC loan	Rising Main Length	30 km.
Year	LIC Loan		Payment of	Balance	Total
	out-	interest	Principal	Amt. of	Liablities
	standing	on	Amt.in inst.	ric	of LIC
		LIC loan	22	Loan	Loan
		14	Ю.		
	Rs.millio	Rs.mil	lion Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million
1990	64.00	8.96			
1991	136.96		TOTAL INTEREST FOR	THREE YEARS IS CAPITAL	LISED
1992	211.17	29.56			
1993	240.74	33.70	10.94	229.80	44.65
1994	229.80	32.17	10.94	218.85	43.11
1995		30.64	10.94	207.91	41.58
1996		29.11	10.94	196.97	40.05
1997	196.97	27.58	10.94	186.03	38.52
1998		26.04	10.94	175.08	36.99
1999		24.51	10.94	164.14	35.45
2000		22.98	10.94	153.20	33.92
2001		21.45	10.94	142.25	32.39
2002	142.25	19.92	10.94	131.31	30.86
2003		18.38	10.94	120.37	29.33
2004		16.85	10.94	109.43	27.79
2005	109.43	15.32	10.94	98.48	26.26
2006	98.48	13.79	10.94	87.54	24.73
2007	87.54	12.26	10.94	76.60	23.20
2008	76.60	10.72	10.94	65.66	21.67
2009	65.66	9.19	10.94	54.71	20.13
2010		7.66	10.94	43.77	18.60
2011	43.77	6.13	10.94	32.83	17.07
2012	32.83	4.60	10.94	21.89	15.54
2013	15.54	2.18	10.94	4.60	13.12
2014	4.60	0.64	10.94	0.00	11.59

STATEMENT NO. 1D DRULB WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

Year OHB Loan out- interest principal and out- interest principal Amt. of Liablities on OHB loan at No. Loan Loan Loan Amt. in inst. OBM of OMB Loan Loan Total Liablities of OHB Loan Loan Loan Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million Rs.in million		STATE	MENT SHOWING LIABI	LITIES DUE TO OMB LOAN	Rising Ma	in Length	30 km
1990 22.57 3.16 TOTAL INTEREST FOR THREE YEARS 1991 48.29 6.76 IS CAPITALISED 1992 74.46 10.42 1993 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1995 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1996 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	Year	out-	interest on OMB loan at 14	Principal Amt.in inst. No.	Amt. of OBM	Liablities of OMB	
1991		Rs.in million	Rs.in million	Rs.in million		Rs.in million	
1992 74.46 10.42 1993 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1994 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1995 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1990	22.57	3.16	TOTAL INTEREST FOR TH	REE YEARS		
1993 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1994 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1995 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1996 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1	1991	48.29	6.76	IS CAPITALISED			
1994 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1995 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1996 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1	1992	74.46	10.42				
1995 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1996 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1993	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
1996 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1994	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
1997 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1995	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
1998 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1996	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
1999 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1997	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2000 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1998	84.89	11.88	1.32			
2001 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	1999	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2002 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2000	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2003 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2001	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2004 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2002	84.89				13.21	
2005 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2003	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2006 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2004	84.89	11.88	1.32			
2007 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 11.88 1.32 13.21							
2008 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21		84.89	11.88				
2009 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21 2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21	2007	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	
2010 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21							
2011 84.89 11.88 1.32 13.21							
	2011	84.89	11.88	1.32		13.21	

STATEMENT No. II. DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

WATER RATE CALCULATION

Rs. in million Distance from source 20 km.

Year	Water supply			Indirect		Charges			Total -Direct	Total Yearly		rate in		
	from new water	Repay- ment	жерау- ment	Charges	Annual	Annual M & R	Annua I	Chemical & sundry	Charges		g Rs. p		ts 	•
	works	with	with tInterest				-	& water Charges			Due to Direct Charges	Indirect		
	∎ld	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		charyes		***	
1993	15.04	39.86	11.15	51.01	0.75	2.00	10.93	1.85	15.52	66.53	2.83	9.29	12.12	,
1994	16.50			49.64			11.98		16.74	66.38				
1995	17.96			48.27			13.04		17.96	66.23				
1996	19.42			46.91			14.10		19.43	66.33				
1997	23.88			45.54			17.33		23.15	68.69				
1998	32.56	33.02	11.15	44.17	1.00	2.00	23.63	3.77	30.39	74.57	2.56	3.72	6.27	
1999	34.26	31.65	11.15	42.80	1.20	2.00	24.86	3.95	32.02	74.82	2.56	3.42	5.98	
2000	35.97	30.29	11.15	41.44	1.20	2.00	26.10	4.14	33.44	74.87	2.55	3.16	5.70	
2001	40.17		11.15	40.07	1.20	2.00	29.14	4.60	36.94	77.01	2.52	2.73	5.25	
2002	41.87	27.55	11.15	38.70	1.20	2.00	30.38	4.78	38.36	77.06	2.51	2.53	5.04	
2003	43.57	26.18	11.15	37.33	1.20	2.00	31.61	4.97	39.78	77.12	2.50	2.35	4.85	
2004	45.27	24.82	11.15	35.96	1.20		32.85	5.16	41.20	77.17			4.67	
2005	46.98	23.45	11.15	34.60	1.20	2.00	34.08	5,34	42.63	17.22			4.50	
2006	51.18	22.08	11.15	33.23	1.20		37.13	5.80	46.13	79.36	2.47	1.78	4.25	
2007	52.88	20.71	11.15	31.86	1.20	2.00	38.36	5.99	47.55	79.41	2.46	1.65	4.11	
2008	54.58		11.15	30.49	1.30		39.60	6.18	49.07	79.57	2.46		3.99	
2009	56.29	17.98	11.15	29.12	1.30		40.83	6.36	50.49	79.62	2.46		3.88	
2010	57.99		11.15	27.76	1.30		42.07	6.55	51.92	79.67	2.45		3.76	
2011	64.69		11.15	26.39	1.30		46.93	7.28	57.51	83.90	2.44		3.55	
2012	66.39	13.87	0.00	13.87	1.30	2.00	48.16	7.47	58.93	72.80	2.43	0.57	3.00	
2013	68.09	11.71	0.00	11.71	1.30	2.00	49.39	7.66	60.35	72.06	2.43	0.47	2.90	
2014	74.80	10.34	0.00	10.34	1.30	2.00	54.25	8.39	65.94	76.29	2.42	0.38	2.79	
015	76.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	55.49	8.58	67.36	67.36	2.41	0.00	2.41	
2016	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	56.72	8.76	68.78 	68.78	2.41	0.00	2.41	
					Rs. in will	lion								
(Cost with	out R.M.			249.44			Raw water	rising mai	n		Rs.	20.62 81.01	
Į	R/W Risin	g main			47.32			18	k R	TP		Rs.	95.18	
Ì	P/W Risin	g main			46.09			With Bsca	lation			Rs.	97.56 1	illio
1	otal cos				342.85			Assume les					10.00 1	
	Y 4		Percent		74 70	•		Cost for	10.00 k	m. R/M		Rs.	47.32 1	illio
	P.C.		10.00		34.28									
	GIA		23.33		80.00									
	IC Loan				171.42			Dune		i_			20 20 -	,
,	MB Loan				57.14			rure Watel	rising wa	110		D.	20.28 F 77.62 E	
					342.85			18 4	1	TP.		Rs. Rs.	91.20	
					******			With Bscal		• •			\T+4A	illion

Assume length

Cost for 10.00 km R/M

Rs.

10.00 Km.

46.09 million

STATEMENT NO.XI.a DRULE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

	Statement	showing liabi	llities due to LIC loan	Rising Main Length	20 km.
lear	standing	nterest on IC loan	Payment of Principal Amt.in inst. 22	Balance Amt. of LIC Loan	Total Liablities of LIC Loan
	Rs.millio	14 Rs.million	MO. Rs.millíon	Rs.million	Rs.million

1990		8.00			
1991		17.12	TOTAL INTEREST FOR	THREE YEARS IS CAPITAI	LISED
1992		26.40		AAP 45	22.46
1993	214.94	30.09 28.72	9.77	205.17	39.86
1994	205.17	28.72 27.36	9.77	195.40	38.49
	195.40	27.36	9.77	185.63	37.13
1996	185.63	25.99	9.11	175.86	35.76
1997	175.86	24.62	9.77	166.09	34.39
1998		23.25	9.77	156.32	33.02
1999		21.88	9.77	146.55	31.65
2000		20.52	9.77	136.78	30.29
2001		19.15	9.77	127.01	28.92
2002	127.01	17.78	9.77	117.24	27.55
2003	117.24	16.41	9.77	107.47	26.18
2004		15.05	9.77	97.70	24.82
2005		13.68	9.77	87.93	23.45
2006		12.31	9.77	78.16	22.08
2007		10.94	9.77	68.39	20.71
2008	68.39	9.57	9.77	58.62	19.34
2009		8.21	9.77	48.85	17.98
2010		6.84	9.77	39.08	16.61
2011		5.47	9.77	29.31	15.24
2012		4.10	9.77	19.54	13.87
2013	13.87	1.94	9.77	4.10	11.71
2014	4.10	0.57	9.77	0.00	10.34

STATEMENT NO.XI.b DHOLE WATER SOPPLY SCHEME

	STATE	HENT SHOWING LIABI	LITIES DUE TO ONB LOAN	Rising Main Lengt	h 20
Year	OMB Loan out- standing	Yearly interest on OMB loan at	Payment of Principal Amt.in inst. No.	Balance Total Amt. of Liabl OBM of OM Loan Loan	ities
	·	14 Percent	19		
	Rs.in million	Rs.in million	Rs.in million	Rs.in m	illion
1990	19.05	2.67	TOTAL INTEREST FOR TE	IRBE YEARS	
1991	40.76	5.71	IS CAPITALISED		
1992	62.85	8.80			
1993	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1994	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1995	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1996		10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1997	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1998	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
1999		10.03	1.12	11.1	
2000		10.03	1.12	11.19	5
2001		10.03	1.12	11.1	5
2002	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
2003	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
2004		10.03	1.12	11.19	
2005	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.15	5
2006	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.15	5
2007	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.19	5
2008	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.19	
2009	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.19	5
2010	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.1	5
2011	71.65	10.03	1.12	11.19	

STATEMENT No. III. DHOLE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

WATER RATE CALCULATION

Rs. in million Distance from source

10 km.

	Water supply	Indirect Character		ect ChargesTotal Indirect : - Repay- Charges !	Direct	Charges	**		Total -Direct	Total Yearly		rate in	
	from new water	ment	ment	Charges	Batt.	M&R	Bnergy	Chemical & sundry Charges	Charges	Running Charge	g Rs. p	er 1000 10	
	works			t	-	·	•	& water			Due to Direct Charges	Due to Indirect Charges	
	∍ld	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
993	15.04	34.43	9.63	44.06	0.75	2.00	10.93	1.85	15.52	59.58	2.83	8.02	10.85
994	16.50						11.98		16.74	59.62			
995	17.96	32.07	9.63	41.70	0.75	2.00	13.04	2.17	17.96	59.66	2.74	6.36	9.10
996	19.42	30.89	9.63	40.52	1.00	2.00	14.10	2.33	19.43	59.94			
997	23.88	29.71	9.63	39.33	1.00	2.00	17.33	2.81	23.15	62.48	2.66	4.51	7.17
998	32.56						23.63		30.39	68.55			
999	34.26						24.86		32.02	68.99			
000	35.97						26.10		33.44	69.23			
001 002	40.17 41.87						29.14 30.38		36.94 38.36	71.55 71.79			
003 004	43.57 45.27						31.61 32.85		39.78 41.20	72.03 72.27			
005	46.98						34.08		42.63	72.51			
106	51.18						37.13		46.13	74.83			4.01
)07	52.88						38.36		47.55	75.07			3.89
08	54.58	16.71	9.63	26.34	1.30	2.00	39.60	6.18	49.07	75.41	2.46	1.32	3.79
009	56.29				1.30		40.83		50.49	75.65			
010	57.99	14.35					42.07		51.92	75.89			
11	64.69						46.93		57.51	80.30			
112	66.39	11.98	0.00	11.98	1.30	2.00	,48.16	7.47	58.93	70.91	2.43	0.49	2.93
113	68.09					2.00	49.39			70.47			
)14		8.94			1.30	2.00	54.25		65.94	74.88			
115	76.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	55.49		67.36	67.36	2.41	0.00	2.41
16	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	2.00	56.72	8.76	68.78	68.78	2.41	0.00	2.41
					Rs. in mil	lion			·				
1	Cost with	out R.M.	•		249.44			Raw water	rising mai	in		Rs.	20.62 Km. 81.01 milli
	R/W Risin	•			23.66			18		BTP		Rs.	95.18 milli
	P/W Risin	ng main			23.05			With Esca	lation			Rs.	97.56 milli
1	Total cos	st	D		296.14		•	Assume le		- n tu		n-	5.00 Km.
1	D C		Percent		20 61	•		Cost for	5.00 1	km. R/M		Rs.	23.66 milli
	P.C. GIA		10.00 23.33		29.61 69.10								
	LIC Loan		13433		148.07								
	OMB Loan				49.36			Pure wate	r rising ma	nin			20.28 Km.
,	5744				77.30	-		#0.0	orny me			Rs.	77.62 milli
					296.14			18		STP		Rs.	91.20 milli
		•				•		With Bsca	lation			Rs.	93.48 milli
								Assume le	ngth				5.00 Km.
								Cost for	5.00 k	R/M		Rs.	23.05 milli

STATEMENT No.XII a Dhole water sopply scheme

	Statement	; showing li	abilities due to LIC loan	Rising Main Length	10 km.
Year			Payment of	Balance	Total
		interest	Principal		Liablities
	standing	Oh	Amt.in inst.	LIC	of LIC
		LIC loan		Loan	Loan
		14	MO.		
	Rs.millio	Rs.milli	on Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million
1990					
1991		14.79	TOTAL INTEREST FOR	THREE YEARS IS CAPITA	LISED
199	162.86	22.80			
199	185.66	25.99 24.81 23.63	8.44	177.22	34.43
199	177.22	24.81	8.44	168.78	33.25
199	168.78	23.63	8.44	160.34	32.07
1990		22.45	8.44	151.90	30.89
199	151.90	21.27	8.44	143.46	29.71
1998	143.46	20.08 18.90	8.44	135.02	28.52
1999	135.02	18.90	8.44	126.59	27.34
200	126.59	17.72	8.44	118.15	26.16
2001		16.54	8.44	109.71	24.98
2002	109.71	15.36	8.44	101.27	23.80
2003		14.18	8.44	92.83	22.62
2004		13.00	8.44	84.39	21.44
200		11.81	8.44	75.95	20.25
2006		10.63	8.44	67.51	19.07
2007	67.51	9.45	8.44	59.07	17.89
2008	59.07	8.27	8.44	50.63	16.71
2009	50.63	7.09	8.44	42.20	15.53
2010	42.20	5.91	8.44	33.76	14.35
2011		4.73	8.44	25.32	13.16
2012	25.32	3.54	8.44	16.88	11.98
2013		1.68	8.44	3.54	10.12
2014	3.54	0.50	8.44	0.00	8.94

STATEMENT NO.XII.b DHOLE WATER SOPPLY SCHEME

	STATE	MENT SHOWING LIABI	LITIES DUE TO ONB LOAN	Rising Mai	n Length	1
Year	OMB Loan out- standing	Yearly interest on OMB loan at	Payment of Principal Amt.in inst. No.	Balance Amt. of OBM Loan	Total Liablities of OMB Loan	
		14 Percent	19			
	Rs.in million	Rs.in million	Rs.in million		Rs.in million	n
1990	16.45	2.30	TOTAL INTEREST FOR TH	REB YBARS		
1991	35.21	4.93	IS CAPITALISED			
1992	54.29	7.60				
1993	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
1994	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
1995	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
1996		8.66	0.97		9.63	
1997	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
1998	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
1999	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2000	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2001	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2002	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2003	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2004	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2005	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2006	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2007	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	
2008		8.66	0.97		9.63	
2009		8.66	0.97		9.63	
2010		8.66	0.97		9.63	
2011	61.89	8.66	0.97		9.63	

STATEMENT XIII.

DHOLE WATER SUPPLY SCHENE

STATEMENT SHOWING INDIRECT CHARGES TO BE INCORRED

DHULE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL POR THE YEAR 1993 TO 2016 AND INTER-SUBSIDISED AT 100 % POR PIRST 5 YEARS & 50 % POR HEXT 5

PRICE BASE LEVEL :-1992

Year	Water	supply made fr					Indirect c	harges		***			Loan from Govt. for
	New Sc			Repayment o	f LIC Loa	1	Repayment	of OMB Lo			lirect Charg		Repayment
	Domestic	Indus.	Total	Interst &	isciple	Total	Interst	Principal Amount	Total	Interst	Princ- ipal Amount	Total	5 yrs full next 5 yrs 50 %
	ald	mld	ald	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	ks.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
À	В	C	D	B	P	G		I	J	K .	L	Ħ	1
#####	*********	*****	******	in a His yas wi	· .	B+P	•		H+I	R+#	P+1	G+J	K*100\$
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	33.70	10.94	44.65	17.79	1.98	19.77			64.42	51.49
1994		2.00			10.94	43.11		1.98	19.77			62.88	
1995		2.00			10.94	41.58		1.98	19.77	The second second	12.92	61.35	
1996		2.60			18.94	40.05		1.98	19.77			59.82	
1997	18.88	5.00	23.88	27.58	10.94	38.52	17.79	1.98	19.77	45.36	12.92	58.29	45.36
1998		5.80			10.94	36.99		1.98	19.77			56.76	
1999		5.0			10.94	35.45	1 to 1 to 1 to 1	1.98	19.77			55.2	
2000		5.00			10.94	33.92		1.98	19.77			53.69	
2001		7.50			10.94	32.39		1.98	19.77	2.4	12.92	52(1)	
2842	34.37	7.5	41.87	19.92	10.94	30.86	11.79	1.98	19.77	37.70	12.92	50.63	18.85
2003		7.50		and the second second	11.91	29.33	7.1	1.98	19.77		12.92	49.10	12
2004		7.50		1.5	10.94	27 .7 9		1.98	19.77			47.5	
2005		7,51			10.94	26.26		1.98	19.77		12.92	46.0	A. Control of the Con
2006		10.0	11 444	100		24.73		1.98	19.77		12.92	44.5	
2007	42.88	10.00	52.80	12.26	11.11	23.20	17.79	1.98	19.77	30.04	12.92	42.9	
2008	44.58	10.00	54.51	10.72	10.94	21 .67	17.79	1.98	19.77	28.51	12.92	41.4	
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29	9,19	10.94	20.13		1.98	19.77			39.9	
2010					10.94	18.60		1.98	19.77		1.	38.3	
2011		15.00			10.94	17.07		1.98	19.77			36.8	
2012	51.39	15.00	66.39		11.44	15.54		0.00	0.00			15.5	
2013		15.0	68.09	3.06	10.94	14.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.86	10.94	14.0	· . [
2014		20.00			10.94	12.47		0.00	0.00			12.4	
2015		20.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		· ·	0.00	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00			0.00	

NOTE :- (1) GOVT. LOAN FOR FULL REIMBURSEMENT OF INTEREST FOR FIRST 5 YEARS AND 50 % FOR THE NEXT FIVE YEARS

344.06

⁽²⁾ GOVT. LOAN TO BE REPAID IN AN THANS WITH MORATORISM PERIOD OF 10 YEARS AND REPAYMENT IN 20 ROBAL AMERAL INSTALLMENTS ON AMERITY BASIS WITH 14 % INTEREST.

Rs. in million

ance irect rges	ment of Govt.	Liabili- ties	of Govt.loan Rs.per 1000	Mater rate considering repayment of Govt.loan after 10 years in Rs.per 1000 lits due to					
			In-direct Charges	direct	Total				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.							
0	P	Q			Ţ				
H-N		. O+P	Q*1000/D*365		R÷S				
12,92		12.92	2.35		5.18				
12.92			2.15		4.93				
12.92		12.92							
12,92		12.92			•				
12.92		12.92		2.66					
34.84	•	34.84	2.93	2.56	5.49				
34.07		34.07	2.72	2.56	5.28				
33.31		33.31	2.54	2.55					
32.54		32.54		2.52					
31.78		31.78	2.08	2.51	4.59				
49.10	7.78	56.87	3.58						
47.56	15.32	62.88							
46.03	22.63				6.49				
44.50					6.44				
42;97	36.56	79.53	4.12	2.46	6.58				
41,44	39.87				6.54				
39,90				2.46					
38.37					6.45				
36.84				2.44	6.08				
15,54	51.95	67.49	2.79	2.43	5.22				
14.01	51.95			2.43	5.08				
12,47		64.43	2.36	2.42	4.78				
0.80	51.95	51.95	1.86	2.41	4.27				
0.00		51.95	1.82	2.41	4.23				

STATEMENT XIV

WATER RATES AND REVENUE DEFICIT/SURPLUS

FOR DHULE HUNICIPAL COUNCIL CONSIDERING INTEREST SUBSIDY AS GOVY LOAN FOR 10 YEARS AS CONSIDERED IN STATEME

ASSUME :-

i) DOMESTIC RATE Rs.

4.00 PER 1000 lits

ii) NON-DOMESTIC RATE Rs

16.00 per 1000 lits

ear	Water				Espend iture		- 1 - 1	Revenue			Deficit Su	rplus
v,	New Sc				Indirect Charges	Total	Domestic	Non-domestic		Total		
,	Domestic mld	Indus.			Turk Alfab	B. Agra	supply	supply.		Rs.	Rs.	Re.
1993	13.04	2.00	15.04	15.52	12.92	28.45	19.04	11.68		30.72	0.00	2.28
1994	-	2.00	16.50	16.74		29.67	21.17			32.85	0.00	3.19
1995		2.00	17.96	17.96	•	30.88	23.30			34.98	0.00	4.10
1996		2.00	19.42	19.43		32.35	25.43			37.11	0.00	4.76
1997		5.00	23.88	23.15		36.07	27.56			56.76	0.00	20.69
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	30.39	34.84	65.24	40.24	29.20		69.44	0.00 _	4.21
1999	29,26	5.00	34.26	32.42	34.07	66.09	42.73	29.20		71.93	0.00	5.84
2000		5.00	35,97	33,84	33.31	66.74	45.21	29.20		74.41	0.60	7.67
2001	32.67		40.17		CPV T	69.49	47.70	43.80		91.50	0.00	22.01
2802	34.37	7.50			.015-1	70.14	50.18	43.80		93.98	0.00	23.84
2003	36.07	7.50	43.57	39.14	\$6.8 7	96.66	52.67	43.80		96.47	-0.19	0.00
2004	37.77	7.50	45.27	41.20	62.88	104.09	55,15			98.95	-5.14	0.00
2005	39.48	7.50	46.98	42.63	68.66	111.29	57.64		*	101.44	-9.85	0.00
2006	41.18	10.00	51.18	46.13		129.35	60.12		ù , ·	118.52	-1.82	0.00
2007	42.88	10.00	52.88	47.55	79.5 3	127.08	62.61			121.01	-6.08	6.00
2008	44.58	10.88	54.58	49.07	81.31	130.38	65.09	58.40		123.49	-6.89	0.00
2009	46.29	10.00	56.29			133.46	67.58			125.98	-7.49	0.00
2010	47.99	10.00	57.99			136.43	70.06			128.46	-7.97	8.00
2011	4.5	100	64.69	7.7	46,000	143.46	72.55		•	160.15	0.00	16.69
2012			66.39		43 37 3	126.42	75.03		+ 1 m 1 +	162.63	0.00	36.21
2013	53.89	15.00	64.09	88.31	65. 96	126.31	77.52	87.60		165.12	0.60	38.81
2614		20.00	74.40	The second secon		130.37	\$0.00			196.80	0.00	66.43
2015	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	21.11	76.50			119.32	-82.49	·		199.29	0.00	79.97
2016		20.00	78.20	100		120.74	84.97			201.77	0.00	81.04

dr. in million

Ratimated o	cost :-	440.00	Length of Eising Main :- 40.9 km.
G.I.A. L.I.C.	23.33 %	102.67 192.00	(Nominal referance as 40 km.)
0.M.B.		191.33	
P.C.	10.00 %		

440.00

NO. XIII.

472.99 609.54 624.84 788.35

Rs. in million

WO IN MITTION								
Surplus Amount	Remarks							
invested								
€ 13 %								
Rs.								
# *****	GOVT. LOAN 190 %							
2.57								
6.51	FOR PAYMENT OF INTEREST							
11.99								
18.93								
44.77	COVT. LOAN 50 %							
50.59								
57.17	FOR PAYMENT OF INTEREST							
86.61								
121.71								
137.32	REPAYMENT OF GOVT.							
149.36								
157.65	STARTS							
176.08	•							
192.10	•							
209.29								
228.04								
248.67								
299.86								
379.77								

STATEMENT No. XV

DHOLE WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

STATEMENT SHOWING INDIRECT CHARGES TO BE INCURRED BY

DAOTE

HONIC

WHEN COVI. LOAR IS AVAILED FOR REPAYMENT OF LIC LOAN & INTEREST 100 % FOR PIRST 5 YRS. & 50 % TEER

								•		PRICE BAS	R PEART :-	1992		
Year	Water	supply made fro					Indirect c	harges					Interest +II Prom Govt as	
	Rev Sc			Repaymen	t of LIC Loan		Repayment	of OMB Loa	n	Total Inc		irges for LIC loan f		for
	Domestic	Indus- trial	Total	Interst	Principle 1 Januar	otal		Principal Amount			Princ- ipal Amount	Total	50 % as gove Thereafter	
	mld.	ald.	mld.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	la.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	÷
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	, .c #8}
1990						· .							8.96 17.92	
1992 1993		2.00	15.04	26.88	8.73	35.61	17.79	1.98	19.77	44.67	10.71	55.38	26.88 35.61	
1994		2.00	16.50			34.39	17.79	1.98	19.77					
1995		2.60	17.96		e design to	33.16	17.79	1.98	19.77	42.22	10.71	52.93	16.58	
1996		2.00	19.47	The Art Art Company		31.94	17.79	1.98	19.77		10.71			٠.
1997	18.88	5.00	23.88	21.99	14.73	30.72	17.79	1.98	19.77	39.78	10.71	50.49	15.36	
1998	27.56	5.00	32.56	20.77	8.73	29.50	17.79	1.98	19.77	38.56	10.71	49.27	14.75	
1999		5.00	34.26			28.25	17,39		19.77				and the second of the second o	16 24 j
2000			35.97			27.05	17,79		19.77					. 154
2001		772 1 2	a transfer of the second			25.83	17,79	1.98	19.77					
2402	34.37	7.50	41.87	15.88	8.73	24.61	17.79	1.98	19.77	33.67	10.71	44.38		
204	36.97	7,54	43.5	14.66		23.39	17.79	1.98	19.77	12.45	10.71	43.16	11.69	
2014		The second second				22.17	17.79	1.98	19.77		di d			٠.
204	100	7.50			4.17	20.95	17.79	1.98	19.77	30.41	10.71	40.77	10.47	
200		10.00				19.77		1.98	19.77					
2007	12.88	10.66	52.8	9.77	4.1)	18.5	17.79	1.98	19.77	27.56	10.71	38.27	9.25	
200	44,58	10.00	54.58	8.55	i.u	17.20	11.79	1.98	19.77	26.34	10.71	37.05	8.64	
200				7.33	17	16.06		1.98	19.77					
2010		10.00	57.99			14.84	17.79		19.77					
2011	and the second second	15.40		and the state of the second	the state of the s	13.61	17.79	1.98	19.77	22.68		and the second second		
2017	51.39	15.04	4.3	1.4	# (14.1)	12.39		0.00	0.40	3.67	8.73	12.39	6.20	
2013	53.09	15.00	68.89	2.44	4. 70	11.17	節の所用機ない。	0.00	0.00	2.44	8.73	11.17	5.59	
2014		20.00		1.22		9.95	(200 mg)	0.00	0.00					
201						0.00		0.00	0.00				a contract of the contract of	
2016	58.20	20.00	78.20	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
			•		Rs. in milli				**************************************	****	7444		339.32	
		Pot imate	ed cost		448.00		Inacht -2	Bialan wat	_ 48.4					
		40+1 00		•	770.00		Meadra of	Riwing Hai	n :- 40.9	AB.				

23.33 %

66.67 %

192.00

101.33

G.I.A. L.I.C.

0.N.B.]

P.C.

	•	• • •	в	٠.
Ve	11	ni	ı	ותנ
BQ.	t III	E1.	Н	LIVI

ent dy	Balance Indirect Charges	Repayment of Govt. loan after 20 yrs.in 20 intall- ments	Liabili- ties Due to	Indirect charges Considering subsidy & Repayment of Govt. Loan after		
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.per 1000 lits		
	15	16	17	18		
	19.77		19.77	2 60		
	19.77					
	36.35		19.77 36.35			
	35.74		35.74	5.04		
	35.13		35.13	4.03		
	34.52		34.52	2.90		
	33.91		33.91	2.71		
	-33.30		33.30	2.54		
	32.69		32.69	2.23		
	32.08		32.08	2.10		
	21 46		31.46	1 00		
	31.46 30.85		30.85	1.98 1.87		
	30.24		30.24	1.76		
	29.63		29.63	1.59		
	29.02		29.02	1.50		
	27.02		27142	1.50		
	28.41		28.41	1.43		
	27.80		27.80	1.35		
	27.19		27.19	1.28		
	26.58		26.58	1.13		
	6.20		6.20	0.26		
	5.59	•	5.59	0.22		
	4.97	44 45	4.97	0.18		
	0.00	64.47		2.31		
	0.00	62.09		2.18		
	0.00	59.72	59.72	2.09		

STATEMENT No.XV.a

DHULE WATER SUPPLY SCHENE

STATEMENT SHOWING LIABILITIES DUE TO LIC LOAM (AS PER "C"CLASS MUNICIPAL COUNCIL)

Year	LIC Loan	Yearly	Payment of	Balance	Total
	out-	interest	Principal	Amt. of	Liablities
	standing	on	Amt.in inst.	LIC	of BIC
	ovalio : ii y	LIC loan 14	22 NO.	Loan	Loan

	Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million	Rs.million
1990	64.00	8.96			8.96
1991	128.00	17.92			17.92
1992	192.00	26.88			26.88
1993	192.00	26.88	8.73	183.27	35.61
1994	183.27	25.66	8.73	174.55	34.39
1995	174.55	24.44	8.73	165.82	33.16
1996	165.82	23.21	8.73	157.09	31.94
1997	157.09	21.99	8.73	148.36	30.72
1998	148.36	20.77	8.73	139.64	29.50
1999	139.64	19.55	8.73	130.91	28.28
2000	130.91	18.33	8.73	122.18	27.05
2001	122.18	17.11	8.73	113.45	25.83
2002	113.45	15.88	8.73	104.73	24.61
2003	104.73	14.66	8.73	96.00	23.39
2004	96.00	13.44	8.73	87.27	22.17
2005		12.22	8.73	78.55	20.95
2006	78.55	11.00	8.73	69.82	19.72
2007	69.82	9.77	8.73	61.09	18.50
2008	61.09	8.55	8.73	52.36	17.28
2009	52.36	7.33	8.73	43.64	16.06
2010	43.64	6.11	8.73	34.91	14.84
2011		4.89	8.73	26.18	13.61
2012	26.18	3.67	8.73	17.45	12.39
2013	17.45	2.44	8.73	8.73	11.17
2014	8.73	1.22	8.73	0.00	9.95

Water Supply Schemes of Municipal Council Revised Pattern of financial assistance.

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA
URBAN DEVELOPMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT
CIRCULAR NO.MWS-1765/19103/M
MANTRALAYA, BOMBAY-32(BR), 8th June, 1968.

CIRCULAR

Government has decided to give financial assistance to 'C' class Municipal Councils to enable them to repay the loans that may be given by the Life Insurance Corporation for their water supply schemes, with greater facility and has further liberalised the mode of contribution of their 10% share by 'A' & 'B' class Municipal Council. On account of these changes the pattern of financial assistance to the Municipal Councils for their water supply schemes will be indicated below.

. ,	Municipal Council	Government Grant⊸in-aid	Life Insurance Loan
i)A Class Municipal Council	10%	23 1/3%	66 2/3%
ii) B Class Municipal Council	10%	40%	50%
iii)C class Municipal Council	10%	50%	40%

- 2. If the financial position of a C class Municipal Council is so unsatisfactory that it is unable to make its 10% cash contribution the same may be waived and such municipal councils may be given 50% of the cost as Government grant-in-aid and 50% as L.I.C. loan.
- 3. In respect of C class Municipal Council Government has also decided that the full amount of the instalment and interest to be paid annually by them towards repayment of the Life Insurance Corporation loan may be given to the Municipal Councils by Government as loan each year for the first five years from the commencement of the scheme or till the scheme is completed whichever is earlier thereafter the 50% of the annual instalment of the L.I.C. loan with interest may be given as loans to the Municipal Councils, each year till the last instalment of the Life Insurance Corporation loan is repaid. The Government Loans will be granted under the same terms and conditions as governing the L.I.C. loans. The loans given by Government will be recovered from the Municipal Council with effect from the twenteeth year of the drawal of the L.I.C. loan byn them and will be completed in subsequent twenty years.

Government has also decided to allow 'A' & 'B' class municipal councils to pay their share of 10% of the cost in three equal annual instalments the third and the last instalment being paid before handing over the scheme to the Municipal Council.

- 5. The Municipal Council desirous of taking up this water supply scheme under this new pattern of financial assistance should approach Government through the Executive Engineer and Deputy Chief Auditors of Local Fund Account concerned. The Executive Engineer should send this proposal in the enclosed proforma, accompanied by detailed plans and estimate to the Superintending Engineer concerned who in turn should consolidate this and send this to Government so as to reach this Department by the end of July every year. Any proposal received after July will not be included in the programme for the year and will have to be postponed till the subsequent year.
- 6. This circular, issued with the concurrence of Finance Department, vide its unofficial reference No.7154/D-778/IX, dated 4th May 1968.

By order and in the name of Governor of Maharashtra.

Sd/-V,R,Chitale Under Secretary. The modified procedure in respect of loans raised or to be raised by the Board from the L.I.C. for Urban Water Supply and Sewerage Schemes and servicing of these loans.

Amendment to para 6(c) of the G.R., U.D.P.H..D.No.WSB-1076/310-S UD-22, dated the 7th March, 1977.

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA

Urban Development and Public Health Department
Resolution No.LON-1076/287-UD-22, -S

Mantralaya, Bombay-400 032, dated the 19th September, 1978.

READ:

- Government Circular, Urban Development and Public Health Department No.MWS-1765/19103-M, dated the 8th June 1968.
- 2) Government Resolution No.MWS-1076/292/UD-22, dated the 11rh February 1977.
- 3) Government Resolution, Urban Development and Public Health Department No.WSB-1076/310-5/UD-22, dated the 7th March 1977 NS 1)TH August 1977.

RESOLUTION: After formation of the Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board from 1st January 1977, the State Government has prescribed under Government Resolution, Urban Dev elopment and Public Health Department No, WSB-1076/310-S-UD-22, dated the 7th March 1977, the modified procedure in respect of loans raised or to be raised by the Board from the L.I.C. of India for Urban WaterSupply and Sewerage Schemes and servicing of these loans with effect from 1st April, 1977.

- In the case of 'C' class Municipal Councils having population less than 15,000, the State Government has taken over the L.I.C. loan liabilities with effect from 10th October 1976 vide Government Resolution No.MWS-1076/292/UD-22, dated the 11th February, 1977. In case of 'C' class Municipal Councils having population more than 15,000, the existing financial pattern and procedure for repayment of L.I.C. loans as prescribed in para (3) of the Government Circular, Urban Development and Public Health and Housing Department NO.MWS-1765/19103-M, dated the 8th June 1968 continues to be applicable.
- 3. In view of the above, it is necessary to amend the procedure, prescribed in para 6(c) of the Government Resolution, NoWSB-1076/310-S-UD-22, dated the 7th March 1977, for servicing of the L.I.C. loans raised by 'C' class Municipal Councils having population less than 15,000 and more than 15,000, as per 1971 census, for regulation of the repayment of L.I.C. loans, raised or to be raised for their WaterSupply and Sewerage Schemes.
- 4. Government is, therefore, pleased to direct that in partial modification of the orders issued in para 6(c) Of the Government Resolution, Urban

Development and Public Health Department No.WSB-1076/310-S-UD-22, dated the 7th Murch 1977, the procedure to be followed in respect of repayment of L.1.C. loans raised or to be raised by 'C' class Municipal Councils having population less than 15,000 and more than 15,000, as per 1971 census, for their Water Supply and Sewereage Schemes, shall be as follows.

- a) After formation of the Maharashtra WaterSupply and Sewerage Board, according to 'the rescheduling of L.I.C. dues, the repayment of loans once in the year and payments of interest are to be made twice i.e. half yearly in June and December in the year.
- b) The Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board will send the intimations to 'A', 'B' & 'C' class Municipal Councils, having population more than 15,000(to which L.I.C. has advanced loans prior to 6/68 and which are paying directly to the Board) and the State Government for Government WaterSupply Schemes & 'C' class Municipal Councils having population less than 15,00 every year in the month of March of the preceeding year for the payments due in the succeeding year.
- c) The 'A' & 'B' class Municipal Councils mentioned in (b) above will send the cheques/demand drafts in the name of Maharashtra WaterSupply and Sewerage Board <u>Fifteen days</u> in advance for making consolidated payments to the L.I.C. falling due in June/December of the year.
- d) In case of loans advanced to Government WaterSupply Schemes & 'C' class Municipal Councils having population less than 15,000, the State Government will sanction and release the required funds to the Board not earlier than four weeks in advance of the due date of payment to the L.I.C. every year.
- e) In case of 'C' class Municipal Councils having population more than 15,000 (loans advanced after 6/68) in which case 5 years from the drawal of the L.I.C. loan (date of advance) have been completed, the concerned Municipal Council will pay 50% of the L.I.C. dues in the year to the Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board on receipt of intimation from the Board and the remaining 50% of the same L.I.C. dues in the year will be sanctioned and released by the StateGovernment to the Board as Government loan. The payment to the extent of 50% of such dues of L.I.C. loan made to the Board by the State Government will be treated as StateGovernment loan to theBoard instead of to the Municipal Councils concerned, governed under Government Resolution, Urban Dev elopment and Public Health and Housing Department No.MWS-1765/19103-M, dated the 8th June 1968, The Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board will work out the exact amounts to be paid by the State Government and concerned Municipal Council, every year and intimate the same to both in time.

- f) In case of 'C' class Municipal Councils having population more than 15,000(loans advanced after 6/68), in which case 5 years from the drawal of the L,I,C, loan(date.of advance) have not been completed, the State Government will sanction and release, the amount required for repayment of L.I.C. loan with interest in full to the Board every year till 5 years are completed. After completion of 5 years, the procedure prescribed in para (e) above will be followed.
- g) After receiving the dues from the concerned Municipal Councils and the State Government as mentioned above, the Maharashtra Water $\overline{\text{Supply}}$ and Sewerage Board, will make the consolidated payments to the L.I.C. of India on due dates in June/December of the year.
- h) Other procedure regarding servicing of the L.1.C. loans through Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Board would be continued as prescribed in Government Resolution, Urban Dev elopment and Public Health Department No.WSB-1076/310-S-UD-22, dated the 7th March 1977 and 10th August 1977.
- 5. The above modified procedure about servicing of L.I.C. loans in respect of Urban WaterSupply and Sewerage Scheme will come into force with immediate effect.
- 6. This Government Resolution, issues with the concurrence of Finance Department under its un-official reference No.3649/676/78/EXP.6, dated the 12th May 1978.

By order and in the name of Governor of Maharashtra.

Sd/-(S.D.Pradhan) Deputy Secretary to Government.

Copy to:

The Chairman, Maharashtra WaterSupply and Sewerage Board, Bombay,

The Member Secretary, Maharashtra WaterSupply and Sewerage Board Bombay(with 25 spare copies),

The Director of Municipal Administration, Bombay,

All Commissioners, in the State,

The Executive Director(Investment), Life Insurance Corporation of India, Bombay

All Municipal Corporations and Councils in the State (except

Bombay Municipal Corporation),

The Accountant General, Maharashtra-I, Bombay,

The Accountant General, Maharashtra-II, Nagpur,

The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bombay,

The Chief Auditor, Local Fund Accounts, Bombay(10 spares copies)

The Deputy Chief Auditor, Local Fund Accounts, Bombay/Pune/Nagpur/Aurangabad (10 spare copies)
The Planning Department,
The Finance Department,
The Superintending Engineers, in charge, Environmental Engineering Circles, under Urban Development and Public Health Department,
The Executive Engineer of Environmental Engineering Divisions, under Urban Development and Public Health Department,

All Desks under UD Wing

No. of 1977.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to.