Groundwater Institutions in US and India

Sustainable and Equitable Resource Use

N Nagaraj W Marshall Frasier **R K Sampath**

In India, lack of effective groundwater institutions at the local level has resulted in misallocation, deteriorating quality of water and severe overdrafts. To promote sustainable use of groundwater, India could use the Nebraska model which includes formation of natural resource districts, specifying user rights, permits for extraction, quotas and moratorium on new wells in critical areas.

ł Introduction

2. International Water d Sanitation Centre 1.: +31 70 30 689 80 x: +31 70 35 899 64

and Tel.: Fax:

ibrar

-iten and

:th. ücs, ers,

ler-

v of iss.

stor ЭC

ent

ing IN.

1994

Į

X

k

Ē

÷

"\$99

GROUNDWATER has contributed significantly to the development of Indian agriculture particularly during the last four decades. It has been responsible for attaining food security through green revolution, commercialisation of farming and promoting equity. Its exploitation in India is largely in the hands of private individuals and its development has grown exponentially over the years. The introduction of short duration, high-yielding crops along with intensive application of fertilisers, pesticides and mechanisation enabled farmers to adopt multiple cropping practices that increased cropping and irrigation intensity substantially. Further, the advantages of groundwater irrigation coupled with favourable government policies and market forces induced farmers to intensify well irrigation and convert vast dry land areas to water-intensive commercial crops. Thus the demand for groundwater increased remarkably.

The traditional open-wells' ability to cope with the increased demand for groundwater virtually crumbled. Hence, with the introduction of better techniques of groundwater exploration and extraction there has been a shift from traditional labourintensive dug-wells to the modern capitalintensive bore-wells. The rate of growth of shallow and deep tube wells during 1980s were 7.2 and 5.3 percent as against a meagre 1.8 percent for dug-wells. In 1950 there were 3.86 million dug-wells and 3,000 deep tube-wells. In a span of four decades, as many as 10.2 million dugwells, 5.4 million private tube-wells and 60,000 deep tube-wells were in operation in the country. This rapid expansion reflects the increasing signs of overdevelopment of well irrigation and large-scale extraction of groundwater leading to overdraft. Additionally, it has also been recognised that groundwater quality has been degraded due to leaching of fertilisers and chemicals posing an environmental and public health concern to the many rural communities who subsist on this resource. Again after 1990 due to liberalisation policies, farmers started producing commercial products for exports, which are highly groundwater based. Since the pay-offs from the production of high value crops have been impressive, there is a constant race for further investments on new wells, deepening the existing wells in order to extract more water and produce more for the markets. Thus, overcrowding of wells and indiscriminate pumping of groundwater by a large majority of well owners in some regions in India has led to much faster rate of depletion of groundwater than the recharge rate resulting in drastic fall in water tables, well interference, failure of dug-wells, dugcum-bore-wells and shallow tube-wells and subsequent loss of investments. This has created a chaotic situation especially in the water scarce hard-rock regions, where there is no assured source of surface irrigation and rainfall is ill-distributed. Since groundwater forms a vital base for increasing agricultural productivity and production for a large majority of rural population its overexploitation poses three important interlinked issues, viz, sustainability, efficiency and equity.

A large number of groundwater irrigation wells are concentrated in peninsular India (hard-rock area) where the recharge potential is extremely low. About twothirds of the geographical area of India is composed of hard rock formation lacking primary porosity.

Currently the groundwater resource for irrigation is facing the threat of overexploitation in this region. Though the government of India hinted at a regulation of groundwater through groundwater law on several occasions, concerted efforts have not been forthcoming for several economic and political reasons. In addition, the markets have failed to correct the existing distortions in groundwater

irrigation. Hence it is imperative to manage the resource by devising institutional and market mechanisms to foster sustainable and equitable use. Ciracy-Wantrup (1969) emphasises that when groundwater use by farmers affects their neighbours by pumping cone and seasonal depletion due to use of modern deep well pumps, appropriate institutions such as water rights, water districts, water markets, public agencies and voluntary organisations engaged in building, maintaining and operating facilities are necessary to manage it.

In India as well as in most of the developing countries lack of groundwater institutions has led to intergenerational, intertemporal and interspatial misallocation and serious overdraft situation creating several externalities, which are pervasive. Thus there is a dire need now for new types of information on both resource availability extraction and use, and new institutional approaches to deal with the current and emerging problems of groundwater more effectively.

Of late in most of the countries the groundwater depletion and quality impairment have become major issues. These problems have been addressed by a combination of market and non-market institutional approaches. In this endeavour, western US has been a forerunner to initiate a variety of institutional approaches to tackle groundwater management problems. In this regard it is pertinent to note certain parallels of western US with India, before examining these management approaches for their feasibility.

Groundwater development throughout much of western US occurred several decades before the main burst of development activity in India. Many of the social, physical and institutional issues beginning to emerge in India have been major topics of debate in western US over the past few decades [Moench 1991].¹ Groundwater depletion is evident in most of western US followed by water quality

Economic and Political Weekly June 26, 1999

202.2-996R-15622 A-93

impairment due to widespread use of chemicals as in the case of India. In western US there is a rural-urban competition for use of groundwater, as urban demands are rising enormously. In India there is a similar competition between agriculture and rural drinking water supply schemes. Currently this problem is being effectively addressed in some areas through appropriate institutional policy instruments like correlative rights to reasonable water use doctrine of prior appropriation and issue of permits for extraction of groundwater. Further various approaches such as legal structures, local user groups and negotiation between interest groups exist in western US. These approaches have achieved some degree of success in containing the problems of over-mining. Thus this study focuses on an examination of the relevance and socio-economic and political feasibility of management approaches of western US to the field situation in the peninsular Indian context.

The first part of this study focuses on the sustainability and equity issues involved in groundwater development of hard-rock areas, along with a discussion on the existing institutional management scenario. This is followed by an examination of various groundwater management approaches in western US. The final section deals with the policy lessons learned for the Indian situation from western US experience in managing groundwater.

II

Sustainability Issues

When there is mining of groundwater beyond the natural rates of recuperation. it would lead to unsustainability of the resource in the long run. There are several measures, which can reflect whether groundwater development and use are tending towards sustainability or unsutainability. We discuss these measures in the three different phases of groundwater development scenario that occurred in the Karnataka state, which is a typical hard-Frock belt in peninsular India (Table 1). 25 The sprawl of well irrigation began in the 1950s in peninsular India. Karnataka has witnessed three distinct phases in the growth of groundwater development. The -first phase of groundwater extraction was dominated by traditional dug-wells with -a depth of 25-30 ft and a diameter of 25 ft, till the mid-1960s. Traditional labour 'intensive water lifting devices like 'persian wheel' and other labour-intensive devices ³were used prior to mid-1960s. These devices formed around 62 per cent of all irrigation devices in 1960s. There was

conjunctive use of groundwater with tank water during this period implying hydrological nexus between well irrigation and tank irrigation. The cropping pattern was in consonance with well productivity. Though there was some seasonal and secular overdraft due to low rainfall, the depletion problem was not pronounced. The depth of available water over time in wells was subjected to minimum fluctuations as the demand and supply was matching and dug-wells were functioning for a longer span. Moreover, the distance between wells was beyond the hydrological threshold limits of 600 ft. Another striking feature with regard to distribution pattern of the wells was that most of the wells were located near water bodies, which would recharge the wells. The water quality was also not a problem as farmers used less of chemical fertilisers and pesticides. Thus this phase was marked by more stable and sustainable use of groundwater, as there was an overall balance between extraction and recharge. • 7

The second phase between 1970 and 1980 was characterised by the predominance and growth of the use of dug-cumbore-wells. In the early 1970s farmers were drilling one or more bore-wells inside their dug-wells (dug-cum-bore-well). The bores had a depth ranging from 45 to100 feet and centrifugal pump was the major mode of extraction. The water yield of the dug-cum-bore-wells was higher compared to dug-well yield; hence farmers brought more area under groundwater irrigation. During this period there was a gradual shift in the cropping pattern from food to commercial crops, which are hydrophilic, demanding more water. Since farmers were using centrifugal pumps, they started pumping more water to meet the increased demand, without regard to the recharge capabilities of wells. This caused recurring shortfall of water in the wells for the assured crop production. Further; to augment more water yield in the dug-wells, they ventured on multiple bore-wells within the dug-wells involving additional

الموادية ومعود الوجاور فبالالقال الألا

investments and increased extraction cost as farmers were paying pro-rata electricity charges. Thus, as compared to dug-wells, the dug-cum-bore-wells served for a shorter period, the depth to water table increased over time, extraction rates were more than recharge rates causing draw-down of water in the wells. These changes leaned towards unsustainable development of the resource. With a marked shift in the cropping pattern from food crops to commercial water-intensive crops, the demand for groundwater escalated sharply. The dugcum-bore-wells' capacity to meet increased demand for groundwater reduced. This forced farmers to venture further in exploring groundwater through deeper fractures of the aquifer by means of deeper surface bore-wells. Since the nationalisation of commercial banks in 1969, agricultural sector has been considered as a priority sector and hence received liberal credit incentives for well drilling and for crops, which are groundwater dependent. As a result the institutional credit for well irrigation increased manyfold in the state. Thus, access to institutional finance has largely been responsible for a rapid spurt in the wells all over the state: With the gain of well irrigation, the dependence on tank irrigation has considerably reduced. In addition irrigation tanks have also become unreliable sources of water supply due to siltation and poor management causing further pressure on groundwater . T. . A 840 - 1 development.

Thus the third phase began from the early 1980s with surface bore-wells with a diameter of 6 inches and a depth of 300-450 ft. Compared to dug-wells and dugcum-bore-wells, the surface bore-wells yielded more water and the extraction mechanism shifted towards submersible pump-sets of high capacity.up to 10 HP. Thus, with the introduction of modern extraction mechanisms, the groundwater extraction scenario has altered drastically. Further, during this period government completely subsidised electricity. to the agricultural pump-sets, as a result the

TABLE 1: PROFILE OF WELL DEVELOPMENTS IN A TYPICAL HARD-ROCK AREA OF PENINSULAR J ST. INDIA DURING 1950-90. C. CLARKER OF PENINSULAR J ST. E. CLARKER J ST. E. CLARKER OF PENINSULAR J ST. E. CLARKER J ST. E

10.1

Type of Well	Depth	Number of Years Served	Investment at Historical	Area unde Food Crops (Per Cent)	r Yield of the Well (Gallons/ Hour)	Interwell Spacing Spacing In Spacing In Spacing (Gross Area ⁷ rrigated Acres)
Dug-well' (1950 Dug-cum-bore-	-70) 40-50 well	15-20	23,000	80-85	600-900	900	-3-5:14 .75/04
a(1970-80)	.: ** 45-100) :::: ::: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	4000-7500	55-60	600-1,000	_ ·	4-6 3
Bore-wells (1980-90)	300-45	0 8	50,000- 70,000	27-40	1,700-2,000	260-300	4-10
(1990's)	>450	7-8	>60,000	25-30	1,000-1,500	200-300	2-5

Source: Nagaraj (1994).

marginal extraction cost became zero. This acted as a strong incentive to go for more wells and draw a greater volume of water for meeting the increased demand of commercial agriculture. The bore-wells constructed after 1980s virtually spread all over without consideration to isolation distance and water bodies. This is also one of the reasons for well interference and high degree of well failures. The share of less water-intensive food crops dropped drastically. High value water-intensive crops like vegetables, flowers, fruit crops, cereals, sugarcane have gained.

After the emergence of surface borewells, the dug-wells and dug-cum-borewells became virtually infructuous. The scale of investments on deep bore-wells increased manifold. The repairs and maintenance cost of irrigation pump-sets was also considerable because of increased depth to water. As compared to the dugwells the productive life span of the borewells has fallen by three times. In the beginning though the yield of wells and the gross area irrigated per well were high but later on gradually water yield in the wells declined leading to increased investment on coping mechanisms like the drip irrigation system and storage structures indicating economic scarcity of groundwater. The rapid changes witnessed over a span of four decades in groundwater irrigation sector include increasing depth of wells, failure of all three types of wells, namely, dug, dug-cum-bore-wells, borewells, disappearance of traditional lifts, high density of wells per unit area without regard to spacing norms, increased irrigated area under commercial crops, increased investments on well improvements and coping mechanisms, increased extraction cost, reduced well yields, reduced gross area under irrigation and shift to dry land agriculture in some cases. Thus the lack of sustainability is evident from the above indicators in groundwater irrigation.

Tracing the development paths in well irrigation it has been evident that the openwells served for a longer period ensuring sustainability till early 1980s. The changes witnessed thereafter indicate that there has been constant chasing of groundwater with rapid strides in technology of well drilling, access to credit and free electricity. The failure of dug-wells, shift to high water_using high_value.crops_and.... favourable policy instruments promoted . rapid groundwater depletion affecting sustainability. In the process, the intensive groundwater.development for agriculture purposes has severely hampered the supply to other sections like domestic, industrial and environment.

Further, some of the macro level indicators also show the unsustainable pattern of groundwater development in the state. According to the 1987 Census of irrigation wells in the state, more than 90 per cent of the wells have a depth of below 60 metres. Further, more than 60 per cent of the bore-wells yield below 1,000 gallons per hour. In hard-rock areas the recuperation time to regain water level after pumping is considerable hence the use of 3 HP pump is recommended. But more than 50 per cent of the electrical pump-sets have 4-5 HP. According to the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development the minimum yield of groundwater should be 5,000 gallons per hour for economic viability of the well. Thus 60 per cent of the wells are not economically viable based on the definition of NABARD. The number of irrigation wells in the state increased from 1.35 lakhs in 1960 to around 5.1 lakh in 1984-85 on to around 9 lakh by 1993, registering a compound growth rate of 6 per cent. The net area irrigated from wells increased from 4.6 lakh hectares in 1970 to 7.2 lakh hectares by 1993. registering a compound growth rate of 2 per cent. In terms of quantity, between 1971 to 1991, groundwater utilisation has increased threefold from 2,00,000 hecto metre to 6,00,000 hecto metre. Over the years well density has been increasing in the state. During 1950-70s there was one well per 100 acres of cultivated area and it has increased sharply to five wells per 100 acres of cultivated area during 1990-97. The water table dropped from 25 ft below the surface to 160 ft in different parts of karnataka between 1946 to 1986. Thus, the pattern of well irrigation development at macro level has a disturbing trend showing clearly the unsustainable nature of development over a period of time.

According to the Brundtland Commission report (1987) sustainable development is defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs". Groundwater development that took place in India during the last few decades does not meet the criterion of sustainability of even the needs of the present generation itself.

Sustainability issues are directly related to the extraction and recharge rates of groundwater. When the rate of recharge is greater than or equal to the rate of extraction then, sustainability is not an issue at all since the water table is not affected. But when the rate of extraction exceeds the rate of recharge over a period of time, that would result in lowering water table with environmental implications. In this situation sustainability is a major issue. In the coming decades the sustainability issues in groundwater development and its use are going to assume greater importance.

Given the current rate of growth in population, income, other sectoral growth in the demand for water, environmental and ecological needs, will we be able to realise the needed groundwater development and use without change in the improvement of technology of utilisation? Answering this question involves looking into the current status of overextraction rate of groundwater in relation to the recharge rate and the techno-economic feasibilities of compensating for the overextraction rate by either artificial groundwater recharging through harvesting the excess run-off of surface water during the rainfall season or by storing through tanks that could be used as supplementary irrigation so as to maintain the level of groundwater extraction to the rate of recharge. There are several intricate issues involved here with socio-economic-institutional-legal aspects.

The level of extraction may be reduced by (a) simply reducing the level of irrigated area gross or net, (b) changing the cropping pattern so that the amount of irrigation water required matches the recharge rate, (c) introducing irrigation technologies that would increase water use efficiency or reduce the demandifor water, (d) introducing economic instruments such as pricing of electricity, water

TABLE 2: ESTIMATED	BENEFITS OF	USING DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN EAST	TERN DRY	ZONE OF SHIT
••••	· · -•· ·	Karnataka, India	.:	in y Sillere

	1. N. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		KNATAKA, INDIA	a the romens
Сгор	Investment Per Acre on Drip (Rs)	Amount of Water Used in Drip/Acre (Lakh Gallons)	Water Applied in Percentage Conventional Water Save Method (Lakh gallons)	of Net Returns Per d St Rupee of M Lass Investmenti
Mulberry Grapes Coconut . Sapota	30,000 22,000 12,000 8,000	4.0 5.1 3.6 3.0	7.1 • 2120 7143 / 8.2 • 0.027(215)(38	

Source: Based on the case studies of drip owners during 1986-87 in Eastern Dry Zone of Kamataka, India.

gor increasing the interest rate for well $\frac{1}{2}$ loans, or (e) government interventions in the form of property rights, laws, etc.

Thus the challenges of growing water scarcity could be addressed through demand and supply interventions. The demand side interventions include diversion of crop pattern towards less water-intensive crops, improving conveyance and irrigation efficiency through the use of water conservation technologies and pricing of electricity to reflect the marginal extraction cost. Similarly the supply side interventions include recharging of aquifers through water harvesting technologies, promoting conjunctive use of ground and surface water along with inter-basin transfer. .1 34

One of the most important problems pertaining to water use is that much water is being wasted in existing irrigation practices leading to gross irrigation inefsticiencies.

à

-auIn hard-rock area most of the ground-- water irrigators are in a dilemma whether to invest in more efficient water distribuation systems with greater application efcficiency or to remain with the existing systems of conventional irrigation system because of uncertainty in water yields of their wells and huge initial capital investment. However, some of the farmers are managing the scarce groundwater by adopting drip irrigation system and thus reducing inefficiency in water use. We discuss below some of the sources of inefficiencies in groundwater irrigation and possible potentialities to reduce the samening in there and so They subject

EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION

by Water use efficiency assumes greater · importance when there is growing scarcity of water. This factor is important in hardcrock aquifers where the return flow from the use is not adding to the recharge due . to the peculiar geo-morphological nature of the aquifers. When water is put to most efficient use it would result in not only reduction in wastage of water but also vield large savings that could be used for further expansion of area under irrigation. The most important instrument that could ensure water use efficiency is pricing of the resource reflecting its marginal extraction cost that induces the use of efficient. irrigation technologies. 10.15 4

In the state about 98 per cent of all the irrigation pump-sets are below 10 HP capacity. Moreover, farmers were required to pay electricity to pump groundwater at a fixed rate based on pump horsepower (HP); but after 1982, even the flat rate was eliminated for pump-sets up to 10 HP. Thus the marginal extraction cost of pumping is almost zero leading to inefficient use of critical resource. Moreover, irrigation is through open channels; hence there would be substantial amount of evaporation and percolation losses leading to low irrigation efficiencyment 0d

In response to low yield of water in borewells: and the problems of erratic and inadequate power supply to the agricultural pump-sets, the irrigators have devised some coping mechanisms: Most large farmers have installed automatic starters, which eased the drudgery of frequently monitoring of switching 'on and off' operations. They have also constructed earthen storage structures or small ponds on elevated area to store water. When power is available farmers pump water to the overground pond and irrigate by gravity later on. This practice has also been due to the fact that the discharge from some of the bore-wells is so low that it is not viable to irrigate continuously unless the pumped water is stored. But due to this operation there has been loss of water by evaporation, seepage and percolation resulting in water use inefficiency [Nagaraj 19941. ger cent. In terms of decree ... The adoption of efficient technologies like drip and sprinkler irrigation would substantially contribute to conservation,

it has increased sharply to fin aralusing f06 acres o cultivated area en Average of holding b oldar tala w off T . N? Proportion of farmers: owning:dug-wells/019d Number of years served by in-bores apond Gross area under bore-well irrigation Percentage area under food crops des genearer Net present value be la 1980 meters 13000 01 IRR Payback period (years) Note: Sample size of 105 respondents.

and can extend the productive life of wells. This also avoids further investment on new wells due to failures of existing wells. Table 2 gives the amount of water that could be saved by resorting to drip ini-bgation. description of the balant mood in the recent years, the demand for drip irrigation system is increasing for pereninial crops like mulberry, grapes, coconut and sapota due to acute scarcity of groundwater. In response to scarcity of groundwater farmers need to choose between investment on an additional well or on water conservation technologies such as the drip system. Our state Low sortA

Considering the huge initial investment on an additional well, it will be better to invest on efficient irrigation technologies, which promote more efficient use of available groundwater. Despite the substantial benefits on account of adoption of irrigation efficient technologies there -has not been a major shift from convenstional to efficient technologies because of tsmall=holdings; huge investment and finature of crops grown which require instensive cultivation with frequent distur--bance of soil. Thus to promote use efficciency the resource should be priced to reflect extraction cost along with sub--sidising irrigation efficient technologies. Highly subsidised extractioncosts, designed

TABLE 3: SALIENT PEATURES OF SMALL AND LARGE FARMERS OWNING BRIGATION WELLS (SAMPLE SIZE OF 105 RESPONDENTS) -516 Small Earmers - (TBO-7 Large Farmers (SIN

.2111 (Less than 5 Acres) (More than 5 Acres) Percentage of area under food crops 2010.000 - 4111 data Spin 2010 of gifter 600 to bradda statuto Proportion of farmers owning wells who drilled in-bores. T 112800 90002 ni poste76 rga 9001 ach of softiantify is evided troat the Proportion of farmers who drilled bores wells from antiger in the variation of farmers who drilled bores wells from antiger in the variation of the variation of the due well owners. The variation of the variati grinuens 40 187 (199001)) to 27-92 (194) 292/10/10 - 23:000 - 21 vines 11 ---- 74:000 .2228 witnesse Photoster interaction 25, there have been constant chasing of growthe state of the chompets with and the electricity spool in the

TABLE 4: NATURE OF PROPERTY RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION STRUCTURES IN INDIA _ Type of Well Min.15 **Rights Structure** . L deritere _ man State Rights _ 100/201 situation of the No rights default of the Wells (private) Absolute ownership . Wells (public) Bore-wells (private) Absolute unlimited rights to extract water No right to:own/regulate beneath own land sec stud and have not set of the second destruction of the second sec Bore-wells (public) ---- Usufruct right granted

Crop

Source: Singh C (1995).

Source: Nagaraj (1995b) tamiesvas

PERSONAL COMPACE THE

F

to promote equity, also discourage use efficiency and thereby sustainability. Thus there is a basic conflict between measures designed to provide incentives for efficient use and equity [Moench 1991].

Sustainable and equitable groundwater use in hard-rock regions seems unlikely given the unregulated and current rate of overexploitation of aquifers, input intensive nature of commercial agriculture, population pressure, increasing demand on land and water to improve productivity and increasing demands for high value agricultural products.

Landalizer of an Minder Beauty 12 and in Class. Equity Issues of a second the anti-us Case of a second second second

In a welfare state equitable distribution of costs and benefits across all groups of population is a primary consideration in any developmental project. Hence there are several policies and programmes aimed at achieving equity in well irrigation development. Some of these policies include subsidised power and loans, investment on community wells and promotion of owater markets. In spite of these policies there is a large difference between small and large farms in access to groundwater presource mainly because of huge capital investments involved and the presence of skewed distribution of land holdings. Distortions in groundwater use in borewell-irrigation are threefold: (1) water access inequity leading to disparities in income distribution; (2) differential impacts of well failures on farm incomes; and (3) distortions due to the use of conservation measures by large farmers. These factors have constrained the access to groundwater for poor farmers who con--stitute, 67; per cent of the total holdings operating 27 per cent of the cultivated area in Karnataka. Those with better access to rinstitutional finance; and a larger size of holdingiane able to invest on groundwater development, deepening the existing wells rand drilling additional wells. Though the lincrease in the number of wells over time and space may imply wider access to well virigation the amount of resource needed to own a bore-well and a pump are beyond. the reach of small and marginal farmers. Thus resulting skewed distribution of ownership of wells benefits creamy sections land exacerbating the problems of inequity his an SP-8891 Jane?

ŀ

ł

ţ

Loope of the studies in peninsular India, it dass been reported that large farmers accounted for about 83.74 and 92 percent of dug wells, (dug cum-bore-well; and Dog. wells, respectively. Contrary to this, small farmers as a group owned, around 17 per cent of all types of groundwater structures. This disparity in ownership is attributed to high cost of well technology. This clearly reflects the small farmers' limited access to groundwater irrigation as compared to large farmers [Sathya Sai et al 1997].

The probability of getting a successful well in hard-rock area of peninsular India is very low, rendering groundwater exploitation not only capital-intensive but also risky [Nagaraj and Chandrakanth 1995 a, b]. Risk of capital loss from well failure deters poor resource base farmers from investing. Further, with the depletion of groundwater table, resource rich farmers invested huge capital in deepening and drilling additional wells, installed expensive high capacity submersible pumps and lifted a sizeable volume of water from deep bore-wells affecting the shallow wells of small and marginal farmers. Thus the large farmers, appropriated the gains of well irrigation disproportionately more than small farmers did.

...Due to scarcity of groundwater a large majority of the large farmers have been investing on most efficient irrigation technologies and distribution systems like drip irrigation, using pipes to deliver the water in plots located far away. Further, some of the large farmers have installed expensive generators (Rs 60,000) to pump the water continuously from their wells when electricity is not available. This has enabled them to expand area under well irrigation and draw more water. These technologies are highly capital-intensive. Small farmers cannot invest on such technologies. They also have poor credit worthiness. Thus large farmers are able to tackle the problem of scarcity by resorting to coping mechanisms while small farmers cannot. This shows that large farmers have several viable options available to partially abate the potential profit loss from scarcity of groundwater. This has further accentuated the distortions in extraction, and use of groundwater and widened the gap between small and large farmers.conteur.com/bro-sarates.com

J. Some of the striking features between -small and large farmers owning irrigation awells are provided in Table 3. Small farmer landholdings are less than one-third in size of those or of large farmers. On average a large farmer owned two wells as against one well in case of a small farmer. In both cases dug-well irrigation is dominated by food crops, which were not as water intensive as commercial grops.

and large farmers, as around 40 per cent of the farmers owned dug-wells. A great

majority of the small farmers owned dugwells mainly because of institutional finance on soft terms for small farmers. The sample farmers considered for this study have passed through all the three types of wells. The dug-wells besides providing irrigation also provided water for domestic use including for fish. These benefits have been denied to the present generation with the failure of dug-wells completely. Since dug-wells served for a longer period compared to bore-wells, the intergenerational equity issue here is that those who possessed dug-wells earlier reaped the fruits of groundwater on a sustainable basis as their water withdrawal was in consonance with the recharge rate. As evident from Table 3 bore-well irrigation has been dominated by large farmers due to large investments. The gross area under bore-well irrigation was almost twice higher than that of small farmers. Small farmers devoted more area to food crops compared to large farmers. With the result that there have been wider differences with respect to annuity, the IRR and the net present worth of the investment between small and large groups. Large farmers, who have been extracting substantial quantum of water, have largely derived the gains from cultivation of high value commercial crops under bore-well irrigation. This has created serious equity problems. Now, on an average after eight years, the bore-wells are going out of. Again the well-to-do farmers race to explore the productive sites for drilling new wells. In such a situation the poor will be driven out completely from the domain of well irrigation unless there is financial support from the government for deep bore-well irrigation.

In overexploited areas well drilling, installing pumps, conveyance and storage structures entail huge investment to the tune of Rs 60,000-75,000. Further, financial assistance for well drilling is not forthcoming in such critical areas; hence the small farmers are worst hit. Most of the small and marginal farmers abandoned well irrigation and shifted to dry land agriculture due to drying up of their wells, as they cannot invest in deepening or new

TABLE S. GROUNDWATER USE PATTERN IN URNRD FOR THE YEAR 1997-98

1	-	
Type of Use	Acre-Feet Used	Percentage of Total
<u>de topte breat e</u>	<u> </u>	09.01
Irrigation Domestic/Municipal/	5,12,000	198.91.
Rural	3,795	0.73
Livestock	1,663	0.32
Industry and Golf	202	0.04
Total Clark Districts	`- 5,17,660 `	100 -

wells compared to large farmers. In the race for exploitation of groundwater it becomes difficult for the resource poor to make large and risky investments.

1

₽

 \mathbb{C}_{1}^{2}

ł

The changes witnessed for the past four decatles in hard-rock regions of well irrigation indicate that there has been constant chasing of groundwater with the rapid strides in exploration technology of well drilling, the failure of dug-wells and shift to high water high value crops. In the process of well irrigation development the associated externalities include groundwater depletion and scarcity, water quality impairment due to intensive chemical load on land. The economic costs attributed to the resource depletion and degradation will have terrible problems for the future generation in terms of recharging and purification of aquifers. All these developments jeopardised small farmers disproportionately both interspatially and intertemporally. · . . ·

After discussing the issues of sustainability, efficiency and equity we now highlight the prevailing institutional arrangement that have largely been responsible for the current distortions in the use of groundwater resource.

IV

en stand i

Existing Structures

In peninsular India there are large number of small ppropriators concentrating on a given aquifer, wherein each appropriator's concern is too narrow to give a serious thought to how one's pumping affects others and the future use. Furthermore, the boundaries of the aquifers are not clearly partitioned in order to allocate the resource among the users. Since wellowners are not registered with any institution and installation of water metres is not part of the management programme, information pertaining to resource dynamics such as availability of groundwater. extraction and recharge rate are rarely known. Hence, the inadequacy of information is posing a serious management problem. Main the series area timeral to

The main stakeholders influencing groundwater development and use include farmers at the micro-level, the department of mines and geology and minor irrigation and the electricity board, which supplies -electricity to the irrigation pump-sets at the state level. At the national level, the central groundwater board under the ministry of water resources acts as a technical institution. The National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) is the single largest central government organisation supporting -groundwater development through refinancing. These interventions confine technical expertise in exploration, evaluation. monitoring and maintenance of data pertaining to groundwater. The second

The central groundwater board, an organisation of the government of India, monitors groundwater levels and recharge rates based on observation of a sample of wells in every state. It is only a technical body without any powers to impose the rules and regulations since groundwater is a state subject. In every state the department of mines and geology is in charge of monitoring groundwater levels, documenting of data and preparing hydrographs. Further, it also determines the stage of groundwater development based on the ratio of extraction to recharge in each block. If the extraction rate exceeds 85 per cent of the recoverable recharge. the block is designated as 'dark' a critical area of overexploitation wherein there is no potential for further development. In such blocks the institutional financing for well drilling is not permitted. Similarly a 'grey' block is one where the groundwater extraction to recharge rate is between 65 and 85 per cent. In such blocks, institutional financing for well drilling is permitted selectively subject to submission of feasibility report. A 'white' block is one where the groundwater extraction to recharge is below 65 per cent and there is no restriction for institutional finance for well drilling in such blocks. All applies

In India, groundwater development is under the private ownership regime. The legal status in terms of de jure rights is not transparent. Groundwater is attached like a chattel to the land, without any limits on extraction. Thus only the landowner can own the groundwater right implying that the landless does not have any stake in the resource: This clearly reflects the inequity as far as groundwater access is concerned. Table 4 summarises the existing property rights structure relating to irrigation wells in India. Music electrica

The ministry of water resources for the government of India mooted the Groundwater (Control and Regulation) Bill in 1970 and revalidated it in 1992 to regulate and control the development of groundwater. This was circulated to all the states with an advice to enact it with necessary modifications since water is a state issue [Singh: 1995]: www.use.comman.og.al.c. The bill enables the state governments to establish a groundwater authority and to appoint its chairman and members. The groundwater authority can notify specific areas of overdraft to regulate overextraction in the interest of the public. The draft bill has been presented in the legislature of

several states (except in the states of Gujarat and Maharashtra) but has never been approved because of obvious reasons. The model bill was under severe criticism, as there is no representation from user groups in the management structure. The only regulatory mechanism for the state to check overexploitation is the restriction of finance through NABARD for well development in overexploited areas and enforcing spacing norms between wells, besides limiting electricity connections. In case of privately financed wells there is absolutely no mechanism to control overexploitation.

At present there are no institutional interventions pertaining to issue of permits, number of wells to be drilled and the volume of water extracted in general. However, there is a need to produce a feasibility certificate and maintenance of interwell spacing when farmers borrow funds for well drilling from the institutional sources (for bore-wells 250 metres (800 ft) and for open wells 180 metres (600 ft) according to NABARD).

So far we have analysed the current critical and emerging issues relating to the development and the use of groundwater irrigation in the Indian context. In the following section we discuss some of the innovative management approaches, which are being tried in western US, to tackle similar kind of issues that have achieved a modest degree of Success. V the second s

Nebraska, US

The following part of the study is based on several reports and records, personal discussion and interviews with the manager and faculty of Upper Republican Natural Resource District (URNRD) in Nebraska and reconnaissance survey with the farmers in the URNRD in Imperial, Nebraska. In tetre Paragood in ange Saveb According to water code, all water within the state is the property of the state, but the right to use may be acquired by appropriation in the manner provided by to own a bure-well and upony and a nuo 2 TABLE 6: WATER ALLOCATION AND ACTUAL USE ic anthidest add in Average Actual Use oil County 1988-92 1993-97 11 (Dundy) (234) (#512.6 (13) (0.12.2 (16)))

centage difference from the allotted quota.

law. States and local governments have traditionally managed groundwater in western US. In some states the management systems have been established by state governments and regulated at the state level. In some other states the management has been delegated to local institutions such as a water management or natural resource district [Smith 1993]. As a result of this local orientation, groundwater management systems have been developed in a unique and different way to address an array of issues. Compared to other western states of US. Nebraska is heavily dependent on groundwater, About 90 per cent of the total water withdrawn annually is being used for irrigation. Overdrafting has been a serious problem in many parts of Nebraska besides quality degradation. In some parts of the state water levels decline of up to 50 ft has been reported [Smith 1993].

Historically, in many regions of Nebraska groundwater pumping have been faster than the rate of recharge leading to overdraft. This has consequences such as increased well depth, drilling of more wells, increased extraction cost and reduced flow into the streams. Recognising that continued depletion of groundwater threatens prosperity and quality of life, the Nebraska state legislature created a framework to manage the groundwater resource in 1972. This legal framework to establish natural resource districts (NRDs) which are unique to Nebraska and local leadership responsibilities with a functional mechanism for protecting groundwater from overexploitation and pollution. In general they do have broad responsibilities to conserve, protect, develop and manage the natural resources for the welfare of the state. In this endeavour the NRDs deal with a variety of natural resources challenges with local control and local solutions. any differment. on The URNRD is one of the 24 districts in Nebraska where the groundwater depletion problem was serious. The district is solely dependent on groundwatersfor. agriculture and other activities. All uses . other than irrigation represented only 1. per cent of the total groundwater uses in _ the district as evident from Table 5. In the: district, around 5,17,000 acre-feet lof groundwater were: abstracted. from the laquifers and used in 1998. Nearly 99 per cent of this annual total water withdrawn were used for irrigation (Table 5). 101 The groundwater irrigation development in the study region has witnessed three distinct patterns of growth. From 1940s to 1960s well irrigation was accompanied by flood and sprinkler method of irrigation.

In the 1970s there was a spurt in the number of wells with widespread use of centre pivots. This spurred unregulated withdrawal of groundwater in the district. Since 1980s there has been regulation of well irrigation through the local control of NRD. Currently there are 3,200 registered irrigation wells in the district irrigating around 4.30,000 acres.

There are three distinct stakeholders or actors influencing groundwater management decisions in the state of Nebraska. At first level, the state provides a legal and policy framework. At the second level, the legislature has enacted local control groups in order to effectively manage the groundwater resources by establishing NRDs. Finally at the primary level the users are involved in the management.

In order to conserve, protect, develop and manage the natural resources of the state, the legislation established 24 NRDs in the state based on the approximate hydrological boundaries of the recognised river basins. The state has given districts a variety of regulatory tools to deal with the problems of groundwater depletion, contamination and user conflicts. This is only the NRD currently regulating quality of groundwater while others are actively involved. to deal with quantity issues.

The URNRD in Nebraska state was among the first to initiate a variety of controls with local efforts to manage the groundwater, resource in the Ogallala region. The URNRD encompasses Dundy, Perkins and Chase counties. It began operations since July 1972. Kansas bound the URNRD on the west and Colorado on the south water. BOARD

The board of directors is comprised of 11 members that govern the URNRD. All eligible electors of the district landowners may vote for the election of the board members at general elections. The election takes place once in four years. The district is divided into 10 sub-districts and one board member is elected from each sub-district and one member for the entire district is elected. Thus locally elected board of directors governs the districts, and the management comprising full-time professionals runs day-to-day functions. The board is an autonomous body responsible for establishing district policies, programmes, rules and regulations and adopting the necessary budget, in order to fulfil the responsibilities of the district as authorised and required by law. Property tax is the chief source of revenue to the board. A majority of the voting members of the board constitute a quorum, and the concurrence of a majority of the directors present at any regular or special meeting at which such quorum is present constitutes the official action of the entire board.

Interestingly the entire board of directors is currently from the farming sector. The rules and regulations are approved and enforced by irrigators, with the support of the majority of local users. The board has a forum to represent user grievances and suggestions. In case of conflicts the aggneved person can challenge the board decision and he can appeal for reviewing the decision within 30 days. If he is not satisfied with the decision he can approach the court for redressal. Further,

101-151-1307-006-2511

Year Solic Const Water Extracted Area Area Area Infigure and Applied Irrigated Irrigated Solic Const (In Acres)	Average Use Y Per Acre	ield Per Acre (Bushels)	Water Used Per Bushe of Corn
Annual average 1975-8074-141 5,20,000 4,19,920 4,1988-92,914 3, 30, 4,36,000 4,42,000 1993-97,14, 40,12,013,98,000 4,55,000	14.86 11.8 10.5	151 200	0.08 0.05
-51 580 520 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	-20.0 -11.0 -29.0		
 วะอดของหมุม เกอ board bas (อสีขมไอของ รุกษา เกม สนายากการทางการการการการการการให้สุดรูกษา A your และหมุม การการการการการการการการการการการกา - าากตรงได้ และหมุม การการการการการการการการการการการการการก	NDWATER LEVEL IN REA PROPER STORE	Observation W	/ells in the
County starting bas gale in the 1985	1997 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -	Differ 1975-85	ence 1985-97
Dundy 21239 # 2010 186 L. 2010 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 20	176 abys 95	-16 -15	1 = -14 mi - 5

. Source: URNRD Information Packet, February 9, 1999. Constants and the state of th

the records of the board are open to the public. Thus there is an element of transparency in the administration. The system is based on democratic principles and there is some degree of local control over the management system. This joint management approach enables various stakeholders to participate in the planning and decision-making process in a democratic. way and therefore would legitimate the actions of the board. The URNRD longterm goal is to manage aquifers in the district by balancing groundwater withdrawals with recharge and protecting natural water quality. Here Macoustone

It is quite interesting to analyse how local institutional arrangement collectively address the problem of overdraft and manage the common property resource. It is also equally interesting to identify the prime factors that explain their success in correcting the distortions in groundwater development and use. The other emerging issues that are worth investigating include: How cost effective is regulation compared to education? Further what are the discernible impacts of regulation on sustainability, efficiency and equity? What are the responses of irrigators to the rules and regulations? The the tax much 12.00

Institutional Framework

 Prior to 1975, Nebraska groundwater law was governed by reasonable use doctrine. According to this rule landowners are entitled to appropriate as much water as can be put to reasonable and beneficial use on their overlying land. The Nebraska Supreme Court also stated that in the event of inadequate groundwater supply, each user is entitled to a reasonable proportion of the whole groundwater supply. Thus Nebraska follows : Nebraska rule of reasonable use'. It is a blend of a resources for exercising the rules and American and California rule of correlative rights. By 1975, this common law framework was slightly amended by legislation. Further, the state has prioritised the uses of groundwater considering domestic as the highest preference followed by agriculture, manufacturing and industries. Thus, the concepts of reasonable and beneficial use formed legal boundaries on water rights for users.

The advent of high capacity pumps and centre pivot irrigation system created irrigation boom during 1970s. This irrigation boom ignited further spurt in the development_of_well irrigation creating an imbalance between discharge and recharge leading to fall in water levels in the aquifers. Thus there was heavy demand for groundwater development and use in the

district [Kurt Stephenson 1996]. This prolific development threatened the irrigators, as they did not have assecured claim to the water lying beneath their land resulting in conflicts over the sharing of the resource. Further the law did not specify the user rights of the resource with respect to other users. During the early 1970s the fall in water table was apparent across the district. Because of concern for declining groundwater levels the URNRD funded groundwater model study to explain and predict future changes in groundwater levels to the US Geological Survey. The results of the model revealed that irrigation development was the chief cause for declining water table. The model also projected that limiting access and cutting groundwater use in half would be insufficient to balance recharge with discharge. a In response to drastic fall in groundwater levels in several regions of the state, the Nebraska Unicameral enacted the Groundwater Management Act (GWMA) in 1975. This law granted a wide range of powers and basic responsibilities to the local natural resource management districts to control groundwaten development. Unlike other local resource districts in the region, Nebraska's NRDs are quite unique in that they are multipurpose democratic local institutions having a local control over a wide range of natural resource management issues. The responsibilities include: soil and water conservation; rural water supply, flood and soil erosion control, recreation, wildlife habitat management and forestry and range management.

. In. order to address the groundwater overdraft problems, the NRDs were granted authority to alter the rules and regulations governing use and access to groundwater. In this endeavour the NRD should seek approval from the state department of water regulations-and-to-create a groundwater control area. Thus the NRDs play a key role in state groundwater policy formulation and implementation. 79-1001

Within a designated control area the GWMA provides the NRDs board discretionary options and powers to regulate groundwater development and use. In this endeavour the board has formulated several management approaches to deal with groundwater management problems. These include access and allocation rules, regulatory measures and economic instruments.

(1) Well licensing and permits: All wells with pumping capacity over 50 gpm in the district require a permit, a metre and an allocation. Thus free access has been restricted by licensing and permit system. (2) Allocation procedure: Each certified

acre within an irrigated tract is granted an allocation of 14.5 acre-inch annually. Thus for a five-year period the total allocation would be 72 acre inches, i e, (14.5" x 5 = 72"+ carryover from previous period). This allocation of 72" is designated as basic allocation. Groundwater usersi extracting less than the total basic allocation together with unused would carry-forward. the unused part to subsequent allocation period without limitation. http://ogsname.

(3) Irrigated acres and tracts: Requires board approval and certification of irrigated acres to which allocations of groundwater can be applied, and reporting of total irrigated acres. There is also a limit on certified acres to 130 per well, for new wells in the critical townships! However, no limit for old wells the mag yes at at 20(4) Pooling of groundwater? Board allows for pooling of groundwater allocation across tracts to enable irrigators to annually adjust amount of water applied on individual tracts subject to the condition that the overall allocation is not exceeded as stipulated in the pooling contract. Further, satellite pivots are allowed (transfer of allocated groundwater: from one tract to another) for which the allocation is granted but prohibits an increase in the total allocation resulting from the transfer. " altrust usig adapted. po The above allocative volumetric management approach has set limits on the volume of groundwater withdrawals by each user. Further, per acre allocation of 14.5 inches: provides aluser the right to pump aumaximum of 72-acrevinches of water over a period of five years. There are no restrictions regarding the allocation of this quota by the user - when, how and . how much is to be used. If the allotted quota is negative at the end of the fifth year, then for the ensuing five year period the irrigator/s will not be eligible to get any allotment. diffecal solutions. 23 The district also provided options to the! users on how to meet the extraction limits through a system of carry-forward and pooling provisions. The pooling system: allows the well owners to combine all -'allocations from different wells as long as / the aggregate allocation does not exceed the sum of the individual, wells The advantage of this system is that the inigator can: apply water to the crops on different scales such as:125,113",216" and so on based on soil type still meeting the average of 14.5" of annual allocation in The estimated requirement of water for crops in the district is around 25". Out of this 11-12" is met through rainfall and remaining is through groundwater. Hence,... based on the consumptive use norm an.

5

ł, Ŷ

j. N

allocation of 14-acre inches has been arrived. As evident from Table 6, actual use between two periods has been less than the allocated water. Another interesting feature is that average actual use has been falling between the two periods. This clearly indicates that irrigators are managing water more efficiently through improved irrigation technology.

In the study area the land values are directly related to the amount of water conserved out of the allocated quota. Thus, the conservation of groundwater has a profound effect on land values in the region. (1) Spacing requirements: The board has set minimum well spacing requirements for all new wells drilled in the district. Well spacing requirements have been accepted as a regulatory norm in the district. These regulatory norms have been established basically to prevent direct well interference problems while pumping rather than restricting access to the resource. Under Nebraska states law the isolation distance from well to well is 600 ft. In critical townships the spacing requirement is 5,280 ft except those wells used strictly for domestic, livestock or monitoring purpose. Further for any irrigation well drilled after June 1981 in the control area, the spacing must be at least 1,320 ft from any stock or domestic well not belonging to the groundwater user. In critical'area for replacement well in lieu of an abandoned well which is located within 1,320 ft can be drilled within 150 ft of the abandoned well it replaces.

(2) Flowmetres: All existing wells for the purpose of irrigation, commercial livestock, municipal and industrial use with a capacity of more than 50 gpm must have an approved flowmetre installed before April 1980. And the annual water use is reported to the district. This would let the management know the actual total volume of water abstracted on each well.

(3) Critical townships: Under the current rules, townships are designated critical if the average three year groundwater level decline exceeds 0.25 per cent of the saturated thickness of the aquifer. Once designated critical, the township must remain so designated for a period of five years. At the end of the five-year period, the township is either removed from the critical designation or re-designated as critical depending on the change in the saturated thickness of the aquifer: Currently there are 42 critical townships in the district out of 84. i e, 50 per cent.

(4) Supplemental irrigation wells: The management prohibited supplemental irrigation wells. After 1990 no permit was approved for any supplemental wells.

(5) Water quality: Board has established water quality criteria and monitoring and remediation procedures. In this regard the URNRD entered into a co-operative agreement with the US Geological Survey to conduct groundwater quality survey. The focus of this survey is to establish a scientifically sound baseline on the quality of groundwater in the district.

(6) Moratorium: In response to increased pressures to drill new wells in the district the board approved moratorium on well permits and new groundwater allocation in critical areas of the district since February 1997: This is the first of its kind to impose the moratorium in the state of Nebraskal This will expire in the month of August 1999. Again continuation or removal of this issue has to be discussed in the board.

(7) Variances: The board may grant variances from the strict application of rules or regulations upon if cause is shown. : (8) Adjudication: Provides for formal adjudicatory hearings, detailed general enforcement provisions for carrying out the rules and regulations of the district and specifies conditions for cease and desist orders. Any groundwater user aggrieved by a board action may request a formal adjudication hearing. Any groundwater user found violating these rules and regulations may be required to cease and desist withdrawing groundwater until such time as compliance is forthcoming. · . . .

··· Market interventions particularly electricity and water pricing are considered to be the strong economic levers that promote adoption of efficient irrigation technologies. However efficient technologies may not ensure the protection of the resource unless there is quantity regulation as farmers continue to expand irrigation as long as it is profitable. The extent of government support for farmers in subsidising fuel and electricity, credit for - well drilling or support price for the product is virtually absent. Hence market forces are also playing an important role in irrigation development and use. Unlike in India energy is not subsidised for irrigation pump-sets. Hence the energy cost is the most important component influencing the amount of water to be applied. Case studies in the district should that energy expenditure alone accounted for 17 per acent of the total cost per acre. The share of irrigation expenditure in total cost is around 40 per cent per acre. Thus the pricing of energy and quantity restriction on the use of groundwater strongly propelled farmers towards irrigation efficient technologies such as centre pivots. The demand for centre pivots has been swell-

ing over the years, mainly because of water scarcity, shortage of labour to irrigate and high prices of energy. Nevertheless the centre pivot irrigation system has a distinct advantage over other systems. It promoted scale economies and made it very easy to manage moisture, nutrients and weed control on the farms with this system of irrigation. The efficiency in water applied is more than 85 per cent. Thus it served as a comprehensive crop and water management tool for the irrigators operating giant farms ranging from 1,000 to 1,500 acres. Thus the management approaches followed have twofold impacts. The first notable positive effect is stabilisation of water table over the years. And the second impact is in terms of increasing irrigation cost to the user by way of huge investments on irrigation equipment. The regulatory institutional framework made groundwater legally scarce and thus accomplished the objective of sustainability.

The NRD board has made concerted efforts towards mobilising consensus for action through a variety of educative programmes. The district has developed hydrographs to show the changes in water table in different counties, which can be easily understood by the irrigators. The board also disseminates information for better understanding of the occurrence movement, recharge and discharge of the aquifer. It provides reliable information regarding changes in water quality and quantity. Besides, the board also informs users of the actual use of water out of the allocated quota based on metre readings. The NRD makes efforts through communication of information to the public relating to meetings, public hearings and rule-making. This process has stimulate public discussion and participation in the decision-making process. Thus, these educative programmes contributed to collective understanding and appreciation of the problems. This served as basis for negotiations. A set a eff at affenso

Thus the joint management approaches to address groundwater, depletion issue with active public co-operation in western US has yielded viable solutions to factle the issues of groundwater, over use By and large, the response of imperiors

By and large, the response of imparors to the groundwater, rules and regulations in the district is favourable and encouraging. They have shown adequate faith in the local control body (This is mainly because even since the NRD came into existence the directors have been primarily imigators. Further, the users among faith in local management institutions and compliance of the rules reflects ense of conservation ethics. Added to this, farmers in the area have strong progressive outlook towards science and technology in order to provide solutions to the problems. Notwithstanding this, a few farmers have said that farmers within the district are penalised by rigorous rules while others 50 miles away from the region benefit.

🗍 VII .

Discernible Impacts of Regulations

It is clear that most of the rules and regulations are meant primarily to deal with demand management by setting limits on the upper bound for the extraction of groundwater resource. Hence, there has been a remarkable change in the water extraction and use pattern under the regulation regime.

As evident from Table 7, there has been a decline in the quantity extracted, despite gradual increase in the area irrigated. The per acre water applied has also dipped from 15 acre inches to 10.5 acre inches. The water level decline in the aquifers also reduced after 1985 (Table 8). The main contributing factors for this change include local control of allocation and regulation rules, use of more efficient irrigation technologies and improved farm management practices. Thus there is a discernible effect on water savings leading to conservation. Further, the legal framework has defined the user right boundaries, free rider problem has been reduced considerably. a share the st

With regard to nitrate contamination in groundwater the water samples taken in 1995 indicated nitrate level of 1.2 to 16.5 parts per million with the majority being in the 3-6 ppm range. This is within the limits of US Environmental Protection Agency standard of 10 ppm. However the district has some high nitrate readings in some areas [URNRD 1995].

The NRD regulations induced farmers to shift to better water management practices. However there are many anticipated benefits to the users due to regulations. Land values are increasing in the area, as the selling price of land varies directly with the amount of water conserved out of the allotted quota. The rental/lease value of land is also appreciating with the conservation of water. The actual drawdown of the aquifer has been reduced in the past five years and water table has been stabilised.

The URNRD is one of the most innovative institutional governance structures for taking collective decisions and actions on behalf of water users by developing a combination of management approaches addressing the most pressing issues of

groundwater overexploitation in the region. 10 all among the state 1.110 el 61/14 Some of the key components responsible for the success of URNRD programmes are outlined as below: # 15:00 The legal and physical boundaries of the groundwater resource are generally delineated based on hydrological rather than on political lines. This has facilitated effective management. Establishment of an enabling framework that is responsive to the local conditions and water management needs of the community area hallmark of URNRD. The enabling framework comprised modification in property rights for groundwater use, definition of user rights on volumetric basis, permits and water metering system and allocation of quota has been largely responsible to limit the extraction rates and curtailed the excessive pumping of groundwater. Further the board has a forum for conflict resolution in case of any disputes. The management approaches have been perceived as fair because local users had developed them collectively and they are adaptable to local situation. Thus the process of control and command has been replaced by collective local action. The rules evolved and crafted collectively by the board are transparent enabling the development of a groundwater management system. In the region according to the survey of the board 90 per cent of the farmers supported the moratorium on new wells. This clearly implies their collective concern for and appreciation of the problem. The measure of moratorium on new wells has reduced further pressure on groundwater. Added to the institutional factors, the two important technological components enabled for better management are: shift in irrigation technologies from flood to centre pivots and access and availability of technical information relating to water tables, extraction and recharge rate of groundwater. Thus based on the experiences of the western case we discuss some of the relevant policy options for India: 2 เสียง สาร์ 2 เสียง พ.ศ. มูล little starting a million seed for imp

• Nebreska Model for India • Before deriving policy options based on (western US experiences especially that of • Nebraska, it is important to note the com-• monalities and primary differences that

monalities and primary differences that exist between the two countries. Both countries have similar semi-arid conditions hence irrigation is playing a critical role for agricultural development. Groundwater depletion and its quality impairment have been evident in both the countries. Pricing of water and electricity have been advocated to encourage the adoption of efficient technologies. Of late rural-urban conflicts for use of groundwater are increasing in both the countries. This will have a large impact on groundwater management options in agricultural areas. In addition, both are democratic countries with established legal systems. Both depend largely on market systems and gov, ernment interventions to achieve public objectives.

With regard to differences, a sizeable proportion of aquifers in India is comprised of hard rock as against alluvial aquifers in the case of western US. In India, the density and spread of appropria² tors on a given aquifer is much higher than the western US. There are differences with respect to agro-climatic conditions, crop patterns, technology used and nature of agricultural holdings. The agricultural holdings are highly fragmented and rural population density is much greater in India than western US. Further the resource supply and use dynamics of groundwater is poorly understood in India, as compared with US. Hence we need institutional management approaches that are capable of addressing the upcoming issues in groundwater development and management. When surface and groundwater are interdependent we need to devise a system that recognises this synergistic relationship. Similarly when groundwater aquifers are independent of surface water bodies we must develop a management system that takes care of the protection of quifers from overexploitation and quality degradation.

In some of the western US states the local groundwater management districts are the most common institutional arrange⁷ ment to deal with a wide spectrum of issues relating to water management Nebraska's case provides a classic ex² ample of local control over the resource to deal with overdraft issues as well as efficient allocation and use, tuned to local -ineedsucanted a men demaior veiler there. sulniorder to apply replicate the Nebraski model to peninsular India, we require : institutional reforms mainly in the sphere nof legal issues and the formation of user groups. The legal framework, has to be clearly defined in terms of modification win property rights from absolute doctrine of prior appropriation to reasonable as in Nebraska. Further, physical, and hydrological boundaries of the resource have to be delineated at a basin or aquifer level. or where a to take to apply the "Currently, the scale of management relating to water resources is highly sectorised and disorganised. Governmen organisations such as state and centre

ţ

ŝ,

ground water board are the formal institutions dealing mainly with the technical issues of groundwater at macro level without any executive powers. Further these institutions do not reflect local needs and aspirations, as many issues of groundwater are regional or local in nature. Since water is a state subject most laws should be passed at the state level. The model groundwater bill of 1992 has not yet been implemented in any state. The bill in its present form establishes a command and control system for groundwater regulation [Moench 1998], This bill has been criticised as it has not included local users' representation. In the light of this, the NRD model, a joint management approach with active peoples' participation could be a promising solution in the Indian context. This could be developed at the regional or cluster level based on aquifer or watershed where there is acute overdraft problem. The criteria to delineate a hydrological boundary for management should be flexible reflecting the local nature of the problem. The district can initiate a variety of programmes and controls for recharge and discharge and other regulatory measures such as spacing norms, control of new wells and regulation of water-intensive crops. A board of directors lelected by the stakeholders can govern these organisations. The board should have an overall body comprising of all the users and an executive body ratified by the icommittee of the farmers. In addition to the elected representatives one from each village, there should be nominated memfrom the irrigation department, one from mines and geology and one representative from: a commercial bank. NABARD can explore the possibility of funding seed money for establishment of such NRD institutions initially later on they can streates their own source of revenue through licensing, well permit fees, share containe and otherataxes. The members mundwater district hased on the irriga-tion command as stipulated by the district. Designation of critically overexploited Tagle areas as done in the case of Ne-Activities and a state of the second state of traploited area for svery five years and an cap interpretation of svery five years and an cap interpretation of the minimum of childhold be back on the minimum of or share basis which casures reasonable income to the farm family to lead a decent life. Farmers who extract only a part of their quota could carry forward remaining amount to the next period or they can sell them to other needy users. This promotes water markets and efficient allocation of the scarce resource. Those who exhaust their quota before the allotted period would forfeit their rights and farmers refrain from using more within a short span of time instead of spreading the use of their quota over the time horizon. This obviously promotes the use of efficient irrigation technologies and leads to conservation.

The regulatory and allocative management approaches based on permits and metering; spacing of wells have been widely used in Nebraska. These approaches need accurate data pertaining to the stock of the resource, flow, and recharge and discharge rates. Further the logistical costs associated with this approach is colossal since in India there are large number of well owners involved over space, so these measures could be restricted to those in dark areas where there is no scope for further explansion of well irrigation.

The districts can also regulate the new wells, spacing of wells and well drilling agencies by issuing permits. For all unauthorised wells without permit system power supply can be stopped and penalties imposed.

The real cost of extraction of groundwater has been increasing over time and this has serious equity implications for small farmers. Hence, special programmes aimed at improving equity need to be designed to support small farmers. Further supply of electricity may be made available on a preferential basis to those farmers who venture in-group investments.

The problem of inequity existing in well irrigation could possibly be reduced by promotion of groundwater markets which facilitate access to groundwater to those who cannot make large investment. For achieving, equity in areas where there is no assured sources of surface irrigation. NABARD can provide financial assistance to groups of small and marginal farmers to drill irrigation, bore-wells in order to provide ibemaincome generating opportunities son antovalleviate problems of poverty in fural areas. Currently in the state, increased in a trrigation welfare programme called Ganga Kalyan' scheme for small and marginal farmers of sched-uled casts and scheduled tribes. Govern-ment provides funds to members of this scheme for drilling wells on a group basis. This could be extended to all small and marginal farmers. Further, the distribution

of water can be made based on the Raw criterion of fairness in distribution. U this criterion, the distribution policy we be governed by 'lexicographic' order combined with the maximin rule propo: by Rawls according to which 'the welfa level of the worst-off individuals be mai as high as possible'. One way of using th above lexicographic ordering with maxi min rule is to irrigate all the irrigable land of the smallest farms first and after fulfilling their demands, then go on to fulfilling the demands of the second smallest farm size groups followed by the third smallest farm size group, and so on [Sampath 1992].....

Approaches such as pricing energy and removal of subsidies for well irrigation require fewer regulations and seem to be pragmatic. Free or flat rate electricity reflecting zero marginal cost for lifting groundwater is bound to have profound impact on groundwater overdevelopment and exploitation besides wastage of water. If energy for extraction of water is priced it will improve equity and efficiency of water transactions since the seller and the buyer will both have to be cautious in its utilisation. Also, pricing of electricity induces an element of caution and prudence on the part of farmers to go for efficient irrigation technologies thereby promoting use efficiency. Nevertheless this requires political consideration for action

Promoting the use of efficient irrigation technologies should form part of water management strategy. Micro irrigation techniques, like drip, have huge potential not only to save sizeable quantity of water when it is delivered through pipes, but also to ensure water use efficiency.

High water consuming crops like sugarcane, rice, grapes and vegetables should be discouraged under groundwater irrigation. During the period of extreme scarcity of groundwater, area under irrigation should be regulated since regulation of quota of water for each farmer is a difficult task. Here water for each farmer is a difficult

Extension outreach to disseminate relevant information relating to pump-technologies, conveyance network, water saving mechanisms, water use and right type of crop choice based on the availability of water, scheduling of irrigation to the members of an irrigation district, plays an important role in promoting irrigation literacy and overall sustainability. In order, to augment groundwater recharge in aquifers, the role of surface irrigation tanks and watershed development schemes that are specific to each area could be promoted in the districts.

Sconomic and Political Weekly bi June 26, 1999

Karnataka state has the largest number of irrigation tanks in India; virtually every village has an irrigation tank. Tanks are common property resources supporting the village economy. Of late a vast majority of these irrigation structures have silted up reducing their live storage capacity due to governmental apathy and lack of community efforts to manage them. Such irrigation tanks could be used to impound excess run off water when there is heavy rainfall. By utilising these tanks water can be stored like a buffer. The role of 'buffer stock operation' in water is very critical in order to ease supply scarcity of groundwater. Also, these tanks can serve as percolation tanks for recharging groundwater. Studies have indicated that rehabilitation of irrigation tanks have improved the recharge of wells [Gireesh et al 1997]. Thus promotion of participatory action in rehabilitating irrigation tanks for recharging groundwater would go a long way in augmenting groundwater supply.

NABARD has been playing a big role in influencing groundwater development across all the states through its lending programmes. Thus it can take a lead role to promote user groups and groundwater irrigation districts by extending lending facilities only to the members of such organisations. If users of groundwater are not willing to join the natural resource management district then government can cut all agricultural and irrigation subsidies for such a region.

This study aimed at developing an institutional perspective of groundwater management in dealing with overdraft problems in India and western US. A great many management problems relating to groundwater overdevelopment and use are emerging in both India as well as in western US. In western US these problems are being effectively addressed through institutional policy instruments with local control. These include formation of natural resource districts with varying responsibilities over groundwater issues, creation of an enabling framework specifying user rights, correlative rights to a reasonable use, issue of permits for extraction, allocating quotas and declaration of moratorium on new wells in critical/ overexploited areas. These regulations defined an upper boundary for extraction of groundwater and made groundwater legally scarce. This has had a profound impact on use pattern and conservation of groundwater in the region. In India, lack of effective groundwater institutions at local level to deal with emerging problems in groundwater development and use have resulted in intergenerational, inter temporal and interspatial misallocation and severe overdrafts creating several externalities. Further, the markets are not responding to correct the distortions in groundwater use. This has severely affected equity, efficiency and sustainability of groundwater resource use. The emerging environmental implications on account of groundwater overdraft will be terrible for. the future generation. Drawing on experiences from the Nebraska model there is a need for creation of an effective user based groundwater management institutions at local level with local control that are viable and reflective of social concern for conservation ethics, environmental values, equity consideration and efficiency in resource use. Towards this endeavour a package of incentives could be extended to promote user based groundwater management institutions at grass roots level.

[This article is based on the post-doctoral research work at Colorado State University, Colorado. The authors would like to express their appreciation to Virgin Norton, Manager Upper Republic Natural Resource District, Imperial, Nebraska. We also sincerely acknowledge the help of several farmers and scholars who interacted with us in the course of our research work.]

References were direct

- Central Ground Water Board (1995): Groundwater Resources of India, Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India.
- Chandrakanth, M G, K M Sathisha and Ananda (1998): Resource Economics. Study of Valuation of Well Interference Externalities
- in Central Dry Zone of Karnataka', report submitted to the Ford Foundation; New Delhi. Ciriacy-Wantrup, S V (1968): Resource Conservation: Economics and Politics, (third edition),
- Division of Agricultural Economics, University of California, Berkeley.
- (1969): 'Natural Resources in Economic Growth, the Role of Institutions and Policies', American Journal of Agricultural Economics 51:1314-24
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics (1995): On Irrigation Statistics in Karnataka, Brochure, Bangalore, Government of Karnataka, India.
- Gireesh, M, N Nagaraj and M G Chandrakanth (1997): 'Rehabilitation of Irrigation Tanks in Eastern Zone of Karnataka - An Economic
- Analysis', Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 52:231-43. -Moench, M (1991): 'Sustainability, Efficiency
- and Equity in Groundwater Development: Issues in India and Comparison with the Western US', monograph, The pacific Institute for studies in Development Environment and Security, Berkeley, California.
- (1998): 'Allocating the Common Heritage Debates over Water Rights and Governance Structures in India', Economic and Political Weekly, A-46-53. (1991) (1994)
- Nagaraj, N (1994): 'Groundwater Irrigation from Low Yielding Bore-Wells in Hard Rock Areas of Kamataka - An Economic Analysis', PhD Thesis (unpublished), Department of Agricultural Economics, University of
 - Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.

Nagaraj, N, M G Chandrakanth and Gurumurthy (1994): 'Bore-Well Failure in Drought Prone Areas of South India - A Case Study'. Indian 12 Journal of Agricultural Economics, 49:101-06. Nagaraj; N and M G Chandrakanth (1995a): Low Yielding Wells in Peninsular India -An Economic Analysis, Indian Journal of

Agricultural Economics, 50:47-59.

- = (1995b): ...Intra and Intergenerational Equity D., Effects of Irrigation Well Failures on Different Classes of Farmers in Hard-Rock Areas of 1.50 India", Economic and Political Weekly, 32: Sampath, R K (1992): 'A Rawlsian Evaluation
- 15: of Irrigation Distribution in India', Water ... Resources Bulletin, 27.745-51 Sathya Sai, K'J'S, Praduman Kumar and
- Mrthyunjaya (1997): 'Terms' of Transactions in Groundwater Markets: A study in Anathapur
- ... District of Andhra Pradesh', Indian Journal
- of Agricultural Economics, 52:751-60. Seckler, David and R K Sampath (1985): 'Produc-
- tion and Poverty in Indian Agriculture', report submitted to Indian Mission of the US Agency in for International Development, New Delhi,
- Singh, C (1995): 'Research Agenda, For Groundwater Law in India' in Moench (ed),
- Groundwater Law: The Growing Debate, VIKSAT, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, pp 175-79.
- Stephenson; Kurt (1996): 'Groundwater Management in Nebraska: Governing the Commons through Local Resource Districts',
- Natural Resource Journal, 36:520-36. URNRD (1978): Upper Republic Natural
- L. Resource District Rules and Regulations for 2.4 Groundwater.
- (1995): Groundwater Management Plan, Imperial, Nebraska.
- -(1996): Rules and Regulations for Groundwater
- Control of the Upper Republican Natural Resource District Control Area, Imperial, Nebraska.
- -(1999): Information Packet, Imperial, Nebraska, UAS, February 9.
- Zachary, Smith (1993): 'Managing Groundwater ilu: in the Western United States: Lessons for India', paper presented at the workshop on
- water management, Indian Groundwater ·· / , Challenge, VIKSAT, Ahmedabad, India, 22.4 December 14-16. er na sa sa

.

.

6 C (1 8.) .

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CHANGE Nagarabhavi P.O. Bangalore - 560 072 ADVT. NO. 1/18.349 Applications are invited for the post of **PROFESSOR** on UGC scales of pay. in the Ecology Economics Unit of the Institute. Qualification : Masters' Degree in Economics with 50% marks in the aggregate. Ph.D or published work of equivalent standard in Economics/10 years teaching/research experience. Application form and full advertisement can be had from the Registrar by sending a selfaddressed (28x11 cms) stamped

(Rs. 9/-) envelope. last date for receipt a of the completed application is 31 July 1999. Dated 14th, June 1999 Sch Sd/- Registrar of libera

package

Po:

. 1990s, i organis duction global drastic tended public disinve delicen duction direct : Indian . eign ca Polic. appeare for insta K and s of intertutions ment sr posts it come u on the Desai 1 ous ch. that m right'. : farm ou tor and have, o. tive sc). in poli first' []-

Econon